## FEDERAL OFFICE OF ROAD SAFETY REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Report No	Report Date	Pages	ISBN	ISBN (Series)	ISSN
CR131 (11)	1994	116	0 642 51364 3	0 642 51388 0	0810 770X

#### Title and sub-title

Young Driver Research Program - Mass Crash Data Analyses:
Overview of Results from Australian and USA Mass Crash Database Analyses

## Author(s)

Macdonald WA, Bowland L, Hancock A

## **Performing Organization**

Monash University Accident Research Centre Wellington Road Clayton, Victoria, 3168, Australia

## **Sponsoring Organization**

Federal Office of Road Safety PO Box 594 Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia

## Project Officer: K B Smith

## Abstract

This report presents an overview of results tabled in other reports in this series which separately analysed Australian and USA mass crash databases. The focus was on identifying differences between the crash patterns of young and mature car drivers which occur consistently across databases, as well as consistent differences between daytime and night-time crash patterns. Results showed that day/night differences were often large, and usually of similar magnitude for both young and mature drivers. Higher young driver compared to mature driver involvement was shown for single vehicle crashes, crashes occurring on curves or slopes, travelling above the speed limit or at excessive speed, and crashes where 'loss of control' was identified as a causal factor.

## **Key Words**

YOUNG DRIVER, CRASH ANALYSIS, DAY, NIGHT, CAR DRIVER

## Notes

FORS reports are disseminated in the interest of information exchange.

(2) The view expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent those of the Commonewealth Government.

The Federal Office of Road Safety publishes four series of research reports:

- (a) reports generated as a result of research done within FORS are published in the OR series
- (b) reports of research conducted by other organizations on behalf of FORS are published in the CR series

(c) reports based on analyses of FORS' statistical databases are published in the SR series

(d) minor reports of research conducted by other organizations on behalf of FORS are published in the MR series.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The helpful comments of John Catchpole on an earlier draft of this report are gratefully acknowledged.

## FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S ROAD SAFETY INITIATIVE

# YOUNG DRIVER RESEARCH PROGRAM MASS CRASH DATA ANALYSIS

## OVERVIEW OF RESULTS FROM AUSTRALIAN AND USA MASS CRASH DATABASE ANALYSES

Prepared by

Wendy Macdonald Lyn Bowland Adrian Hancock

MONASH UNIVERSITY ACCIDENT RESEARCH CENTRE

for FEDERAL OFFICE OF ROAD SAFETY

CR 131 (11)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
EXE	CUTIV	E SUMMARY	iv
1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	PREVIOUS REPORTS ON CRASH DATA ANALYSES	1
	1.2	THE PRESENT REPORT	2
2.	OVE DAT	RVIEW ANALYSES OF AUSTRALIAN AND USA CRASH	3
	2.1	INTRODUCTION	3
	2.2	NATURE OF DATA AND FORM OF PRESENTATION	3
	2.3	YOUNG VERSUS OLDER DRIVER DIFFERENCES, FOR DAY AND NIGHT SEPARATELY	5
		<ul> <li>2.3.1 Description of crash</li> <li>2.3.2 When did the crashes occur?</li> <li>2.3.3 Where did the crashes occur?</li> <li>2.3.4 What were the factors within the vehicle - driver</li> <li>2.3.5 What were the vehicle factors?</li> <li>2.3.6 What were the environmental conditions</li> <li>2.3.7 What other factors surrounded the crash?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11
	2.4	DAYTIME VERSUS NIGHT-TIME DIFFERENCES, FOR YOUNG AND OLDER DRIVERS SEPARATELY	14
		<ul> <li>2.4.1 Description of crash</li> <li>2.4.2 When did the crashes occur?</li> <li>2.4.3 Where did the crashes occur?</li> <li>2.4.4 What were the factors within the vehicle - driver</li> <li>2.4.5 What were the vehicle factors?</li> <li>2.4.6 What were the environmental conditions</li> <li>2.4.7 What other factors surrounded the crash?</li> </ul>	14 17 18 21 25 26 27
3.	SUM	MARY AND DISCUSSION OF MAIN FINDINGS	32
	3.1	SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM THE OVERVIEW ANALYSES	32
		<ul> <li>3.1.1 Type and location of crash</li> <li>3.1.2 Vechicle speed</li> <li>3.1.3 Control of vehicle</li> <li>3.1.4 Inattention, failure to observe</li> <li>3.1.5 Responsibility for crash</li> <li>3.1.6 Weather, dry/other road surfaces</li> <li>3.1.7 Alcohol involvement</li> <li>3.1.8 Legal aspects</li> <li>3.1.9 Seatbelt wearing</li> <li>3.1.10 Vehicle driven</li> <li>3.1.11 Numbers of people/injuries/deaths in vehicle/crash</li> <li>3.1.12 Times of crash</li> </ul>	32 34 34 35 35 35 36 36 36 37 37

3.2		DISCU	38	
			Conceptual basis Discussion of results	38 38
		-	Overview	41
RE	FEREN	CES		66
API	PENDIC	CES:		
1	NSW	CASUAL	.тү file (1986-1989)	68
2	VICT	ORIAN C	CASUALTY FILE (1986-1989)	75
2	SA C	ASUALT'	Y FILE (1986-1989)	81
4	FORS	FATALI	ITY FILE (1988) - NSW, VICTORIA AND SA	86
5			89) - NORTH-WESTERN REGION	.93
6			89) - mid-western region	99
7			89) - WESTERN REGION	105
ģ			89) - SOUTHERN RECION	111

## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
1	COMPARISON OF YOUNG AND MATURE DRIVER PROPORTIONS	44
	WITHIN EACH CRASH VARIABLE, FOR DAY AND NIGHT SEPARATELY	
2	COMPARISON OF DAYTIME AND NIGHT-TIME PROPORTIONS OF	55
	DRIVERS WITHIN EACH CRASH VARIABLE, FOR YOUNG AND MATURE	
	DRIVERS SEPARATELY	

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### INTRODUCTION

The Monash University Accident Research Centre was commissioned by the Federal Office of Road Safety to undertake a Young Driver Research Program as part of a Federal Government Road Safety Initiative. One of the research projects in this Young Driver Research Program focussed on Young Driver Crashes. As part of this project, the following Australian and USA databases were analysed:

- New South Wales: casualty data 1986 to 1990
- Victoria: casualty data 1984 to 1989
- South Australia: casualty data 1986 to 1990
- Federal Office of Road Safety (FORS): 1988 Fatality File containing data for New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia
- USA General Estimates System (GES): 1989 data files. These databases provide a probability sample of USA road crashes, compiled by the NHTSA from the original police accident reports.

## PURPOSE AND NATURE OF OVERVIEW ANALYSIS

This report presents an overview analysis, incorporating results from all of the above databases. Results from each database are presented separately (in appendices) in the form of crash frequencies and group percentages for each crash descriptor variable, calculated within age groups (young/mature) and time of day (day/night) subcategories. Cross-tabulations combining results from the appendices are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 shows differences between age groups, for day and night separately; Table 2 shows differences between day and night for each age group separately. Magnitudes of differences are shown in terms of absolute number of percentage points difference between the young/mature and day/night percentage figures presented in the appendices.

Focus is on identifying differences between the crash patterns of young and mature drivers which occur consistently across databases, and subsidiary to this, consistent differences between daytime and night-time crash patterns. The conceptual framework of the research identified day/night variation as a factor likely to interact with driver age and other factors in influencing crash risk (see Figure 1, Macdonald, 1993b). The overview analysis explores this issue within the bounds of information available from mass databases.

#### RESULTS

Major results are presented below, grouped within the main categories of crash variables.

## Type and location of crash

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for the following types of crashes:

 on curves and on slopes, as opposed to straight and level road sections, particularly at night

Proportions of drivers involved in crashes on curves rather than on straight road sections were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers; the difference was a little greater for young drivers.

For the Australian Fatals database, proportion of drivers in crashes on slopes was considerably higher at night than during the day for young drivers, but for mature drivers the proportion on slopes was considerably lower at night. The only other databases having this variable were the USA ones, where no trend in either direction was apparent.

off the road, or on the road shoulder

Proportions of drivers in 'off road/shoulder' crashes were much higher at night, for young and mature drivers equally.

- · off path, on straight particularly at night
- off path, on curve or turning particularly at night

Proportions of drivers in 'off path on straight' and 'off path, curve/turning' crashes were higher at night for both young and mature drivers; the relative increase was a little greater for young drivers.

 crashes in which the impact was with an object other than another vehicle particularly at night

Proportions of drivers involved in these crashes were always considerably higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers; the night-time increase was considerably greater for young Australian drivers, but for USA drivers the night-time increase was a little greater for the mature drivers.

The broader category of 'single vehicle crashes' (not confined to collisions) also showed higher proportions of night-time relative to daytime drivers involved, in this case for young and mature drivers fairly equally.

• in vehicle/vehicle crashes when the young driver was in the striking vehicle, rather than the struck - but only in daytime (USA data only)

Proportions of drivers in vehicle/vehicle collisions (two or more vehicles) were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers; the night-time decrease was greater for young drivers when they drove the striking vehicle, and greater for mature drivers when they drove the vehicle struck.

- in urban rather than rural areas, and in 60 kph speed zones, for both day and night-time fatal crashes (Australian Fatals database only not in other databases)
- where there were no controls, as opposed to where there were traffic lights, stop/give way signs or other forms of control

Proportions of drivers who were crash-involved at stop or give ways signs tended to be lower at night than during the day, equally for young and mature drivers

- when the vehicle was 'moving along roadway' as opposed to turning, reversing or stationary
- particularly at night the night-time increase was greater for mature than young drivers

Proportions of drivers who were crash-involved while turning/reversing or stationary, were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers.

- left turns, USA daytime only
- pedestrian daytime Australian fatals only

The converse of young drivers' relatively higher proportions of the 'single vehicle' types of crash were their relatively *lower* proportions of vehicle/vehicle collisions, including right-angle, adjacent directions, rear-end, and head-on.

Proportions of drivers in vehicle/vehicle crashes were generally lower at night than during the day - again, the converse of the pattern for single vehicle crashes.

As would be expected in view of this pattern of day/night variation in vehicle/vehicle crashes, proportions of drivers at most types of intersection crashes were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers, and proportions of non-intersection crashes were higher at night.

## Vehicle speed (data only from NSW and Australian Fatals databases)

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for the following types of crash:

- · speeds greater than 60 kph, particularly at night
- for both young and mature drivers, proportions were higher at night than during the day
- 'definitely over the speed limit', particularly at night; the increase in night-time proportions was greater for young than for mature drivers
- combination of all 'speeding' categories: 'possibly over limit', 'definitely over limit' and 'within limit but excessive for conditions'

Proportions were much higher at night than during the day, equally for young and mature drivers

• 'excessive speed' identified as a causal factor, mainly day (Australian Fatals)

#### Control of vehicle

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- 'loss of control' identified as a causal factor, particularly at night
- the night-time increase was greater for young drivers (data only for NSW)

#### Inattention, failure to observe

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

• crashes when driver 'inattention' was judged to be a factor, both day and night (SA database only)

Proportions of 'inattentive' drivers were higher at night than during the day, for young and mature drivers equally.

Proportions of drivers coded with 'failure to observe person/vehicle' recorded as a causal factor were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers (Australian Fatals database only).

## Responsibility for crash

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for crashes where:

 driver designated as responsible for the crash (Australian Fatals database only), both day and night Proportions of 'responsible' drivers were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers, but more so for young drivers.

## Weather, dry/other road surface

## Proportions of young drivers were *lower* than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers:

- when weather conditions were wet or non-clear daytime only
- for young drivers only, proportions were higher at night than during the day

Proportions of both young and mature Australian drivers were higher at night than during the day when the road surface was wet; this pattern did not occur in the USA databases

#### Alcohol involvement

## Proportions of young drivers were *lower* than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- drivers in 'alcohol-involved' crashes at night USA data only; proportions of drivers in alcohol-involved crashes were higher at night than during the day, equally for both young and mature drivers
- driving under the influence at night (Australian Fatals only)

Drivers apportioned to crashes where 'driving under the influence' was a factor were much higher at night than during the day: a little more so for mature than young drivers

Proportions of drivers with a BAC above 0.05 were much higher at night, particularly in fatal crashes: a little more so for mature than young drivers

Proportions of drivers with zero BAC were much lower at night, equally for young and mature drivers (NSW data only)

Proportions of drivers involved in crashes where the highest BAC was above 0.05 were much higher at night, equally for young and mature drivers (NSW data only)

#### Legal aspects

## Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for crashes where:

• legal action taken against driver, or driver charged with violation, particularly during the day (NSW, USA data only).

Proportions of drivers involved in crashes where legal action taken against a driver were higher at night than during the day, particularly for mature drivers.

• driver charged with 'negligent driving' (NSW data only)

Proportions of non-licensed drivers (no USA data) were slightly but consistently higher at night, equally for young and mature drivers.

Proportions of provisional/probationary licensed drivers (no USA data - young drivers only) were a little higher at night.

Proportions of drivers charged with 'proscribed alcohol content' were higher at night, equally for young and mature drivers (NSW data only).

## Seatbelt wearing

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

• driver not wearing a seatbelt - night-time (found only in Australian Fatals data (no USA data; trend not present in other two databases)

Proportions of drivers not wearing seatbelts were higher at night than during the day, particularly for young drivers and particularly in fatal crashes.

#### Vehicle driven

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- cars aged 9 to 13 years (8 to 12 years for Fatals and the USA databases)
- cars aged 14+ years (13+ years for Fatals and USA databases) trend much stronger in the Australian data

Proportions of drivers with cars in the oldest category (14+ or 13+ years) were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers.

Proportions of young drivers were *lower* than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

• cars in the newest category (<1 to 3 years - Australia, or <1 to 2 years - Fatals and USA)

Proportions of drivers with cars in the newest category were *lower* at night than during the day, for both age groups but marginally more so for young drivers

## Numbers of people/injuries/deaths in vehicle/crash

Data on these variables are recorded in a wide variety of forms, in different combinations for different databases. They include numbers of:

- · vehicle occupants
- people involved in crash
- people injured/killed in vehicle
- people injured/killed in crash.

Not surprisingly, many differences were found between different age groups and between daytime and night-time. For example:

- proportions of drivers involved in daytime crashes with four or more people involved were higher for mature drivers than for young ones
- proportions of drivers involved in daytime crashes with two or more people injured did not vary with age group
- proportions of drivers involved in night-time crashes with two or more people injured were higher for young than mature drivers
- proportions of crashes with driver plus two or more passengers in the vehicle were higher for young drivers than mature ones, particularly at night.

Day/night differences in numbers killed and injured generally reflect the differences in types of crash, as reported above. The full set of such results was presented in the previous section and will not be discussed further, since a major determinant of the observed differences is likely to be the varying patterns of exposure of different age groups at different times of the day and night. In very general terms, young driver crashes involve more people, and result in higher levels of injury, than those of mature drivers.

#### Times of crash

As for numbers of vehicle occupants, people injured, etc, the temporal pattern of road crashes probably reflects to a large degree the different temporal patterns of exposure.

## Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- weekend crashes
- during night-time darkness (night = 6 pm-6 am)
- for weekend crashes: noon-6 pm, and most strongly, midnight-6 am
- for weekday crashes: in the midnight-6 am time period

Proportion of drivers in weekend crashes was higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers, slightly more so for the young.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The above findings are consistent with the patterns identified in an earlier review of published information on young driver crashes (Macdonald, 1993a). However, the present overview analysis provides much more information than was previously available on day/night differences in crash patterns. It has been found that such day/night differences are often large but, interestingly, they are in most cases the same or similar for young and mature drivers.

Underlying reasons for young drivers' generally higher crash risk remain unclear. A major factor influencing at least some types of over-involvement is likely to be the difference in exposure to risk of young versus mature drivers, including differing proportions of exposure during the day relative to night. Information on actual exposure patterns is needed to determine the extent to which this is reflected in the pattern of crashes. Based simply on crash patterns, it is difficult or impossible to differentiate the relative importance as causes of young driver overinvolvement in various types of crash of:

- a greater relative proportion of young drivers on the road in the times and places where, independent of their skills and behaviour, crashes are most likely
- a higher-risk subset of young drivers on the road at some times and places
- most young drivers displaying 'riskier' behaviour at some times and places than at others
- most young drivers, under most conditions, displaying generally 'riskier' behaviour, whether due to lack of skill, lack of appropriate 'attitudes', or both.

One of the clearest features of the present overview analysis is that proportions of young drivers were higher than those of older drivers for all types of single vehicle crashes, most of which involved running off the road and/or hitting an object. They were more likely to crash on curves and slopes. Consistent with this pattern, young drivers were more likely to be travelling above the speed limit or at excessive speed, and more likely to be in a crash where 'loss of control' was identified as a causal factor; such circumstances were more likely at night.

Their higher incidence of single-vehicle crashes, often entailing a loss of control, may suggest inadequate vehicle control skills. Young drivers' less developed vehicle control skills are well documented in the driver performance literature (Macdonald, 1993b). However, another significant factor might be age-related differences in the way in which drivers perceive hazards and associated risks in relation to their own perceived capacity to cope with these hazards. Again, there is clear documentation in the literature that inexperienced drivers have poorer hazard perception abilities than drivers with greater experience. Perhaps young drivers are poorer than older drivers at perceiving and interpreting the available perceptual information concerning the curvature and gradient of the road ahead in terms of appropriate changes to vehicle

speed and position on the road. Such questions cannot be answered by reference to information on crashes.

It is frequently suggested that young drivers' higher crash risk may be due in large part to a relatively small sub-set of 'young problem drivers' who, because of their particular combination of personal and socio-economic characteristics, are likely to expose themselves to particularly high risk levels and/or to drive in a particularly risky fashion. The 'Young Problem Driver' issue was briefly reviewed by Macdonald (1993b). There is a little evidence from the present study of relevance to this issue.

In the present analyses, proportions of unlicensed Australian drivers, and proportions of drivers charged with Proscribed Alcohol Content, were both found to be higher at night than during the day, but this was equally so for young and older driver groups. Similarly, proportions of crashes in which the car was in the oldest category were higher at night than during the day for both young and older drivers. These findings are suggestive of a somewhat different driver population at night. There is evidence of a correlation, at least within young driver groups, between level of exposure to risk, the 'riskiness' of driving performance itself, and personal characteristics such as socioeconomic status (Macdonald, 1993b, pp.33-35); it could therefore be hypothesised that the apparent differences between daytime and night-time driver populations indicate a probable increase in the riskiness of driving at night. Clearly, more direct evidence on this issue is needed.

The basic questions which were the focus of the present study were:

- do young driver and mature driver crashes have the same set of causes?
- do daytime and night-time crashes have the same set of causes?

Results indicated some differences between young and mature driver crash patterns, and large differences between daytime and night-time patterns, some (but relatively few) of which were related to driver age. To pursue these questions in greater depth, there is a need for more information on the different patterns of exposure to risk of young versus mature drivers, during the day and at night. There is also a need for more information on the driving performance capacities and actual driving behaviour of drivers of different ages and different levels of skill development.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Monash University Accident Research Centre has been commissioned by the Federal Office of Road Safety to undertake a Young Driver Research Program as part of the Federal Government's Road Safety Initiative.

One of the research projects in this Young Driver Research Program is focussed on young driver crashes. Research for the Young Driver Crashes Project during the first two years of the program has entailed:

- a review of published literature on the characteristics of young driver crashes
- a review of published literature on young driver performance and behavioural characteristics
- a series of analyses of Australian and USA crash databases.

The present report presents an overview and summary of outcomes from the latter component of the project.

The following databases were analysed:

#### Australian data

- New South Wales casualty data for the years 1986 to 1990, provided by the Traffic Authority of New South Wales
- Victorian casualty data for the years 1984 to 1989, provided by VicRoads
- South Australian casualty data for the years 1986 to 1990, provided by the
   South Australian Department of Transport
- Federal Office of Road Safety (FORS) Fatality File for 1988 provided by the Federal Office of Road Safety. Fatality data for New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia were examined.

#### USA data

 General Estimates System (GES) 1989 data, from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Washington D.C. This database is a probability sample of USA road crashes, compiled by the NHTSA from the original police crash reports.

#### 1.1 PREVIOUS REPORTS ON CRASH DATA ANALYSES

The results of analyses of the above Australian and USA data have been presented in a series of reports which are outlined below.

## Australian data

Report No	Data File	State	Year(s)
1	Casualty crash	New South Wales	1986-1990
	"	Victoria	1984-1989
2	"	South Australia	1986-1990
3	FORS Fatality	New South Wales	1988
4		Victoria	
5	I	South Australia	
6	1	NSW, Victoria and	
		SA combined	

#### USA data

Report No	Data File	US Region	Year(s)
7	GES	North-west	1989
8	H	Mid-west	n
9	н	West	11
10	11	South	

## Overview report

Report No	
11	Reviews the main findings presented in Report Nos 1 to 10

## 1.2 THE PRESENT REPORT

This report is Number 11 in the series. It presents two overview analyses which have been conducted to identify common trends related to driver age (young versus mature) and time of crash (day versus night) across several Australian and USA crash databases. Analyses of each of these databases have previously been reported separately (Reports 1 to 10).

Conclusions from the overview analyses are summarised and discussed in relation to findings from the two previous literature reviews on young drivers and road crashes (Macdonald 1994a; 1994b).

## 2. OVERVIEW ANALYSES OF AUSTRALIAN AND USA CRASH DATA

#### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The present report presents results of analyses of all of the Australian and USA mass databases previously reported separately (Reports 1 to 10). Results from each database are presented separately in Appendices 1 to 8 in the form of crash frequencies and percentages for each crash descriptor variable and its sub-categories. Percentages are calculated within each age group, subdivided by day and night.

In the main body of the report, cross-tabulations which incorporate results from all of the separate database cross-tabulations are presented. Results are shown in two large tables. Table 1 shows differences between age groups, for day and night separately; Table 2 shows differences between day and night for each age group separately. It should be noted that the Tables show crash-involved drivers, not crashes; a crash involving three drivers would appear three times in the data.

#### 2.2 NATURE OF DATA AND FORM OF PRESENTATION

Driver-based files were created, consisting of car drivers only, as young car drivers were the group of primary interest. Drivers were selected if they were involved in the following types of crashes:

- NSW: crashes resulting in a fatality, or injuries requiring admission to hospital or medical treatment
- Victoria: crashes resulting in a fatality, serious (injuries requiring hospital admission) or 'other' injury (injuries requiring medical treatment)
- SA: fatal and casualty crashes. Casualty crashes were those where injuries required either medical treatment or admission to hospital
- FORS Fatality File: fatal crashes
- GES files: crashes resulting in fatalities, incapacitating injuries or nonincapacitating injuries. The four GES file regions were analysed separately because there were significant inter-regional differences in definitions of crash variables.

Drivers were divided into different age groups from those used in previous analyses, to focus more specifically on possible age-related differences between young drivers and other drivers. Previously, the age groups were: minimum licensing age to 25 years, 26 to 40 years, 41 to 55 years, and 56 to 98 years. For the present analyses, the oldest drivers (56 to 98 years) were omitted as their crash-related characteristics are different from those of other drivers, and not relevant to the present project. The 26-40 years and 41-55 years groups were combined into one. Thus, there are only two driver age groups in the overview analyses: minimum licensing age to 25 years ('young' drivers), and 26 years to 55 years ('mature' drivers).

'Day' and 'Night' were defined as in previous analyses: that is, day is the period between 6 am and 6 pm, while 'night' covers the period between 6 pm and 6 am. Data for the years 1986 to 1989 were combined within each of the three state databases.

Crash descriptor categories are not always mutually exclusive. Thus, for the crash descriptor variable 'DCA' ('Definitions for Classifying Accidents'), three of the subcategories are 'opposing directions', 'head on' and 'right thru'; the latter two are included in the first, but are shown separately because they represent the main variations within the more general 'opposing directions' category.

The frequencies and proportions (of all young drivers at night; of all young drivers during the day; of all mature drivers at night; of all mature drivers during the day) for each category of DCA are shown in Appendices 1, 2 and 4. Differences between these proportions for each driver group (young/mature by day/night) are shown in Tables 1 and 2 (Tables 1 and 2 are presented at the end of this report).

Some of the data available in the original databases have been omitted from the Appendices, and not all results shown in the Appendices are represented in Tables 1 and 2. Crash variables which are peculiar to only one or two of the databases or which have low crash frequencies were either omitted, or combined into broader categories which could be meaningfully compared across databases.

Since the main focus of the research program is on elucidating causal factors underlying young driver crashes, rather than on quantifying the extent of the problem, priority has been given to identifying trends across the various databases which might be suggestive of underlying causal factors, rather than to establishing the extent and statistical significance of all differences. In particular, the aims of the present overview analyses were to identify general differences between daytime versus night-time patterns of crash involvement, and in this context, to identify differences in the crash involvement patterns of young versus mature drivers.

To allow for differences in the numbers of young versus mature drivers and in numbers involved during daytime versus night-time, trends are described in terms of percentage point differences (young versus mature drivers, daytime versus night-time) within crash variables. Tables 1 and 2 show the direction and size of all differences. Table 1 shows differences between age groups in their distribution over different levels of crash variables, for day and night separately. Table 2 shows differences between day and night in their distribution over different levels of crash variables, separately for young and mature drivers.

Table 1 identifies the types of crash in which young drivers are over-involved relative to the involvement of mature drivers, for day and night separately. For the purposes of this report, to say that young drivers are 'over-involved' in a certain type of crash means that the proportion of crash-involved young drivers who were involved in crashes of that type is greater than the proportion of crash-involved mature drivers who were involved in crashes of that type. This definition is best explained by use of an example. Inspecting the distribution of Road User Movement types shown in Appendix 1 reveals that among drivers involved in daytime crashes, 8.3% of young drivers were involved in 'off path on straight' crashes, while only 5.3% of mature

drivers were involved in crashes of this type. The difference between these two figures is 3.0 points, and this value is shown in Table 1 as a measure of the over-involvement of young drivers in 'off path on straight' crashes during the daytime. At night, Appendix 1 shows that 17.8% of young driver crash involvements and 11.7% of mature driver crash involvements occurred in 'off path on straight' crashes. Thus Table 1 shows that the over-representation of young drivers in 'off path on straight' crashes at night is 6 points.

Data in Table 2 are derived in similar fashion to those in Table 1; differences between daytime and night-time crash involvement levels are shown separately for young and mature drivers.

In both Tables 1 and 2, the identification of trends across databases is facilitated by highlighting some figures in bold: In Table 1, these were figures where the proportion of young drivers was five or more points higher than that for mature drivers (the value of five was arbitrarily chosen after inspection of the data); in Table 2, bolded figures were those where the proportion of drivers involved in night-time crashes was higher than the proportion involved in daytime crashes by at least five points. This approach was selected as more appropriate than the application of normal statistical tests and significance criteria because, in the present case, the numbers are so large and the number of testable differences so many, that statistical significance would bear little, if any, relationship to practical significance.

## 2.3 YOUNG VERSUS MATURE DRIVER DIFFERENCES, FOR DAY AND NIGHT SEPARATELY

The results from all databases of young versus mature driver comparisons, for day and night separately, are presented in Table 1. The pattern of results depicted is discussed below.

#### 2.3.1 Description of crash

#### Severity

For the Australian databases, no differences between young and mature drivers were found, either for daytime or night-time involvement in casualty crashes for any levels of severity.

The USA data showed no consistent age group differences for injury overall, although some differences were apparent for the North-west and Mid-west regions when injuries were categorised into incapacitating and non-incapacitating. However, these differences did not suggest any consistent, age-related trend.

## Responsibility for crash

This variable was available only for the Australian Fatals data (Appendix 4). A greater proportion of young drivers were recorded as responsible for the crash, for both day and night.

## Number of traffic units/vehicles

Results for all databases showed that young drivers were more likely than mature drivers to be involved in single vehicle crashes rather than crashes involving other vehicles. This trend was as strong or stronger for daytime crashes as for night-time crashes (average age differences: 6 points day; 5 points night).

## Number of persons in crash

Information on number of persons involved in the crash was not available for the Australian casualty crash files. There were higher proportions of young than mature Australian drivers for crashes during the day in which two or three people were in the crash, and fewer young than mature drivers for daytime crashes with four or more people in the crash. No overall trend was apparent.

## Number of persons injured in crash

Young and mature drivers did not differ greatly in terms of number of persons injured in their crashes. The Australian fatality data showed that young drivers were less likely to be involved in a crash where no-one was injured at night, and more likely to be involved in a crash where one person was injured during the day. No overall trend was apparent.

## Number of persons injured in vehicle

Although information on this variable is collected for the Australian casualty databases, it was not available on the data sets used for these analyses. From the other databases, it was evident that smaller proportions of young driver vehicles had no injuries. Young drivers were more likely than mature drivers to have two or more people injured in their vehicle, particularly at night.

## Number of persons killed in crash

Young drivers did not differ from their mature counterparts in number of persons killed in the crash.

## Number of persons killed in vehicle

Data for this variable were available only for the Australian Fatals file. A larger proportion of young drivers' crashes at night resulted in one or more persons killed, compared with mature drivers crashes at night.

#### 2.3.2 When did the crashes occur?

## Day of week

For the Australian data, young drivers were under-represented in weekday crashes and over-represented in weekend crashes, whether they occurred during the day or night. The trend within USA data was similar, although much weaker.

## Weekday/Weekend, each subdivided by time of day

Time of day was sub-divided into four levels: 6 am-noon; noon-6 pm; 6 pm-midnight and midnight-6 am.

For weekday crashes there was no strong pattern of age-related differences, other than a small over-representation of young drivers in the midnight-6 am time period, and an under-representation of equal magnitude in the 6 pm-midnight period.

For weekend crashes, age differences were larger. Young drivers tended to be over-represented in afternoon crashes (noon-6 pm), and most strongly, young drivers were over-represented in the time period midnight-6 am.

#### 2.3.3 Where did the crashes occur?

#### Metro/Rural

Information on whether drivers were involved in metropolitan or rural crashes was available from all databases under three different variables (LGA, city/rural, urban/rural), depending on the database. For the USA and Australian casualty databases, young drivers were generally under-represented in metro/urban crashes. This trend was reversed for the Australian Fatals data. Most differences were small.

#### Land use

Data was available only from the Australian Fatals database. A higher proportion of young drivers were involved in crashes in residential areas than mature drivers.

## Type of road

Some age-related differences occurred, but there was no consistent trend across data sets.

#### Intersection

Differences between age groups were small, but there was a tendency for proportions of young driver crashes to be higher than those of mature drivers at non-intersections, and lower at intersections of all sorts.

## Relation to roadway

This variable was present only in the USA databases. Young drivers were clearly over-represented in both day and night-time crashes which were off the road or on the shoulder (average differences: 7 points day; 8 points night).

## Speed limit

Speed limit data were available for the USA data files, but as frequencies clustered in different zones for each database, comparisons were difficult. USA results for this variable are therefore omitted from Table 1 but can be found in Appendices 5 to 8.

The only evident age-related differences in the proportions of crashes occurring in different speed zones were for the Australian Fatals database. Young drivers were over-represented in 60 kph zones for both day and night-time fatal crashes.

## Road alignment (straight/curved; level/slope)

Age-related differences were generally small. Overall, proportions of young driver crashes were higher on curves and on slopes, particularly at night.

## Road surface (sealed)

This variable was present for three of the Australian databases. No age group differences were found.

#### Traffic controls

Age-related differences were generally small. Proportions of young driver crashes were slightly lower at traffic lights, and slightly higher where there were no controls.

#### 2.3.4 What were the factors within the vehicle - driver

## Sex of driver

Age group differences were generally small, particularly at night.

## Highest BAC in crash

Data for NSW showed no age-related differences for the highest BAC level in the crash (this information was not available for other Australian data sets). The USA data simply stipulated whether or not there was 'alcohol involvement' in the crash. It can be seen that there is a slight tendency for young USA drivers to be under-represented in alcohol-involved crashes at night.

#### Driver BAC

Age group differences are very small and there is no pattern other than a very slight tendency for young drivers to be more often below 0.05, or not alcohol involved.

## Restraint use

USA data on seat belt use was not available. In the Australian fatality data, young drivers in night-time fatal crashes were less likely than their mature counterparts to be wearing a seatbelt.

#### Licence status

As expected, young drivers were consistently under-represented among drivers who held a standard licence, and over-represented among drivers holding

provisional/probationary licences. There were no age group differences among drivers who were unlicensed. USA data on type of licence held were not available.

## Years driving experience

Information on years driving experience for USA drivers was not available.

Inevitably, young drivers were over-represented in each year of driving experience up to five years for both day and night-time crashes, and under-represented in the six or more years of driving experience category.

#### State of licence issue

The great majority of Australian drivers were crash-involved in the same state in which they were licensed. Hence, there were no age group differences between home and out-of-state drivers. The USA data did not include this type of information.

## Number of occupants

Although data on number of vehicle occupants were not available in the Victorian and SA casualty files analysed, reasonably consistent findings were evident for the remaining data sets.

- Driver only: young drivers were generally lower in proportional involvement than mature drivers, especially at night
- Driver plus one passenger: during the day there was a tendency for young drivers to be over-represented in this category; at night this trend was stronger.
- Driver plus two or more passengers: the trend was similar to that for Driver plus one passenger, but not as strong.

Overall, these findings indicate a greater risk for young drivers who are travelling at night accompanied by passengers.

#### 2.3.5 What were the vehicle factors?

#### Vehicle speed

Speed of vehicle was available only for the Australian Fatals and NSW casualty data. Higher proportions of young drivers crashed at speeds greater than 60 kph, particularly at night.

## Speed category

Only the Australian Fatals file included speed category as a variable, but it is useful information because of the lower number of missing frequencies compared to vehicle speed. Young drivers were over-represented in the 'definitely over the limit' category, particularly at night. When all categories which indicated speeding ('possibly over the limit', 'definitely over the limit' and 'within limit but excessive for conditions') were

collapsed into one group, the young driver over-involvement occurred equally for daytime and night-time crashes. Conversely, young drivers were less likely to be 'unlikely over limit'.

#### Year of vehicle manufacture

The Australian data showed that young drivers in crashes were consistently underrepresented relative to mature drivers in vehicles less than eight years old. This finding was similar for the USA data (vehicles less than seven years old), although the trend there was more apparent for vehicles less than two years old.

Young drivers in vehicles aged nine to 13 years (eight to 12 years for the Australian fatality and USA data) tended to be over-represented both in Australia and the USA. For cars older than 14 years, young Australian drivers were strongly and consistently over-represented but in the USA the trend was much weaker.

#### 2.3.6 What were the environmental conditions?

## Natural light

Generally speaking, age group differences were small or non-existent during daylight hours; this finding was also true for the condition of being dark during the day ('day' was classified as the hours between 6 am and 6 pm). However, during the condition of night-time darkness (night was classified as the hours between 6 pm and 6 am), there was some young driver over-involvement.

## Street lighting

Where street lighting was present, age group differences for drivers involved in crashes were small and inconsistent in direction.

The fatality data show young driver over-involvement where street lighting was off and under-involvement where street lighting was non-existent for daytime crashes. However, these differences are misleading because daytime crashes were recorded as 'missing' for this variable. Hence, the frequencies for street lighting conditions during the day were extremely low.

## View of road

Whether or not the view of the road ahead was open or obscured did not differentiate the two age groups.

## Road surface (dry, other)

A very large majority of drivers were involved in crashes when the road surface condition was dry; there were no age group differences.

## Weather

Casualty data showed no major age group differences for weather conditions, other than for the Fatals data, where the proportion of young drivers was 7 points higher than that of mature drivers for daytime crashes when conditions were clear; conversely, young drivers were under-represented for 'other' weather conditions (rain, etc) during the day. Other databases tended, very slightly, to show a similar pattern for daytime crashes. For night-time crashes there was no age difference related to differences in the weather.

## 2.3.7 What other factors surrounded the crash?

#### Manoeuvre of unit

Details on vehicle manoeuvre were not present for the Victorian or Australian Fatals data. Available data showed a general tendency for young drivers to be under-represented in crashes where the vehicle was stationary. Young Australian drivers were over-represented where the vehicle was 'moving along roadway'. There were no such differences in the USA data.

There were no substantial age group differences for Australian drivers where the vehicle was turning or reversing, but young USA drivers tended to be over-represented for left-hand turns (equivalent to Australian right-hand turns) during the day.

## Road User Movement/Definition for Classifying Accidents

This variable was available only for Australian fatality, NSW and Victorian data. Results are summarised below:

- pedestrian the age groups did not differ in proportions hitting pedestrians, except for daytime fatal accidents where young drivers were over-represented.
- adjacent directions there was no consistent pattern of age-related differences for vehicles travelling in adjacent directions, including 'cross traffic' crashes at intersections (the major sub-category under 'adjacent directions').
- opposing directions proportions of young drivers tended to be lower than for mature drivers, particularly in fatal crashes. The pattern was the same for the sub-category of 'head-on' crashes, but not for 'right-through' collisions.
- same direction the fatality data showed no age group differences for 'same direction' collisions. The casualty data, however, showed a young driver under-representation, particularly at night. The same pattern occurred for the sub-category 'rear-end' crashes.
- manoeuvring/overtaking/on path no differences were found for these categories.

- off path, on straight proportions of young drivers were higher than those for mature drivers in crashes where the vehicle left a straight road section, particularly at night.
- off path, on curve or turning results were similar to those for off path on straight. Young drivers tended to be over-represented in crashes where the vehicle left the road while on a curve or turning, particularly at night.
- off road into object results clearly show a general over-involvement of young drivers, particularly at night where the average difference between age group proportions was 9 points. The category 'off road into object' was created by selecting sub-categories within the DCA/Road User Movement variable which identified the vehicle running off the road into an object; ie. left or right off carriageway into object or parked vehicle crashes (off path, on straight) and off carriageway, left on right bend, right on right bend, right on left bend or left on left bend into object or parked vehicle crashes (off path, on curve or turning). Because this grouping is confined to off road crashes only, it differs from the impact type sub-category identifying vehicle/object collisions.

## Impact type

For vehicle/vehicle collisions (including right angle, rear-end, head on and other angle collisions) there was a general tendency for young drivers to be under-represented.

On the other hand, Australian young driver proportions were higher than mature drivers' where the vehicle impacted something other than another vehicle; this was so for both day and night, but more so at night. These differences were not accounted for by collisions with pedestrians (although young drivers were over-represented in daytime fatality accidents where a pedestrian was hit) or cyclists. Rather, it was collisions with an object which differentiated young from mature drivers, and the over-representation was greater at night.

The USA data did not include information specifically on vehicle/object collisions. The non-collision category (vehicles which overturned, caught fire, were immersed in water, etc.) showed no age effects.

## Object hit (excluding other vehicles)

Only the NSW casualty data permits direct comparison of crashes with and without a collision with an object. The proportion of young drivers hitting an object was higher than for mature drivers, particularly at night.

Information on fixed object collisions was available for all data sets excluding the Australian fatality file. It showed a consistent tendency for young drivers to be over-represented, particularly at night.

Data on collisions with non-fixed objects were available only for NSW and the USA. In the USA data there was a very small but consistent under-representation of young drivers at night; in NSW there was no age effect.

#### Vehicle role

The USA data contains a variable which designates the striking and struck vehicles; for daytime crashes, young drivers were more likely to be in control of the 'striking' vehicle.

## Major factor/error of driver

Descriptions of the factor or error recorded by the attending police as leading to the crash were available for three of the four Australian databases. The NSW and SA data showed that young drivers were under-represented in those cases where no particular factor or driver error was recorded (the majority of drivers were involved in 'no factor' crashes).

In NSW data, young drivers were over-represented, particularly at night, in 'loss of control' crashes, but there were no age-related differences for other factors, including excessive speed. The SA data showed young drivers to be proportionately more guilty of only one error: 'inattention'. In the Australian fatality data, young drivers were relatively under-represented in driving under the influence at night. Young drivers were over-represented for 'excessive speed', mainly during the day.

## Legal action/violations

The NSW and USA databases were the only ones in which there was information on whether or not legal action was taken, or a violation charge was made. Results followed a consistent pattern.

A greater proportion of young drivers had legal action taken against them or were charged with a violation, for both daytime and night-time crashes. Consistent with this, in NSW young drivers were more likely than mature drivers to be charged with 'negligent driving', and with PCA (Proscribed Alcohol Concentration).

## 2.4 DAYTIME VERSUS NIGHT-TIME DIFFERENCES, FOR YOUNG AND MATURE DRIVERS SEPARATELY

The results from all databases of day versus night comparisons, for young and mature drivers separately, are presented in Table 2.

A positive value indicates that involvement level was higher at night than during the day, and vice versa for a negative value. In cases where the difference exceeds five points in the direction of higher night-time involvement, the result is emphasised in bold.

For example, the NSW casualty crash data (Appendix 1) shows that 41% of young driver night-time crashes and 31% of young driver daytime crashes occurred during the weekend. Thus, young drivers in casualty crashes during the weekend were more likely to crash at night than during the day. This finding is represented in Table 2 as +10 (night > day), for the crash descriptor variable 'Day of week' in the 'Weekend' category.

For mature NSW drivers in casualty crashes, the day/night difference was similar: 34% of mature driver night-time crashes and 25% of mature driver daytime crashes occurred over the weekend period. The difference of 9 points is shown in Table 2 as +9 (night > day), for the crash descriptor variable 'Day of week' in the 'Weekend' category.

The patterns of day/night differences depicted in Table 2 are discussed below.

## 2.4.1 Description of crash

#### <u>Severity</u>

Young Drivers

The general pattern here was for young driver crashes with the more severe levels of injury (ie. fatality; admitted; serious/incapacitating) to be over-represented at night. Conversely, proportions of less severe injuries (treated; other/non-incapacitating) were higher during the day. The pattern varied in strength between different databases, but was evident in both Australia and the USA.

Mature Drivers

The pattern here was very similar to that for young drivers. Proportions of mature drivers involved in crashes resulting in the more severe levels of injury were generally higher at night than during the day. Conversely, proportions involved in less severe injury crashes were lower at night.

Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

As noted above, the nature of the pattern of day/night differences was the same across age groups. Also, the absolute size of the day/night differences observed for both

young and mature drivers were very similar. Thus, there is no evidence of any agerelated difference in this variable.

## Responsibility for crash

This variable was available only for the Australian Fatals database.

## Young Drivers

The proportion of young drivers involved in fatal crashes for which they were held responsible was 16 points higher at night than during the day. Conversely, in cases where the driver was not held responsible, the proportion of young drivers was 10 points higher during the day.

#### Mature Drivers

The proportion of mature drivers involved in fatal crashes for which they were held responsible was 10 points higher at night than during the day. Conversely, when the driver was not judged to be responsible, the proportion of mature drivers was 10 points higher during the day.

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

For both young and mature drivers, there is a night-time increase in the proportion 'responsible for the crash'. The increase was greater among young drivers than mature drivers.

#### Number of traffic units/vehicles

## Young Drivers

The proportion of young drivers involved in single vehicle crashes was consistently greater at night than during the day (average difference: 13 points). Conversely, the proportions of young drivers involved in multi-vehicle crashes were higher during the day than at night.

#### Mature Drivers

Proportions of mature drivers involved in single vehicle crashes were greater at night for all databases (average difference: 14 points). Conversely, for mature drivers involved in crashes between two vehicles, proportions were consistently lower at night, as were proportions for those involved in three or more vehicle crashes.

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The night-time increase in proportions of single-vehicle crashes and the relative decrease in multi-vehicle crashes applied fairly equally for young and mature drivers.

## Number of persons in crash

This variable was present in the Australian Fatals database and the USA databases.

## Young Drivers

For crashes in which only the driver was involved, proportions were consistently higher at night than during the day (average difference: 9 points). The converse was apparent for drivers in crashes involving driver plus one or two other people, where daytime proportions were higher than at night. With a total of four or more people involved, average day/night difference was close to zero.

#### Mature Drivers

For crashes in which only the driver was involved, proportions were higher at night than during the day (average difference: 13 points). The converse was apparent for mature drivers in crashes involving two or more people, where proportions were higher during the day than at night.

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The night-time increase in proportion of crashes with only the driver involved was greater for mature drivers.

## Number of persons injured in crash

No strong pattern of day/night differences was evident for this variable, for young drivers. For mature drivers, the proportion in crashes with two or more people injured was a little higher during the day than at night.

## Number of persons injured in vehicle

This variable was not present for the Australian state casualty databases.

## Young Drivers

It was apparent that proportions of crashes in which there was no injury in the vehicle were generally higher in the daytime than at night. No consistent pattern of day/night differences was discernible for crashes with one or more people injured.

#### Mature Drivers

Proportions of mature drivers involved in crashes in which no injuries were reported were generally higher during the day. Proportions of crashes in which two, three or more were injured were generally higher at night.

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

For both young and mature drivers, proportions in crashes with no injuries were lower at night.

## Number of persons killed in crash

This variable was present for three of the four Australian databases. Young and mature drivers had almost identical patterns of day/night differences: for both, the proportions in crashes in which one or more people were killed was higher at night than during the day.

## Number of persons killed in vehicle

This variable was present only in the Australian Fatals database.

Young Drivers

The proportion of young drivers in crashes in which one or more people were killed in the vehicle was higher at night than during the day. The day/night difference was around 18 points.

Mature Drivers

Proportion of mature drivers in night-time crashes in which one or more fatalities occurred in the vehicle was higher at night by 10 points.

Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The night-time increase in proportion of drivers involved in crashes with one or more fatalities in the vehicle was greater for young drivers.

#### 2.4.2 When did the crashes occur?

## Day of week

Young Drivers

Proportions of young drivers involved in weekday crashes were considerably higher during the day than at night (average difference: 14 points). Conversely, at weekends the proportions were higher at night.

Mature Drivers

Proportions of mature drivers involved in crashes on weekdays were higher during the day than at night (average difference: 12 points); the converse was true at weekends.

Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The pattern change in day/night proportions between weekday/weekend was similar for both age groups, although marginally larger for young drivers.

#### 2.4.3 Where did the crashes occur?

#### Metro/Rural

Information on whether drivers were involved in metropolitan or rural crashes was available from all databases under three different variables (LGA, City/rural, Urban/rural), depending on the database.

## Young Drivers

In eight of the nine databases, night-time proportions of young driver crashes were lower in urban areas; the difference was small (average difference: 2 points).

## Mature Drivers

There was no difference between day and night proportions of crashes in urban versus rural areas for mature drivers.

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

Whereas proportions of young driver crashes at night were slightly higher in rural areas, there was no such difference for mature drivers.

#### Land use

This variable was present only for the Australian Fatals database. For both age groups, the proportion at night in residential areas was a little higher than during the day.

## Type of road

This variable was not present in the Victorian or SA databases.

Most day/night differences for both young and mature drivers were very small, and patterns of variation were not consistent.

#### Intersections

## Young Drivers

Proportions of young drivers involved in crashes at intersections were generally lower at night than during the day (average difference: 5 points). The sub-categories of this variable ('cross intersection' and 'T-intersection' - present only in the Australian data), show the proportion of young drivers involved in fatal crashes (Australian Fatals database) at cross intersections was 17 points higher during the day than at night. The reverse was apparent for young drivers in fatal crashes at T-intersections, where the night-time proportion was 12 points higher than the daytime proportion.

For young drivers in 'non-intersection' crashes, night-time proportions were consistently higher than daytime proportions (average difference: 8 points).

#### Mature Drivers

Proportions of mature drivers involved in crashes at intersections were generally lower at night than during the day (average difference: 4 points). The sub-categories of this variable ('cross intersection' and 'T-intersection' - present only in the Australian data), show the proportion of mature drivers involved in fatal crashes (Australian Fatals database) at cross intersections was 15 points higher during the day than at night. The reverse was apparent for mature drivers in fatal crashes at T-intersections, where the night-time proportion was 19 points higher than the daytime proportion.

For mature drivers in 'non-intersection' crashes, night-time proportions were consistently higher than daytime proportions (average difference: 6 points).

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

Almost identical patterns of day/night differences are apparent for the two age groups. The only age differences of any note appears to be the relatively higher (by 7 points) night-time proportions of mature Australian drivers in fatal crashes at T-intersection, and the slightly higher proportional involvement of young drivers at night in non-intersection crashes.

## Relation to roadway

This variable was present only in the USA databases. It describes the position in relation to the roadway of the first impact in the crash.

## Young Drivers

For 'on road' crashes, proportions for young drivers were much lower at night than during the day (average difference: 17 points); conversely, for 'off road/shoulder crashes' young driver proportions were much higher at night (average difference: 15 points).

#### Mature Drivers

For 'on road' crashes, proportions for mature drivers were lower at night than during the day (average difference: 17 points); conversely, for 'off road/shoulder crashes' mature driver proportions were much higher at night (average difference: 15 points).

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

Clearly, there were no age-related differences in day/night variation for this variable.

#### Speed limit

For both age groups, day/night differences in proportions in different speed limit zones were mostly small and inconsistent in pattern.

## Road alignment

## Young Drivers

On straight sections of road, proportions of young driver crashes were consistently lower at night than during the day (average difference: 6 points); conversely, on curved sections of road, proportions of crash-involved young drivers were higher at night (average difference: 6 points).

Information on road gradient was available for Australian Fatal data and for the USA. The only major day/night difference for this variable was for the Australian fatal crashes: on slopes, proportion of young crash-involved drivers was 9 points higher at night than during the day; the converse was true for level roads.

#### Mature Drivers

On straight sections of road, proportions of mature driver crashes were lower at night than during the day (average difference: 4 points); conversely, on curved sections of road, proportions of crash-involved mature drivers were higher at night (average difference: 4 points).

Information on road gradient was available for Australian Fatal data and for the USA. There was no consistency in the pattern of day/night related to whether the road was level or sloping.

## Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

For crashes on curves, day/night differences were in the same direction for young and mature drivers, and for both the Australian and USA casualty databases, differences were of similar magnitude. However, the Australian Fatals data showed a clear age difference: the night-time increase in fatal crashes on curves was 11 points higher for young drivers than for mature drivers.

For crashes on sloping sections of road, the Australian Fatals data again was different from the other databases. For young drivers, fatal crashes on slopes were 9 points higher at night, whereas for mature drivers, such crashes were 7 points lower at night.

## Road surface (sealed)

No substantial day/night differences in proportional involvement for either young or mature drivers were found for this variable.

#### Traffic controls

#### Young Drivers

For crashes at traffic lights there was no consistent day/night difference. At stop or give way signs, proportions of young drivers generally were all either the same or lower at night compared to the day (average difference: 4 points). Contrary to this, for

crashes where no controls were present, proportions of young drivers were generally higher at night (average difference: 3 points).

#### Mature Drivers

For crashes at traffic lights there was no consistent day/night difference. At stop or give way signs, proportions of mature drivers were lower at night than during the day (average difference: 4 points). For crashes where no controls were present, proportions of mature drivers were generally higher at night (average difference: 5 points).

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

For crashes at traffic lights, stop signs and give way signs, there were no differences between age groups. However, for crashes with no controls present, there was a somewhat larger night-time increase for mature drivers than for young drivers.

#### 2.4.4 What were the factors within the vehicle - driver

# Sex of driver

#### Young Drivers

For young males, proportions were higher at night than during the day (average difference: 12 points). Young female proportions were lower at night by the same amount. This pattern was consistent across all databases.

#### Mature Drivers

Proportional crash involvement for mature male drivers was consistently higher at night (average difference: 14 points). Proportions for mature female drivers were lower at night.

#### Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The same pattern of day/night variation was evident across age groups. The magnitude of the night-time increase for males was marginally higher for mature drivers.

#### Highest BAC in crash, Alcohol involvement

Data on the highest BAC levels in the crash were available for the NSW database only. In the USA databases, crashes were coded as being either 'alcohol involved' or 'not alcohol involved', with no reference to BAC levels. Such a variable was not present in the other Australian databases.

#### Young Drivers

In NSW, the proportion of young drivers in zero BAC crashes was 27 points higher during the day than at night. Conversely, the proportion of young drivers in crashes in which BACs were above 0.05, was 25 points higher at night.

Proportions of young USA drivers in alcohol-involved crashes were higher at night than during the day (average difference: 18.5 points), with the converse being true for non-alcohol-involved crashes.

#### Mature Drivers

The proportion of mature NSW drivers in zero BAC crashes was 28 points higher in the daytime. For crashes in which the highest BAC was above 0.05, the proportion of mature drivers involved was 26 points higher at night.

Proportions of mature USA drivers in 'alcohol involved' crashes were higher at night (average difference: 22 points), than during the day.

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

For the NSW data on proportions of drivers in zero-BAC crashes, pattern of day/night differences was the same for the two age groups.

For the USA data on alcohol involvement, the night-time increase in 'alcohol-involved' crashes was a little greater for mature drivers.

# Driver BAC

Data were available for all databases other than NSW (because of the way the data were extracted from the original databases).

#### Young Drivers

Proportions of crash-involved young Australian drivers with BACs above 0.05 were much higher at night than during the day, particularly in fatal crashes (Victoria: 25 points; SA: 30 points; Fatals: 39 points). Night-time increases for young USA drivers in the 'alcohol involved' category were much smaller than for their Australian counterparts (average difference: 15 points).

#### Mature Drivers

Proportions of crash-involved mature Australian drivers with BACs above 0.05 were again much higher at night than during the day, particularly in fatal crashes (Victoria: 29 points; SA: 30 points; Fatals: 43 points). Night-time increases for mature USA drivers in the 'alcohol involved' category were much smaller than for their Australian counterparts (average difference: 16 points).

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The same patterns of day/night variation were apparent in both age groups: alcohol involvement was clearly much higher at night. In Australia, this night-time increase was a little greater for mature drivers.

Both for younger and mature drivers, the night-time increase was much greater in Australia; this national difference was more marked for the mature drivers.

#### Restraint use

This information was available only for NSW, Victorian and Australian Fatals databases.

# Young Drivers

The only major day/night difference was for fatal crashes: for crashes in which seatbelts were not worn, proportion of young drivers was 15 points higher at night than during the day. The same trend was present, but much weaker, for the NSW and Victorian data

#### Mature Drivers

Again, the only major difference was for fatal crashes. Proportion of mature drivers in fatal crashes in which seatbelts were not worn was 11 points higher at night than during the day.

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The same pattern was apparent for both age groups: the non-wearing of seatbelts was more common in night-time than daytime fatal crashes. The pattern was a little stronger for younger drivers.

#### Licence status

This information was available for all the Australian databases.

For young drivers, day/night difference were very small, but consistent across databases. Proportions of both provisional/probationary and non-licensed drivers were slightly higher at night.

For mature drivers, the same magnitudes of day/night differences and pattern of variation over licence status occurred as for younger drivers, except that the very low frequencies of provisional/probationary licences meant that differences were non existent for this licence category.

# Years of driving experience

Only the Australian databases provided this information.

# Young Drivers

No substantial or consistent day/night differences were found related to years of driving experience within the age group. The largest difference was for fatal crashes, where the proportion of drivers with less than one year of experience was 6 points higher at night than during the day.

#### Mature Drivers

No consistent day/night differences in proportional involvement for mature drivers were found for this variable, except that for SA, the proportion of drivers with six or more years since licensing was 6 points lower at night.

Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

There was no consistent pattern of differences.

#### State of licence issue

No substantial day/night differences in involvement for either young or mature drivers were found for this variable.

# Number of occupants

This variable was present in all databases except Victoria and SA.

#### Young Drivers

There was a very consistent trend for smaller night-time proportions of crashes with only one vehicle occupant (the driver), relative to daytime proportions (average difference: 10 points). For crashes with two, three or more vehicle occupants, proportions of young drivers were consistently higher at night than in the daytime.

#### Mature Drivers

Night-time proportions of crashes with only one vehicle occupant were lower at night for five out of the six databases, but the average difference was small (2 points). For vehicles with two occupants, proportions were consistently higher at night (average difference: 3 points). However, for three or more occupants there was little day/night difference.

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

Young drivers' night-time decrease in the proportion of crashes with only one vehicle occupant was much larger than for mature drivers. Also, the proportions of young drivers with three or more vehicle occupants were consistently higher at night; this pattern did not occur for mature drivers.

# 2.4.5 What were the vehicle factors?

# Vehicle speed

Information on this variable was available only for NSW and the Australian Fatals database.

# Young Drivers

For young drivers involved in crashes at speeds below 60 kph, proportions were 6 points lower at night than during the day. Conversely, for crashes with speeds above 60 kph the proportions were 6 points higher at night. These figures applied for both the NSW and the Fatals databases.

#### Mature Drivers

For mature NSW drivers involved in crashes at speeds below 60 kph, proportions were 5 points lower at night than during the day. Conversely, for crashes with speeds above 60 kph the proportions were 5 points higher at night. Differences in fatal crashes were similar but of a smaller magnitude (2 points).

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

Patterns were very similar for both age groups, except that the night-time increase for young drivers fatal crashes with a vehicle speeds greater than 60 kph was 4 points larger than the night-time increase for mature drivers.

#### Speed category

This variable only appears in the Australian Fatals database.

#### Young Drivers

For young drivers categorised as 'definitely travelling over the speed limit' prior to the impact, the night-time proportion was 16 points higher than the daytime. Similarly, for those categorised as 'possibly/definitely over limit', or 'within limit but excessive for conditions', the night-time proportion was 21 points higher than during the daytime.

Conversely, for young drivers involved in crashes in which vehicle speed was unlikely to have been over the limit, the night-time proportion was 21 points lower than the daytime.

#### Mature Drivers

For mature drivers who were 'definitely travelling over the speed limit' prior to the impact, the night-time proportion was 9 points greater than the daytime. Likewise, for those mature drivers who were 'possibly/definitely over limit' or 'within limit but excessive for conditions', the night-time proportion was 21 points above the daytime.

For mature drivers involved in crashes in which vehicle speed was unlikely to have been over the limit, the night-time proportion was 19 points below the daytime.

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The day/night variation in this variable is similar for the two age groups: proportions over the speed limit are clearly higher at night. The only difference is in the

magnitude of the night-time increase for the category 'definitely over limit', where the increase was 7 points larger for young drivers than for mature drivers.

### Year of vehicle manufacture

Young Drivers

For crash-involved young drivers of vehicles older than 13 or 14 years, night-time proportions in seven of the eight databases were higher than (or equal to) those during the day (average difference: 3 points). For drivers of the newest cars, proportions were slightly but uniformly lower at night (average difference: 2 points).

Mature Drivers

Proportions of mature drivers of the oldest category of vehicle were higher at night in seven of the eight databases (average difference: 2 points). Proportions of mature drivers of the newest cars were generally lower at night (average difference: 2 points).

Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

There were no day/night differences between young and mature drivers in relation to vehicle age.

#### 2.4.6 What were the environmental conditions?

#### View of road

There was no consistent day/night differences in proportional involvement related to view of road.

her

This variable was present for all except the Australian Fatals database. For the three Australian databases, proportions when the surface was 'other than dry' were 3-4 points higher at night for young drivers and 2-5 points for mature drivers. Differences were smaller and there was no consistent pattern for the USA databases.

#### Weather

Young Drivers

Proportions of young driver crashes when the weather was 'other than clear' were slightly but consistently higher at night (for six of the seven databases - average difference: 2 points).

Mature Drivers

There was no consistent pattern of day/night difference.

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

Proportions of young driver crashes at night were slightly higher when the weather was not clear; this was not the case for mature drivers.

#### 2.4.7 What other factors surrounded the crash?

# Manoeuvre of unit

This variable was present in all except the Victorian and Australian Fatals databases.

Young Drivers

Proportions of young drivers involved in crashes whilst 'moving along the roadway' are consistently higher at night than during the day (average difference: 5 points).

Conversely, night-time proportions of young drivers in crashes when turning/reversing, or when stationary, were consistently lower at night (average differences: both 3 points).

#### Mature Drivers

Proportions of mature drivers involved in crashes whilst 'moving along the roadway' were consistently higher at night (average difference: 9 points).

Conversely, night-time proportions of mature drivers in crashes when turning/reversing, or when stationary, were consistently lower at night (average differences: 2 and 4 points, respectively).

#### Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The night-time over-involvement in crashes whilst 'moving along the roadway' was a little higher for mature than for young drivers, and the night-time decrease in 'stationary' crashes was also greater.

#### Road User Movement/Definitions for Classifying Accidents (DCAs)

This variable was present in the NSW, Victorian and Australian Fatals databases.

# Young Drivers

Results from the Fatals database showed that proportions of young drivers in pedestrian accidents, crashes with vehicles from adjacent directions and head-on collisions were all smaller at night than during the day. For NSW and Victoria, night-time proportions were also smaller for rear-end crashes involving young drivers, as they were for all types of 'same direction' crashes.

From among the remaining categories listed for this variable, three of them consistently indicated higher night-time as compared to daytime proportions, and these were all related to 'off path' crashes: 'off path on straight'; 'off path,

curve/turning', 'off road into object' (average differences: 8, 9, and 15 points, respectively).

#### Mature Drivers

Results for the Fatals indicate that mature driver involvement in crashes with vehicles from adjacent directions, as well as with vehicles from opposing directions, were proportionally greater during the day. For NSW and Victoria, daytime proportions were also greater for rear-end crashes involving mature drivers.

Conversely, off-path crashes involving mature drivers resulted in higher night-time proportions: 'off path, on straight' (6 points); 'off path, curve/turning' (6 points); off road into object (10 points).

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The overall pattern across age groups is for higher night-time proportions of cars running off the road. These night-time increases are greater for young drivers by 2 points to 5 points, depending on the particular category.

#### Impact type

# Young Drivers

For young drivers involved in vehicle/vehicle crashes, night-time proportions were consistently lower than daytime proportions (average difference: 15 points).

Proportions of young drivers involved in crashes categorised as 'vehicle/other' (Australian databases only) were higher at night than during the day (average difference: 15 points). The sub-category 'collision with object' appeared to account for the major portion of these vehicle/other crashes (average difference: 15 points).

For young drivers in 'non-collision' accidents, proportions were marginally higher at night (average difference: 2 points).

#### Mature Drivers

For mature drivers involved in vehicle/vehicle crashes, night-time proportions were consistently lower than daytime proportions (average difference: 15 points).

For vehicle/other crashes (Australia only), night-time proportions for mature drivers were higher than daytime (average difference: 12 points). As for young drivers, the sub-category 'collision with object' appeared to account for the major portion of these vehicle/other crashes (average difference: 10 points).

For mature drivers (USA and Australian Fatals) in 'non-collision' crashes, proportions were marginally higher at night (average difference: 2 points).

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

The night-time decrease in proportion of vehicle/vehicle crashes was the same for young and mature drivers. For the Australian vehicle/other categories of crash, night-time proportions were higher in both age groups, but more so (by 3 points) for young drivers. Drivers involved in the USA and Australian Fatal 'non-collision' crashes also increased at night for both groups, but only marginally.

#### Object hit

This variable was present in the NSW, SA and USA databases.

# Young Drivers

For young drivers involved in crashes with fixed objects (easily the largest category for this variable), proportions were consistently higher than during the day (average difference: 15 points).

#### Mature Drivers

For mature drivers involved in crashes with fixed objects, proportions were also consistently higher than during the day (average difference: 12 points).

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

A higher night-time incidence of collisions with fixed objects was evident for both young and mature drivers; the night-time increase was slightly less (by 3 points) for the mature drivers.

#### Vehicle role

This variable was only present in the USA databases.

#### Young Drivers

Proportions of young drivers involved in multiple vehicle crashes were generally lower at night than during the day, particularly when the young driver controlled the 'striking vehicle' (average difference: 10 points), rather than the vehicle 'struck' (average difference: 4 points).

In the 'single vehicle - striking' category, proportions of young drivers were consistently higher at night than during the day (average difference: 15 points).

#### Mature Drivers

Proportions of mature drivers involved in multiple vehicle crashes were lower at night than during the day, particularly when they controlled the 'struck' vehicle (average difference: 9 points), rather than the 'striking vehicle' (average difference: 5 points).

In the 'single vehicle - striking' category, proportions of mature drivers were consistently higher at night than during the day (average difference: 17 points).

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

A lower night-time incidence of multiple-vehicle collisions (two or more) was evident for both young and mature drivers; the night-time decrease was greater for young drivers when they drove the striking vehicle, and greater for mature drivers when they drove the vehicle struck.

For both age groups there was a higher proportion of 'single-vehicle - striking' crashes at night; the night-time increase was a little (2 points) larger for the mature drivers.

# Major factor/error of driver

This variable was present in the NSW, SA and Australian Fatals databases. However, the various factor/error categories did not apply consistently to all three databases.

# Young Drivers

Proportion of crashes in which 'loss of control' was identified by attending police as a factor was 7 points higher at night for young NSW drivers; 'inattention' among young SA drivers was 11 points higher at night; the proportion for 'driving under the influence' in the Australian Fatals data was 35 points higher at night. In the Fatals data, 'failure to observe person/vehicle' was 10 points lower at night.

#### Mature Drivers

Proportion of crashes in which 'inattention' was identified as a factor was 10 points higher at night for mature SA drivers. In the Australian Fatals data, 'driving under the influence' was 40 points higher at night, and 'failure to observe person/vehicle' was 8 points lower at night.

# Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

Young and mature driver groups were similar in their much higher relative levels of 'driving under the influence' in fatal crashes at night; the night-time increase was 5 points less for young drivers. The Fatals error category 'failure to observe person/vehicle' was lower at night for both groups; the night-time decrease was 2 points more for young drivers.

For the SA data, young and mature drivers both had similar higher night-time proportions of crashes in which 'inattention' was a factor.

Finally, for both age groups in the NSW data, 'loss of control' was a factor in more night-time crashes compared to daytime; the increase was 7 points for young drivers and 4 points for mature drivers.

#### Legal action

This variable was present in the NSW and USA databases.

# Young Drivers

Proportions of young drivers against whom legal action was taken, following involvement in a crash, were consistently higher at night than during the day (average difference: 5 points). This was particularly so for the category PCA (Proscribed Concentration of Alcohol) for which the proportion was 15 points higher at night.

#### Mature Drivers

In the NSW and four USA databases, night-time proportions for mature drivers against whom legal action was taken following a crash, were consistently higher than daytime (average difference: 8 points), particularly for the PCA category which increased by 14 points at night.

#### Age-related Differences in Day/Night Variation

For both young and mature drivers, legal action ensued for a higher proportion of night-time crashes; the overall night-time increase was 3 points higher for mature than young drivers, and the PCA increase was 1 point lower for mature than young drivers.

# 3. SUMMARY, DISCUSSION AND OVERVIEW OF MAIN FINDINGS

In this section, the patterns described in Section 2 above are summarised, and then discussed in the context of findings from the two literature reviews previously completed for this project, the first of which focussed on crash literature (Macdonald, 1994a) and the second on driver performance (Macdonald, 1994b).

#### 3.1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM THE OVERVIEW ANALYSES

Major results from the overview analyses are presented below, grouped within the following main categories of crash variables: type and location of crash (the largest category); vehicle speed; control of vehicle; inattention, failure to observe; responsibility for crash; weather, dry/other road surface; alcohol involvement; legal aspects; seatbelt wearing; vehicle driven; numbers of people/injuries/deaths in vehicle/crash; time of crash.

Results related to young versus mature drivers and day versus night are presented together within each category.

# 3.1.1 Type and location of crash

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for the following types of crashes:

• on curves and on slopes, as opposed to straight and level road sections, particularly at night

Proportions of drivers involved in crashes on curves rather than on straight road sections were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers; the difference was a little greater for young drivers.

For the Australian Fatals database, proportion of drivers in crashes on slopes was considerably higher at night than during the day for young drivers, but for mature drivers the proportion on slopes was considerably lower at night. The only other databases having this variable were the USA ones, where no trend in either direction was apparent.

off the road, or on the road shoulder

Proportions of drivers in 'off road/shoulder' crashes were much higher at night, for young and mature drivers equally.

- off path, on straight particularly at night
- off path, on curve or turning particularly at night

Proportions of drivers in 'off path on straight' and 'off path, curve/turning' crashes were higher at night for both young and mature drivers; the relative increase was a little greater for young drivers.

 crashes in which the impact was with an object other than another vehicle particularly at night

Proportions of drivers involved in these crashes were always considerably higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers; the night-time increase was considerably greater for young Australian drivers, but for USA drivers the night-time increase was a little greater for the mature drivers.

The broader category of 'single vehicle crashes' (not confined to collisions) also showed higher proportions of night-time relative to daytime drivers involved, in this case for young and mature drivers fairly equally.

• in vehicle/vehicle crashes when the young driver was in the striking vehicle, rather than the struck - but only in daytime (USA data only)

Proportions of drivers in vehicle/vehicle collisions (two or more vehicles) were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers; the night-time decrease was greater for young drivers when they drove the striking vehicle, and greater for mature drivers when they drove the vehicle struck.

- in urban rather than rural areas, and in 60 kph speed zones, for both day and night-time fatal crashes (Australian Fatals database only not in other databases)
- where there were no controls, as opposed to where there were traffic lights, stop/give way signs or other forms of control

Proportions of drivers who were crash-involved at stop or give way signs tended to be lower at night than during the day, equally for young and mature drivers

- when the vehicle was 'moving along roadway' as opposed to turning, reversing or stationary
- mature drivers showed a greater night-time increase than young drivers

Proportions of drivers who were crash-involved while turning/reversing or stationary, were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers.

- left turns, USA daytime only
- pedestrian daytime Australian Fatals only

The converse of young drivers' relatively higher proportions of the 'single vehicle' types of crash were their relatively lower proportions of vehicle/vehicle collisions, including right-angle, adjacent directions, rear-end, and head-on.

Proportions of drivers in vehicle/vehicle crashes were generally lower at night than during the day - again, the converse of the pattern for single vehicle crashes.

As would be expected in view of this pattern of day/night variation in vehicle/vehicle crashes, proportions of drivers at most types of intersection crashes were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers, and proportions of non-intersection crashes were higher at night.

# 3.1.2 Vehicle speed (data only from NSW and Australian Fatals databases)

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for the following types of crash:

- speeds greater than 60 kph, particularly at night
- 'definitely over the speed limit', particularly at night; the increase in night-time proportions was greater for young than for mature drivers
- combination of all 'speeding' categories: 'possibly over limit', 'definitely over limit' and 'within limit but excessive for conditions'

Proportions were much higher at night than during the day, equally for young and mature drivers

• 'excessive speed' identified as a causal factor, mainly day (Australian Fatals)

#### 3.1.3 Control of vehicle

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- 'loss of control' identified as a causal factor, particularly at night
- the night-time increase was greater for young drivers (data only for NSW)

#### 3.1.4 Inattention, failure to observe

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

• crashes when driver 'inattention' was judged to be a factor, both day and night (SA database only)

Proportions of 'inattentive' drivers were higher at night than during the day, for young and mature drivers equally.

Proportions of drivers coded with 'failure to observe person/vehicle' recorded as a causal factor were lower at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers (Australian Fatals database only).

#### 3.1.5 Responsibility for crash

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for crashes where:

• driver designated as responsible for the crash (Australian Fatals database only), both day and night

Proportions of 'responsible' drivers were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers, but more so for young drivers.

# 3.1.6 Weather, dry/other road surface

Proportions of young drivers were lower than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers:

- when weather conditions were wet or non-clear daytime only
- for young drivers only, proportions were higher at night than during the day

Proportions of both young and mature Australian drivers were higher at night than during the day when the road surface was wet; this pattern did not occur in the USA databases

#### 3.1.7 Alcohol involvement

Proportions of young drivers were lower than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- drivers in 'alcohol-involved' crashes at night USA data only; proportions of drivers in alcohol-involved crashes were higher at night than during the day, equally for both young and mature drivers
- driving under the influence at night (Australian Fatals only)

Drivers apportioned to crashes where 'driving under the influence' was a factor were much higher at night than during the day: a little more so for mature than young drivers

Proportions of drivers with a BAC above 0.05 were much higher at night, particularly in fatal crashes: a little more so for mature than young drivers

Proportions of drivers with zero BAC were much lower at night, equally for young and mature drivers (NSW data only)

Proportions of drivers involved in crashes where the highest BAC was above 0.05 were much higher at night, equally for young and mature drivers (NSW data only)

#### 3.1.8 Legal aspects

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for crashes where:

• legal action taken against driver, or driver charged with violation, particularly during the day (NSW, USA data only).

Proportions of drivers involved in crashes where legal action taken against a driver were higher at night than during the day, particularly for mature drivers.

• driver charged with 'negligent driving' (NSW data only)

Proportions of non-licensed drivers (no USA data) were slightly but consistently higher at night, equally for young and mature drivers.

Proportions of provisional/probationary licensed drivers (no USA data - young drivers only) were a little higher at night.

Proportions of drivers charged with 'proscribed alcohol content' were higher at night, equally for young and mature drivers (NSW data only).

#### 3.1.9 Seatbelt wearing

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

• driver not wearing a seatbelt - night-time (found only in Australian Fatals data (no USA data; trend not present in other two databases)

Proportions of drivers not wearing seatbelts were higher at night than during the day, particularly for young drivers and particularly in fatal crashes.

#### 3.1.10 Vehicle driven

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- cars aged 9 to 13 years (8 to 12 years for Fatals and the USA databases)
- cars aged 14+ years (13+ years for Fatals and USA databases) trend much stronger in the Australian data

Proportions of drivers with cars in the oldest category (14+ or 13+ years) were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers.

# Proportions of young drivers were lower than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

 cars in the newest category (<1 to 3 years - Australia, or <1 to 2 years - Fatals and USA)

Proportions of drivers with cars in the newest category were *lower* at night than during the day, for both age groups but marginally more so for young drivers

# 3.1.11 Numbers of people/injuries/deaths in vehicle/crash

Data on these variables are recorded in a wide variety of forms, in different combinations for different databases. They include numbers of:

- vehicle occupants
- · people involved in crash
- people injured/killed in vehicle
- people injured/killed in crash.

Not surprisingly, many differences were found between different age groups and between daytime and night-time. For example:

- proportions of drivers involved in daytime crashes with four or more people involved were higher for mature drivers than for young ones
- proportions of drivers involved in daytime crashes with two or more people injured did not vary with age group
- proportions of drivers involved in night-time crashes with two or more people injured were higher for young than mature drivers
- proportions of crashes with driver plus two or more passengers in the vehicle were higher for young drivers than mature ones, particularly at night.

Day/night differences in numbers killed and injured generally reflect the differences in types of crash, as reported above. The full set of such results was presented in the previous section and will not be discussed further, since a major determinant of the observed differences is likely to be the varying patterns of exposure of different age groups at different times of the day and night. In very general terms, young driver crashes involve more people, and result in higher levels of injury, than those of mature drivers.

# 3.1.12 Times of crash

As for numbers of vehicle occupants, people injured, etc, the temporal pattern of road crashes probably reflects to a large degree the different temporal patterns of exposure.

Proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers for:

- · weekend crashes
- during night-time darkness (night = 6 pm-6 am)
- for weekend crashes: noon-6 pm, and most strongly, midnight-6 am
- for weekday crashes: in the midnight-6 am time period

Proportion of drivers in weekend crashes was higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers, slightly more so for the young.

#### 3.2 DISCUSSION AND OVERVIEW

# 3.2.1 Conceptual basis

According to the conceptual framework which forms the basis for the present research program (Macdonald, 1993a, Figure 1), young driver crash risk is determined by two major sets of factors. The first set of factors determine driving performance and its inherent 'riskiness' - how a driver drives. Skill and motivational factors are both important determinants of how drivers perform - what they do, and how well they do it.

The second set of factors determine when, where, how far and in what circumstances a driver is on the road and therefore exposed to risk. These factors include a wide range of factors related to drivers' socio-economic and personal characteristics, social factors, the physical characteristics of the road traffic and broader transport systems.

Analysis of mass crash databases is not a very useful means of investigating the operation of the second set of factors related to driver exposure. However, information from such databases can help to further our understanding of some aspects of driver performance as they relate to crash occurrence.

In the present analyses, focus has been on differences between crashes of young and mature drivers, and subsidiary to this, differences between daytime and night-time crashes. The project framework identified day/night variation as a factor likely to interact with many other factors in their influence on crash risk (see Macdonald, 1993b), and a decision was made to investigate possible reasons for the documented differences in crash risk between day and night as they relate particularly to young drivers. The present report has explored this issue in detail, within the bounds of information available from mass databases.

#### 3.2.2 Discussion of results

In interpreting results of the present analyses, it must be remembered that their basis is the information reported by police following the crash. Accordingly, it is biased towards information which is either directly observable, or able to be reported by those involved in the crash with a reasonable degree of reliability. Mass databases of crash information are of limited value as a source of information on crash causation, since crashes almost invariably have several, interacting causal factors associated with

them, and some (probably most) of the causal factors are unlikely to be either observable or reliably reported.

In the present study, another problem in interpreting observed patterns in such data is that a major determinant is likely to be the varying patterns of exposure of different age groups at different times of the day and night. Information on actual exposure patterns is needed, to determine the extent to which this is reflected in the pattern of crashes, and whether there appears to be variation in the riskiness of driving performance associated with varying numbers of vehicle occupants, for example, for different age groups at different times of the day.

Exposure patterns are likely to be a stronger determinant of some types of crash data than others. As noted already, patterns of results in the information categories 'numbers of people/injuries/deaths in vehicle/crash', and 'time of crash' are particularly likely to reflect exposure patterns.

For example, it was found that proportions of young drivers were higher than the corresponding proportions of mature drivers in crashes involving the oldest cars. The obvious explanation is exposure - young drivers generally are more likely to own older cars. But it was also found that proportions of crashes involving the oldest cars were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers. Does this imply that there is a socio-economically different group of mature drivers (with a higher crash risk) on the road at night? And/or is there a greater crash risk associated with driving old cars at night? Similar questions arise in relation to the slightly lower night-time proportions of young drivers in the newest cars: is this because young drivers who own such cars are less likely to drive at night, or does driving a new car itself reduce crash risk?

In the case of seatbelt wearing, young drivers in fatal crashes at night were less likely to be wearing a belt, and proportions of drivers not wearing seatbelts were higher at night than during the day, particularly for young drivers and particularly in fatal crashes. The fact that this pattern occurred only for the Fatals database probably reflects the fact that two crashes in which impact forces are similar, but in one of which seatbelts are not worn, are likely to have different outcomes: a crash with an unbelted driver is more likely to enter the Fatals database. However, it also appears that wearing rates are lower at night; whether this is because people behave differently at night, or because different people drive at night, is unclear.

Evidence of a slightly different night-time population is provided by results in the Legal Aspects category: for Australia, proportions of crash-involved non-licensed drivers were slightly but consistently higher at night, equally for young and mature drivers. An alternative explanation might be that levels of police enforcement are higher at night.

In the case of alcohol involvement, both factors are undoubtedly at work. That is, both proportions of drivers with proscribed BAC levels, and levels of police action to detect such drivers, are known to be higher at night. Accordingly, proportions of drivers charged with 'proscribed alcohol content' were higher at night. The same patterns of day/night variation were apparent in both age groups: alcohol involvement was much higher at night. In Australia, this night-time increase was a little greater for

mature drivers. Interestingly, the night-time increase was much greater in Australia for both younger and mature drivers; this national difference was more marked for the mature drivers. It is unclear to what extent this might reflect different enforcement levels, and to what extent it reflects different drink-driving patterns in the two countries. In the present context it is noteworthy that alcohol is a greater factor in mature driver crashes.

The most outstanding feature of the results emerged from the information on type and location of the crash. Proportions of young drivers were higher than those of mature drivers for all types of single vehicle crashes, most of which involved running off the road and/or hitting an object. They were more likely to crash on curves and slopes.

Proportions of these types of crash were much higher at night for all drivers. For the Australian databases there was a small but consistent tendency for the young driver night-time increase to be greater than that of the mature driver night-time increase. However, for the USA databases the night-time increases were a little greater for mature drivers. It seems likely that this national difference may be related to the similar difference in alcohol patterns noted above.

Consistent with this pattern, young drivers were more likely to be travelling above the speed limit or at excessive speed, and more likely to be in a crash where 'loss of control' was identified as a causal factor; such circumstances were more likely at night.

In view of the above pattern, it is interesting that proportions of young driver crashes in the Australian Fatals database were higher than those of mature drivers in urban rather than rural areas and in 60 kph speed zones. Perhaps this simply reflects different exposure patterns for the two age groups.

When weather conditions were wet or otherwise 'non-clear', proportions of young driver daytime crashes were lower than those of mature drivers. However, proportions of these crashes were higher at night for young drivers, whereas for mature drivers there was no day/night difference. When the road surface was non-dry, proportions of crashes were higher at night for both age groups; this day/night difference was not present in the USA data.

Two categories of data which have a more subjective basis than the others discussed, are 'Responsibility for Crash' and 'Inattention, Failure to Observe'. In both cases, proportions of young drivers tended to be higher than those of mature drivers, although each finding was confined to only one database ('Responsibility': Australian Fatals; 'Inattention': SA; Failure to Observe: Australian Fatals). Proportions for all of these were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers; the night-time increase in 'Responsibility' was higher for young drivers than for mature ones, perhaps due to their higher incidence of night-time single vehicle crashes. The USA databases recorded information about which vehicle was the 'striking' one and which was 'struck'. Consistent with the pattern for 'Responsibility' in the Australian Fatals data, young USA drivers were more likely to be in the 'striking' vehicle than were mature drivers.

#### 3.2.3 Overview

The aim of the present analyses has not been to determine levels of crash risk; rather, it has been to investigate differences in recorded crash characteristics between young and mature drivers, and between daytime and night-time. The purpose has been to gain insight into differences between these groups in terms of possible causes of the crashes. Do young driver and mature driver crashes have the same set of causes? Do daytime and night-time crashes have the same set of causes? These were the questions of greatest interest.

The present report complements a previous review of published information on young driver crashes (Macdonald, 1993a, p.45). The review found that:

" the youngest and most inexperienced drivers (usually late teenagers) are involved in a greater proportion of single vehicle crashes than older drivers, particularly young male drivers at night. Such single-vehicle crashes typically involve running off the road, often due to excessive speed or 'reckless driving', and are more common in rural areas."

Very young drivers appear to be involved in crashes due to poor vehicle control skills more often than in the case of mature drivers, and are more often responsible for causing the crash. "(The oldest drivers are also 'at fault' in a high proportion of their crashes)" (Macdonald, 1993a, p.45).

The present analyses generally confirmed this pattern. The only things mentioned above that were not found in the present analyses were the male/female difference (the present analyses did not investigate male/female differences), and the higher incidence of single-vehicle crashes in rural areas (this also was not investigated).

The present analyses provided much more information on day/night differences; overall, the finding was that day/night differences are often large, and in most cases are the same or similar for young and mature drivers.

Macdonald (1993a, p.45) also found that:

"Other crash types in which some studies have found young drivers to be overrepresented are head on, rear-end where the young driver was in the rear vehicle (Catchpole, Cairney and Macdonald, in press) and overtaking. However, there is conflicting evidence on the latter crash type: some studies have specifically noted that young drivers were *not* over-represented in crashes due to 'following too closely'." (emphasis in original)

The present analyses did not find young driver over-representation in the above types of crash. However, in this study proportions were calculated within each age group across different types of crash, and the higher proportions of young drivers' single-vehicle crashes were the dominant factor. It may be that, if proportions were calculated within a narrower set of crash types, such as vehicle-vehicle crashes, a different pattern of age-related differences would emerged.

# Macdonald (1993a, p.45) concluded that:

"Inattention or failure to anticipate was identified as a problem in the older group of young drivers (early 20s), especially males; this problem appears to be associated with perceptual and cognitive errors. Drivers in this age group also tend to have a high rate of alcohol involvement."

The present analyses did not sub-divide young drivers in this way, so these conclusions are not directly comparable with the present ones. The higher proportions of 'Inattention' and 'Failure to Observe' found for young drivers are consistent with the earlier conclusion. However, changes in alcohol involvement with age were found by Macdonald (1993a) to be greatest for drivers in the 21-29 years range, which straddles the present two age groupings. The two studies agree on the relatively lower involvement of young drivers; there is some uncertainty, and no doubt considerable variation between different places in accord with sociological differences, as to which age groups display the highest alcohol involvement. Difference between the Australian and USA involvement patterns within the present study was noted.

It can be seen from the above that in general, the characteristics which distinguish young driver crashes from those of mature drivers are clear. Much less clear are the underlying reasons for young drivers' high crash risk, in terms such as inadequately developed overall skill level, particular types of skill deficit, or specifically 'youthful' motives.

The higher incidence among young drivers of single-vehicle crashes, often entailing a loss of control, may suggest inadequate vehicle control skills. Young drivers' less developed vehicle control skills are well documented in the driver performance literature (Macdonald, 1993b). However, another significant factor might be agerelated differences in the way in which drivers perceive hazards and associated risks in relation to their own perceived capacity to cope with these hazards. Again, there is clear documentation in the literature that inexperienced drivers have poorer hazard perception abilities than drivers with greater experience. Perhaps young drivers are poorer than mature drivers at perceiving and interpreting the available perceptual information concerning the curvature and gradient of the road ahead in terms of appropriate changes to vehicle speed and position on the road. Such questions cannot be answered by reference to information on crashes.

It is frequently suggested that young drivers' higher crash risk may be due in large part to a relatively small sub-set of 'young problem drivers' who, because of their particular combination of personal and socio-economic characteristics, are likely to expose themselves to particularly high risk levels and/or to drive in a particularly risky fashion. The 'Young Problem Driver' issue was briefly reviewed by Macdonald (1993b). There is a little evidence from the present study which is relevant to this issue.

In Australia, proportions of unlicensed drivers, and proportions of drivers charged with Proscribed Alcohol Content, were both found to be higher at night than during the day, but this was equally so for young and mature driver groups. Similarly, proportions of crashes in which the car was in the oldest category were higher at night than during the day for both young and mature drivers.

These findings are suggestive of a somewhat different driver population at night. There is evidence of a correlation, at least within young driver groups, between level of exposure to risk, the 'riskiness' of driving performance itself, and personal characteristics such as socio-economic status (Macdonald, 1993b, p.33-35); it could therefore be hypothesised that the above differences between daytime and night-time driver populations indicate a probable increase in the riskiness of driving at night. Clearly, more direct evidence on this issue is needed.

The basic questions which were the focus of the present study were:

- do young driver and mature driver crashes have the same set of causes?
- do daytime and night-time crashes have the same set of causes?

Results have indicated some differences between young and mature driver crash patterns, and large differences between daytime and night-time patterns, some (but relatively few) of which are related to driver age. To pursue these questions in greater depth, there is a need for more information on the different patterns of exposure to risk of young versus mature drivers, during the day and at night. There is also a need for more information on the driving performance capacities and actual driving behaviour of drivers of different ages and different levels of skill development.

#### TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF YOUNG AND MATURE DRIVER PROPORTIONS WITHIN EACH CRASH VARIABLE FOR DAY AND NIGHT SEPARATELY

Data in each cell of the table show the direction and size of difference between proportions of young and mature drivers involved in crashes for day and night separately. A higher young driver proportion is indicated by a plus sign, and the opposite direction of difference by a minus sign. Figures in bold highlight cases where young driver crash proportions exceeded mature driver crash proportions by at least five percentage points. Crash proportions and the crash frequencies upon which these figures are based are shown in Appendices 1 to 8. Proportions were calculated over the different categories within each crash descriptor variable. The 'Fatals' column includes data for New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia.

							_		-			
		ſ	AUSTRALIA					USA REGION				
							Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average	
DESCRIPTION OF CRASH												
Severity	Fatality	Day	0	0	0		-1	+1	+1	+1	0	
•		Night	0	0	0		0	0	0	+1	0	
	Injury:	Day	0	0	0		+4	0	0	-1	0	
		Night	0	0	0		+3	0	-1	-1	0	
	incapacitating	Day					+4	-6	+2	+1	0	
	• •	Night					-8 -1	+6	-1	0	-1	
	non-incapacitating	Day					-1	+6	-3	-2	0	
		Night					+11	4	0	-1	+2	
Responsibility for crash	This driver responsible	Day				+8					+8	
		Night				+14				100	+14	
	This driver not responsible	Day				-10					-10	
		Night		171111111111111111111111111111111111111		-10		Sand State			-10	
	Pedestrian responsible	Day				0					0	
		Night				-3					-3	
Number of traffic units/vehicles	One	Day	+7	+4	+2	+11	+10	+5	+5	+7	+6	
units: NSW, SA		Night	+13	+8	+3	+6	0	+7	0	+7	+6	
vehicles: Victoria, FORS, GES	Two	Day	-3	-3	0	-10	-6	+2	-1	-2	-3	
•		Night	-8	-4	-1	-2	+2	-1	0	-5	-2	
	Three or more	Day	-4	-2	-1	-1	-4	-7	-4	-4	-3	
	Tilles of Illero	Night	-5	4	2	-3	-2	-6	-4	2	-3	
		suffer [	70		-			-				

d	٠.
ď	7

		1		AUSTR	ALIA			USA REC	SION		Row
			NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
DESCRIPTION OF CRASH CONT											
Number of persons in crash	One	Day				-3	+7	+4	+2	+1 +2	+2
	Two	Night Day Night				-4 +10 -1	-4 -8 0	-1	-1 -3	-1 -1	0
	Three	Day Night				+6	+3	-1 -1	+4	+1	+2
	Four or more	Day Night				-12 +3	-2 +3	-2 +1	-4 +1	-2 0	-4 +2
Number of persons injured in	None	Day	0			-3	-1	0	+1	-1	-1
crash	One	Night Day Night	0 0 -2			-5 +7 +3	+1 -3 -8	0 -2 -1	+2 -1 -3	0	0 0 -3
	Two or more	Day Night	0 +2			-4 +2	+4	+2	+1	+1	+1
Number of persons injured in	None	Day				-5	-9	-8	4	-4	-6
vehicle	One	Night Day				-5 +4	-9 +2 +1	-2 +7 -5	-6 0 -2	-6 +1 -2	-6 +3 -2
	Two or more	Night Day Night				0 +2 +6	+7	+1	+4	+2	+3 +7
Number of persons killed in	None	Day	0	0							0
crash	One or more	Night Day Night	0 +1 0	0		0					0 0
Number of persons killed in	None	Dey				0					0
vehicle	One or more	Night Day Night				-8 -1 +8					-8 -1 +8
WHEN DID THE CRASH OCCUR		***************************************									
Day of week	Weekday	Day	-6	-5	-6	-3	-5	-2	-3	0	4
1, =	Weekend	Night Day	-7 +6	+6	-10 +6	+3	+6	+2	-5 +3	0	-6 +4 +6
	·	Night		-6		-8	4	-2		-5 0 +6	

# WHEN DID THE CRASH OCCUR CONT...

Weekday

6 am - noon
Noon - 6 pm
6 pm - midnight
Midnight - 6 am

Weekend

6 am - noon
Noon - 6 pm
6 pm - midnight
Midnight - 6 am

#### WHERE DID THE CRASH OCCUR

LGA Metro
Rural

City/rural boundaries Capital city

General rural

Urban/rural boundaries Urban

<50% rural

>50% rural

Land use Residential

Rural

Type of road Two-way undivided

Divided road/dual carriageway/ GES: divided highway)

- [		AUSTR	ALIA			USA RE	SION		Row	
	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average	
Day	-7	-3	-3	+2	-3	0	-2	-6	-3 +1	
Day	-3	+3	+3	4	+3	0	+2	+6		
light	+8	-3	-5	-14	+3	-1 +2	-2 +2	4	-2 +3	
light	+2	+3	+6	+5	-3	+2	+2	14	-3	
Day	-7	-6	-6	+1	+3	-5	-9	-1	4	
ay	-5	+6	+6	+2	-2	+5	+9	+1	+3	
light	+1	-10	-17	+2	-5	-12	-5 +6	-9 +9	-7 +10	
vight	+12	+10	+17	+7	+5	+12	+0		+10	
Day	-5	-3	-3						4	
light	-5	3	-3					255	4	
Day	+5	+3	+3	-				-desired month	+4	
light	-5	+3	+3						0	
								1	100	
Day				+2	1				+2	
Night		1 1		+4	10000				+4	
Day				-6					-6 -5	
Night				-5				-	1 0	
Day					-8	-7	-3	-9	-7 -5	
Night					+3	-10	-2 +2	-3 +6	+3	
Day					+3	-1 +4	+4	0	+3	
Night					+6	+8	+1	+3	+4	
Day		-		and the same	+9	+6	-2	+3	+4	
Night				-			COLUMN COLUMN			
Day		1 1		+6					+6	
Night				+5					+5	
Day				-7					-7 -6	
Night		1		-6	1		-1100		-6	
Day	+4			-7	+1	+7	+4	+6	+3	
Night	+6	100 200 10		+2	-3	+4	-3	+6	+2	
Day				+8	-1	-5	-3	-5	-1 -1	
Night				0	-1	-3	+2	-5	-1	

46

#### WHERE DID THE CRASH OCCUR CONT...

Intersection	Intersection:
	cross intersection
	T-intersection
	Related to intersection
	Non-intersection
Relation to roadway	On road
	Off road/shoulder
Speed limit	60 kph
	100 kph
	110 kph
Road alignment	Straight
	Curved
	Level
	Slope
Road surface	Sealed

- 1		AUSTR	ALIA			USA RE	SION		Row	
-	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average	
Day	-3	-2		+1	-6		+2		-1	
Night	-6	-2		+2	+3	+2	-4	-12	-2	
Day	-3	-2	-2	-2		Service Control		*14	-2	
Night	4	-2	+1	4	CONTRACTOR OF STREET				-2	
Day	-1	-1	+2	+6	Chicago Service H	- The State of State			+2	
Night	-1	1	-1	4					-1	
					111111111111111				111278	
Day				0					0	
Night				+4					+4	
Day	+3	+2		-1	+9	0	0	+2	+2	
Night	+6	+2		-6	+3	+3	+5	+14	+4	
Day					-14	-6	-5	-6	-8	
Night		ERRESTE MANUE			-9	.0	-2	-12	-8	
Day					+13	+6	+4	+6	+7	
Vight		Promision in			+8	+8	+2	+13	+8	
Day	-1	0	-1	+6					+1	
Night	0	+1	+1	+6				MARKET STATE	+2	
Day	+1	+1	0	-3					0	
Vight	+1	-1	0	-5			A CONTRACTOR AND A	ECONOMISC	-4	
Day	0	0	+1	-2					0	
Vight	0	0	-1	-3					-1	
Day	-3	-1	-3	+7	-4	-1	-1	-3	-1	
Vight	-5	-2	-3	4	-3	-2	+2	-3	-3	
Day	+3	+1	+2	-7	+4	+1	+1	+3	+1	
light	+5	+2	+3	+4	+3	+2	-2	+3	+3	
Day				+7	-6	0	-2	-5	-1	
light				-9	. 0	-8	+1	-1	-3	
Day				-6	+3	+1	+2	+4	+1	
light				+10	0	+6	-3	0	+3	
ву	-1		-1	0					-1	
light	-1	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	-1	0	15000000		West book		-1 -1	

# WHERE DID THE CRASH OCCUR CONT...

Traffic lights Traffic controls

Stop/give way signs

Other controls

No controls

# WHAT WERE THE FACTORS WITHIN THE VEHICLE - DRIVER

Males Sex of driver

Females

Highest BAC in accident Zero BAC

<.05

>.05

Alcohol involved

Not alcohol involved

**Driver BAC** 

(SA: Forensic BAC)

<.05

>.05

Alcohol Involved

Not alcohol involved

		AUSTR	ALIA			USA REG	BION		Row	
	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average	
Day	-2	-1	-2	-2	-10	-2	-2	-5	-3	
Night	-3	-1	-3	+1	-3	0	0	-1	-1	
Day	-2	-2	-1	-2	-2	-1	+2	+3	-1	
Night	-2	-1	-2	-1	+1	+1	-3	-1	-1	
Day	0	0	0	-1	-2	+1	0	-1	0	
Night	0	0	0	+1	+2	0	-2	0	0	
Day	-	0 +2	+4	+4	+11	+1	0	+3	+4	
Night		+3	+4	-1	0	-2	+6	+2	+2	
Day	+4	+2	+4	+3	+7	+2	+4	+4	+4	
Night	+1	1	+3	+2	+3	+6	0	0	+2	
Day	4	-1 -2	-4	-3	-7	-2	4	-4	-4	
Night	4	+1	-3	-3 -2	-3	-5	0	0	-2	
Day	-1								-1	
Night	0								0	
Day	0								0	
Night	+1								+1	
Day	0								0	
Night	-2	1		1000					-2	
Day					0	0	0	0	0	
Night					-3	4 0	-5	-2	4 0	
Day					0	0	0	0	0	
Night					+3	+4	+6	+2	+4	
Day		0	-1	-2 +2	<u> </u>				-1	
Night		+4	-1	+2					+2	
Day		0	+1	+2					+1	
Night		4	+1	-2					-2	
Day					0	0	0	-1	0	
Night					-2	-4	-2	0	-2	
Day				1	0 +2	0	0	+1	0	
Night	THE REAL PROPERTY.				+2	+4	+2	0	+2	

WHAT WERE THE FACTORS WITHIN	WHAT WERE THE FACTORS WITHIN THE VEHICLE - DRIVER CONT.,								
Restraint use	Worn								
	Not worm								
Licence status (Victorian data included	Standard								
conditional licences)	Provisional/probationary								
	Non-licensed								
Vacua duistina amariana	.0-0								
Years driving experience (SA: yehicle driving experience)	< One								
	One								
	Two								
	Three								
	Four								
	Five								
	Six or more								
State of licence issue	State of accident								
	Other								

- 1		AUSTR	ALIA			USA RE	GION		Row	
	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average	
Day	+1	0		0						
Night	+1	o		-5	and the same	71000000000		MATERIAL	-1	
Day	-1	0		0		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF			0	
Night	4	ő		+6	1	110000		CALLED ST	+1	
Day	-22	-40	-18	-27					-27	
Night	-22	-42	-21	-27	Comments.			Harry Prints	-28	
Day	+19	+39	+16	+23					+24	
Night	+19	+41	+18	+25	A SECTION OF			COLUMN TO SERVICE STREET	+28	
Day	+1	+1	+1	+1					+1	
Night	+2	0	+1	-1		National Property of the Parket		MARKET	+1	
Day	+17	+9	+14	+10	1				+13	
Night	+17	+11	+12	+16				1000011000	+14	
Day	+8	+11	+10	+20					+12	
Night	+9	+11	+12	+16	0.000			Date of the last	+12	
Day	+16	+16	+9	+13					+14	
Night	+17	+17	+10	+18				1.00000000	+18	
Day	+13	+16	+6	+9					+11	
Night	+12	+16	+8	+11					+12	
Day	+10	+12	+6	+12					+10	
Night	+10	+12	+6	+7	1				+9	
Day	+8	+8	+4	+9					+7	
Night	+8	+6	+3	+8				100000	+7	
Day	-72	-48	-48	-73					-60	
Night	-72	-50	-44	-76	100%				-61	
Day	0	-1	+1						0	
Night	0	0	+1						0	
Day	0	+1	-1						0	
Night	0	0	-1						0	

			AUSTRALIA			USA REGION				Row	
			NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
WHAT WERE THE FACTORS WIT	HIN THE VEHICLE - PASSENGERS										
Number of occupants	One	Day	+1			0	-8	-1	4	-5	-3
	Two	Night Day	-7 +4			-13 +4	-10 +7	-9 +4	-13 +3	-13 +7	-11 +6
	Three or more	Night Day Night	+6 -4 +2			+7 -4 +7	+4 +1 +8	+7 -3 +2	+6 +1 +7	+7 -1 +6	+6 -2 +6
WHAT WERE THE VEHICLE FACT	rors										
Vehicle speed											
•	< 60 kph	Day Night	-4 -6			-6 -10					-5 -8
	> 60 kph	Day Night	+4			+6					+6
Speed category	11-81-1		ALLE STATE OF THE				Lucipanne				
	Unlikely over limit	Day Night				-10 -13	esteroid.	227722		4520000	-10 -13
	Definitely over limit	Day				+8					+8
	Possibly/definitely over limit/within limit but excessive for conditions	Night Day Night				+15 +14 +15					+15 +14 +15
Year of vehicle manufacture	<1-3 years (GES, FORS: <1-2 years)	Day Night	-6 -5	-8 -8	-6 -8	-12 -13	-9 -7	-11 -9	-7 -10	4	-8 -8
	4 - 8 years (GES, FORS: 3 - 7 years)	Day Night	-8	-10 -9	-12 -15	-6 -8	-1 -7	+2	3 2	-2 +3	-5 -5
	9 - 13 years (GES, FORS: 8 - 12 years)	Day Night	+4	+6	+4	+4	+6	+8	+7	+3	+6 +2
	14+ years (GES, FORS: 13+ years)	Day Night	+10	+11 +18	+15	+14	+4 -1	+3 +2	+3 +5	+2 -1	+8
WHAT WERE THE ENVIRONMEN	TAL CONDITIONS										
Natural light				1							
-	Daylight	Day Night	-2 -2	-1	-1 -5	-2 -5	+2 +2	+2 -6	+1	+1	0 -2
	Darkness	Day Night	+1	0 +3	+1	-2 +9	-2 0	+1	0	-1 +5	0

		Tr I		AUSTR	RALIA			USA RE	GION		Row
	2	1	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
WHAT WERE THE ENVIRONMEN	TAL CONDITIONS CONT										
Street lighting	On	Day	+1	0		-2	-3	+1	-1	-1	-1
		Night	0	+2		+4	-2	0	-3	-1	0
	Off	Day	-3	0		+8					+2
		Night .	-2	0		-1		100			-1
	Nii	Day	+2	0		-9					-2
		Night	+2	+1		-2					0
View of road	Open (GES: no obstruction)	Day	0				-1	0	+1	-1	0
		Night	0				-1	+1	-1	-3	-1
	Obscured (GES: obstruction)	Day	0				+1	0	-1	+1	0
		Night	0				+1	-1	+1	+3	+1
Road surface	Dry	Day	0	0	0		0	+4	-1	+1	+1
		Night	+1	+1	-1	1000	+3	0	0	-4	0
	Other	Day	0	0	0		0	-4	+1	-1	-1
		Night	-1	-1	+1		-3	0	0	+4	0
Weather	Clear (SA: not raining;	Day		0	0	+7	0	+4	0	+1	+2
	GES: no adverse conditions)	Night		+1	-1	0	+3	-1	-1	-3	0
	Other (SA: raining; GES; other)	Day		0	0	-7	0	-4	0	-1	-2
		Night		-1	+1	0	-3	0	+1	+3	0
WHAT OTHER FACTORS SURRO	OUNDED THE CRASH										
Manoeuvre of unit	Stationary:	Day	-5		-8		0	-8	-9	-5	-6
		Night	-4	1	-7	F10005 707	-3	4	-9	-2	-5
	stopped on carriageway	Day	-5		-7		0	-8	-9	-5	-6
	(GES: stopped in traffic)	Night	-4		-5	E CONTRACT	-3	4	-9	-2	-5
	Moving along roadway:	Day	+7		+7		+1	+3	+8	+3	+6
		Night	+6		+6	H-CT	-1	0	-1	+1	+2
	proceeding along lane	Day	+4		+6		-1	+2	+7	+1	+3
		Night	+3		+2	1000	-4	+1	+1	-1	0
	Turning/reversing:	Day	-1		0		+6	+2	+1	+2	+2
		Night	-2	1	+2	H-State H-	+1	+2	0	0	+1
	turning left	Day			-1		+8	+2	+3	+3	+3
	ad a la fi fa com	Night		10000	+1	11000	+2	0	-2	0	0
	right turn	Day			+1	material and	+1	0	-1	-1	0
		Night			+1		0	+2	+1	-1	+1

#### 7

#### WHAT OTHER FACTORS SURROUNDED THE CRASH CONT...

Road User Movement/ Definition for classifying accidents Pedestrian

cross traffic (Intersection)

Opposing directions:

Adjacent directions:

head on

right thru

Same direction:

rear-end

Manoeuvring

Overtaking

On path

Off path, on straight

Off path, curve/turning

Passengers/miscellaneous

Off road into object

1	1	AUSTR	ALIA			USA RE	GION		Row	
	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average	
Day	0			+6					+2	
Night	-1	1 -1		-3				-	-2	
Day	-3	-2		0					-2	
Night	4	-1		+1	Charmer and			S. W. Commission	-1	
Day	-3	-2		0					-2	
Night	-3	-1		+2	Restaura-				-1	
Day	-1	0		-9					-3	
Night	-4	-1		-8	THE PARTY			Sept.	-3	
Day	-1	-1		-8					-3	
Night	-3	-1		-8	Carrier State				-4	
Day	0	+1		-1				100	0	
Night	-1	0		0					0	
Day	-3	-3		0					-2	
Night	-5	-5		+1		MENNY E			-3	
Day	-3	-2		0				100	-2	
Night.	-5	4		+1					-3	
Day	0	0		0	1				0	
Night	0	+1		+1				-	+1	
Day	0	0	0	+1					0	
Night.	0	0	0	0					0	
Day	0	0		-1					0	
Night	0	0		-1					0	
Day	+3	+2		+3					+3	
Night	+6	+6		+2					+6	
Day	+4	+2		+2					+3	
Night	+7	+3		+7					+6	
Day	0	0		-1					0	
Night	0	0		0					0	
Day	+6	+3		+6					+5	
Night	+11	+7		+8					+9	

#### WHAT OTHER FACTORS SURROUNDED THE CRASH CONT...

Vehicle/vehicle: Impact type right angle nose/tail head on other angle Vehicle/other: pedestrian cyclist object Non-collision Object hit None Fixed Non-fixed Vehicle role Multiple vehicles - striking Multiple vehicles - struck Single vehicle - striking

S

		AUSTR	ALIA			USA REGION						
-	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average			
Day	-6	4	-5	-9	-11	-5	-5	-6	-6			
Night	-12	-7	-13	-6	+1	-7	0	-8	-6			
Day	-3		0	-	1				-2			
Night.	-4	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	4	PRINTED				1.6335	-4			
Day	-3		-6		-3	-7	-5	-6	-5 -3			
Night	-5		-8		0	-6	+1	-1	-3			
Day	-1		0		-1	+1	+1	+1	0			
Night	-3		-2	E718	4	-1	0	0	-2			
Day	0	1			-6	0	0	-1	-1			
Night	0				+1	0	+1	-5	-1			
Day	+6	+4	+5	+10					+6			
Night	+12	+6	+13	+6					+9			
Day	0	0	0	+6	-3	-2	0	+1	0			
Night	-1	-1	0	-3	-3	0	-3	-2	-2			
Day					0	-1	-1	+2	0			
Night					-5	-2	-1	-3	-3 +4			
Day	+6	+3	+3	+6				anni santana	+9			
Night	+11	+7	+10	+7			THE PERSONS	+1	+1			
Day		1		-1	+1	+2	+1	+1	+1			
Night		17,000		+1	-1	0	+2	*1	-			
Day	-6								-6 -11			
Night	-11				+13	+4	+3	+6	+5			
Day	+6	+3	+3		+13	+6	-1	+11	+8			
Night	+11	+7	+10		-3	-1	-1	0	-1			
Day	0				-3	-1	-1	-6	4			
Night	0			-	1 4		*1	-	-			
Day			25.00.000.000		+3	+6	+9	+2	+6			
Night					+2	-2	+1	0	0			
Day					-10	-9	-10	-6	-9			
Night					-2	4	-2	-7	-4			
Day					+12	+4	+6	+6	+7			
Night					+1	+8	+1	+8	+6			

						1					ii .
			NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
WHAT OTHER FACTORS SUR	ROUNDED THE CRASH CONT										
Factor/error	None	Day	-6		-11						-9
	NA 64 117	Night	-12		-14						-13
	Disobeyed traffic control	Day	-1	1	-1						-1
		Night	0		0						0
	Loss of control (police description)	Day	+4								+4
		Night	+7						30,000,000		+7
	Inattention	Day	7 7 3 - 9		+7						+7
		Night			+8						+8
	Fallure to observe person/vehicle	Day		1 1		0	ll .				0
	202000000000000	Night				-2					-2
	Failure give way right	Day			+1						+1
		Night		1 1	0						0
	DUI	Day		1 1	0	0					0
		Night			-1	-5	Daniel St.			11111111	-3
	Pedestrian/cyclist at fault	Day				+2					+2
		Night				-3				1000	-3
	Excessive speed	Day	0			+6					+3
		Night	0			+2					+1
LEGAL ACTION/VIOLATIONS											
Legal action	None	Day	-12				-11	-18	-7	-7	-11
(GES: violations)		Night	-11				-8-	-10	-6	-3	-8
•	Legal action taken:	Day	+12	1			+11	+17	+7	+7	+11
	N. 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Night	+11				+8	+10	+6	+3	+8
	negligent driving	Day	+10							Carried Carried	+10
		Night	+8				DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN				+8
	other driving offence	Day	0								0
		Night	0								0
	PCA	Day	0								0

(Proscribed Concentration of Alcohol)

**AUSTRALIA** 

**USA REGION** 

Row

#### TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF DAYTIME AND NIGHT-TIME PROPORTIONS OF DRIVERS WITHIN EACH CRASH VARIABLE FOR YOUNG AND MATURE DRIVERS SEPARATELY

Data in each cell of the table show the direction and size of difference between daytime and night-time proportions of drivers involved in crashes for young and mature drivers separately. A higher night-time proportion is indicated by a plus sign, and the opposite direction of difference by a minus sign. Figures in bold highlight cases where night exceeded day by at least five percentage points. Crash proportions and the crash frequencies upon which these figures are based are shown in Appendices 1 to 8. Proportions were calculated over the different categories within each crash descriptor variable. The 'Fatals' column includes data for New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia.

			AUSTRALIA				USA REGION				Row
			NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
DESCRIPTION OF CRASH								,			
Severity	Fatality	Mature	+2	+2	+3		-2	+2	0	+2	+1
		Young	+2	+2	+2		0	+1	0	+2	+1
	Injury:	Mature	-2	-2	-3		+3	-2	0	-2	-1
		Young	-2	-2	-2		0	-1	0	-2	-1
	admitted	Mature	+7								+7
		Young	+8								+8
	treated	Mature	-8								-8
		Young	-10	DOM: NO							-10
	serious (GES: incapacitating)	Mature		+8			+15	-3	+6	0	+5
		Young		+9		Charles and	+2	+8	+1	-2	+4
	other (GES: non-incapacitating)	Mature		-10			-12	+1	-5	-1	-5
	, , , , ,	Young		-11		10000	-1	-9	-2	-1	-5
Responsibility for crash	This driver responsible	Mature				+10					+10
-		Young				+16	100	account.		ENGINE CO.	+16
	This driver not responsible	Mature				-10					-10
	·	Young				-10				But Web-	-10
	Pedestrian responsible	Mature				0					0
		Young				-3	ERONA DE LA	distant to		Book St.	-3
Number of traffic units/vehicles	One	Mature	+11	+10	+3	+19	+23	+14	+14	+17	+14
units: NSW, SA		Young	+17	+13	+4	+13	+13	+16	+9	+18	+13
vehicles: Victoria, FORS, GES	Two	Mature	-6	-7	-3	-19	-15	-9	-4	-10	-9
		Young	-11	-8	-4	-12	-B	-12	-3	-13	-9
	Three or more	Mature	-5	-3	0	0	-7	-5	-10	-7	-5
	**************************************	Young	-6	-5	0	-1	-5	4	-6	-5	-4

	٠	۰	•
١	ŕ		ı
	۰	۰	

			AUSTRALIA			USA REGION				Row	
			NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
DESCRIPTION OF CRASH CONT											
Number of persons in crash	One	Mature				+13	+19	+12	+11	+10	+13
		Young				+12	+8	+9	+7	+11	+9
	Two	Mature				0	-6	-8	-8	-6	-6
		Young				-11	+2	-8	-10	-7%	-5
	Three	Mature				+1	-8	-4	-1	-1	-3
	_	Young				-3	-10	4	0	-2	-4
	Four or more	Mature				-13	-5	-1	-2	-3	-5
		Young		ALTERNATION OF		+3	0	+3	+3	-2	+1
Number of persons injured in	None	Mature	+1	1 1		+7	-1	+1	0	0	+1
crash		Young	+1	10000		+5	0	+1	+2	+1	+2
	One	Mature	-4			-1	+4	-1	+1	+1	0
		Young	-6			-4	-1	0	-4	-2	-2
	Two or more	Mature	+3			-6	-3	0	-1	-1	-1
		Young	+6			-1	0	-1	-1	+2	+1
Number of persons injured in	None	Mature				+4	-10	-9	-6	-5	-5
Vehicle		Young				+4	-10	-3	-9	-7	-5
	One	Mature				+1	+5	+6	+3	+2	+3
	3,10	Young				-3	+4	-6	+1	-2	-1
	Two or more	Mature				-5	+5	+2	+3	+3	+2
	, tro d. more	Young				-2	+7	-8	+7	+8	+2
Number of persons killed in	None .	Mature	-2	-2							-2
crash		Young	-2	-2						E STANIS	-2
	One or more (Fatals: one)	Mature	+3	+2		-4					0
	Cito of their (i dialo: one)	Young	+2	+2		-5					0
	(Fatals: two or more)	Mature	-	-		+4		CHARLES BALL			+4
	(I diale. the of file)	Young				+5				- CONTRACT	+6
Number of persons killed in	None	Mature				-10					-10
Vehicle	140110	Young				-17					-17
* 44 1144	One or more	Mature				+10					+10
	One of fiore	Young				+18					+18
									and the second		

				AUSTI	RALIA		USA REGION				Row
						Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
WHEN DID THE CRASH OCCUR											
Day of week	Weekday	Mature	-9	-10	-13	-9	-15	-15	-11	-13	-12
		Young	-10	-11	-18	-15	-11	-15	-13	-18	-14
	Weekend	Mature	+9	+10	+13	+9	+15	+15	+11	+13	+12
		Young	+10	+11	+18	+15	+11	+15	+13	+18	+14
WHERE DID THE CRASH OCCUR		- 1									
LGA	Metro	Mature	+3	+1	-5						0
		Young	+3	+1	-5	E-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11		101010101010101		BEEFFERE	0
	Rural	Mature	-3	-1	+5					-	0
		Young	-3	-1	+6					CONTROL	0
City/rural boundaries	Capital city	Mature				+1					+1
<b>,</b>		Young				+4				STREET, STREET	+4
	General rural	Mature			-	-3	-				-3
		Young		O.C.	ESSENTED IN	-1					-1
Urban/rural boundaries	Urban	Mature					+2	+5	+1	-5	+1
		Young		ACCRECATION S	223300000	Contract of	+6	+3	+2	+1	+3
	<50% rural	Mature				Control of the last	-3	-7	-6	+7	-2
		Young					-4	-3	-4	+1	-3
	>50% rural	Mature					+1	+1	+5	-2	+1
		Young					+6	0	+2	-2	+1
Land use	Residential	Mature				+4					+4
		Young				+3				CONTROL PAR	+3
	Rural	Mature				4					-4
		Young				-3					-3
Type of road	Two-way undivided	Mature	+4			-3		+2	+6	+2	+2
		Young	+6			+6	-4	-1	-1	+1	+1
	Divided road/dual carriageway/	Mature				+4	-1	-1	-4	-1	-1
	divided highway (GES)	Young				-4	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1
		Į									

#### WHERE DID THE CRASH OCCUR CONT...

Intersection	Intersection:
	cross intersection
	T-intersection
	Related to intersection
	Non-Intersection
Relation to roadway	On road
	Off road/shoulder
) Panal timis	CO imb
Speed limit	60 kph
	100 kph
	110 kph
Road alignment	Straight
	Curved
	Level
	Slope
Road surface	Sealed

		AUSTR	ALIA			USA REC	SION		Row
-	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
Mature	-5	4		4	-11	-10	+1	+6	4
Young	-8	4		-3	-2	-8	-5	-7	-5
Mature	-1	-1	+1	-15	1				4
Young	-2	-1	+4	-17					-4
Mature	-4	-3	-1	+19					+3
Young	4	-3	4	+12					0
Mature				-2					-2
Young				+1	SHOW THE				+1
Mature	+6	+4		+6	+16	+10	+4	0	+6
Young	+6	+4		+2	+10	+12	+9	+12	+8
Mature					-23	-15	-16	-13	-17
Young					-18	-18	-13	-19	-17
Mature					+21	+14	+13	+10	+15
Young					+16	+16	+10	+18	+16
Mature	-2	-3	-7	0					-3
Young	-1	-2	-5	0					-2
Mature	+2	+3	0	-4					0
Young	+1	+1	0	-6					-1
Mature	0	0	+6	+3					+2
Young	0	0	+6	+2		OH COMMO			+2
Mature	-4	-2	-5	0	-7	-5	-6	-4	-4
Young	-6	4	-6	-11	-7	-5	-3	-4	-6
Mature	+4	+2	+5	0	+7	+6	+6	+4	+4
Young	+6	+3	+6	+11	+7	+6	+3	+4	+8
Mature				+7	-3	+4	-5	-2	0
Young		1 1		-8	+4	-2	-2	+2	-1
Mature				-7	0	-3	+6	+2	-1
Young				+0	4	+2	+1	-1	+1
Mature	0		-1	0					0
Young	+1		-1	0	1000000	CHINA			0

Ch.	
9	

				AUST	RALIA		USA REGION				Row
							Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
WHERE DID THE CRASH OCC	UR CONT										
Traffic controls	Traffic lights	Mature	+6	+4	+2	-1	-5 +2	-1	-5	-3	-1
	Stop/give way signs	Young Mature Young	-5 -5	+3 -5 -5	3	+2 -5 -4	-3 0	0 -4 -3	-3 0 -5	+1 -3 -6	-4
	Other controls	Mature Young	-1	-1 -1	-1 0	-2	4	+1	+1	+1 +2	-4 -1 0
	No controls	Mature Young		+3 +3	+2 +2	+8	+9	+6	+4	+4	+6 +3
WHAT WERE THE FACTORS V	VITHIN THE VEHICLE - DRIVER										
Sex of driver	Males	Mature Young	+12	+13 +10	+15	+10	+15	+12	+17	+15 +11	+14
	Females	Mature Young	-12 -9	-13 -10	-15 -14	-10 -9	-15 -11	-12 -14	-17 -14	-15 -11	-14 -12
Highest BAC in crash	Zero BAC	Mature Young	-28 -27			and of the last					-28 -27
	<.05	Mature Young	+2								+2
	>.05	Mature Young	+26 +25	7550750	SEE SECUL	BES ALC: 20					+26 +26
	Alcohol involved	Mature Young					+17	+25 +21	+26 +22	+19 +17	+22 +19
	Not alcohol involved	Mature Young		RUDER			-17 -14	-25 -21	-26 -22	-19 -17	-22 -19
Driver BAC (SA: Forensic BAC)	<.05	Mature Young		-29 -25	-30 -30	-43 -39					-34 -31
	>.05	Mature Young		+29 +25	+30	+43 +39			THE STATE OF		+34
	Alcohol involved	Mature Young				788.50	+15 +12	+19	+18	+13 +14	+16 +15
	Not alcohol involved	Mature Young					-15 -12	-19 -15	-18 -17	-13 -14	-16 -15

### 9

### WHAT WERE THE FACTORS WITHIN THE VEHICLE - DRIVER CONT...

Restraint use	Worn Not worn			
Licence status	Standard			
(Victorian data included conditional licences)	Provisional/probationary			
	Non-licensed			
Years driving experience (SA: vehicle driving experience)	< One One			
	Two			
	Three			
	Four			
	Five			
	Six or more			
State of licence issue	State of crash			
	Other .			

		AUSTR	ALIA			Row			
-	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
									-5
Mature	-2	-1		-11 -15					-6
Young Mature	+2	+1		+11					+5
Young	+2	+2		+15				10010	+6
Mature	-2	-2	-1	-3					-2
Young	-2	4	-4	-2	4-1-			-	-3
Mature	0	0	0	0					0
Young	0	+3	+2	+2					+2
Mature	+2	+2	+1	+2					+2
Young	+2	+2	+2	0	1000000	DOMESTIC STATE			+2
Mature	0	0	+1	+1					+1
Young	0	+2	-1	+6					+2
Mature	0	0	0	+1					0
Young	+1	0	+1	-4		10.437.00.05			-1
Mature	0	0	0	0	1	1 1			0
Young	+1	+1	+1	+4					+2
Mature	0	0	-1	-1					-1
Young	0	0	+2	+1					+1
Mature	0	0	-1	+1					0
Young	0	0	-1	4					-1
Mature	0	0	-1	0					0
Young	0	-1	-1	-1		151			-1
Mature	-1	+1	-6	-1					-2 -2
Young	-2	-1	-2	-3	Section Times				-2
Mature	0	-1	0						0
Young	0	0	0						0
Mature	0	+1	0		CONTRACTOR OF				0
Young	0	0	0						0

Q	١
_	_

		1									-
				AUSTR	ALIA	_		USA RE	GION		Row
			NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	A
WHAT WERE THE FACTORS WIT	HIN THE VEHICLE - PASSENGERS										
Number of occupants	One	Mature	-3			+6	-3	-3	-9	-1	2
	_	Young	-10	1,000		-8	-5	-12	-17	-9	-10
	Two	Mature	+2			+1	+2	+3	+9	+3	+3
	Th	Young Mature	+1	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		-6	+2	+0	0	+3	
	Three or more	Young	+7			+6	+6	+6	+6	-2 +5	-1 +6
		roung	7			10	70	10	***		-
WHAT WERE THE VEHICLE FAC	TORS										
Vehicle speed	< 60 kph	Mature	-5			-2					-4
		Young	-6			-6	E THE SERVE	200000000000000000000000000000000000000			-6
	> 60 kph	Mature	+5			+2					+4
		Young	+6	A CONTRACTOR		+6				SIGNERAL	+6
Speed category	Unlikely over limit	Mature				-19					-19
		Young				-21		PARSE IN		200000	-21
	Definitely over limit	Mature		1		+9					+9
		Young		100000		+18					+16
	Possibly/definitely over limit/within	Mature				+21					+21
	limit but excessive for conditions	Young		and the second		+21					+21
Year of vehicle manufacture	< 1 - 3 years (FORS, GES: <1 - 2 years)	Mature	-2	0	-1	-3	-5	4	+2	-2	-2
Total of Fernole (Indicated to	- 1 - 0 yours (1 Orto, outs 1 - 2 yours)	Young	-1	-1	-3	4	-3	3	-1	-2	-2
	4 - 8 years (FORS, GES: 3 - 7 years)	Mature	-3	-3	-5	-5	0	4	-3	-4	-3
	( - ,,	Young	-2	-2	-8	-7	+7	-2	-2	+1	-2
	9 - 13 years (FORS, GES: 8 - 12 years)	Mature	+1	0	0	+3	+3	+5	-2	+3	+2
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Young	0	0	-10	+1	-2	+3	-2	+1	-1
	14+ years (FORS, GES: 13+ years)	Mature	+3	-4	+6	+4	+2	+2	+3	+3	+2
		Young	+3	+3	+5	+10	-3	+2	+6	0	+3
WHAT WERE THE ENVIRONMEN	TAL CONDITIONS										
Natural light	Daylight	Mature	-79	-76	-78	-80	-81	-71	-75	-77	-77
		Young	.79	-78	-82	-82	-80	-79	-73	-81	-79
	Darkness	Mature	+76	+73	+77	+78	+76	+71	+72	+74	+76
		Young	+78	+76	+81	+89	+78	+76	+71	+79	+79

5	o	э	
ь		'n	
к	•	•	

				AUSTR	AUSTRALIA				USA REGION			
			NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average	
WHAT WERE THE ENVIRON	MENTAL CONDITIONS CONT											
Street lighting	On	Mature	+62	+66		+23	+59	+42	+61	+38	+47	
		Young	+61	+69		+28	+69	+41	+49	+37	+48	
	Off	Mature	-61	+1		-6					-22	
		Young	-60	+1		-14					-24	
	Nii	Mature	-1	+14		-10					+1	
		Young	-1	+15		-2					+4	
View of road	Open (GES: no obstruction)	Mature	+2				0	-2	+2	+1	+1	
		Young	+2				+1	0	0	-1	0	
	Obscured (GES: obstruction)	Mature	-2				0	+2	-2	-1	-1	
		Young	-2				-1	0	0	+1	0	
Road surface	Dry	Mature	-5	-5	-2		-3	+7	-2	+1	-1	
		Young	-4	-4	-3		+1	+3	-1	-3	-2	
	Other	Mature	+6	+6	+2		+3	-7	+2	-1	+1	
		Young	+4	+4	+3		-1	-3	+1	+3	+2	
Weather	Clear (SA: not raining/	Mature		-5	-1	+6	-2	+3	-1	0	0	
	GES: no adverse conditions)	Young		4	-1	-1	+1	-2	-2	-4	-2	
	Other (SA: raining)	Mature		+6	+1	-6	+2	-2	+1	-1	0	
		Young		+4	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+4	+2	
WHAT OTHER FACTORS SU	IRROUNDED THE CRASH											
Manoeuvre of unit	Stationary:	Mature	-4		-5		-1	4	-3	-7	4	
	<u>-</u>	Young	-3		-4		-4	-1	-3	4	-3	
	stopped on carriageway	Mature	-4		-8		-1	-4	-3	-7	-5	
	(NSW, GES: stopped in traffic)	Young	-3		-6		-4	-1	-3	-4	4	
	Moving along roadway:	Mature	+7		+9		+10	+7	+10	+8	+9	
		Young	+7		+6		+8	+3	+1	+7	+5	
	proceeding along lane	Mature	+6		+7		+5	+3	+5	+5	+5	
		Young	+5		+4	-	+2	+2	-2	+3	+2	
	Turning/reversing:	Mature	-3		-3		-2	-3	0	-2	-2	
	4 t 1 - <b>4</b>	Young	-4	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	-1		-6	-3	-1	-3	-3	
	turning left	Mature			-1		+2	-3	+2	0	0	
	riella de com	Young Mature	-1	-	-1	1	-4	-4	-3	-3 -1	-3 -1	
	right turn	Young	-2		-1		-2	0	-1 +1	-1	-1	
		Tourg			-1			U				

## WHAT OTHER FACTORS SURROUNDED THE CRASH CONT...

Road User Movement/ Definition for Classifying Accidents Pedestrian

Adjacent directions:

cross traffic (intersection)

Opposing directions:

head on

right thru

Same direction:

rear-end

Manoeuvring

Overtaking

On path

Off path, on straight

Off path, curve/turning

Passengers/miscellaneous

Off road into object

L		AUSTRA	ALIA			Row			
-	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
Mature	-1	0		+2					
Young	-2	-1		-7	000 E00 WEST	10040069		GENERAL STREET	-3
Mature	-4	-5		-7					-5
Young	-5	-4		-5		CONTRACT.		Entero di se	-5
Mature	-1	-2		-5					-3
Young	-2	-1		-3		F-575 W 500		ocardina.	-2
Mature	+2	+2		-7					-1
Young	0	+1		-6	1000	T0513/015/01		1616/070/	-2
Mature	+2	+1		-7					-1
Young	0	0		-6	I STATE OF THE PARTY OF	THE REAL PROPERTY.		12001075	-2
Mature	0	+1		0	A COLUMN TOUR				0
Young	-1	+1		0				SHIP	0
Mature	-8	-9		-2					-6
Young	-10	-11		0					-7
Mature	-7	-6		-1					-5 -6 -2 -2
Young	-9	-8		0	10000000				-6
Mature	-2	-2		-3					-2
Young	-2	-2		-2					
Mature	-1	0		-1					-1
Young	0	-1		-2	10000	2 (12 m L 2)			-1
Mature	+2	+4		+2	1			1	+3
Young	+2	+3		+1	1000000				+2
Mature	+6	+6		+6				Lancas	+6
Young	+10	+10		+5	100	1000000		W. 100 C	+8
Mature	+5	+1		+11					+6
Young	+8	+3		+16		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		MUHISPA	+9
Mature	0	0		-1				Control of the last	0
Young	0	0		0	-11201010			OR VAL	0
Mature	+10	+7		+16				Laurenne	+11
Young	+16	+12		+17	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	2000000		- CO (10 to to to	+15

S

WHAT OTHER FACTORS	SURROUNDED THE CRASH CONT
Impact type	Vehicle/vehicle:
	right angle
	nose/tail
	head on
	other angle
	Vehicle/other:
	pedestrian
2	cyclist
4	object
	Non-collision
Object hit	None
_	Fixed
	Non-fixed

Multiple vehicles - striking

Multiple vehicles - struck

Single vehicle - striking

Vehicle role

		AUSTRALIA			USA REGION			Row	
1	NSW	Victoria	SA	Fatals	Nth-west	Mid-west	West	South	Average
									45
Mature	-10	-10	-13	-18	-25	-15	-14	-18	-15
Young	-15	-13	-21	-15	-13	-16	-9	-18	-15
Mature	-4		-5						-5 -7
Young	-5 -7	H	-8 -14				-14	40	-11
Mature	.9				-14	-5		-12	-9
Young	+2	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	-15 +2		-11 +1	+1	-8	-8 +1	+1
Mature	0		+1			-1	-1	0	-1
Young Mature	-1		+1		-2 -11	-11	-2	-6	-6
Young	-1				-1	-11	-2	-10	-5
Mature	+10	+9	+13	+17	25/25/0000	201	- 4	-10	+12
Young	+15	+12	+21	+11		es evereing		A SECTION OF	+15
Mature	-1	0	0	+2	-1	0	+2	+4	+1
Young	-2	-1	0	-7	-2	+2	-2	+2	
Mature	*				0	-2	-4	+2	-1
Young					4	-3	4	-3	4
Mature	+9	+7	+9	+15		-	_	-	+10
Young	+14	+11	+16	+17					+16
Mature				+2	+1	+2	+2	+1	+2
Young				+4	-1	+1	+3	+1	+2
Mature	-10								-10
Young	-16				-				-16
Mature	+9		+9		+21	+11	+12	+11	+12
Young	+15	1000	+16		+18	+15	+8	+16	+15
Mature	+1				+2	+2	-1	+6	+2
Young	+1	or out to out			-4	+1	-2	0	-1
Mature					-8	-3	-2	-6	-5
Young					-8	-12	-10	-8	-10
Mature					-12	-9	-7	-9	-9
Young					-3	-4	+1	-9	-4
Mature					+25	+13	+14	+16	+17
Young					+15	+17	+9	+18	+15

,

										_
	WHAT OTHER FACTORS SURROU	INDED THE CRASH CONT	- 1							
	Factor/error	None	Mature	-4	1	-11	1			
	Factor/error	Mone	Young	-10		-14				ostado
		Discharged traffic control	Mature	-2	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	-1	it coursement	-	MANAGE DE	CONTRACT OF
		Disobeyed traffic control	Young	-1		0				
		Loss of control	Mature	+4	*************			ACTION OF THE		000000
		(police description)	Young	+7			ATTENDED OF THE PARTY.	NAME OF STREET		
		Inattention	Mature			+10	A-120 CO.			
		mattention	Young			+11				
		Failure to observe person/vehicle	Mature			711	-8	Printer of the	7.000,000,000	
		Laurie to observe hersom verticle	Young				-10	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	at restriction of	-
		Coiture sine way right	Mature			-2	-10	FIRESTANCE		
		Failure give way right	Young			-3	tition in the same			
		וטם	Mature		PERMITTED.	+2	+40		**************************************	22311
		DOI				+1	+35			02312
		Madaatria lavalist at laut	Young Mature		The second second	*,	+2		-	
55		Pedestrian/cyclist at fault	D504 104 0 0 0 0							
S		F	Young				-3			
		Excessive speed	Mature	0			+2			
			Young	0			-1			
	LEGAL ACTION/VIOLATIONS									
	Legal action	None	Mature	-9				-11	-11	
	GES: Violations)		Young	-8				-8	-3	
	•	Legal action taken:	Mature	+9				+11	+11	
			Young	+8				+8	+4	2000
		negligent driving	Mature	-2	1		1			
			Young	4		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Electronic Co.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Laure and
		other driving offence	Mature	-4	1		- and a supply			
		mining oriente	Young	-5						Constant
		PCA	Mature	+14			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ergibes Amylasti		1
		1	24 % ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )				Contract Contract			10.000.00

Young

**AUSTRALIA** 

SA

Fatals

Victoria

NSW

**USA REGION** 

West

-6

Nth-west Mid-west

Row

Average

-8 -12 -2 -1 +4 +7 +10 +11 -8 -10 -2 -3 +21 +18 +2 -3 +1 -1

-8

-5

+8

+5 -2 -4 -4 -5 +14

+15

-5

+5

+2

South

#### REFERENCES

- Macdonald, W (1994a). A Review of Information on Young Driver Crashes, FORS Report CR 128. Canberra: Federal Office of Road Safety
- Macdonald, W (1994b). A Review of Information on Young Driver Performance Characteristics and Capacities, FORS Report CR 129. Canberra: Federal Office of Road Safety

#### APPENDICES

Results from each database are presented separately in Appendices 1 to 8 in the form of crash frequencies and percentages for each crash descriptor variable and its subcategories. Percentages are calculated within each age group by day and night separately. Day was operationally defined as the period between 6 am and 6 pm, while night covers the period between 6 pm and 6 am. Data for the years 1986 to 1989 were combined within each of the databases for the three Australian states.

Crash descriptor categories are not always mutually exclusive. Thus, for the crash descriptor variable 'DCA' ('Definitions for Classifying Accidents'), three of the subcategories are 'opposing directions', 'head on' and 'right thru'; the latter two are included in the first, but are shown separately because they represent the main variations within the more general 'opposing directions' category.

## APPENDIX 1: NSW CASUALTY FILE (1986-1989)

			Age of driver				
			16-25		26-55		
				Time of c	rash		
			Day	Night	Day	Night	
DESCRIPTION (	OF CRASH						
Severity	Fatal		581	701	968	725	
+ <b>,</b>			2.2	3.9	2.1	3.9	
	Admitted in	jury	5599	5295	9314	4969	
	_	-	20.8	29.2	20.4	27.0	
	Treated inju	ry	20782	12117	35345	12715	
			77.1	66.9	77.5	69.1	
Number of	One		4351	5953	4312	3725	
traffic units			16.1	32.9	9.5	20.2	
	Two		17751	9939	31429	11571	
			65.8	54.9	68.9	62.9	
	Three or mo	re	4860	2221	9886	3113	
			18	12.3	21.7	16.9	
Number of	None		219	269	369	344	
persons injured			0.8	1.5	0.8	1.9	
	One		18869	11596	32019	12135	
			70	64.0	70.2	65.9	
	Two or mor	e	7874	6248	13239	5930	
			29.2	34.5	29.0	32.2	
Number of	None		26381	17412	44659	17684	
persons killed			97.8	96.1	97.9	96.1	
•	One or more	9	581	701	606	725	
			2.2	3.9	1.3	3.9	
WHEN DID THE	CRASH OC	CUR					
Day of week	Weekday		18610	10723	34037	12137	
<b>,</b>	•		69.0	59.2	74.6	65.9	
	Weekend		8352	7390	11590	6272	
			31.0	40.8	25.4	34.1	
Hour/day	Weekday:	mid - 6 am	W				
•	•						
		6 am - noon	10010077000700				
		noon - 6 pm					
		-			10.00		
		6 pm - mid	etal communi				
					Service control		

			1	Age of	driver	
			16-25		26-55	_
				Time of	crash	
			Day	Night	Day	Night
WHEN DID THE	CRASH OC	CCUR CONT				
Hour/day cont	Weekend:	mid - 6 am				
		6 am - noon				
		noon - 6 pm				
		6 pm - mid		***************************************		
WHERE DID TH	E CRASH C	OCCUR				
LGA	Metro		15450	10866	28226	12028
			57.3	60.0	61.9	65.3
	Rural		11512	7247	17401	6381
			42.7	40.0	38.1	34,7
Type of road	Two-way u	ndivided	10331	7960	15680	7052
<b>7</b> F	•		38.3	43.9	34.4	38.3
Intersection	Intersectio	n:	13723	8126	24733	9141
			50.9	44.9	54.2	49.7
	cross inter	section	6497	3997	12207	4719
			24,1	22.1	26.8	25.6
	T-intersec	tion	6644	3700	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	4001
	NT. 1 4	4!	24.6	20.4	25.3 20893	21.7 9267
	Non-inters	ection	13237 49_1	9986 55.1	CHARLES AND CONTRACT AND CO.	50.3
			COLUMN TO STATE OF STREET			
Speed limit	60 kph		20758	13860	35694	14032
•	•		78.9	78.3	80.2	78.1
	100 kph		3569	CARLS OF STREET, SAN ASSESSMENT	AAAAA AAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	2473
			13.6	14.5		13.8
	110 kph		150	400000000000000000000000000000000000000	308	178
			0.6	0.6	0.7	1.0
Adjacent land use	e - too many	missing cases				
Road alignment	Straight		21799	A SALAR AND	38250	14711
	<b>a</b> .		81.0	PROCESSOR STATE OF THE	83.9	80.0
	Curved		5127	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	7324	3683
			19.0	25.1	16.1	20.0
Road surface	Sealed		25919	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND	mentos con constanti	17977
			96.2	96.7	97.5	97.7

			Age of d	river	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHERE DID TI	HE CRASH OCCUR CONT				
Signal	None present	23707	15466	39440	15057
operation		87.9	85.4	86.5	81.8
	On	3076	2587	5863	3251
		11.4	14.3	12.9	17.7
Other traffic	None	21353	15497	35363	15407
controls		79.2	85.6	77.5	83.7
	Stop/give way sign	4798	2314	9014	2683
	, , ,	17.8	12.6	19.8	14.6
	Other controls	807	302	1239	318
		3.0	1.7	2.7	1.7
WHAT WERE	THE FACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE	- DRIVER		
Sex of driver	Males	17558	13424	27966	13410
		65.1	74.1	61.3	72.9
	Females	9393	4684	17643	4988
		34.9	25.9	38.7	27.1
Highest BAC	Zero BAC	20526	10049	34225	9853
in accident		91.5	64.1	92.0	63.7
21% missing	<.05	831	1004	1240	815
<b>-</b>		3.7	6.4	3.3	5.6
	>.05	1082	4631	1744	4807
		4.8	29.5	4.7	31.1
Restraint use	Worn	26018	17021	43707	17149
		98.5	96.7	97.9	95.8
	Not worn (but fitted)	334	523	863	686
	, ,	1.3	3	1.9	3.8
Licence status	Standard	19655	12735	42801	16757
_		74.5	72.2	96.1	93.7
	Provisional	5464	3672	709	352
		20,7	20.8	1.6	2.0
	Non-licensed	737	895	602	635
		2.8	5.1	1.4	3.6

	1	Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
		10 110	Time of c		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
		- Day	Tilght	- Duy	Tugue
WHAT WERE T	HE FACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE .	DRIVER (	CONT	
Years driving	< One	4512	2976	501	253
experience		17.9	18.1	1.2	1.5
13% missing	One	2167	1585	310	149
		8.6	9.7	0.7	0.9
	Two	4471	3095	693	308
		17.8	18.8	1.6	1.9
	Three	3545	2297	569	271
		14.1	14	1.3	1.6
	Four	2864	1810	614	211
		11.4	11.0	1.4	1.3
	Five	2690	1711	960	426
		10.7	10.4	2.3	2.6
	Six or more	4939	2946	38848	14941
		19.6	17.9	91.4	90.2
State of licence	NSW	24738	16242	42699	16827
issue		95.3	95.4	95.7	95.5
	Other	1226	784	1950	789
		4.7	4.6	4,4	4.5
WHAT WERE T	THE FACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE	- PASSENG 11	ERS	
Number of	One	15254	8442	25569	9815
occupants		57.1	47.0	56.6	53.9
6% missing	Two	7336	5463	10780	4698
		27.5	30.4	23.9	25.8
	Three or more	4132	4047	8832	3696
		15.5	22.5	19.5	20.3
WHAT WERE T	THE VEHICLE FACTORS				
Vehicle speed	<60 kph:	21217	12362	37907	13649
14% missing		84.7	78.3	88.8	84.3
	0-20 kph	7685	3327	17581	4947
		30.7	21.1	41.2	30.5
	41-60 kph	9375	6669	12623	5946
	<del>.</del>	37.4	42.3	29.6	36.7
	>60 kph	3838	3416	4787	2550
		15.3	21.7	11.2	15.7

			Age of d	river	
		16-25	S 1	26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE T	HE VEHICLE FACTORS C	ONT			
Year vehicle	<1 - 3 years	2218	1264	6181	2209
manufacture		8.8	7.4	14.5	12.8
12% missing	4 - 8 years	7189	4449	15722	5888
		28.5	26.2	36.8	34.2
	9 - 13 years	8064	5493	11827	4908
		32.0	32,4	27.7	28.5
	14+ years	7729 30.7	5769 34.0	9025 21.1	4211 24.5
WHAT WERE T	HE ENVIRONMENTAL CO	ONDITONS			
Natural light	Daylight	23956	1882	41532	2314
	<b>,g</b>	89.1	10.4	91.2	12.6
	Darkness	1321	14960	1613	14630
		4.9	82.8	3.5	79.6
Street lighting	On	1725	12183	2285	12346
		6.4	67.5	5.0	67.2
	Off	18997	1923	33544	2327
		70.7	10.7	73.7	12.7
	Nil	6162	3944	9679	3686
		22.9	21.9	21.3	20.1
View of road	Open	25347	17326	42704	17637
		94.4	96.0	94.0	96.1
	Obscured	1493 5.6	718	2724 6.0	716 3.9
Road surface	Dry	21401	13651	36153	13757
		79.7	75.7	79.6	75
	Other (wet/snow/ice)	5445 20.3	4386 24.3	9268 20,4	4588 25
WHAT OTHER	FACTORS SURROUNDED	THE CRASH			
Manoeuvre of	Stationary:	1852	728	5587	1471
unit	Smuoma j.	6.9	4.0	12.2	8.0
Ant	stopped in traffic	1775	669	5319	1366
	200 Phan in warring	6.6	3.7	11.7	7.4
	Moving along roadway	20569	14991	31840	14211
		76.3	82.8	69.8	77.2
	proceeding along lane	18286	13243	29087	12840
	. 5	67.8	73.1	63.7	69.7

## Age of driver

### 16-25

## Time of crash

					Night
WHAT OTHER	FACTORS SURROUNDED	THE CRASH	CONT		
Manoeuvre of	Turning/reversing:	4541	2394	8200	2727
unit cont	mm8, 1 4, 61 241121	16.8	13.2	18.0	14.8
	turning right out of own	2972	1702	5054	1880
	lane	11.0	9.4	11.1	10.2
Road User	Pedestrian	2531	1398	4362	1535
Movement	(on foot or in toy/pram)	9.4	7.7	9.6	8.3
	Adjacent directions:	5710	3029	10848	3774
	(intersections only)	21.2	16.7	23.8	20.3
	cross traffic	3390	1998	6879	2526
		12.6	11.0	15.1	13.7
	Opposing directions:	5162	3408	9250	4146
		19.2	18.8	20.3	22.5
	head on	2235	1567	4304	2138
		8.3	8.7	9.4	11,6
	right thru	2908	1834	4911	1995
		10.8	10.1	10.8	10.8
	Same direction:	6517	2506	12467	3485
		24.2	13.8	27.3	18.9
	rear-end	5597	2090	10673	3034
		20.8	11.5	23.4	16.5
	Manoeuvring	1504	584	2501	637
	_	5.6	3.2	5.5	3.5
	Overtaking	490	265	787	222
		1.8	1.5	1.7	1.2
	On path	392	612	666	609
	0.00 (1	1.5	3.4	1.5	3.3
	Off path, on straight	2229	3232	2414	2147
	0.00	8.3	17.8	5.3	11.7
	Off path, curve/turning	2344	2978	2174	1797
	D	8.7	16.4	4.8	9.8
	Passengers/misc.	76	98	151	83
		0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5
	Off road into object	3303	5132	3243	3208
	off town min policie	12.3	28.3	7.1	17.4
		14	69.3		17.4
First impact	Vehicle/vehicle:	19875	10578	36580	12949
type		73.8	58.4	80.2	70.4
•	right angle	6168	3205	11687	3949
	_ <del>.</del>	22.9	17.7	25.6	21.5
	nose/tail	5621	2108	10717	3047
		20.9	11.6	23.5	16.6
	head on	2332	1632	4486	2200
		8.7	9.0	9.8	12.0
	other angle	5754	3633	9690	3753
		21.4	20.1	21.2	20.4

			Age of d	river	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	crash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT OTHER	FACTORS SURROUNDED T	HE CRASH	CONT		
First impact	Vehicle/other:	7040	7460	8965	5404
type cont		26.1	41.2	19.7	29.4
	pedestrian	2531	1397	4362	1535
		9.4	7.7	9.6	8.3
	object	3439	4923	3421	3036
		12,8	27.2	7.5	16.5
Object hit	None	23089	12673	41617	14949
		85.6	70.0	91.2	81.2
	Fixed	3775	5282	3865	3279
		14.0	29.2	8.5	17.8
	Non-fixed	98	157	145	181
		0.4	0.9	0.3	1.0
Major factor/	None	19326	11196	35455	13510
error		71.7	61.8	77.7	73.4
	Disobeyed traffic control	2261	1317	4111	1388
		8,4	7.3	9.0	7.5
	Loss of control	2299	2817	2041	1592
	(police description)	8.5	15.6	4.5	8.6
	Excessive speed	61	108	35	56
		0.2	0,6	0.1	0.3
LEGAL ACTIO	Ň				
	None	13223	7343	27669	9545
		49.1	40.7	60.7	52.0
	Negligent driving	7591	4312	8350	2932
		28.2	23.9	18.3	16.0
	Other driving offence	4603	2230	7840	2350
		17.1	12.4	17.2	12.8
	PCA	628	3044	854	2828
		2.3	16.9	1.9	15.4

## **APPENDIX 2: VICTORIAN CASUALTY FILE (1986-1989)**

		Age of driver				
		16-25		26-55		
		1	Time of c	rash		
		Day	Night	Day	Night	
DESCRIPTION OF	CRASH					
Severity	Fatal	444	628	718	689	
-		1.7	3.5	1.6	3.7	
	Serious injury	7015	6522	11669	6273	
		27	35.9	26.4	34.0	
	Other injury	18549	11042	31785	11510	
		71.3	60.7	72.0	62.3	
Number of	One	4643	5690	6010	4372	
vehicles		17.9	31.3	13.6	23.7	
	Two	16674	10155	29426	11053	
		64.1	55.8	66.6	59.8	
	Three or more	4691	2347	8736	3047	
		18.0	12.9	19.8	16.5	
Number of persons	None	18814	11401	32202	11948	
seriously injured		72.3	62.7	72.9	64.7	
	One	5626	4892	9420	4911	
		21.6	26.9	21.3	26.6	
	Two or more	1568	1899	2550	1613	
		6.0	10.4	5.8	8.7	
Number of persons	None	5601	5210	9140	5140	
with 'other' injury		21.5	28,6	20.7	27.8	
	One	14775	8642	25367	9095	
		56.8	47.5	57.4	49.2	
	Two or more	5632	4340	9665	4237	
		21.7	23.9	21.9	22.9	
Number of persons	None	4979	5462	6797	4790	
not injured		19.1	30.0	15.4	25.9	
	One	10899	5795	18867	6207	
		41.9	31.9	42.7	33.6	
	Two or more	10130	6935	18508	7475	
		38.9	38.1	41.9	40.5	
Number of persons	None	25564	17564	43454	17783	
killed	_	98.3	96.5	98.4	96.3	
	One or more	444	628	718	689	
		1.7	3.5	1.6	3.7	

				Age of dr	iver	
			16-25		26-55	
				Time of c		
			Day	Night	Day	Night
WHEN DID THE	CRASH OCCU	R				
				- 1		
Day of week	Weekday		18871	11282	34341	12539
			72.6	62.0	77.7	67.9
	Weekend		7137	6910	9831	5933
			27.4	38.0	22.3	32.1
Hour/day	Weekday:	mid - 6 am		1597		1388
Hourday	Weekday.	mid - 0 am		14.2		11.1
		6 am - noon	7788	171.2	15071	CONTRACTOR AND STREET
		o um noon	41.3		43.9	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
		noon - 6 pm	11083		19270	
		noon o pin	58.7		56.1	
		6 pm - mid	DOLLAR PROPERTY.	9685	IIIII POLI	11151
		o pin · iniu		85.8		88.9
	Weekend:	mid - 6 am	AND TAXABLE PORT	2737	(00010000000000000000000000000000000000	1750
	Wookona.	mid - 0 am		39.6		29.5
		6 am - noon	2077	32.0	3425	
		o um moon	29.1		34.8	
		noon - 6 pm	5060		6406	
		noon - o pin	70.9		65.2	************
		6 pm - mid	700.9	4173	03.2	4183
		o piii - iiiid		69.4		70.5
WHERE DID THE	CRASH OCC	UR				
LGA	Metro		19390	13705	34036	14409
			74.6	75.3	77.1	78.0
	Rural		6618	4487	10136	4063
			25.4	24.7	22.9	22.0
Intersection	Intersection	n:	14554	9589	25608	10055
(11% missing)			58.9	55.4	61.2	57.6
	cross inter	section	7537	5093	13455	5411
			30.5	29.4	32.2	31.0
	T-intersect	tion	6386	-3975	11007	4115
			25.8	22.9	26.3	23.6
	Non-interse	ection	10155	7734	16205	7415
			41.1	44.6	38.8	42.4
Speed limit	60 kph		19151	13128	32639	13040
			74.1	72.6	74.3	71.2
	100 kph		3899	2959	6223	3183
	_		15.1	16.4	14.2	17.4
	110 kph		191	127	297	155
			0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHERE DID THE	CRASH OCCUR CONT				
Road feature	Straight	22772 92.1	15350 88.6	39032 93.3	15891 90.9
,	Curved	1896 7.7	1932 11,1	2704 6.5	1522 8.7
Traffic controls	Traffic lights	4033 15.6	3397 18.8	7139 16.3	3632 19.8
	Stop/give way sign	4670 18.1	2364 13.1	8673 19.8	2657 14.5
	Other controls	901 3.5	493 2.7	1550 3.5	502 2.7
	None	16231 62.8	11857 65.5	26501 60.4	11582 63.0
WHAT WERE TH	E FACTORS WITHIN THE	EHICLE - I	DRIVER		
Sex of driver	Males	15398 59.2	12603 69.3	25328 57,4	13015 70.5
	Females	10596	5576 30.7	18797 42.6	5441 29.5
Driver BAC	<.05	5340 94,3	3905 69.1	7530 94.2	3120 65,6
7276 missing	>.05	305 5.4	1726 30.5	432	1617 34.0
Restraint use	Worn	23851 98.8	15916 97,2	40630 98.6	16224 97.3
Try moving	Not worn	197 0.8	383 2.3	347 0,8	357 2.1
Type of licence	Standard/conditional	13960 56.5	8968 52.2	40777 96.2	16448 94.1
11 /v missing	Probationary/conditional	10221	7556 44.0	1156 2.7	517 3.0
	Non-licensed	272 1.1	455 2.6	273 0.6	431 2.5
State of issue	Victoria	24292 96.3	16623 96.6	41918 96.9	16989 96.4
	Other	922 3.7	589 3.4	1353 3.1	636 3.6

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25	350	26-55	
		Time of crash			
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE TH	E FACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE - I	DRIVER CO	ONT	
Years driving	Less than one	2173	1719	474	225
experience		10.6	12.4	1.2	1.4
17% missing	One	2456	1695	465	231
		12.0	12.2	1.1	1.4
	Two	3767	2669	854	402
		18.4	19.2	2.1	2.4
	Three	3836	2598	1095	436
		18.8	18.7	2.7	2.6
	Four	2951	2007	1137	428
		14.4	14,4	2.8	2.6
	Five	2142	1286	1046	467
		10.5	9.2	2.6	2.8
	Six or more	2931	1816	25572	10491
		14.3	13.0	62.3	63.4
	E VEHICLE FACTORS	1700	1001	5382	2184
Year of vehicle	<1 - 3 years	1700	1001	5382	2184
manufacture		8.9	7.6	16.4	16.0
22% missing,	4 - 8 years	4646	2969	11251	4321
but data not		24.2	22.6	34.2	31.7
collected for 1986.	9 - 13 years	6244	4292	8673	3575
This amounts to 15%		32.6	32.7	26.4	26.3
	14 or more years	6575	4881	7569	2588
		34.3	37.1	23.0	19.0
WHAT WERE TH	E ENVIRONMENTAL COM	1		V71-77-70-01	5,4077.05.01
Light conditions	Daylight	23901	2559	40912	3054
		92.0	14.1	92.8	16.6
	Dark:	677	14203 78.2	1130 2.6	13846
	street lights on	2.6 549	11007	919	75.1 10743
	street lights on	2.1	60.6	2.1	58.3
	street lights off	14	224	24	213
		0.1	1.2	0.1	1.2
	no street lights	96	2755	168	2696
		0.4	15.2	0.4	14.6
Road surface	Dry	19938	13167	33999	13289
	<i>= -</i> ₽	77.2	73.1	77.6	72.6
	Other (wet/muddy/icy)	5885	4844	9829	5019
		22.8	26.9	22.4	27.4

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE	THE ENVIRONMENTAL CON	DITONS COI 	NT		
Weather	Clear	21720	14419	36947	14532
		84.2	80.3	84.4	79.5
	Other	4090	3546	6834	3738
		15.8	19.7	15.6	20.5
WHAT OTHE	R FACTORS SURROUNDED TH	HE CRASH	ı		
DCA	Pedestrian	1624	980	2693	1177
		6.2	5.4	6.1	6.4
	Adjacent directions:	5686	3236	10441	3533
	•	21.9	17.8	23.6	19.1
	cross traffic	3178	1986	6039	2198
		12.2	10.9	13.7	11.9
	Opposing directions:	4549	3362	7614	3613
	11 0	17.5	18.5	17.2	19.6
	head on	1332	1000	2493	1250
		5.1	5.5	5.6	6.8
	right thru	3161	2305	5011	2315
	•	12.2	12.7	11.3	12.5
	Same direction:	7936	3506	14609	4548
		30.5	19.3	33.1	24.6
	rear end	4914	2020	9000	2760
		18.9	11.1	20.4	14.9
	Manoeuvring	1679	909	2968	840
		6.5	5.0	6.7	4.5
	Overtaking	535	297	873	304
		2.1	1.6	2.0	1.6
	On path	493	904	765	983
		1.9	5.0	1.7	5.3
	Off path, on straight	1970	3139	2286	2125
		7.6	17.3	5.2	11.5
	Off path, curve/turning	1536	1540	1923	1006
		5.9	8.5	4.4	5.4
	Passengers/misc.	420	319	758	343
		1.6	1.8	1.7	1.9
	Off road into object	2144	3578	2291	2329
		8.2	19.7	5.2	12.6

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE TI	HE ENVIRONMENTAL C	ONDITONS CO	NT		
Type of crash	Vehicle/vehicle	19902	11588	35503	12969
		76.5	63.7	80.4	70.2
	Vehicle/other:	4799	5469	6510	4404
		18.5	30.1	14.7	23.8
	pedestrian	1631	985	2712	1174
		6.3	5.4	6.1	6.4
	fixed object	2383	3623	2913	2475
	-	9.2	19.9	6.6	13.4
	other object	187	252	195	188
	-	0.7	1.4	0.4	1.0

# APPENDIX 3: SOUTH AUSTRALIAN CASUALTY FILE (1986-1989)

				Age of d	river	
			16-25	<u> </u>	26-55	
				Time of c	rash	
			Day	Night	Day	Night
DESCRIPTION (	OF CRASH					
Severity	Fatality		126	216	159	179
24% missing			1.7	4.1	1.4	4.1
	Injury		7174	5060	11598	4178
			98.3	95.9	98.6	95.9
Number of units	One		399	495	465	290
involved			5.5	9.4	4.0	6.7
24% missing	Two		5430	3727	8751	3128
			74.4	70.6	74,4	71.8
	Three or m	ore	1471	1054	2541	939
			20.2	20.0	21,6	21.6
Number of	One		5335	3330	8558	2913
casualties			73.1	63.1	72.8	66.9
22% missing	Two		1370	1231	2059	887
			18.8	23.3	17.5	20.4
	Three or m	ore	595	715	1040	557
			8.2	13.6	8.8	12.8
WHEN DID THE	CRASH O	CCUR				
Day of week	Weekday		5419	2991	9384	2915
24% missing			74.2	56.7	79.8	66.9
	Weekend		1881	2285	2373	1442
			25.8	43.3	20,2	33.1
Hour/day	Weekday	mid - 6 am		512		351
				17.1		12.0
		6 am - noon	2099		3925	
			38.7		41.8	
		noon - 6 pm	3320		5459	
			61.3		58.2	
		6 pm - mid		2479		2564
	Weekend	mid - 6 am		82.9		88.0
	weekend	mia - o am		1103 48.3		457 31.7
		6 am - noon	573	40,3	863	31./
		5 mii - HOOH	30.5		36.4	
		noon - 6 pm	1308		1510	
		3 P	69.5		63.6	
		6 pm - mid		1182		985
		-		51.7		68.3

			Age of d	river	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHERE DID TH	E CRASH OCCUR				
LGA	Metro	5741	3868	9592	3318
		78.6	73.3	81.6	76.2
	Rural	1559	1408	2165	1039
		21.4	26.7	. 18.4	23.8
Intersection	Cross intersection	1763	1190	3135	1062
58% missing		44.1	48.5	46.3	47.3
	T-intersection	2097	1193	3406	1103
		52.5	48.6	50.3	49.2
Speed limit	60 kph	5962	4049	9769	3321
24% missing		82.1	77.4	83.5	76.7
	100 kph	83	68	128	57
		1.1	1.3	1.1	1.3
	110 kph	830	844	1202	719
		11.4	16.1	10.3	16.6
Road alignment	Straight	6547	4427	10844	3792
24% missing		89.7	83.9	92.2	87.0
	Curved	708	816	853	536
		9.7	15.5	7.3	12.3
Road surface	Sealed	6915	4949	11290	4137
24% missing		94.7	93.8	96.0	95.0
Traffic controls	Traffic lights	1111	852	2034	821
24% missing		15.2	16.2	17.3	18.8
Ū	Stop/give way sign	870	450	1558	445
		11.9	8.5	13.3	10.2
	Other controls	93	70	180	45
		1.3	1.3	1.5	1.0
	None	5223	3903	7977	3045
		71,6	74.0	67.9	69.9
WHAT WERE T	HE FACTORS WITHIN	THE VEHICL	E - DRIVER	1	
Sex of driver	Males	4326	3839	6560	3064
24% missing		59.3	72.8	55.8	70.3
	Females	2973	1437	5195	1293
		40.7	27.2	44.2	29.7
Forensic BAC	<.05	2690	1806	3857	1303
67% missing		94.4	64.7	95.4	65.5
	>.05	160	987	186	686
		5.6	35.3	4.6	34.5

			Age of d	river 26-55		
		16-25				
		1	Time of c	rash		
		Day	Night	Day	Night	
WHAT WERE 7	THE FACTORS WITHIN T	HE VEHICLI	E - DRIVER	CONT		
Licence status	Standard	4845	2988	9982	3246	
38% missing	Provisional	80.5	76.5	98.5	97.2	
	Provisional	1009	721	86	29	
	Non-licensed	16.8	18.4	0.8	0.9	
	Non-neenseu	1.0	2.9	0.3	52	
		E.M.	617	0.5	1.6	
Years vehicle	< One	1141	688	587	216	
driving experience		20.1	19.6	6.3	7.5	
44% missing	One	946	633	585	172	
		16.7	18.0	6.3	6.0	
	Two	914	596	638	189	
		16.1	17	6.8	6.6	
	Three	675	482	539	152	
		11.9	13.7	5.8	5.3	
	Four	504	294	405	92	
		8.9	8.4	4.3	3.2	
	Five	432	238	370	96	
		7.6	6.8	4.0	3.4	
	Six or more	1064	584	6229	1746	
		18.7	16,6	66.6	61	
State of licence	SA	6182	4142	10268	3513	
issue		96.6	96.6	96.0	95.8	
34% missing	Other	215	144	423	154	
		3.4	3.4	4,0	4.2	
WHAT WERE T	THE FACTORS WITHIN TI	E VEHICLE	- PASSEN	GERS		
Variable not avai	ilable					
WHAT WERE T	HE VEHICLE FACTORS					
Year vehicle	<1 - 3 years	321	171	1028	338	
manufacture		6.8	3.6	13.1	11.9	
51% missing	4 - 8 years	1023	652	2632	812	
		21.6	13.8	33.6	28.5	
	9 - 13 years	1451	966	2107	768	
		30.6	20.4	26.9	26.9	
	14 or more years	1940	1549	2060	932	
		41.0	46.4	26.3	32.7	

			Age of di	iver	
		16-25			-
			Time of c	rash	
	ı		Night_	Day	Night
WHAT WERE	THE ENVIRONMENTAL CO	ONDITONS			
Natural light	Daylight	6985	722	11328	821
24% missing		95.7	13.7	96.4	18.8
	Darkness	264	4472	341	3477
		3,6	84.8	2.9	79.8
Weather	Raining	635	501	1058	439
24% missing	_	8.7	9.5	9.0	10.1
•	Not raining	6665	4775	10699	3918
		91.3	90.5	91.0	89.9
Road surface	Dry	6109	4264	9879	3554
24% missing	•	83.7	80.8	84.0	81.6
, and the second	Wet	1191	1012	1878	803
		16.3	19.2	16.0	18.4
WHAT OTHER	R FACTORS SURROUNDED	THE CRAS	н		
Vehicle	Stationary:	1055	532	2604	743
movement		14.5	10.1	22.1	17.1
24% missing	stopped on road	972	370	2358	510
		13.3	7.0	20.1	11.7
	Moving along roadway:	4866	3847	6973	2960
		66.7	72.9	59.3	67.9
	straight ahead	4502	3453	6598	2763
		61.7	65.4	56.1	63.4
	overtaking	86	40	104	20
		1200	0.8	0.9	0.5
	Turning/reversing:	1208 16.5	824 15.6	1900 16.2	589 13.5
	turning left	186	127	366	84
	turning lest	2.5	2.4	3.1	1.9
	turning right	865	586	1286	445
	turning right	11.8	11.1	10.9	10.2
Crash type	Vehicle/vehicle:	5844	3133	10029	3146
24% missing		80.1	59.4	85.3	72.2
~	right angle	1918	963	3128	958
	- <del>-</del>	26,3	18.3	26.6	22
	rear end	2326	899	4397	1003
		31.9	17.0	37.4	23.0
	head on	291	235	441	260
		4.0	4.5	3.8	6.0

		Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT OTHER	FACTORS SURROUNDEI	THE CRAS	H CONT		
Crash type	Vehicle/other:	1456	2143	1728	1211
cont		19.9	40.6	14.7	27.8
	pedestrian	454	313	691	257
		6.2	5.9	5.9	5.9
	object:	516	1207	472	582
		7.1	22.9	4.0	13.4
	fixed object	512	1200	463	576
		7,0	22.7	3.9	13.2
Apparent error	None	3754	1957	7317	2246
24% missing		51.4	37.1	62.2	51.5
	Inattention	1447	1604	1521	991
		19.8	30.4	12.9	22.7
	Fail to give way to right	507	218	704	175
		6.9	4.1	6.0	4:0
	Disobey traffic control	356	265	666	223
		4.8	4.9	5.7	5.1
	DUI	10	56	12	69
		0.1	1.1	0.1	1.6

# APPENDIX 4: FORS FATALITY FILE (1988) - NSW, VICTORIA AND SA

		Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
		Time of crash			
		Day	Night	Day	Night
DESCRIPTION O	F CRASH				
Person responsible	This driver responsible	154 54.4	264 70.0	204 46.6	216 56.3
•	Not responsible	67 23.7	50 13.3	147 33.6	91 23.7
	Pedestrian responsible	39 13.8	41 10.9	59 13.5	53 13.8
	>1 person responsible	14 4.9	17 4.5	15 3,4	18 4.7
	No fault	5 1.8	0.3	7 1.6	1 0.3
	Unit/person in prior event only	1,4	1.1	6 1.4	5 1.3
Number of vehicles	One	122 43	212 55.9	143 32.3	197 51.0
	Two	152 53.5	159 42.0	280 63.2	170 44.0
	Three or more	10 3.5	8 2.1	20 4.5	19 4.9
Number of persons in crash	One	14 5.0	63 16.8	33 7.5	79 20.6
•	Two	117 41.5	113 30.2	141 31.9	121 31,5
	Three	70 24.8	81 21.7	86 19.5	77 20
	Four	32 11.3	59 15.8	70 15.8	49 12.8
	Five or more	17.4	. 15.5	112 25.3	58 15.1
Number of persons injured	None	112 39.4	168 44.8	188 42.6	192 49.7
in crash	One	84 29.6	95 25.3	101	86 22.2
	Two	41	53	53 12.0	52 13,5
	Three or more	47 16.5	59 15.7	99	56 14.5

				Age of d	river	
			16-25		26-55	
				Time of c	rash	
			Day	Night	Day	Night
DESCRIPTION (	OF CRASH (	CONT				
Number of	None		146	209	251	234
persons injured			51.4	55.6	56.8	60.6
in vehicle	One		81	97	109	100
	Œ		28.5	25.8	24.7	25.9
	Two or mo	ore	57	70	82	52
			20.1	18.6	18.6	13.5
Number of	One		258	326	395	330
persons killed			90.8	86.0	89.2	85.5
in crash	Two or mo	re	26	53	48	56
			9.2	13.9	10.8	14.5
Number of	None		150	134	235	166
persons killed			52.8	35,4	53,0	43.0
in vehicle	One		117	213	185	191
			41.2	56.2	41.8	49.5
	Two or mo	ore	17	32	23	29
			6.0	8.5	5.2	7.6
WHEN DID THE	CRASH OC	CUR				
Day of week	Weekday		190	197	308	233
			66.9	52.0	69.5	60.4
	Weekend		94	182	135	153
			33,1	48.0	30.5	39.6
Hour/day	Weekday	mid - 6 am		67		48
	•			17.7		12.4
		6 am - noon	92		137	
			32.4		30,9	
		noon - 6 pm	98		171	
			34.5		38.6	
		6 pm - mid		130		185
	Weekend	mid 6 am		34.3		47.9
	weekend	mid - 6 am	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	98 25.9		75 19,4
		6 am - noon	34	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	47	137,4
		5 mii - 110011	12.0		10.6	15 (0.00)
		noon - 6 pm	60		88	
		1. —	21.1		19.4	
		6 pm - mid		84		78
				22.2		78 29.2

		Age of driver 16-25 26-55 Time of crash			
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHERE DID THE	CRASH OCCUR				
City/rural	Capital city	137	196	206	184
boundaries		48.2	51.7	46.5	47.7
	General rural	104	134	190	156
		36.6	35.4	42.9	40.4
Land use	Residential	88	131	113	116
		33.6	36.7	27.6	31.6
	Rural	114	143	205	168
		43.5	40.1	50.0	45.8
Type of road	Two-way undivided	158	230	271	247
30% missing	•	78.2	84.2	85.2	\$2.3
	Divided road (dual	37	38	33	42
	carriageway)	18.3	13.9	10.4	14.0
Intersection	Intersection:	63	73	94	65
Intersection		22.2	19.3	21.3	16.9
	Cross intersection	45	40	71	36
		54.9	37.7	56.8	41.9
	T-intersection	36	59	48	49
		43.9	55.7	48 38.4 31	57
	Related to intersection	21	33	31	20
		7.4	8.7	7.0	5.2
	Non-intersection	200	272	317	299
		70.4	72.0	71.7	77.9
Speed limit	60 kph	140	187	192	167
		49.5	49.3	43.6	43.3
	100 kph	99	109	166	132
		35.0	28.8	37.7	34.2
	110 kph	14	25	28	37
		4.9	6.6	6.4	9.6
Road alignment:	Straight	213	240	262	262
horizontal		75.0	63.7	67.9	67.9
	Curved	71	137	124	124
		25.0	36.3	32.1	32.1
Road alignment:	Level	220	257	313	297
vertical		78.6	70.4	71.8	79
	Slope	42	87	91	53
		15.0	23.8	20.9	14.1
Road surface	Sealed	272	365	427	372
		96.8	96.6	96.4	96.4

		Age of driver 16-25 26-55				
			Time of c	crash		
		Day	Night	Day	Night	
WHERE DID TH	E CRASH OCCUR					
Traffic controls	Traffic lights	13	26	29	23	
	-	4.7	7.0	6.6	6.0	
	Stop/give way signs	32	27	57	31	
		11.5	7.2	13	8.0	
	Other controls	23	28	40	26	
		8.2	7.5	9.1	6.8	
	No controls	211	293	314	305	
		75.6	78.3	71.4	79.2	
WHAT WERE TI	HE FACTORS WITHIN T	THE VEHICLE	- DRIVER			
Sex of driver	Males	211	317	317	315	
		74.3	83.6	71.6	81.6	
	Females	73	62	126	71	
		25.7	16,4	28.4	18.4	
Driver BAC	<.05	185	167	295	162	
23% missing		90.2	51.4	92.2	49.5	
	>.05	20	158	25	165	
		9.8	48.6	7.8	50.5	
Restraint use	Worn	210	227	351	254	
19% missing		91.3	75.9	91.6	80.4	
	Not worn	20	72	32	62	
		8.7	24.1	8.4	19.6	
Licence status	Standard	182	227	400	326	
12% missing		70.5	68.4	97.8	95.3	
	Provisional	63	87	5	5	
		24.4	26.2	1.2	1.5	
	Disqualified	4	6	2	8	
		1.6	1.8	0.5	2.3	
Years driving	< One	18	36	- 1	2	
experience		10.1	16.4	0.4	1.0	
45% missing	One	39	40	4	4	
	_	21.8	18.2	1.6	2.1	
	Two	25	40	2	1	
	Three	14.0	18,2 23	0.8	0.5	
	THEE	9.5	10.5	0.8	enesasture	
	Four	23	19	2	3	
		12.8	8.6	0.8	1.6	
	Five	19	22	3	2	
		10.6	10.0	1.2	1.0	
	Six or more	38	40	239	181	
		21.2	18.2	94.5	93.8	

		Age of driver			
		16-25 26-55 Time of crash			9.
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE T	HE FACTORS WITHIN TH	 E VEHICLE	- PASSENG	ERS	
Number of	One	146	166	228	220
occupants	*	51.8	44.0	51.5	57,3
-	Two	76	113	101	90
		27.0	30.0	22.8	23.4
	Three or more	60	98	114	74
		21.3	26.0	25.7	19.3
WHAT WERE T	HE VEHICLE FACTORS				
Vehicle speed	<60 kph	72	-67	123	80
54% missing		48.3	42.4	54.2	51.9
	>60 kph	77	91	104	74
		51.7	57.6	45.8	48.1
Speed category	Unlikely over limit	189	173	335	224
9% missing	·	71.9	50.6	82.1	63.6
	Definitely over limit	36	102	24	53
		13.7	29.8	5.9	15.1
	Possibly/definity over	73	168	56	121
	limit/within limit but	27.8	49.1	13.7	34.4
	excessive for conditions				
Year vehicle	<1 - 2 years	24	23	79	60
manufacture	·	10.7	7.2	22.8	20.0
12% missing	3 - 7 years	65	71	120	90
		29.0	22.3	34.6	30.0
	8 - 12 years	61	89	81	80
		27.2	27.9	23.3	26.7
	13 or more years	74	136	67	70
		33.0	42.6	19.3	23.3
WHAT WERE TI	HE ENVIRONMENTAL CO	NDITONS			
Natural light	Day	250	23	400	41
		88.0	6.1	96.3	10.6
	Night	9	350	22	322
		3.2	92.3	5.0	83,4
Street lighting	On:	9	192	12	172
-		25.7	54.1	27.3	50.0
	visibility good	1	85	6	76
		2.9	23.9	13.6	22.1
	visibility status unknown	6	76	5	62
		17.1	21.4	11.4	18.0

		Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE TH	HE ENVIRONMENTAL CO	ONDITONS C	CONT		
Street lighting	Off	6 17.1	10 2.8	4 9.1	12 3.5
volu	Nil	15 42.9	144 40.6	23 52.3	147 42.7
Weather	Fine	241 85.5	319 84,6	349 78.8	323 84.6
	Other than fine	41	58 15.4	94	59 15.4
WHAT OTHER F	ACTORS SURROUNDED	THE CRASH			
DCA	Pedestrian	65	62	78	75
	(on foot or in toy/pram)	22.9	16.4	17,6	19.4
	Adjacent directions:	42	36	67	33
	(intersections only)	14.8	9.5	15.1	8.5
	cross traffic	29	29	47	21
		10.2	7.7	10.6	5,4
	Opposing directions:	80	84	165	116
	head on	28.2	22.2 69	37.2 145	30.1 100
	nead on	24.3	18.2	32.7	25.9
	right thru	11	14	20	16
		3.9	3.7	4.5	4.1
	Same direction:	14	17	22	13
		4.9	4.5	5.0	3,4
	rear-end	8	10	11	5
		2.8	2.6	2.5	1.3
	Manoeuvring	8	4	14	2
		2.8	1.1	3.2	0.5
	Overtaking	15	13	18 4.1	13
	On path	5.3	3.4	4.1	3.4
	On path	0.4	1.8	0.9	2.8
	Off path, on straight	35	64	43	56
	p, <b></b>	12.3	16.9	9.7	14.5
	Off path, curve/turning	22	89	24	65
		7.7	23.5	5.4	16.8
	Passengers/misc.	2 0.7	3 0.8	8 1.8	2 0.5
		0.7	0.0	1.0	4.3
	Off road into object	49	131	49	101
		17.3	34.6	11.1	26.2

		Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
		Time of crash			
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT OTHER FA	ACTORS SURROUNDED	HE CRASH	CONT		
Primary	Vehicle/vehicle:	160	158	287	182
accident class		56.3	41.7	64.8	47.2
	Vehicle/other:	117	198	137	183
		41.2	52.2	30.9	47.4
	pedestrian	65	62	78	75
		22.9	16.4	17.6	19.4
	object	52	134	56	108
		18.3	35.4	12.6	28.0
	Non collision	7	23	17	21
		2.5	6,1	3.8	5,4
Major factor/error	Driver intoxication	19	150	29	173
7% missing		7.1	41.6	6.9	46.9
	Pedestrian/cyclist at fault	42	45	56	56
		15.8	12.5	13.4	15.2
	Excessive speed	36	44	33	37
		13.5	12.2	7.9	10.0
	Failure to observe	33	9	52	16
	person/vehicle	12.4	2.5	12.4	4.3
Origin/Destination	of trip - too many				
missing cases		$\Box$			

## APPENDIX 5: GES FILE (1989) - NORTH-WESTERN REGION

			Age of driver				
		16-25		26-55			
			Time of crash				
		Day	Night	Day	Night		
Severity	Fatal	8	6	17	6		
		2.2	1.8	3.1	1.6		
	Incapacitating	78	79	93	115		
		21.1	23.5	16.8	31.4		
	Nonincapacitating	254	229	385	210		
		68.8	68.2	69.4	57.4		
Number of vehicles	One	134	167	148	180		
involved		35.6	48.7	26,0	48.6		
	Two	200	156	336	162		
		53.2	45.5	59.1	43.8		
	Three or more	42	20	85	28		
		11.2	5.8	14.9	7.6		
Number of persons	One	41	58	27	80		
in crash		12.5	20.8	5.5	24.6		
	Two	102	91	189	105		
		31	32.6	38.6	32.3		
	Three	98	56	134	62		
		29.8	20.1	27.3	19.1		
	Four or more	88	74	140	78		
		26.7	26.5	28.6	24.0		
Number of persons	None	7	7	14	4		
injured in crash		1.9	2.0	2.5	1.1		
	One	215	194	342	238		
		57.2	56.6	60.1	64.3		
	Two	100	77	132	75		
		26.6	22.4	23.2	20.3		
	Three or more	54	65	81	53		
		14.4	19.0	14.2	14.3		
Number of persons	None	129	83	247	123		
injured in vehicle	•	34.3	24.2	43,4	33.2		
	One	181	177	260	189		
	•	48.1	51.6	45.7	51.1		
	Two or more	66	83	62	58		
		17.6	24.2	10.9	15.7		

				Age of dr	iver		
			16-25		26-55		
			Time of crash				
			Day	Night	Day	Night	
WHEN DID THE CR	ASH OCCUR						
Day of week	Weekday		265 70.5	205 59.8	430 75.7	226 61.1	
	Weekend		111 29.5	138 40.2	138 24.3	144 38.9	
Hour/day	Weekday	6 am - noon	94 35.5		165 38.4		
		Noon - 6 pm	171 64.5	2000000	265 61.6		
		6 pm - mid		155 75.6		165 73.0	
		Mid - 6 am		50 24.4		61 27.0	
	Weekend	6 am - noon	41 36.9		47 34.0		
		Noon - 6 pm	70 63.6		91 66.0		
		6 pm - mid		69 50.0		79 54.9	
		Mid - 6 am		69 50.0		65 45.1	
WHERE DID THE C	RASH OCCUI	R					
Percentage rural	Urban		72 19.1	85	154 27.1	107 28.9	
	<50% Rura	al	254 67.6	24.8 218 63.4	367 64.5	229 61.9	
	>50% Rura	ıl	50 13.3	40 18.5	48	34 9.2	
Trafficway flow	Two way u	ındivided	187	159	273	164	
·	Divided hi	ghway	83.5 34	79.5 29	82.5 53	82.4 30	
			15.2	14.5	16.0	15.1	
Relation to junction	Intersection		126 34.1	109 32.4	226 40.1	106 29,4	
	Non juncti	on	168 45.5	185 55.1	203 36.1	187 51.8	

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	f crash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHERE DID THE CR	ASH OCCUR CONT				
Relation to	On road	286 76.3	200 58.0	513 90.5	247 67.3
roadway	Off road/shoulder	76 20.3	123 36.0	39 6.9	102 27.8
Speed limit	25 mph (40 kph)	44 25.6	39 31.0	85 30.5	67 40.9
	35 mph (56 kph)	52 30.2	47 37.3	89 31.9	47 28.7
	45 mph (72 kph)	26 15.1	13 10.3	52 18.6	16 9,8
Roadway alignment: horizontal	Straight	308 83.7	257 77.2	490 87.5	284 80.2
	Curved	60 16.3	76 22.8	70 12.5	70 19.8
Roadway profile: vertical	Level	224 70.2	356 73.9	195 76.6	229 74.1
	Grade	88 27.6	120 23.9	63 24.4	75 24.3
Traffic control device	Traffic lights	64 17.2	65 19.3	155 27.4	81 22.3
	Stop/give way sign	47 12.6	43 12.8	81 14.3	42 11.5
	Other traffic controls	52 14.0	50 14.8	91 16.1	45 12.4
	No controls	252 67.7	219 65.0	318 56.3	237 65.1
Traffic device	No controls	252 69.6	219 67.4	318 57.3	237 66.2
	Device functioning	109 30.1	106 32.6	237 42.7	116 32.4
WHAT WERE THE F.	ACTORS WITHIN THE V	EHICLE - DR	IVER		
Sex of driver	Males	231 61.4	249 72.6	312 54.8	257 <b>69</b> .5
	Females	145 38.6	94	257 45.2	113 30.5
Alcohol use (driver)	Alcohol involved	7	48 14.3	9	60 16.7
	No alcohol involved	366 98.1	287 85.7	554 98.4	299 83.3

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of crash		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE THE FA	ACTORS WITHIN THE V	EHICLE - DRI	VER CONT		1
Alcohol involved in	Alcohol involved	11	57	17	73
crash		2.9	16.8	3.0	20.2
¥- ***-	No alcohol involved	363	283	548	289
		97.1	83.2	97.0	79.8
Driver impairment	No impairment	361	322	559	347
•	•	97	95.3	98.6	96.1
	Drowsy/fatigue	8	5	3	8
		2.2	1.5	0.5	2.2
WHAT WERE THE FA	ACTORS WITHIN THE V	EHICLE - PAS	SENGER		
Number of occupants	One	197	162	343	213
.,		53.0	47.9	61.0	57.7
	Two	91	78	98	71
		24.5	23.1	17,4	19.2
	Three or more	84	98	121	85
		22.6	29.0	21.5	23.0
WHAT WERE THE V	EHICLE FACTORS				
Vehicle speed 83% missing - very low frequ	iency overall				
		126	106	226	137
Year vehicle	<1 - 2 years	126	105 31.0	236 42.2	37.5
manufacture	2 7 110022	33.7 127	139	193	125
	3 - 7 years	34.0	41.0	34.5	34.2
	8 - 12 years	87	73	100	76
	J 12 J 10015	23.3	21.5	17.9	20.8
	13 or more years	34	22	30	27
		9.1	6.5	5.4	7,4
Vehicle defects	No defects	369	332	555	357
venicle delects	110 401000	98.7	Contract Con	98.4	97.8
	Defects	5		9	8
		1.3	3.2	1.6	2.2
WHAT WERE THE E	NVIRONMENTAL CON	DIT ONS			
Light conditions	Daylight	352	50	520	46
Tigut conditions	Dayngiit	94.9		93.4	12.6
	Dark:	8		22	291
		2.1	80.0	3.9	SECRETAL SALVENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED OF TAXABLE PARTY.
	dark but lighted	3	A SA A SA DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	*****************	
		0.8	59.9	3.4	62.1

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
Visual obstructions	No obstruction	361	334	558	358
		97.0	97.7	98.4	98.6
	Obstruction	11	8	9	5
		3.0	2.3	1.6	1.4
Road surface	Dry	253	235	385	241
conditions		68.2	68.9	68.4	65.8
	Other (wet/snow/ice)	118	106	178	125
		31.8	31.1	31.6	34.2
Atmospheric	No adverse conditions	283	264	431	275
conditions		76.5	77.6	76.8	75.1
	Other (rain/sleet/snow/fog)	87	76	130	91
		23.5	22.3	23.2	24.8
WHAT OTHER FACT	ORS SURROUNDED THE C	CRASH	1		
Vehicle manoeuvre	Stationary	24	10	37	21
		6.5	3.0	6.6	5.8
	Moving along roadway:	221	228	327	245
		59.6	67.7	58.5	68.2
	proceeding along lane	194	183	298	210
		52.3	54.3	53.3	58.5
	Turning/reversing:	77	51	91	50
		20.8	15.1	16.3	13.9
	turning left	57	39	41	34
		15.4	11.6	7.3	9.5
	turning right	16	8	17	10
		4.3	2,4	3.0	2.8
Manner of collision	Vehicle/vehicle:	236	171	417	178
		63.1	50.1	73.7	49
	angle	134	118	236	111
	_	35.8	34.6	41.7	30.6
	rear-end	81	37	141	38
		21.7	10.9	24.9	10,5
	head on	16	9	31	23
	NT 112-1 148	4.3	2.6	5,5	6.3
	No collision with	138	170	149	183
	moving vehicle	36.9	49.9	26.3	50.4

		Age of driver				
		16-25		26-55		
		110	Time of c	rash		
		Day	Night	Day	Night	
WHAT OTHER FACT	FORS SURROUNDED THE C	RASH CON	т			
First harmful event	Fixed object	74	128	40	104	
		19.7	37.3	7.0	28.4	
	Non-fixed:	57	38	102	72	
		15.2	11.0	17.9	19.7	
	pedestrian	25	17	54	30	
		6.7	5.0	9.5	8.2	
	cyclist	25	9	38	26	
		6.7	2.6	6.7	7.1	
	Non collision	8	4	8	9	
		2,1	1.2	1.4	2.5	
Vehicle role	Multiple vehicle - striking	130	92	183	90	
		35.3	27.3	32.6	25.1	
	Multiple vehicle - struck	88	69	193	82	
	_	23.9	20.5	34.3	22.8	
	Single vehicle - striking	116	155	110	161	
		31.5	46.0	19.6	44.8	
LEGAL ACTION/VIO	DLATIONS					
Violations charged	None	262	212	461	259	
<del>-</del>		70,4	62.4	81.7	70.6	
	Violations charged	110	128	103	108	
		29.6	37.6	18.3	29.4	

## APPENDIX 6: GES FILE (1989) - MID-WESTERN REGION

			Age of di	river	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of crash		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
DESCRIPTION OF C	RASH				
Severity	Fatality	16 2.1	21 3.3	18	22 3.6
	Incapacitating	180 23.1	196 31	325 28.7	161 26.2
	Nonincapacitating	581 74.5	414 65.4	782 69.0	429 69.8
Number of vehicles involved	One	228 29.1	292 45.3	275 24.1	238 37.9
	Two	463 59.1	305 47.3	656 57.4	305 48.6
	Three or more	93	48 7.4	212 [8.5]	85 13.5
Number of persons in crash	One	84 11.2	123 20.3	81 7.5	115 <b>19.8</b>
	Two	278 36.9	175 28.9	405 37.4	173 29.8
	Three Four or more	184 24.4 207	126 20.8 182	274 25.3 324	124 21.3
	roul of more	27.5	30.0	29.9	169 29.1
Number of persons injured in crash	None	4 0.5	12 1.9	10 0.9	13 2.1
	One	426 54.3	349 54.1	643 56.3	346 55.1
	Two Three or more	224 28.6	161 25.0	307 26.9	160 25.5
	intee or more	130 16.5	123 19.1	183	109 17,3
Number of persons injured in vehicle	None	243 31.0	183 28.4	443 38.9	191 30.4
	One	427 54.5	314 48.7	547 47.9	338 53.8
	Two or more	114 14.5	148 22.9	153 13.4	99 15,8

				Age of dr	iver	
			16-25		26-55	
				Time of c	of crash	
			Day	Night	Day	Night
WHEN DID THE CRA	ASH OCCUR					
Day of week	Weekday		607	402	908	404
			77.4	62.3	79.4	64.3
	Weekend		177	243	235	224
			22.6	37.7	20.6	35.9
Hour/day	Weekday	6 am - noon	226		339	
			37.2		37.3	
		Noon - 6 pm	381		569	
			52.8		62.7	
		6 pm - mid		322		331
				81.0		81.9
		Mid - 6 am		80		73
				19.9		18,1
	Weekend	6 am - noon	44		71	
			24.9		30.2	
		Noon - 6 pm	133		164	
			75.1		69.8	
		6 pm - mid		115		132
				47,3		58.9
		Mid - 6 am		128		92
				52.7		41.1
WHERE DID THE CH	RASH OCCU	R				
Percentage rural	Urban		255	229	456	284
J			32.5	35.5	39.9	45.2
	< 50% Rur	al	303	233	447	204
			38.6	36.1	39.1	32.5
	>50% Rura	al	226	183	240	140
			28.8	28.4	21.0	22.3
Trafficway flow	Two way u	ındivided	403	299	521	298
-			76.3	75.7	69.7	71.3
	Divided hi	ghway	108	83	197	102
			20.5	21.0	25.1	24,4
Relation to junction	Intersection	n	321	211	464	194
<b>.</b>			41.1	32.7	40.8	31.2
	Non inters	ection	303	327	437	300
			38.8	50.7	38.4	48.2

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHERE DID THE CR	ASH OCCUR CONT				
Relation to roadway	On road	650 82.9	419 65.0	1011	462 73.8
	Off road/shoulder	121 15.4	202	109	146 23.3
Speed limit	25 mph (40 kph)	70 15.4	83 22.9	102 16.9	59 16.8
	35 mph (56 kph)	89 19,5	66 18.2	142 23.6	74 21
	55 mph (89 kph)	148 32.5	100 27.6	165 27.4	102 29.0
Roadway alignment: horizontal	Straight	702 91.4	542 86.0	1031 92.2	541 87.7
	Curved	66 8.6	88 14.0	87 7.8	76 12.3
Roadway profile: vertical	Level	320 76.0	252 73.9	421 75.6	272 79.8
	Grade	97 23.0	86 25.2	121 21.8	65 19.1
Traffic control device	Traffic lights	162 20.8	135 21.0	255 22.5	131 21.2
	Stop/give way signs	116 14.9	78 12.2	175 15.4	68 11.0
	Other traffic controls	24 3.1	3.6	2.0	3.2
	No controls	476 61.2	404 63.1	60.0	400 64.6
Traffic device functioning	No controls	476 62.4	404 63.7	681 61.0	400 66.0
<b>8</b>	Device functioning	286 37.5	228 36.0	431 39.0	204 33.6
WHAT WERE THE FA	ACTORS WITHIN THE V	/EHICLE - DR	IVER		
Sex of driver	Males	415 53.1	434 67.3	585 51.3	393 62.8
	Females	367 46.9	211	556 48.7	233
Alcohol use (driver)	Alcohol involved	25	118	33	137
	No alcohol involved	3.2 756 96.8	18.5 521 81.5	2.9 1106 97.1	22.1 483 77.9

		-	Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE THE F	ACTORS WITHIN THE V	 VEHICLE - DR	IVER CON	т	
	7,111111, 1111				
Alcohol involved	Alcohol involved	38 4.9	165 25.7	56 4,9	187 29.9
	No alcohol involved	744	477	1087	438
		95.1	74.3	95.1	70.1
Driver impairment	No impairment	756	562	1108	556
		97.3	89.3	97.6	91.0
	Drowsy/fatigue	11	19	8	7
		1.4	3.0	0.7	1.1
WHAT WERE THE F.	ACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE - PA	SSENGERS		
Number of occupants	One	490	326	724	376
•		62.7	50.8	63.6	60.2
	Two	188	193	233	144
		24.0	30.1	20.5	23.0
	Three or more	104	123	181	105
		13.4	19.2	15.9	16.8
WHAT WERE THE V	EHICLE FACTORS				
Vehicle speed					
72% missing - very low frequency	nency overall				
Year vehicle	<1 - 2 years	213	160	432	212
manufacture		27.6	25.1	38.2	34.2
	3 - 7 years	270	211	374	181
	0 10	34.9	33.1	33.1	29.2
	8 - 12 years	237 30.7	212 33.3	259 22.9	173 27.9
	13 or more years	53	54	47	40
	15 of more yours	6.9	8.4	4.2	6.5
Vehicle defects	No defects	774	614	1121	606
V Unicip delects	110 4410415	99.1	96.7	99.0	97.3
	Defects	7	21	11	17
		0.8	3.4	1.0	2.7
WHAT WERE THE E	NVIRONMENTAL CONI	DITONS			
Light conditions	Daylight	731	96	1047	131
	_ <del></del>	93.5	15.0	91.9	21.0
	Dark:	35	516	42	467
		4.5	80.8	3.7	75.0
	dark but lighted	24	281	21	274
		3.1	44.0	1.8	44.0

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE THE E	NVIRONMENTAL CONDIT	ONS CONT.			
Visual obstructions	No obstruction	757	620	1104	597
		96.8	96.7	97.0	95.5
	Obstruction	25	21	34	28
		3.2	3.3	3.0	4.5
Road surface	Dry	537	453	732	440
conditions		68.6	71.3	64.3	71.1
	Other (wet/snow/ice)	246	182	407	179
		31.4	28.7	35.7	28.9
Atmospheric	No adverse conditions	647	514	899	504
conditions		82.9	80.7	78.9	81.4
	Other (rain/sleet/snow/fog)	133	123	241	115
	, o	17.5	18.9	21.0	18.6
WHAT OTHER FAC	FORS SURROUNDED THE	CRASH			
Vehicle manoeuvre	Stationary	45	33	153	59
		5.8	5.2	13.5	9.5
	Moving along roadway:	509	442	711	429
		65.8	69.2	62.8	69.3
	proceeding along lane	468	396	667	381
		60.5	62.0	58.9	61.5
	Turning/reversing:	128	84	166	70
		16.5	13.1	14.7	11.3
	turning left	103	59	131	55
		13.3	9.2	11.6	8.9
	turning right	22	20	31	10
		2.8	3.1	2.7	1.6
Manner of collision	Vehicle/vehicle:	546	344	852	378
		70.2	53.8	75.1	60.6
	angle	347	214	503	209
		44,6	33.5	44.3	33.5
	rear-end	146	98	290	129
		18.8	15.3	25.6	20.7
	head on	33	22	41	26
		4.2	3,4	3.6	4.2
	No collision with	232	295	282	246
	moving vehicle	29.8	46.2	24.9	39.4

		Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT OTHER FAC	TORS SURROUNDED THE	CRASH CON	т		
First harmful event	Fixed object:	104	181	101	121
		13.3	28.3	8.9	19.4
	Non-fixed	106	93	170	107
		13.6	14.5	14.9	17.2
	pedestrian	49	51	92	52
		6.3	7.9	8.1	8.3
	cyclist	43	14	68	27
		5.5	2.2	6.0	4.3
	Non collision	22	21	11	18
		2.8	3.3	1.0	2.9
Vehicle role	Multiple vehicle - striking	315	185	387	191
		40.3	28.7	34.0	30.6
	Multiple vehicle - struck	214	153	411	170
		27.4	23.7	36.0	27.2
	Single vehicle - striking	183	262	220	204
		23.4	40.6	19.3	32.7
LEGAL ACTION/VIO	OLATIONS				
Violations charged	None	441	341	845	397
5		56,5	53.1	74.0	63.0
	Violations charged	339	301	297	229
	-	43.4	46.9	26.0	36.6

## APPENDIX 7: GES FILE (1989) - WESTERN REGION

		Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of crash		
		Day	Night	Day	Night
DESCRIPTION OF CE	RASH				
Severity	Fatal	14	8 1,9	19 1.8	9 2.0
	Incapacitating	2.3 152	106	228	120
	Nonincapacitating	24.5 455	25.8 294	783	26.6 322
	1.0011110abaotaati2	73.3	71.5	76.0	71.4
Number of vehicles	One	171 27.5	155 36.4	235 22.6	165 36.2
involved	Two	359 56.8	230 54,0	603 57.9	245 53.7
	Three or more	99	41	204	46
		15.7	9,6	19.6	10.1
Number of persons in crash	One	35 5.7	50 12.7	42	65 14.8
Clash	Two	241 39,3	115 29.2	409 40.7	143 32.6
	Three	153 25	100 25.4	215 21,4	89 20.3
	Four or more	184 30.0	129 32.7	338 33.7	141 32.2
Number of persons injured in crash	None	11	15 3.5	12 1.2	5
injured in crash	One	345 54.6	227 53.3	580 55.7	257 56.4
	Two	167 26.4	89 20.9	269 25.8	107 23.5
	Three or more	109 17.4	95 22.4	181 17.4	87 19.1
Number of persons	None	243	127	437	165
injured in vehicle	One	38.4 276	29.8 192	41.9 459	36.2 215
	Two or more	43.7 113	45.1 107	44 146	47.1 76
		18.0	25.1	14.0	16.7

				Age of dr	iver	
			16-25		26-55	
			Time of c		rash	
			Day	Night	Day	Night
WHEN DID THE CRA	ASH OCCUR	1				
Day of week	Weekday		481 76.1	268 62.9	822 78.9	311 68,2
	Weekend		151 23.9	158 37.1	220	145 31.8
Hour/day	Weekday	6 am - noon	151		277	INITIAL PLANTA
·	•	Noon - 6 pm	31.4 330		33.7 545	
		6 pm - mid	68.6	224	66.3	265
		Mid - 6 am		83.6 44		85.2 46
				16.4	His illus	14.8
	Weekend	6 am - noon	41 27.2		79 35.9	
		Noon - 6 pm	110 72.8		141 64.0	
		6 pm - mid		93 58.9		93 64.1
		Mid - 6 am		65 41.1		52 35.9
WHERE DID THE CR	ASH OCCU	R				
Percent rural	Urban		241 38.1	170 39,9	424 40.7	191 41.9
	< 50% Rur	al	365 57.8	230 54.0	583 56.0	229 50.2
	>50% Rura	ıl	26 4.1	26 6.1	35 3.4	36 7.9
Trafficway flow	Two way u	ındivided	332	221	522	244
	Divided hip	ghway	63,4 182 34.7	62.6 125 35.4	59.4 331 37.7	65.1 125 33.3
Relation to junction	Intersection	1	266	160	421	192
•	Non interse		42.7 232	38.1 195	41.0 380	42.4 186
			37.2	46.4	37.0	41.1

Age of driver

16-25

Time	οf	cra	sh
------	----	-----	----

			Night	Day	Night
Dalation to use decision	O 4	540	212	0.51	245
Relation to roadway	On road	543 86.5	312 73.6	951 91.7	345
	Off road/shoulder	67	89	69	76 87
	Off foad/shoulder	10.7	21.0	6.7	19.2
		7,017	HAM	ATTENDED TO A STATE OF	minimation.
Speed limit	30 mph (48kph)	91	51	144	67
		15.7	13.2	14.9	15.7
	35 mph (56 kph)	194	125	294	144
		33.4	32.3	30.5	33.8
	55 mph (89 kph)	52	54	134	74
		9.0	14.0	13.9	17.4
Roadway alignment:	Straight	558	250	024	780
horizontal	Straight	91.2	359 \$8.0	934 92.4	380 86.2
noi izontat	Curved	54	49	77	61
	Cui voa	8.8	12.0	7.6	13.8
		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000			
Roadway profile:	Level	361	233	600	233
vertical		76.0	74.2	77.5	72.8
	Grade	107	73	161	83
		22.5	23.2	20.8	25.9
Traffic control device	Too CC a 15 alaan	1.40		200	
i raine control device	Traffic lights	23.6	83 20.8	253	91
	Stop/give way sign	81	33	25.2 113	20.6 48
	Stop/give way sign	13.5	8.3	11.3	10.9
	Other traffic controls	45	25	76	38
		7.5	6.3	7.6	8.6
	No controls	334	259	561	265
		55.5	64.8	55.9	60.0
			2000	9555	3000
Traffic device	No controls	334	259	561	265
functioning	Davice functioning	55.5	65.2	55.9	60.2
	Device functioning	268 44.5	137 34.5	442	173 39.3
		44.2	34,2	44.1	29.3
WHAT WERE THE FA	ACTORS WITHIN THE V	VEHICLE - DR	LIVER		
Sex of driver	Males	321	273	492	294
		50.9	64.4	47.2	64.5
	Females	310	151	550	162
		49.1	35.6	52.8	35.5
Alcohol use (driver)	Alcohol involved	19	79	26	0.5
AMPORIOR HOW (GITTEL)	VICOUNT HIANIACE	3.1	19.8	36	95 21.3
	No alcohol involved	595	321	983	351
		96.9	80.3	96.5	78.7

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
		100	Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE THE F	ACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE - DE	RIVER CON	VT	
Alcohol involved in crash	Alcohol involved	37	114 27.6	63 6.1	145 32.2
Clash	No alcohol involved	586	299	968	305
	140 discilor hittorica	94.1	72.4	93.9	67.8
Driver impairment	No impairment	600	392	1008	430
		97.7	95.6	98.4	94.9
	Drowsy/fatigue	8	12	4	9
		1.3	2.9	0.4	2.0
WHAT WERE THE F	ACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE - PA	SSENGER	s	
Number of occupants	One	390	188	684	261
		62.7	45.5	66.7	58.0
	Two	139	138	198	125
		22.3	33.4	19.3	27.8
	Three or more	93	87	144	64
		15.0	21.1	14.0	14.2
WHAT WERE THE V	EHICLE FACTORS				
Vehicle speed 63% missing - very low freq	uency overali				
3 . 1	•				5000
Year of vehicle	<1 - 2 years	150	96	319	146
manufacture		23.8	22.6	30.9	32.4
	3 - 7 years	182	114	326	128
		28.8	26.8	31.6	28.4
	8 - 12 years	199	124	252	103
	12	31.5	29.2	24.4	22.9
	13 or more years	100 15.9	91 21.4	136 13.2	73 16.2
		12.7	21.7	17.2	10.2
Vehicle defects	No defects	585	393	938	423
		98.7	97.8	93.8	97.0
	Defects	8	9	17	13
		1.3	2.1	1.7	3.0
WHAT WERE THE E	NVIRONMENTAL CON	DITONS			
Light conditions	Daylight	580	85	956	78
-	· -	93.7	20.6	92.8	17.5
	Dark:	21	311	41	337
		3.9	75.3	4.0	75.7
	dark but lighted	13	211	33	242
		2.6	51,1	3.2	54.4

			Age of dr		
	-	16-25	26-55		
		1	Time of c	1	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE THE E	NVIRONMENTAL CONDIT	ONS CONT	]		
Visual obstructions	No obstruction	607	411	996	440
		97.6	97.2	96.2	97.8
	Obstruction	15	12	39	10
		2.4	2.7	3,8	2.2
Road surface	Dec	512	342	872	372
conditions	Dry	83.8	82.6	85.2	82.9
conditions	Other (wet/snow/ice)	99	72	151	77
	Onici (wespite in ite)	16.2	17.4	14.8	17.1
				+ 1	
Atmospheric	No adverse conditions	550	365	913	398
conditions		88.9	87.1	89.2	88.2
	Other (rain/sleet/snow/fog)	69	54	111	53
		11.2	12.4	10.9	31.7
WHAT OTHER FAC	TORS SURROUNDED THE	CRASH			
Vehicle manoeuvre				- 1	
	Stationary	64	31	194	74
		10.3	7,3	18.8	16.3
	Moving along roadway:	391	267	565	292
		62.8	63.3	54.7	64.3
	proceeding along lane	366	241	538	257
		58.7	57.1	52.1 197	56.6 88
	Turning/reversing:	127 20.4	82 19.4	19.1	19.4
	turning left	104	60	146	73
	turning leit	16.7	14.2	14.1	16
	turning right	20	18	42	14
	turning right	3.2	4.3	4.1	3.1
Manner of collision	Vehicle/vehicle:	449	265	792	284
		71.5	62.6	76.2	62.6
	angle	246	158	405	167
		39.2	37,4	39.0	36.8
	rear-end	170	79	330	79
		27.1	18.7	31.8	17.4
	head on	26	15	32	16
		4.1	3.5	3.1	3.5
	No collision with	179	158	247	170
	moving vehicle	28.5	37.4	23.8	37.4

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25			
			Time of c	rash	
			Night	Dav	Night
WHAT OTHER FAC	TORS SURROUNDED THE	CRASH CO	NT		
First harmful event	Fixed object	53	71	58	79
		8.4	16.7	5.6	17.4
	Non-fixed:	106	96	170	72
		16.8	14.4	16.4	15.8
	pedestrian	43	22	66	36
		6.8	5.2	6.4	7.9
	cyclist	48	17	94	21
		7.6	4.0	9.0	4.6
	Non collision	20	26	19	18
		3.2	6.1	1.8	4.0
Vehicle role	Multiple vehicle - striking	251	125	320	131
		40.1	30	31.3	29
	Multiple vehicle - struck	171	119	383	138
		27.3	28.5	37.5	30.5
	Single vehicle - striking	135	129	165	137
		21.6	30.9	16.1	30.3
LEGAL ACTION/VI	OLATIONS				
Violations charged	None	369	227	683	272
		60.0	56.0	67.1	61.5
	Violations charged	246	178	335	170
	_	40.0	44.0	32.9	38.5

## **APPENDIX 8: GES FILE (1989) - SOUTHERN REGION**

		Age of driver				
		16-25		26-55		
		Time of crash				
		Day	Night	Day	Night	
DESCRIPTION OF C	RASH					
Severity	Fatal	26	37	25	29	
-		1.9	3.9	1.2	2.8	
	Incapacitating	569	382	821	422	
		42.1	40.6	41.0	40.7	
	Nonincapacitating	754	519	1149	581	
		55.7	55.2	57.4	56.1	
Number of vehicles	One	323	395	348	362	
involved		23.8	41.5	17.2	34.6	
	Two	854	478	1321	577	
		62.9	50.3	65.3	55.1	
	Three or more	181	78	355	108	
		13.3	8.2	17.5	10.3	
Number of persons	One	100	158	119	160	
in crash		7.9	18.5	6.5	16.8	
	Two	444	246	666	285	
		35.4	28.7	36.3	29,9	
	Three	292	181	408	207	
		23.3	21.1	22.2	21.7	
	Four or more	417	271	644	301	
		33.3	31.7	35.0	31,6	
Number of persons	None	6	12	21	12	
injured in crash		0.4	1.3	1.0	1.3	
	One	738	495	1104	584	
	_	54.3	52.1	54.5	55.8	
	Two	395	257	559	251	
	COM.	29.1	27	27.6	24.0	
	Three or more	219	187	340	201	
		16.1	19.7	16.8	19.2	
Number of persons	None	436	241	733	324	
injured in vehicle	0	32.1	25.3	36.2	30.9	
	One	691	469	993	540	
	T	50.8	49.3	49.6	51.6	
	Two or more	231	241	298	183	
		17.0	25.3	14.7	17.5	

				Age of dr	iver	* .
			16-25		26-55	
				Time of c	rash	
			Day	Night	Day	Night
WHEN DID THE CRA	SH OCCUR					
Day of week	Weekday		1039 76,6	562 59	1552 76.7	669 64.0
	Weekend		318 23.4	389 40.9	472 23.3	377 36.0
Hour/day	Weekday	6 am - noon	316		558	
-	-	Noon - 6 pm	30.4 723		36.0 994	
		6 pm - mid	69.6	457	64.0	568
				81.3		84.9
		Mid - 6 am		105 18.7	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	101 15.1
	Weekend	6 am - noon	90 28.3		140 29.7	
		Noon - 6 pm	228 71.7		332 70.3	
		6 pm - mid		225	19.3	251
		Mid - 6 am		57.8 164 42.2		66.6 126 33.4
WHERE DID THE CE	RASH OCCU	R				
Percentage rural	Urban		375	272	739	335
J			27.6	28.6	36.5	32.0
	< 50% Ru	ral	638	459	840	504
	- 500/ P		47.0	48.3	41.5 445	48.1 208
	>50% Rur	aı	345 25.4	220 23.0	22.0	19,9
Trafficway flow	Two way	undivided	810	558	1086	567
			66.8	67.3	60.6	62.7
	Divided hi	ighway	370 30.5	247 29.8	632 35.3	314 34.7
Relation to junction	Intersection	n	573	334	851	493
<u>-</u>			42.3	35.3	42.2	47.4
	Non inters	section	525	477	741	379
			38.9	50.4	36.7	36.4

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25	)	26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHERE DID THE CR	ASH OCCUR CONT				
Relation to roadway	On road	1144	622	1818	808
		84.2	65.5	89.9	77.4
	Off road/shoulder	193 14,2	302 31.8	179 8.8	199 19.1
Speed limit	35 mph (56 kph)	279	185	415	212
-	- ' - '	21.5	20.4	21.4	21.3
	45 mph (72 kph)	216	158	346	177
		16.6	17.4	17.9	17.8
	55 mph (89 kph)	284 21.8	222	403 20.8	223 22.4
Roadway alignment:	Straight	1169	779	1794	881
horizontal		87.5	83.2	90.0	86.2
	Curved	167	157	199	141
		12.5	16.8	10.0	13.8
Roadway profile:	Level	777	536	1221	578
vertical		68.6	70.4	73.3	71.6
	Grade	306	195	391	204
		27.0	25.6	23.5	25.3
Traffic control device	Traffic lights	258	189	482	219
		19.2	20.2	24.0	21.0
	Stop/give way sign	222	98	278	115
		16.5	10.5	13.9	11.2
	Other traffic controls	84	81	154	87
		6.3	8.6	7.7	8.4
	No controls	778 58.0	569 60.7	1096 54.7	606 58.9
Traffic device	No controls	778	569	1090	606
functioning	NO COMMONS	59.1	62.9	56.1	60.9
tunctioning	Device functioning	534	335	851	382
	Dovice functioning	40.6	37	43.8	38.4
WHAT WERE THE FA	ACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE - DE	RIVER		
Sex of driver	Males	702	599	972	656
		51.7	63.1	48.1	63.0
	Females	656	351	1050	391
		48.3	36.9	51.9	37.3
Alcohol use (driver)	Alcohol involved	34	151	68	169
	<b>.</b> . 1	2.5	16.4	3,4	16.5
	No alcohol involved	1300	769	1932	857
		97.5	83.6	96.6	83.5

			Age of dr	iver	
		16-25		26-55	
			Time of c	rash	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE THE F	ACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE - DE	LIVER CON	т	
Alcohol involved in	Alcohol involved	62	203	95	248
crash.		4.6	21.7	4.7	24.0
	No alcohol involved	1290	732	1914	785
		95.4	78.3	95.3	76.0
Driver impairment	No impairment	1310	886	1950	940
		97.4	94.3	97.1	91.7
	Drowsy/fatigue	16	23	16	20
		1.2	2.4	0.8	2.0
WHAT WERE THE F	ACTORS WITHIN THE	VEHICLE - PA	SSENGER	s	4
Number of occupants	One	743	435	1214	608
· •		54.9	46.3	60.2	58.9
	Two	341	267	378	223
		25.2	28.4	18,7	21.6
	Three or more	269	238	426	202
		19.9	25.3	21.1	19.6
WHAT WERE THE V	EHICLE FACTORS				
Vehicle speed 42% missing - very low freq	uency overall				
Year vehicle	< 1 - 2 years	436	288	726	353
manufacture	•	32.4	30.4	36.2	33.9
	3 - 7 years	443	316	691	320
		32.9	33.4	34.5	30.8
	8 - 12 years	339	251	443	258
		25.2	26.5	22.1	24.8
	13 or more years	129	91	144	108
		9.6	9.6	7.2	10.4
Vehicle defects	No defects	1305	910	1965	1007
		97.9	97.6	98.4	98.0
	Defects	28	22	32	21
		2.1	2.4	1.6	2.0
WHAT WERE THE E	NVIRONMENTAL CON	DITONS			
Light conditions	Daylight	1278	129	1875	170
	<b>↓ ⊖</b>	94.7	13.9	93.3	16.7
	Dark:	32	757	77	781
		2.4	81.4	3.8	76.9
	dark but lighted	18	359	44	406
		1.3	38.6	2.2	40.0

			Age of dr	river	
		16.00	Age of di	26-55	
		16-25	Tilment		
		. 1	Time of c	1	
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT WERE THE E	NVIRONMENTAL CONDI	ONS CONT			
Visual obstructions	No obstruction	1288 95.3	886 93.9	1945 96.3	1008 97.1
	Obstruction	64	58	73 3.6	30 2.9
		4.7	6,1	3,0	2.9
Road surface	Dry	1049	703	1553	810
conditions	Oth on (such lan assultion)	77.9 297	74.7	77.4 454	78.6 221
	Other (wet/snow/ice)	22.1	25.3	22.6	21.4
					and the facts
Atmospheric	No adverse conditions	1137	758	1677	863
conditions		84.3	80.6	83.4	83.8
	Other (rain/sleet/snow/fog)	211	183	334	167
	,	15.7	19.4	16.9	16,2
WHAT OTHER FACT	TORS SURROUNDED THE	CRASH			
Vehicle manoeuvre	Stationary	120	49	288	75
		8.9	5.2	14.3	7.2
	Moving along roadway:	874	674	1251	728
		64.8	71.5	62.0	70.1
	proceeding along lane	795 58.9	584 61.9	1176 58.3	656 63.2
	Turning/reversing:	219	121	288	132
	i arming/reversing.	16.2	12.8	14.3	12.7
	turning left	175	94	209	104
		13.0	10.0	10.4	10.0
	turning right	31	17	59	24
		2.3	1.8	2.9	2.3
Manner of collision	Vehicle/vehicle:	1028	547	1657	662
		75.9	57.7	82.0	63.7
	angle	611	334	934	420
		45,1	35.2	46.2	40.4
	rear-end	315	148	586	172
		23.2	15.6	29.0	16.6
	head on	75	49	97	55
	wy 111	5.5	5.2	4.8	5.3
	No collision with	327	401	364	377
	moving vehicle	24.0	42.0	18.0	36.0

	1	Age of driver			
		16-25		26-55	
		Time of crash			
		Day	Night	Day	Night
WHAT OTHER FAC	FORS SURROUNDED THE	CRASH CO	NT		
First harmful event	Fixed object	173	276	145	186
	Non-fixed:	13.0 154	29.0 87	7.0 181	18.0 160
		8.7	9,1	8.9	15.3
	pedestrian	51	53	66	81
		3.8	5.6	3.3	7,7
	cyclist	57	11	41	39
		4.2	1.2	2.0	3.7
	Non collision	36	37	37	32
		2.7	3,9	1.8	3.0
Vehicle role	Multiple vehicle - striking	546	308	777	339
		40.5	32.5	38.7	32.6
	Multiple vehicle - struck	427	213	765	306
		31.7	22.5	38.1	29.4
	Single vehicle - striking	271	363	291	317
		20.1	38.3	14.5	30.5
LEGAL ACTION/VIO	DLATIONS				
Violations charged	None	899	611	1472	707
		66.2	64.5	72.8	67.8
	Violations charged	458	337	549	336
		33.8	35.5	27,2	32.2