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### Question 8: Considering the commercial activities of the national broadcasters (e.g. where they are selling or purchasing goods and services), is there evidence that they have taken undue advantage of their government ownership, to the detriment of competitive outcomes?

### The ABC, especially through its news and current affairs arms has blatantly abused its position as national broadcaster to inject the producer or host's political viewpoints in place of factual, independent reporting.

### Question 9: What is the differential impact of regulation on commercial and national broadcasters, and is there evidence of consequent adverse impacts on competition and outcomes?

If a commercial broadcaster was injecting the political ideology of its organisation or host, the public would be able to divest from.said company and make their protest known.

This is impossible with the national.broaecasters, especially in cases where violent extremist groups such as antifa are praised and glorified, where attacks upon Anzac day are unpunished, and where the needs of indigent immigrant groups are excused for violent crime because of the broadcasters obsession with diversity.

### Question 10: Is the reporting and accountability by the national broadcasters on their best endeavours to observe competitive neutrality adequate?

Absolutely not.

Both national broadcasters, but ESPECIALLY the ABC continuously promote Anti Australian ideologies, intentionally misleading the nation by providing on an extreme leftist (if not outright communist) view point, where any attempt to even bring the national conversation back to the centre is attacked and derided.

These national broadcasters' mandate is to inform.the nation, not enforce the producers or hosts political or ideological viewpoints.

### Question 11: Are you aware of any specific instances where the ABC or SBS may have received any other competitive advantage, due to their public ownership, to the detriment of a private competitor?

### Question 12: The SBS Charter requires it to take into account the activities of the ABC and community television on radio and television. In the context of the competitive neutrality principles how in your view, is the SBS complying with this requirement? From your perspective does it adequately cover the activities of the SBS?

### Question 13: From your perspective do the national broadcasters seek a balance between competing in the market and complementing the market? Is that balance the same for traditional broadcasting and for new digital platforms?

The ABC is a tax payer funded station which currently   
- Attacks Australian culture (Australia Day, ANZAC day)  
- Holds up violent extremists such as antifa, ISIS as examples to follow  
- Villifies Australian males   
- Encourages the introduction of "gender theory" into school and preschool based programs, including promoting sexual matter (gender theory, transsexualism, peadophilia acceptance)

### Question 14: Do you have comment on these guiding principles?