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### Question 8: Considering the commercial activities of the national broadcasters (e.g. where they are selling or purchasing goods and services), is there evidence that they have taken undue advantage of their government ownership, to the detriment of competitive outcomes?

### No

### Question 9: What is the differential impact of regulation on commercial and national broadcasters, and is there evidence of consequent adverse impacts on competition and outcomes?

### No

### Question 10: Is the reporting and accountability by the national broadcasters on their best endeavours to observe competitive neutrality adequate?

### Probably

### Question 11: Are you aware of any specific instances where the ABC or SBS may have received any other competitive advantage, due to their public ownership, to the detriment of a private competitor?

### No.

### Question 12: The SBS Charter requires it to take into account the activities of the ABC and community television on radio and television. In the context of the competitive neutrality principles how in your view, is the SBS complying with this requirement? From your perspective does it adequately cover the activities of the SBS?

### SBS is a great content provider - better than the ABC. The charter is just right for now.

### Question 13: From your perspective do the national broadcasters seek a balance between competing in the market and complementing the market? Is that balance the same for traditional broadcasting and for new digital platforms?

### Yes. & yes.

### Question 14: Do you have comment on these guiding principles?

### Seem to me that you are asking a slanted lot of questions. All this bull about 'the market'.These are funded operations. You should be asking whether they deliver on their charters - Yes / No and out of 1 - 10.And compare this performance to 'the market' if thats what you state you value.Still this can be just another response that you can shelve/ignore - as it NOT what you were really asked to do by the Government!