



Dear Minister

The issues of concern to me are:

1. What standard are you using to judge whether the proposed Australian 5G rollout is safe?
2. What consideration do you give to the “Precautionary Principle” (\*)?
3. Can you please provide the evidence that you have used to show that 5G is safe according to the standard you have proposed to judge safety.
4. If you are using current Australian Radiation Standards,
  1. when were these last reviewed?
  2. How do the Australian standards compare with the standards of other countries/places such as the European Union?
  3. What standard was used to determine that the Australian Radiation Standards are safe?
  4. Do the Australian Standards consider the “Precautionary Principle” (\*)?
  5. Can you please provide the evidence that you have used to show that the Australian Standards are safe according to the standard you have proposed to judge safety.
5. What are the criteria or standards that you use to compare the economic benefit of 5g to the health harm of 5G?
6. What is the evidence you use to assess the economic benefit of 5G and the health harm of 5G?
7. Do you consider the economic benefits of a 5G rollout outweigh the potential injury to humans from that rollout?

I could make a number of assertions of my views on the above matters, but as a lay person, they would not carry any weight.

Nevertheless if you are interested in my views as a lay person they are, briefly:

- The Precautionary Principle should be followed in the rollout of Australian 5G.
- There is insufficient evidence to show that 5G is safe.
- There is some evidence to show that 5G is harmful to humans.
- The Australian Radiation Standards are inadequate.
- The economic benefits of 5G do not outweigh the human health issues.

Sincerely,

Fred Tropp-Asher

[REDACTED]

Mooroolbark VIC

[REDACTED]

[You may publish my name and suburb only]

(\*) Wikipedia: an expression of a need by decision-makers to anticipate harm before it occurs. Within this element lies an implicit reversal of the onus of proof: under the precautionary principle it is the responsibility of an activity-proponent to establish that the proposed activity will not (or is very unlikely to) result in significant harm.