CONNUNICATIONS FEDERAL OFFICE OF ROAD SAFETY DOCUMENT RETRIEVAL INFORMATION

Report No.	Date	Pages	isen	188N
MR 7	May 1990	94 + Appendices	0 642 51379 1	1034-3830

Title and Subtitle

Evaluation of the Young Driver Survival Kit

Author(s)

Bronwen Castor and Jenny Rush

Performing Organisation(Name and Address) AXIA Marketing and Social Research 5/683 Pittwater Road DEE WHY NSW 2099

Sponsor

Federal Office of Road Safety GPO Box 594 CANBERRA ACT 2601

Available from

Federal Office of Road Safety GPO Box 594 CANBERRA ACT 2601

Abstract

The Federal Office of Road Safety has designed an education resource aimed at senior secondary students alerting them to the dangers faced by young drivers early in their driving careers. The kit, consisting of two videos, road safety pamphlets and teacher's notes is distributed free of charge on request. This evaluation of the kit was designed to examine the effectiveness of the materials in conveying the messages included and to suggest any possible improvements/changes to the materials

Keywords ROAD SAFETY EDUCATION; SCHOOLS; YOUNG DRIVERS

:<u>OTES</u>:

- 1) FORS Research reports are disseminated in the interests of information exchange.
- 2) The views expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent those of the Commonwealth Government.
- 3) The Federal Office of Road Safety publishes four series of research report
 - (a) reports generated as a result of research done within the FORS are published in the OR series;
 - (b) reports of research conducted by other organisations on behalf of the FORS are published in the CR series.
 - (c) reports based on analyses of FORS' statistical data bases are published in the SR series.
 - (d) minor reports of research conducted by other organisations on behalf of FORS are published in the MR series.

Table of Contents

.

Page no.	
----------	--

.

Bac	kground	1
Res	earch Objectives	3
Met	hodology	4
		_
The	Sample	6
٠	Qualitative Work	8
Sum	mary of Key Points	9
	- The Students' Questionnaires	9
	- The Qualitative Work With Students	10
	- The Qualitative Work With Teachers	15
	~ Conclusions	19
Stu	dents' <u>Questionnaires: Stage One</u>	20
1.	Existence of Licences	20
2.	Perceived Three Main Risks for New Drivers	21
3.	Three Main Ways in Which Alcohol Affects Driving	22
4.	Level of Agreement with Issues Related to Driving 23	
Stu	<u>lents' Questionnaire; Stage Two</u>	29
1.	Existence of Licences	29
2.	Perceived Three Main Risks for New Drivers	30
3.	Three Main Ways in Which Alcohol Affects Driving	32
4.	Level of Agreement with Issues Related to Driving	34
The	Qualitative Work With Students: Stage One	40
1.	Learning to Drive: Attitudes Towards the Experience	40
2.	What was involved?	41
з.	Attitudes to Current Licencing Requirements and	
	suggested Changes or Improvements	42
4.	Holding a Car Licence for the First time	44
5.	Perceived Three Main Risks to Novice drivers	45
6.	Perceived Three Main Risks to Drivers with 12	
	Months' Experience	46
7.	Situations Perceived to be Problematical for Drivers	
	and Experiences with These Situations	47
8.	Perceived Main Factors in Road Accidents and how	
	They Might be Avoided	49
9.	Perceived Effect of Specific Behaviours on Driving	
	Ability: Alcohol	51
	: Night Driving	52
	: Peer Group Pressure	53
10.	Driver Education: Attitude to What was Available and	
	Suggestions for Change	54
11.	Recall of and Attitude Towards the Y.D.S.K.	55

<u>The</u> 1.		ve Work With Students: Stage Two nd Discussion of Driver Education Undertaken	57
		evious Researcher's Visit	57
2.		E and Attitudes Towards 'Road Worrier'	58
	_	Spontaneous Recall	58
	_	Understanding the Communication	59
	-	Attitudes Towards "Road Worrier' Overall	60
	-	Attitudes Towards the Character Neil	61
3.	Recall of	and Attitudes Towards 'The Big Gig'	62
5.		Spontaneous Recall	62
	_	Understanding the Communication	62
		Attitudes Towards the Communication	64
	_	Attitudes Towards 'The Big Gig' Overall	64
4.	Suggostod		04
** •		l Changes and Improvements to 'Road Worrier' Big Gig' Videos	66
5.		l Three Main Risks to Novice Drivers	67
5. 6.		Main Risks to Driver with 12 Months'	0/
••	•		68
7.	Experience		00
(•		ucation: Suggested Changes and Improvements as Available	69
	to what W	IAP AVAITADIE	צט
The	Oualitativ	e Work: The Teachers, Stage One	71
1.		Nature of the Schools in the Sample	71
2.		Driver Education as a Subject in the Schools	
3.		Actually Undertaken in Driver Education	74
		to Driver Education Training in High Schools	
5.		Improvements to Current Materials/Programs	78
5.		and Resources Currently Available and Used	80
, .		to Y.D.S.K. Prior to Test	82
<u>he</u>		e Work: The Teachers, Stage Two	86
L.		of the Research Method	86
2.		.D.S.K. Materials Were Used	87
3.	Teachers'	Attitudes to 'Road Worrier'	88
	-	Communication	88
	-	Execution	89
	-	Possible Improvements	89
1.	Teachers '	Attitudes to 'The Big Gig'	90
	_	Suggested Improvements	91
•	Other Com	ponents of the Y.D.S.K. Kit	93
•		he Y.D.S.K. Materials will be Used Again	94
xhi	<u>bits</u>		
	bit One	Main Risks to Safe Driving For Newly	
		Licenced: Stage One opp	21
xhi	bit Two	Main Ways in Which Alcohol is Believed	<u> -</u> -
****	LULU INV		22
whi	bit Three	to Affect Driving Skills: Stage One opp Main Risks to Safe Driving fo Newly	<i>44</i>
WITT V	DIC INTEE		20
wh ł	hit Four		30
'xu1	bit Four	Main Ways in Which Alcohol is Believed	- ^
		to Affect Driving Skills: Stage Two opp	32
מסמ	ndix A	The Tabulations	
	ndix A ndix B	The Tabulations The Field Documents	
ppe	ndix B	The Tabulations The Field Documents The Brief	

.

.

•

-

1. Background

Young male drivers are over-represented in road crashes and fatalities, viz:

- people 16-25 make up 15% of the Australian population but 37% of all road fatalities
- over 1,000 young people are killed each year and 80%
 of these are males
- eleven thousand (11,000) young people are admitted annually to hospitals, as a result of road crashes in Australia
- most are at risk after having their licence for one or two years, at a time when they become over-confident about their driving skills
- young drivers are involved in crashes late at night, when they are alcohol affected, and/or when driving with a peer group

In response to these statistics, the Federal Office of Road Safety (FORS) developed a Young Driver Survival Kit (YDSK) for distribution, on request, to secondary schools and driver training organisations across Australia.

The aim of the kit is:

"to increase awareness of the major factors associated with the involvement of young drivers in road crashes"

The target market for the YDSK is pre-license and novice drivers aged 15 to 19 years.

The kit consists of the following materials:

- two videos of approximately 20 minutes
 - 'Road Worrier'
 - 'The Big Gig'
- two copies of the Teachers' Notes
- two copies of a pamphlet called 'Why you should/ shouldn't drink and drive'

'Road Worrier' uses light comedy to give young drivers a realistic perspective of the skills and time involved in becoming a safe driver, and of the hazards of over-confidence. 'The Big Gig' utilises science fiction, humour and rock music to present information about late night and alcohol affected driving and about peer group pressure. Both videos were developed with qualitative research at the conceptual and script development stage as well as prior to the final edit. 'Road Worrier' was also evaluated in its final form.

The Teachers' Notes which come with the kit, provide the teacher with background information about young people and driving and outline the key teaching points of the videos. As well, the Notes provide ideas for teaching strategies and activities which could introduce, reinforce and extend the messages contained in the videos.

The Federal Office of Road Safety required an assessment of the efficacy of the material amongst young drivers, that is, whether or not the YDSK was achieving the aims and objectives set for it. In particular, an understanding of the use of the material within schools was important since it is within this milieu that longterm educative efforts will be most important.

2. Research Objectives

The aim of the YDSK has already been described as "to increase awareness of the major factors associated with the involvement of young drivers in road crashes". To assess the efficacy of the materials, the primary research objective was therefore stated as:

> "to assess whether the material has met its aim of increasing awareness of the major factors associated with the involvement of young drivers in road crashes"

As well, FORS required the research to explore the way in which the recipient teachers were utilising the YDSK, and set the following objective:

> "to determine the way in which teachers are using the kit with their students"

3. Methodology

The research methodology was triangulated by the use of both qualitative and quantitative work. Students attended qualitative mini-group discussions and used a quantitative self-completion questionnaire. In-depth interviews were held with road safety or driver education teachers and as well, some participating teachers completed diary records of their use of the YDSK material.

In-depth interviews and group discussions were conducted by an AXIA Principal and by associated researchers in Victoria and Adelaide. The original intention was for all work to be completed by the AXIA Principals, however the domestic pilots' dispute interfered with this intention. The questionnaires and topic guides used were developed by the AXIA Principals and confirmed by FORS.

The AXIA researchers identified a number of Victorian and South Australian high schools or technical colleges within which at least one road safety or driver education teacher was located. These teachers were actively involved in road safety lessons, although the manner in which these lessons were structured differed between the States. A small number of the schools had received the YDSK and in one or two cases, (some of) this material had been used with the students.

The sample frame for this selection was originally a FORS list of schools which had or were suitable to receive/use the YDSK materials. AXIA contacted these schools and checked with the Principal or the driver education teacher, whether or not the YDSK had been heard of and/or ordered, and/or used. Schools were then enroled for participation, according to the criteria (see page 5) set for the sample.

- In Victoria, 14 schools were involved in the research,
 6 of which received two visits from the researchers
- In South Australia 8 schools were involved, 5 of which received two visits from the researchers

The schools were enrolled according to the following criteria:

- 1. previous receipt of and use of the YDSK materials and willingness to discuss/assess the YDSK (one visit)
- 2. non-receipt/use of the YDSK but a willingness to receive and trial the YDSK videos (two visits)
- 3. non-receipt/use of the materials, with no requirement to use them and no second visit

Where schools were enroled for one visit only, the researcher:

- undertook group discussion work with pre-license students from Year 10 to Year 12. Each group consisted of at least 5 and up to 8 students. All discussion was tape recorded and the tapes transcribed for analysis by the AXIA Principals. The groups took between 45-60 minutes.
- conducted an in-depth interview with the road safety education teacher(s). These interviews were also tape recorded and later transcribed for analysis. Interviews took between 45-60 minutes.
- * distributed self-completion questionnaires to prelicense students, either personally or through the driver education teachers. In some cases these questionnaires were collected by the researcher at the time of the visit, in others, the questionnaires were returned to the AXIA office by the teacher.

Where schools were enrolled to trial the YDSK and for two visits, the researchers undertook the procedures described above at the first visit, then made arrangements for the YDSK materials to be sent to the teacher for use with the students spoken to in the mini-group work. An appointment was made for a return visit by the researchers, to be undertaken approximately 4 weeks from the first visit. A teachers' diary was left with each teacher to record the manner in which the materials were used.

The approach taken on the second visit replicated that of the first visit, but questioning centred on the students' recall of the YDSK material and their reactions to it. Teachers were asked to describe the way in which they had used the material, their attitudes towards it and their beliefs about its efficacy as a teaching resource.

The teachers' and students' topic guides and the self completion questionnaire and teachers' diary were developed by AXIA and approved by the Federal Office of Road Safety, prior to fieldwork commencing.

4. The Sample

The sample for the first visit consisted of 14 Victorian and 8 South Australian schools. Enroling these schools was difficult, since mail-out lists from FORS were not exhaustive, and few of the schools listed as having received the YDSK had actually used it. Road Safety Authorities in both States were approached to assist and lists of potential schools were provided. AXIA Principals then made contact with the listed schools: the proportion of schools which trialled the materials depended on the willingness of the teaches to use YDSK, the timing of the visits and the availability of students.

Availability of students for road safety or driver education differed in each State but the topic appeared to be generally considered as driver education rather than road safety per se. In the Victorian schools, the subject was generally an elective one and the size of the class in driver education depended on competing interests for the students and the timetabling of electives. However, in the Victorian schools most students of driver education were exposed to road safety education concepts and materials.

In the South Australian schools driver education was approached differently, with teachers taking small groups of 5 or 6 students to practice driving skills in a car, driving within school grounds. Little formal lecturing on road safety was evident, the subject concentrated on driving experience in the car. Again, the subject was often an elective one and student numbers were small. Students were more likely to be Year 10 than Year 11.

Thus, the number of students available to the researchers, and the number of teachers within each school differed. The final sample for both the qualitative and quantitative work was as follows:

	Victoria South Austr 1st 2nd 1st 2 Visit Visit			
Teachers	17	9	7	5
Student group	29	15	12	9
Student questionnaires	193	25	215	75

7

Qualitative Work

It is important that the reader of this report understand the nature and role of qualitative research and the way in which it differs from quantitative surveys. Qualitative work involves small samples of respondents who participate in lengthy one-on-one interviews or in group discussions with up to 10 people. Questioning is much more "in-depth" than with quantitative work, and the result is a rich understanding of the attitudes and beliefs which underly behaviour.

Research of this type is undertaken to:

- provide a broad understanding of the attitudes and opinions of a small number of 'target market' respondents
- establish the breadth of attitudinal parameters and the underlying 'psyche' which supports these attitudes
- develop hypotheses
- * explore concepts to the widest possible degree
- prepare the researcher for the scientific (quantitative) measurement of the degree to which any disclosed behaviours, attitudes, opinions, etc., exist within the population at large

Conversely, quantitative work involves the scientific and replicable measuring of any variable(s) across a large and generally representative sample. Unlike qualitative work, the results of quantitative surveys allow statements to be made about the proportions of the population for whom that (each) variable is applicable.

Summary of Key Points

.

.

.

.

.

1. The Student's Questionnaires

- 1.1 Prior to exposure to the YDSK trial, students indicated that the major risks to safe driving, for newly licensed drivers, were:
 - * alcohol
 - * speed
 - * lack of experience

1.2 Alcohol was believed to affect driving in the following ways:

- * affected vision/eyesight
- * slowed reaction times
- slowed reflexes
- * affected concentration
- 1.3 In Stage Two, the students' questionnaires indicated that some modification was evident, in the degree to which these aspects were of concern for newly licensed drivers. For instance:

	Propor	tions mention:	ing as Major Threat
		Before Trial	After Trial
		*	*
-	Driving and drinking	39	32
-	Alcohol	13	23
-	Driving too fast/speeding	j 38	17
-	Lack of experience	23	32
-	lack of confidence/nerves	5 8	12
-	Peer group pressure	4	23
-	showing off/acting cool	8	15
-	Friends in car/distraction	ng 10	16

1.4 Beliefs about the affects of alcohol on driving abilities did not change as dramatically as those views on what caused risks to the safety of new drivers. However, after exposure to the YDSK material, the students more often mentioned the following:

-	affects co-ordination/balance	+2	2%
-	affects judgement/clear thinking	+	4%
-	makes you tired/sleepy/drowsy	+	8%
-	take more risks/show off/act silly	+	6%

- **1.5** As well, the students less often mentioned the following aspects of mixing alcohol and driving:
 - affects vision/eyesight
 a danger to others/cause accidents
 lose control of car
 4%
- 1.6 Ten attitude statements were incorporated in the questionnaire: students were asked to indicate their level of agreement or disagreement with each of these. Small changes in the proportions at each end of the five point agree/disagree scale were evident. However, few movements were significant considering the smaller sample size of Stage Two.

Nevertheless, it is pertinent to suggest that the students were less confident that they were 'better drivers than older people', after exposure to the videos. As well, they appeared to some degree to:

- * be less likely to believe that 'driving at night is no more of a problem than driving during the day'
- be less likely to believe that it 'quite OK to have a few beers and then drive'
- more strongly disagree that 'drinking coffee/vomiting will quickly get rid of alcohol from the bloodstream'
- more strongly agree that 'young drivers should not drive late at night'
- * more strongly agree that 'it takes a year or two of driving to be able to recognise dangerous driving situations or to make safe judgements'

2. The Qualitative Research

. .

2.1 The qualitative research, comprising group discussion work with students and in-depth interviews with teachers, enriched the quantitative data but revealed a divergence of opinion between students and teachers with regard the execution of the two videos, 'Road Worrier' and 'The Big Gig'.

Background to the Students

- 2.2 The majority of the students involved in the group discussion work were pre-license. They indicated that the thought of learning to drive was at once 'scary' and complex. Tutors would be sought who were seen as 'professionals' in preference to learning from parents or other relatives.
- 2.3 All were aware of the need for a pass in both theory and practical driving. They did not see tests as being too stringent, nor did they consider that there was a case for making the tests harder.
- 2.4 However, many of the students considered that it would be beneficial for drivers (all drivers) to undergo defensive driving lessons or advanced driving courses such as offered by the police. Driving professionals should be the only people qualified to teach such skills, they thought.
- 2.5 Many students also felt that there was a case for all drivers to be re-examined, say every 5 years, or to take regular refresher courses in driving.

Drink-Driving

2.6 Most of the students indicated that they felt some responsibility not to drink and drive, but they admitted that there were some occasions on which it was tempting to do so. They quoted problems such as eating chocolates or desserts with alcohol as an ingredient, but generally agreed that restrictions were 'good'.

Night Driving

2.7 However, they did not, prior to exposure to the YDSK, feel that novice drivers should be restricted in regard to night driving, since there would be inevitable problems of transportation. Most felt they were just as capable of driving at night as in the day-time, at least in the first stage of the research.

2.8 Having a drivers licence was a 'ticket to freedom' for the students, even if, initially, they might feel apprehensive about driving on their own. Prior to seeing the YDSK videos, little mention was made of feeling responsible towards other road users.

Risk Factors

- 2.9 Both environmental and personal factors posed risks to the novice driver, the students indicated in the qualitative research. Personal risks, over which the driver had control, were:
 - the consumption of alcohol
 - speeding
 - a lack of confidence
 - giving in to peer group pressure
 - a lack of experience

Environmental risks were described as:

- other drivers
- * poor attitudes on the part of other drivers
- * poor climatic conditions, or light
- * bad roads :

Accidents

2.10 The main factors associated with road accidents, in the view of the students, were:

- heavy rain or fog
- * poor roads
- peak hour or holiday traffic
- * the behaviour of other road users: those who drank, speeded, took risks or were over-confident

Alcohol

- 2.11 Alcohol was recognised as having a detrimental affect on drivers, in that it:
 - * caused over-confidence
 - * affected reflexes and slowed reaction times
 - promoted poor judgements
- 2.12 At least prior to exposure to the videos, the students claimed to be relatively ignorant of the conditions under which their BAC would rise above the legal limits. Some even indicated that they believed if they felt 'all right' then it was all right to drive.

Peer Group Pressure

- 2.13 Peer group pressure was recognised as a potential risk to safe driving for young drivers. Most felt they had or would experience it and some indicated that they would be ready to deal with it by remonstrating with their friends or putting them out of the car.
- 2.14 The majority of students spoken to in the first stage of the research felt it was important to have driver education offered within the schools, for all students of driving age. They were apparently fairly dissatisfied with the current programs, suggesting that a number of teachers were not specialist driver education teachers, or that there was insufficient time allowed for practical training, compared to theory.

Attitudes to the YDSK Materials

2.15 A small number of students had seen 'Road Worrier' and 'The Big Gig' before the research began. The attitudes of these students were similar to those of the students who saw the videos as part of the research trial and thus, these attitudes are reported on collectively..

'Road Worrier'

- 2.16 'Road Worrier' was apparently able to communicate its central theme quite easily, to the students involved in the research. They were aware of the longevity associated with achieving road skills and good judgement.
- 2.17 Character recall was high for 'Road Worrier', with 'Neil' and the robots being reported on. However, the specific driving skills/experience being discussed were not so forthcoming, at least spontaneously, from the students.
- 2.18 Part of the reason for this initial lack of reporting the major concepts within the video could be blamed on the students' reactions to the execution of 'Road Worrier'. Students reported that they found it 'childish', 'stupid' and that they had 'switched off' at its lack of mature targeting.

2.19 Nevertheless, once probed, they claimed to have recognised the concept of a driver 'learning curve' which the video was expressing. They indicated that this was a believable idea and one which had not previously been considered. As such it was an important message to young drivers.

Attitudes to 'Road Worrier' Overall

- 2.20 As stated, the students accepted the importance of the idea that driving was a matter of learning judgement and skills over a long period of time. The way in which Neil presented his 'slices of life' were reasonably believable, as was his desire to immediately be a 'good' driver.
- 2.21 However, the execution of the video, also as previously stated, was seen as condescending and boring, much more suited to a younger audience. The students who had viewed this material felt that the 'space-agish' robots was unnecessary and that the robots were 'primitive' by todays standards. Neil himself was seen as a 'nerd' but as a character he was more acceptable than the robots.

'The Big Gig'

- 2.22 The students had very little difficulty in recalling the content of 'The Big Gig'. They were not as negative in their reception of this video as they had been with 'Road Worrier'.
- 2.23 'The Big Gig' communicated its points very well: the students mentioned night driving, peer group pressure and drink driving as the three concepts presented in this video. They felt these points to be valid ones and further, that they had learnt something from 'The Big Gig'. In particular, they had been interested in the night driving issues and the fact that even the smallest amount of alcohol affected reaction times behind the wheel.

2.24 Although attitudes towards 'The Big Gig' were better than they were towards 'Road Worrier', the students were still critical of the 'aliens' or space-age theme. They felt this was unsuitably young for their age group; that it did not present a mature perspective to the problems.

Suggested Improvements

- 2.25 Both videos, but particularly 'Road Worrier' should be;
 - * targeted more closely to young drivers
 - more sophisticated, if the 'sci-fi' approach
 was to be maintained

Some felt that consideration should be given to 're-shooting' the videos using a 'true-to-life' execution.

Changes in Perspective After the Trial of the YDSK

2.26 The students' beliefs about the major risks to young drivers appeared to shift somewhat between measures, and after viewing the video material. In the second stage of the research, more emphasis was given to personal aspects, that is, aspects of safe driving within their own control. For example:

- alcohol consumption
- * the need for driving experience
- being over-confident
- peer group pressure

3. The Teachers

Status of Driver Education in the Schools

In almost all of the schools within which the research was 3.1 driver education/road safety conducted, was elective an In Victoria, even this status was under threat with subject. the new Victorian Certificate of Education (VCE) being Teachers were generally of the view that the introduced. subject should be considered inclusion for as а 'core' subject, given that driving was one thing almost every student would do, quite early in life.

- 3.2 As well as establishing driver education as a 'core' subject, the teachers felt it deserving of specialised teaching staff and of firmer policy and timetabling. The road crash statistics, indicating disproportionately high numbers of young males in crashes and the cost of rehabilitation, were quoted as rationale for increasing "driver education status" in schools.
- 3.3 In 1989, road safety or driver education lessons within the schools involved, ranged from:
 - nothing at all
 - one or two formal lectures to large numbers of students, sometimes by outside agencies
 - a number of lectures closeted within subjects such as 'Pastoral Care'
 - elective subjects involving small numbers of pupils in both theory and practical lessons
 - a visit to the Driver Education Centre of Australia (D.E.C.A.) by up to 16 students
 - practical-only lessons, or theory-only lectures,
 again to small numbers of students
- 3.4 Improvements to current materials or programs were suggested. In particular, teachers indicated that up-to-date videos of the quality of 'Drinking, Driving, Surviving' were urgently needed. Most teachers had access to a range of resource material, but it was believed much was outdated. Teachers had collected their resources from any number of outlets, including State and Federal agencies and from overseas. А small number had the YDSK in their library of materials, prior to the trial.

Attitudes to the YDSK

3.5 The teachers' views on the videos within the YDSK appeared to be the opposite of those expressed by the students, at least as far as their view of which was the better video, were concerned. Nevertheless, their criticisms were generally in line with those of the students in that both were too 'juvenile' in their targeting. The 'aliens/space age' execution again seemed to prompt this response. 'Road Worrier'

- 3.6 The teachers felt that the humour within the 'Road Worrier' video was acceptable although some parts (eg the chicken feathers) were too exaggerated. Apart from this, and in opposition to the students, the teachers were less critical of 'Road Worrier' than they were of 'The Big Gig', saying that although it was a bit 'corny' the students had appeared to be interested in 'Road Worrier'. This was because the teachers saw 'Road Worrier' as employing up-to-date images and constructions with which the students were at ease.
- 3.7 'Road Worrier' communicated well, the teachers' felt, and they similarly felt that the students were in sympathy with the concept presented: that driving skills and good judgement came from long driving experience.
- 3.8 Teachers did make the point, however, that 'Road Worrier' communicated less easily than 'The Big Gig', since it needed to be discussed at more length to confirm the ideas in the minds of the students.
- 3.9 Teachers generally indicated that they would use 'Road Worrier' again as it currently stands, and had little to suggest in the way of improvements, apart from adopting a 'more mature' (less space age) execution. Nevertheless, there was one improvement which a number of teachers thought possible: that was to have the character 'Neil' do some or all of the driving, with the robot/instructor sitting beside him giving directions.

"The Big Gig'

3.10 The teachers seemed quite intolerant of 'The Big Gig' with a small number saying they would not use it again. A number called 'The Big Gig' juvenile and even insulting to the intelligence of their students.

17

۰,

- 3.11 However, the teachers had no quarrel with the concepts being discussed within the video. Neither did they deny that the students quite readily picked these concepts up. The stop/start technique assisted in this, they indicated, and this was generally approved of.
- 3.12 The rock band was of interest to the students and was appropriate to the age group being targeted, teachers felt. This aspect lent a 'modernity' to the video which was important, but which unfortunately, like a lot of music, might date.
- 3.13 For the teachers, the major problem was the alien characters and the space age execution. They indicated that in their view this was inappropriately young, and that their students felt similarly.

Other Materials in the YDSK

3.14 The other materials within the YDSK - the 'Street Beat' magazine, the Teachers' Notes and the booklet on drink/driving, were all rated positively by the teachers. The Teachers' Notes needed no changes, 'Street Beat' was well received by the students and of interest to them and the drink/drive booklet useful. More of these booklets would be an improvement, some thought.

Intention to re-use the YDSK

3.15 Almost all the teachers said that they would use elements of the YDSK again. However, the numbers indicating they would use 'The Big Gig' were smaller than those saying they would use 'Road Worrier' as a resource, with their students. Final Comment

3.16 In spite of the critical nature of the comments of the teachers with regard to both videos but particularly 'The Big Gig', the teachers were positive about the production of up-to-date video material, saying there was a decided lack of such resources. Thus, their criticisms were viewed as constructive and they hoped that videos about safe driving would continue to be produced. They were unanimous that there was a need for good resources on road safety for young driver education classes.

"I think it's a positive factor that we're starting to see new video tapes come on the market. I think that's definitely a positive factor. And I would like to say, apart from the fact that I've criticised one of these (videos), is not a reason why we should stop making video tapes. I do think that there are guides, we have clear guides of the things that are successful and I think the incentive is there at the moment to turn around and say 'right, let's make good tapes'. Because the market is clearly there, the need is clearly there and with the rising road toll and community awareness of it, now is the time to really start making good quality tapes and materials so that we can really start to influence those kids".

Conclusions

- 3.17 The objective of this research was to assess whether the material has met its aim in increasing awareness of the major factors associated with the involvement of young drivers in road crashes. In the opinion of the researchers, the material does, indeed, communicate the major concepts to the target market. However, we also believe that executionally, the videos could provoke an ever better communication if:
 - they were more appropriately targeted to pre-license drivers, ie, if the execution employed a more mature approach, either as better sci-fi or by revising the execution to omit the space/robot themes
 - in 'Road Worrier', Neil became more involved in the actual driving

Student's Questionnaire:

•

.

Stage One

.

.

.

.

1. <u>Rxistence of Drivers/Learners/P Licences</u>

1.1 Few of the students held any type of licence. Of the 409 who completed the questionnaire in the first stage of the research, 68 or 17% said they had a licence. Proportionately, more licence holders were males (19%) than female students (13%). (See Table 1)⁽¹⁾

There was a major difference between Victoria and South Australia in the proportions of the students who had licences, with only 3% of those in Victoria having a licence compared to 29% of the students from South Australia. (See Table 1)

- 1.2 Forty-eight (71%) of the licences held by the 68 students were Learner's licences, and 18 (26%) were 'P's. Two of the students had a full licence. Over half of the licences had been obtained since May of this year, 1989. (See Tables 2 and 3)
- 1.3 Just over half (54%) of the 68 students with a licence of any type had been/were being taught to drive by their parents. A small number (8 students) had been taught by siblings or peers and a number had received driving lessons from more than one source.

One in 3 (34%) had been/were being taught by a driving instructor, 10% by the Royal Automobile Association and 9% by the teacher at their school. Ten percent claimed to be (at least to some degree) self-taught. (See Table 4)

1.4 Amongst the 341 students who did not have any type of licence, 128 (38%) said that they planned to obtain a driver's licence within the next six months. This intention was equally evident amongst the male and female students, but was proportionately much higher in South Australia with 47% of those students planning to obtain a licence compared to 13% of those in Victoria. (See Table 5)

(1) Tables referred to throughout this text are available in Appendix A

2. Perceived Three Main Risks for New Drivers

- 2.1 The students were asked to list the three main risks to safe driving, for newly licenced drivers. The responses have been presented in Exhibit 1 opposite,⁽²⁾ by the total number of times each risk was mentioned, and the order (first, second, third) of risk.
- 2.2 The major risk to newly licenced drivers was seen as mixing alcohol with driving: this was mentioned by 52% of students.

Speed was the second main risk to new drivers, in the eyes of the students, with 38% including speed in their 'three main risks' responses.

A lack of experience (23%) and of confidence (8%), and environmental factors such as other bad drivers (13%) or inclement weather (6%) were adjudged to be amongst the three main risks. Others mentioned specific bad driving habits such as carelessness or a lack of concentration (10%), not staying alert (8%), driving at night (6%), taking drugs (8%) and pedestrians (4%)

2.3 Peer group pressures were mentioned as main risks by small numbers of students:

*	friends in back seat distracting	10%
٠	showing off/acting cool	8%
*	peer group pressure	4%

2.4 Those respondents who had a licence of any kind did not differ markedly from non-licensed students, in their opinions about the three main risks to new drivers. The exceptions were that more licensed (25%) than unlicensed (13%) students mentioned the risks imposed by other careless drivers. Similarly, more licensed students (18%) than unlicensed (4%) mentioned the need to be aware of other cars on the road.

---

. . .

- 3. Three Main Ways in Which Alcohol was Seen to Affect Driving
- 3.1 All students were asked to describe two or three main ways in which alcohol affected driving skills. Exhibit 2 (opposite)(²) presents the results of this questioning, and as can be seen, the major effect of alcohol was believed to be its propensity to affect the vision, and to slow reaction times and responses and reflexes:

	Total	mentions
*	affects vision/eyesight	44%
*	slows reaction time	35%
*	slows reflexes	29%
*	affects concentration	28%
*	makes you tired/drowsy/sleepy	25%
*	affects judgement/clear thinking	21%

Other believed effects were on coordination (10%), reduced awareness of other road users (9%) and a tendency to false confidence (4%).

3.2 A small number of other comments were included in the two or three main ways alcohol was seen to affect driving skills. Alcohol affected drivers would drive too fast (8%), would pose a danger to others (5%) or would be unable to properly control their car (6%) it was suggested by a small number of respondents. 4. Level of Agreement with Issues Related to Driving

4.1 Respondents were presented with a list of ten (10) statements about driving-related issues, and were required to indicate to what degree they agreed or disagreed with each statement. A five point scale was used, viz:

Strongly Agree	1
Agree	2
Can't decide	3
Disagree	4
Strongly Disagree	5

The statements included in the questionnaire generally reflected the communications objectives of the two videos included in the YDSK, ''The Big Gig'' and 'Road Worrier'. As well, some general attitudes to driving or licences were included. Tables 12 to 22 show the distribution of responses as well as the mean score and standard deviation for each statement.

4.2 General Attitudes

i) "Young people are better drivers than other people"

Few (8%) of the students agreed with this statement: the majority disagreed although 3 in 10 (30%) could not decide whether young people were better drivers than others. For example:

	Agree Strongly	Agree		Disagree de	Disagree Strongly
	Ιų	' ¥	' 1	I i	' t
Young people are bettet drivers					
than other people	:	-	30	50	

However, those students already with a licence of any sort were more inclined to feel that young people were better drivers than other people (12%), than were those without licences (6%). This implies an extra degree of confidence which comes with actually getting a licence, albeit a Learner's or 'P'. Approximately 1 in 4 (24%) agreed with this statement to some degree, but the majority (54%) disagreed that the present rules were too hard for young people. One in five (21%) were unable to decide about this.

	Agree Strongly	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree e	Disagree Strongly
The present rules make cetting a driver's licence too hard for	' \$	' *	' \$	` \$	1 1 1
young people	6	18	21	49	8

Those with a driver's licence were more inclined to strongly agree that the conditions for getting a driver's licence were too hard:

	Licensed %	Unlicense %
Strongly agree	15	• 4
Agree	16	18
Can't decide	10	23
Disagree	50	49
Strongly disagree	9	6

iii) "Having a driver's licence is a privilege you have to earn even after you have passed the driving test".

The majority (77% in total) of students agreed with this statement, implying a sense of responsibility going beyond the initial learning period.

	Agree Stroagly	Agree	Capit i Decide	Disagree	Disagree Strengly
Baving a driver's licence is a privilege you have to earn even after you have passed the	τ k	, ž	ž	ŧ	1 k · · ·
driving test	ć	61	12	3	<u>,</u>

In particular, twice as many licensed as unlicensed drivers strongly agreed with this statement, and as the students' age increased, so did the level of strong agreement. (See Table 17).

	Propertions 'strongly agreeing' with statement					
	Licensed Unlicensed					
Having a driver's licence is a privilege you have to earn even after you have passed the	' 1	k	ιų	ŧ	*	*
driving test	28	14	12	19	18	33

4.3 Issues Related to Drinking and Driving

i) "It's quite OK to drink a few beers and then drive".

There was a strong level of disagreement with this statement, with almost half (48%) strongly disagreeing and a further 1 in 3 (33%) disagreeing. Only 12% agreed that it was OK to drink 'a few beers' and then drive.

	Agree Strongly	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	Ī
It's quite OK to grink a few beers	¹ ž	1	' t	1 5	۱ ۲	I
and then drive 1	11	é	33	48		

Amongst those with a licence, 18% agreed that it was OK to have a few beers and then drive compared to 9% of unlicensed students. Conversely, the proportion of licensed students disagreeing with this statement was also higher (59%) than was obvious amongst unlicensed students (46%), suggesting that the advent of a licence tended to strengthen personal convictions at both ends of the continuum. ii) "As long as I have only three drinks an hour I can drive safely and be under the limit".

The overwhelming majority of students **disagreed** with this statement, from the results of this questioning. Of the 409 answering the questionnaire, only 9% agreed that three drinks an hour would not affect safe driving, with 1 in 3 (33%) strongly disagreeing that this was the case.

	Agree Strongly	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree	Disagree Strongly
As long as I have only three drinks an hour I can drive safely and be	' 1	• •	* *	1 1	* * *
under the limit	1	8	14	43	33

iii) "Drinking coffee or making myself vomit will quickly get rid of alcohol from the bloodstream".

Almost none of the students believed this piece of popular mythology, according to this survey (see Table 20). Only 3% agreed with this statement and a further 10% were unable to decide, leaving 86% of respondents disagreeing that drinking coffee or vomiting would get rid of alcohol from the bloodstream.

Amongst those with a licence, an even larger proportion of students disagreed this statement:

	Licensed %	Unlicensed %
Strongly agree	1	2
Agree	1	1
Can't decide	1	12
Disagree	43	41
Strongly disagree	53	43

4.4 Other Driving-related Issues

 "Driving with a car full of friends makes it difficult for a new driver since he/she can be too easily distracted".

Students appeared to be aware of the dangers of what is described as peer group pressure, whilst driving, with 31% strongly agreeing with the above statement and a further 50% agreeing that driving with friends could distract the driver. There was a low level (5%) of indecision about this issue.

	Agree Strongly	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	
Driving with a car full of friends wakes it difficult for a new driver since he/she can be too easily	' *	*	*	*	4	
distracted	31	50	5	8	6	

ii) "Driving at night is really no more of a problem than driving during the day".

Approximately 6 out of 10 of the students (63%) felt that driving at night was more of a problem than driving during the day. However, this figure fell to 43% of licensed students compared to 67% of unlicensed students, suggesting that in the process of obtaining 'L' or 'P' licences, the young people became more confident about their night driving skills.

	Licensed %	Unlicensed %
Strongly agree	9	2
Agree	44	18
Can't decide	4	13
Disagree	37	55
Strongly disagree	6	12

iii) "Young drivers should not drive late at night".

Even though the majority felt that driving at night was more of a problem than day-time driving, very few agreed (11%) that young drivers should be barred from late night driving. The majority of the respondents (73%) felt that young people should be allowed to do so, although 16% could not decide about this:

	Agree Strongly	Ågree	Can't Decide	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	I
Young drivers should not drive	' k	*	. 1	1 4	4	1
late at night	3	8	16	47	26	

iv) "It takes a year or two of driving to be able to recognise dangerous driving situations or to make safe judgements".

Although the majority (54%) of students agreed with this statement, the level of agreement was less strong than for some of the other driving statements. Secondly, those with licences were again less likely to agree (42%) than were the unlicensed (57%):

	Licensed	Unlicensed
	8	*
Strongly agree	10	10
Agree	32	47
Can't decide	15	15
Disagree	26	24
Strongly disagree	15	4

<u>Students' Questionnaire:</u> <u>Stage Two</u>

1. Existence of Driver's/Learner's/P Licences

- 1.1 Since the students questioned in Stage Two of the research had generally been involved in Stage One, the incidence of driver's licences of any kind, the source of driving lessons and the degree to which the unlicensed were planning to obtain licences, were very similar to that seen in the information obtained earlier. Small variations in percentages can be attributed to the differing sample sizes and rounding of percentage figures.
- 1.2 Thus in Stage Two of the research:
 - * 16% had a 'P' licence or learner status: just over half were L's
 - more licence holders were male than female and the majority resided in South Australia
 - the majority of licences were obtained since May 1989
 - half of the students had been taught to drive by their parents but lessons had come from more than one source, for some students
 - of those without a Learner's or 'P's, approximately
 3 out of 10 said they would obtain a licence in the
 next 6 months or so. Intention to obtain a licence
 was much higher in South Australia than in Victoria

2. Perceived Three Main Risks for New Drivers

- 2.1 Again the students were asked to list the three main risks to safe driving, for newly licensed drivers. In repeating this questioning, the researchers hoped to identify any modified beliefs about these risks which might be attributable (at least hypothetically) to the students' exposure to the YDSK videos. Exhibit 3 (opposite) presents the post-exposure responses to this question, by the total number of times each risk was mentioned, and compares this total to those for Stage One of the research.
- 2.2 As can be seen, some differences did occur in the number of mentions the various risk factors received, from the first to the second measure. To some degree, these differences did reflect the messages of the YDSK materials, in that they pointed to an increased awareness of the problems of peer group pressure and car loads of friends, of alcohol, night driving and of a lack of experience on driving skills. In particular, the following risk areas were mentioned more often after exposure to the videos than before:

	*	extra mentions in Stage Two %
Peer	Group Pressures	
* • *	peer group pressure showing off/acting cool/smart friends in back seat/cause distractions	19 7 6
Alco	hol and Drugs	
* *	alcohol taking/smoking drugs	10 4
Inex	perience	
* * * *	a lack of driving experience night driving being nervous or panicking, a lack of conf over-confidence lack of judgement	9 5 Eidence 4 4 3

2.3 Other differences appear in the number of times particular risk factors were mentioned in Stage Two, with a reduction in emphasis apparent in areas such as speed, risk-taking, wet weather and other road users. In particular, the following potential risks were less often mentioned following exposure to the videos, than they were before.

	<pre>% less mentions in Stage Two</pre>
	ጽ
Speed	
 driving too fast/speeding 	-21
Road rules	
 not obeying road rules/signs 	-10
Taking risks	
 taking risks/doing stupid things driving and drinking not wearing seat-belt 	- 6 - 7 - 3
Other road users	
 pedestrians heavy traffic being aware of other cars on road drunk drivers wet weather/fog 	- 4 - 3 - 2 - 2 - 5

- 2.4 The major changes between the two stages of the research therefore lie in three areas:
 - a strong increase in awareness of the dangers
 of peer group pressures
 - a more moderate increase in awareness of the problems of a lack of driving experience
 - a decrease in the number of students placing speed amongst the three main risks to newly licensed drivers

3. Three Main Ways in Which Alcohol is Seen to Affect Driving

- 3.1 Changes in the degree to which beliefs were held about the two or three main ways in which alcohol affects driving skills, were apparent between the Stage One and Stage Two measures. These changes were not as marked as they were in the previous question relating to major risks faced by newly licensed drivers. (See Exhibit 4, opposite)
- 3.2 Nevertheless in the second questioning and compared to Stage One, more students than before mentioned alcohol's effect on co-ordination, reflexes, balance and judgement and the fact that alcohol makes you drowsy and/or tired, as amongst the main effects:

		<pre>% extra mentions in Stage Two</pre>
		*
*	affects co-ordination/sense of balance	12
*	makes you tired/drowsy	8
*	take more risks/show off/act silly	6
*	affects judgement/clear thinking	4
×.	slows reflexes	2

3.3 Concomitantly, in Stage Two fewer mentioned poorer vision, reduced awareness of other drivers, speed or a possible loss of control of the car amongst the two or three main ways in which alcohol affected driving skills.. For instance:

% less mentions
in Stage Two

- *
- affects vision/eyesight -7
 a danger to other/cause accidents -5
 can't control car properly/lose control -4
 reduces awareness/unaware of other drivers -3
 drive too fast/speeding -3
 slows reaction time/slower response -2

- 3.4 Thus, the major changes in emphasis in beliefs about what are the two or three major effects of alcohol on driving, after exposure to the videos contained in the YDSK, were:
 - an increased awareness of the effect of alcohol on co-ordination, reflexes and balance
 - * an increased awareness of alcohol's reduction of good judgement/clear thinking and in the likelihood of showing off or risk taking
 - an increased belief that alcohol tends to make the drinker feel drowsy
 - a decrease in the level of belief that alcohol will affect vision/eyesight
 - a decrease in the opinion that an alcohol affected
 driver will lose control of the car or cause accidents
 as one of the two or three main effects of alcohol

4. Level of Agreement with Issues Related to Driving

4.1 In Stage Two the students who had seen the YDSK videos were asked to complete the same 'agree/disagree' scale for 10 attitude statements, as they had done in Stage One. Again, the five point scale was:

Strongly agree	1
Agree	2
Can't decide	3
Disagree	4
Strongly Disagree	5

Tabulations giving the distribution of ratings chosen and the mean score for each attitude statement are attached in Appendix B. However, the following are the major findings from the comparison of the two sets of attitude statement measures.

4.2 General Attitudes

1) "Young people are better drivers than other people"

The proportions of students who disagreed with this proposition changed slightly after exposure to the videos. Fewer agreed and fewer were uncertain about whether or not young people were better drivers than others. However, the changes in the distribution of rating scores were not significant, given the smaller base size of the second measure. The proportions agreeing or disagreeing, for both measures, are compared below:

			ree Trongly	4;	:ee	Cat De	iiie	Disəşr	éE	Cisa: Cisa: Circ2	tee toly
	Stage	1 <u>:</u> +	÷ ķ) <u>;</u> ;	2 ¥	। - के	: *	!	2 *	-	: *
Young people are better drivers than other peopl		1	:	<u>.</u> .	1	30	20	50	59	11	14

ii) "The present rules make getting a driver's licence too hard for young people".

There was no significant change in the distribution of levels of agreement or disagreement with this statement, as can be seen below:

-	Agree Agree Strongly			gree	Can' Dec		Disagr	ee	Disagree Strongly	
Stage	ן ו	2 *	1 <u>1</u> *	2	1 k	2 k	: *	2	4 <u>1</u> *	2 '
The present rules make getting a driver's licence		·		•	·	•	·	•	•	
teo hard for young people	ó	7	18	16	21	15	49	49	ó	Ĵ

iii) "Having a driver's licence is a privilege you have to earn even after you have passed the driving test"

The number of students who 'agreed' (rather than 'strongly agreed') that having a licence was a privilege to be earned, decreased in the second measure whilst the numbers who were uncertain about this statement increased. There was no significant change to either the levels strongly agreeing or to the levels of disagreement, as can be seen below:

		Agree Strongly			Agree		a't cide	Cisa	:ee	245	agree Traciy
Stage	י נ	2 i	1	1 ¥	2 i *	: *	21	-	- 2 3	! <u>-</u> - 	í ž
Having a driver's licence is a privilege you have to earn even after you have passed the		,						•	-	-	
driving test	16			ć.		- 4	2.3	;	÷		-

In the first measure, twice as many licensed as unlicensed drivers agreed that a driver's licence was a privilege to be

35

continually earned. However, in the second measure, licensed drivers seemed to be less adamant about this, as did older students:

Ĩ		Proper	ticas	stro	s ylya	greei	ing'	with	state	nent		
	Lic	ensed	Valice	esec	15yrs 1	5	16]	IS	175	rs	18y:	r s
Stage	1	2	• •	2	l i	2	ī	2	1	2	1	2
	4	4	1	4	*	ŝ,	ŧ	4	ŧ	¥	ŧ	ŧ
aving a driver's licence is a privilege												
ou have to earn even after you have												
passed the driving test	28	ć	14	23	12	26	19	13	18	è	33	-

4.3 Issues Related to Drinking and Driving

. .

1) "It's quite OK to drink a few beers and then drive".

The level of disagreement with this statement rose slightly from 81% to 89%, whilst those who agreed declined in numbers from 12% to 6%. The differences are not significant.

		Agree Strongly		Agree	Car De	i't ciâe	Disaçree		Disagree Strongly	
Stage	'1 *	2	11	2 ¥	11	2 *	1 <u>1</u> 1	2 1 4	1	2 1
It's quite CE to drink a few beers and then drive		2	ŝ	4	14	14	43	41	13	39

Licensed drivers disagreed more strongly than the unlicensed (69% and 49% respectively 'Strongly disagreed') that "it was quite OK to have a few drinks and then drive".

ii) "As long as I have only three drinks an hour I can drive safely and be under the limit".

In the Stage One measure, an overwhelming majority disagreed with this statement, with only 9% agreeing and 76% disagreeing that three drinks an hour would not affect safe driving. In the second measure the level of disagreement was equally as high, with a total of 6% agreeing and 80% disagreeing that this was the case.

	$\left[\right]$	Agree Strongly		λçree		.'t cide	Disaç	ree	Disag	ree cngly
Stage	* 1	2	11	2	 }	2 4	i <u>1</u> •	2 1	1 8	 ≹
As long as I have only the drinks an hour I can driv safely and be under the limit		2	8	ţ	14	14	43	41	13	39

iii) "Drinking coffee or making myself vomit will quickly get rid of alcohol from the bloodstream".

In the first measure, almost all of the students and especially those with licences, were sceptical of this proposition. In the second measure, the unlicensed students moved to a wider scepticism and as well, the licensed students moved from merely disagreeing to strongly disagreeing that coffee or vomiting would overcome the effects of alcohol in the bloodstream:

	Lic	ensed	Unlicensed				
Stage	1	2	1	2			
	*	8	ጜ	*			
Strongly agree	1	-	2	1			
Agree	1	-	1	2			
Can't decide	1		12	7			
Disagree	43	25	41	30			
Strongly disagree	53	75	43	60			

4.4 Other Driving-related Issues

 "Driving with a car full of friends makes it difficult for a new driver since he/she can be too easily distracted".

In the pre-exposure measure, the majority of students agreed with this statement, with 31% strongly agreeing. Once the YDSK materials had been seen, this rose to 46% strongly agreeing that driving with a car full of friends could be distracting and difficult.

	hçr Str	ee cngly	λç	ree	Can Dec	•	Disaçı	ee	Disa: Str	ree angly
Stage	'1	2		2	1 1	2 1	1	2	1	21
Driving with a car full of friends makes it difficult for a new driver since he/she can be too easily distracted		46	* 50	* 39	¥ 5	*	¥ 8	X 5	t, ć	* 2

ii) "Driving at night is really no more of a problem than driving during the day".

Prior to seeing the videos a total of 63% of students felt that driving at night was more problematical than driving during the day. After seeing the videos the figure rose to 75%, with 25% strongly agreeing with this concept. Interestingly, those with licences demonstrated a larger swing to this opinion than did those without licences, viz:

	Lice	ensed	Unlicensed				
Stage	1	2	1	2			
	*	*	*	8			
Strongly agree	9	6	2	4			
Agree	44	13	18	15			
Can't decide	4	-	13	7			
Disagree	37	56	55	49			
Strongly disagree	6	25	12	25			

iii) "Young drivers should not drive late at night".

The number of students who agreed that young drivers should not drive at night, rose slightly in the second measure. However, the increase in numbers feeling this way, was not significant, viz:

		Agree Strongly		Agree		Can't Decide		Disaçree		Disagree Strongly	
Stage	11	2'	1	2 1	¦ + ∔ ≹	: ' t	1	2	: it	्रा	
Young drivers should not drive late at night	3	4	8	17	16	17	47	40	26	22	

iv) "It takes a year or two of driving to be able to recognise dangerous driving situations or to make safe judgements".

There was a marked increase in the numbers of students, particularly licensed students, who agreed with this statement. Amongst licensed students, the proportion strongly agreeing rose from 10% to 44% whilst amongst unlicensed students, it rose from 10% to 24%. This and the concomitant decreases in the numbers who disagreed with the statement, are shown below:

	Lic	ensed	Unlicensed		
Stage	1 %	2 %	1 %	2 %	
Strongly agree Agree	10 32	44 31	10 47	24 48	
Can't decide	15	6	15	14	
Disagree	26	13	24	14	
Strongly disagree	15	6	4		

The Qualitative Research Stage One

.

.

• •

.

•

1. Learning to Drive: Attitudes Towards the Experience

- Students who were learning to drive at the 1.1 time of the research, and those few who actually had their licence, were asked to describe what their initial reactions had been to the experience of learning to drive: what they had felt when sitting behind the wheel of a car. Almost without exception, students claimed that they had been nervous in the early This nervousness was attributed to both their stages. inexperience as drivers as well as the presence and behaviour of a driving "instructor" in the vehicle.
- 1.2 It was apparent that many students felt overwhelmed with what confronted them when they sat behind the wheel of a car for the first time. There were the gears to contend with, traffic lights to obey, other drivers to be wary of, not to mention the fact that new situations continually presented themselves. Students felt that there was a definite learning curve, however some obviously gained their confidence quicker than did others.

"A bit scary at first because you don't know what the other drivers are going to do while you are driving".

"It's a lot harder....you've got tr look out for a lot more things that I expected.... road signs, pedestrians".

"You're always learning different rules you come lacross different situations all the time".

1.3 While some students claimed to feel uneasy in the presence of a qualified driving instructor, most of the expressed unease related to occasions when parents were the teachers. Furthermore, personal involvement in a motor vehicle accident, or the involvement of a close friend or relative in the same had, it was claimed, dampened the confidence of some of the students.

"I'd had it learning from my parents....they gell at me especially dad "cause I'm driving his tar ...he doesn't dave enough patience"

"I feel nore confortable with the driving instructorI think he's propably expecting be to take ristakes".

"I was really excited to get my learner's and dad took me out in his formodore to teach me "but after my brother's accident I just shrivelled up and said "no way"" 2.1 When asked what was involved in learning to drive, most students mentioned having to learn the road rules, having to "read the book", certainly the need to undertake some type of theory component. Usually the need to sit for a test to obtain a learner's permit was then mentioned, and students made comments relating to the relative ease or difficulty with which this could be achieved.

"You have to learn all your road rules then do a test".

"I cook the learner's test really seriously...I feiled once.....it's not too bad but you've got to know your road rules".

"You study the book....you've got to read it, go for the test. If you get that you hold your learner's for three months then get your "Ps"....then you go for a written test and a driver' test and if you pass you've got your licence".

2.2 Most students appeared to take the learning to drive experience seriously. Most believed that with the right attitude towards the theory and practical components success could be achieved relatively painlessly. That is to say, they could obtain their learner's or their provisional licences after the first or second attempt.

"If you attack it in the right way, just do some research on the road rules and everything like that it should come pretty easily".

"You have to do some study, but if you do that you shouldn't have too hear problems".

"It wass't difficult spetting my learner's: but I'd read up on it before".

2.3 Whilst students did not complain that the examinations for obtaining learner's or provisional licences were particularly difficult, they certainly did not believe that they should be any stricter. On the contrary, students were quick to point out instances where they thought that they or their peers had been failed because of something petty or for that matter, nothing at all.

"It's not easy... I've heard stories about where they fail you deliberately even if you get it right'.

"My prother said to get your "D's" was pretty easy but to get your full licence you'll have a lot of trouble".

"It's pretty hard, a couple of mistakes and out you go".

- 3. Attitudes to the Current Licensing Requirements and Suggested Changes or Improvements
- 3.1 Many students suggested that trainee drivers and in fact all drivers should undergo training in learning how to cope in emergency situations, such as the advanced training courses offered by the police. Certainly the need for learner's to obtain as much practical experience as they possibly could prior to driving by themselves was frequently mentioned by many students. In addition, the desire for driver education to be a compulsory part of the school curriculum or at the very least, taught by driving professionals was also mentioned.

"I think that they should have driver training at every school so you know what you're getting yourself in for".

"It takes ages to learn....you need experience and all the theory in the world can't make you a good driver".

"Maybe you could go for your practical test....they could test you with things like handling the car at high speeds or in the wet".

3.2 There was regular comment in the groups about the need for all drivers to undertake refresher courses and to be re-examined say five or ten years after obtaining their full licence. Many students complained about the incompetency of older drivers, particularly those over 50 years of age. It was thought that some of the drivers in this age group had not only forgotten the road rules but were physically incapable of driving on today's roads. It should be noted however, that whilst this criticism of older drivers was forthcoming, the students interviewed also recognised that their peers were involved in many, if not more, of the accidents on the road than older drivers.

> "Inere's a younger drowd and an older crowd. The younger crowd are more wild and they want to speed....that's what's more dangerous...I'm not experienced and not every youngster is 130% experienced. And older people, they're not that quick with their thinking so they risk the lives of other people on the road".

"I think that you should have to renew it your licence, all the time".

"It should be stricter for older people like over 60. They should try for their licence again".

"It should be hard to get your "P's" but after about 3-4 years you should have to go for a test again....because a lot of retired people just don't know how to do the stuff". 3.3 Apart from the expected comments about novice drivers unsuspectingly eating liquor chocolates or tucking into grandma's rum wine trifle, most students were of the opinion that it was irresponsible for them to drink and drive and that the legislation in this regard was appropriate and indeed necessary. Some students did admit that they would be tempted to drink and drive and that this would happen in a situation where they "could not help themselves" or "could not avoid it".

"I agree with the alcohol concentration...it shouldn't be any fore".

"9.08 is OR I suppose...it makes you think before you go out for a drive how much you're going to drink".

"It's hard with zero alcohol because if you eat a wine trifle or run balls you get alcohol in your system".

"The alcohol restrictions are good" .

3.4 The suggestion that novice drivers should have restrictions imposed upon them with regard to night driving was considered to be both unwarranted and impractical by all students. Such restrictions meant that one could be stranded somewhere late at night with no means of transportation, it was claimed. Most students felt that they would be capable of driving at night even when they first obtained their licence.

"There should be no restrictions on night driving".

"No restrictions on zight driving.....] think thet it's safet in the ziddle of the hight anyway.

"What would ge the point you'd have your licence and you wouldn't be able to use 113"

3.5 In South Australia, a small number of students mentioned that they thought that there should be differentiation between drivers who had obtained their licence driving a manual car versus those who got their licence on an automatic. It was recognised that learning to drive on an automatic car was significantly easier than learning to drive on a manual: different skills were required and the correct use of gears required a degree of mastery, it was claimed.

> "I've got a manual at home but I take my lessons on an automatic. After I've got by litence I'll learn properly".

> "I think that they should have discrimination between manual and automatic (litences) because anyone can drive an automatic Whereas a Banual is harder".

- 4. Holding a Car Licence For the First Time: How Would You Feel?
- 4.1 When asked how they would feel or had felt when first holding a car licence most students spontaneously mentioned the freedom they expected would be theirs. There would be no need to wait around for the offer of a lift, no need to rely on friends or family, one would be independent and feel more grown up.

"Nakes you feel that you are dependent on yourself for everything you do...like no-one can stop you from doing anything...you're free".

"Instead of hanging around waiting for your parents to take you somewhere you can go by yourself".

"When you get your licence you don't have to depend on public transport or people giving you lifts..... if you've got your own car you can go places....I can't wait for 1.5 years to go".

4.2 Spontaneously, only a small proportion mentioned that they would have greater responsibilities once they obtained their driver's licence, but on prompting, most accepted that this would be the case. This added responsibility extended not only to the owner of the car which they were driving but to other road users as well as to their passengers, it was claimed.

"More responsibility......you have to be more cautious on the road because there's not just you on the road there's other people as well".

"You'd feel responsible towards your friends in the carllyou wouldn't want to kill your friends".

"It gives you a sense of responsibility towards other drivers as well as to yourself".

4.3 Some students mentioned that initially they would feel apprehensive about driving on their own and being exposed to different or unexpected situations. These students claimed that it would be some time after they had actually got their licence before they would be confident driving a car themselves.

> "It would be difficult the first couple of zonths...bandling the traffic all by yourself at first".

"If there are people in the car with you you'd get all nervous and make mistakes".

"You're very mervous for the first few times".

45

5. Perceived Three Main Risks to Novice Drivers

- 5.1 In the group discussions all attending students were asked to detail what they considered to be the three main risks to new or novice drivers. A variety of "risks" were mentioned by the students as being potentially problematical. Some of these risks could be regarded as of a "personal" nature while others could be seen as "environmental".
- 5.2 Personal risks related to the behaviour of the novice driver him or herself, situations over which he/she had control such as :
 - * the consumption of alcohol
 - * speeding
 - * being nervous or lacking confidence
 - * bending under peer group pressure
 - * lacking experience to cope with different situations

"A couple of mates in the back telling you to go faster".

"Worrying about what's going on in the car, not concentrating on the road".

"Losing control because you don't know what to do...you might get into a situation that you don't understand".

"The alochol...now long it stays in your system and what it ices to you".

- 5.3 Problems that could be classified as environmental risks to the novice driver which were mentioned by students in both states included:
 - other drivers generally
 - other drivers who had a poor attitude specifically towards "P" or "Learner" drivers
 - * driving conditions such as rain or fog or darkness
 - poorly built or maintained roads

"Other drivers...people zowe right up behind you and honk the born and they think "keep away from her she's a learner".

"People was are going fast all the time...they don't think that they are going to cause an accident but they do". "I'm more worried about what other people are doing than what I'm doing...you know that you're not over the limit but the guy in front of you or behind you might be".

"Late night driving and heavy traffic that's what would worry me".

- 6. Perceived Three Main Risks to Drivers With 12 Months Experience
- 6.1 Students in the groups were asked what they thought would be the main risks to drivers with 12 months driving experience. It should be noted that very few students actually had their licence and that none had been driving for 12 months or more, hence this line of discussion relied upon their observations and hearsay rather than personal experience.
- 6.2 Many students believed that the risks to drivers of 12 months standing were the same as those for their less experienced counterparts. It was claimed however that these more experienced drivers were likely to exacerbate the situations they found themselves in by being over-confident.

"You feel more confident then and you take it easier....you can loose concentration at any time".

"Being over confident...they start thinking I know how to drive".

"It could be a bit easier after a year because you think that you know how to handle a car... you might take it a bit easier and take a few more risks".

"You're probably more of a danger because you're used to it all and you think that you're really good.

- 6.3 Other potential risks to these motorists, mentioned by the students, were really an extension of this feeling of overconfidence and included:
 - the consumption of alcohol
 - speeding
 - showing off and performing to one's peers

"Your mates in the car with you...spowing oid to them...going too fast",

"Dring driving... you think that you can take it".

"Being over-confidentlike when you know the roads really well...getting drunk and thinking that you're not going to get caught".

"The police area't wardhing you as such and the fines aren't as such so you speed a bit sore".

- 7. Situations Which Were Perceived to be Problematical for Drivers and Experience With These Situations
- 7.1 Students considered that environmental factors (both natural and man-made) and other drivers were equally potentially problematical for drivers. It was a matter of judging each situation individually as it arose.
- 7.2 In terms of problems that could be classified as naturally occurring environmental factors, the students mentioned such things as:
 - * rain
 - fog and sleet (considered to be a potential problem to a greater extent by the South Australian students interviewed)
 - night driving, particularly when visibility is further obscured by either rain or fog
 - * at sunrise and at dusk when driving into the sun

"Sunset...it's pot dark and it's not light it's sort of in between".

"In the hills because we suffer hadly from fog".

"When it's been raising beavily".

- 7.3 Potentially problematical situations that could be classified as environmental but were man-made were considered to include:
 - peak hour driving
 - holiday traffic
 - city driving (particularly if this was an unfamiliar environment)
 - roundabouts and sharp corners
 - poorly maintained roads
 - highways and freeways

"The street that aren't good...the burps and rough bits". "In the city if you didn't get your licence there". "Crossroads.... one driver is expecting another to give way".

"Peak hour....always being stopped at traffic lights...always being rushed..it would be so awkward at those times".

"The highways because it involves people speeding".

7.5 Of course potentially problematical situations also arose for no reason other than because of the behaviour of other drivers. Drivers who drank alcohol, who sped, who took risks or showed off to their friends, who were considered to be too old to drive safely were all potential causes of accidents, the students claimed.

"Friday or Saturday might is the blokes' might out...they're all together and have a drink or two and then they go stupid".

"They are a potential bazard those old people...the very old people because their reactions are slower".

"People who drink it makes you feel more tired and over-confident but you're slower".

"People who take stupid risks for one reason or another".

7.6 Some students claimed that they had personal experience in these types of problematical situations, however most relied on stories related to them either by friends or relatives. Fortunately, only one or two students had actually been involved in serious accidents and this had, they claimed, left an indelible mark on them and their attitudes towards driving.

> "We were coming down and there's a roundabout and a cat came down and wiped out half of our tar. It taught me that you should not rush....even when you have the right of way you should be wary that schedne could come through".

> "It was raiging and there was an island in the middle of the road. Some bloke stopped right in the middle..the bloke in front of us saw bit and braked and skidded. We braked as well...were skidding as well and we bit the back of him".

- 8. Perceived Main Factors in Road Accidents and How They Might be Avoided
- 8.1 The potential causes of road accidents cited in Point 7 were also considered by the students to be the major causes of motor vehicle accidents. The natural environmental factors such as:
 - heavy rain
 - fog,
 - as well as the man-made environmental considerations such as:
 - poor roads
 - * peak hour or holiday traffic

were believed to be the main cause of many accidents.

"Scorping in the wet...skidding and getting out of control".

"Roads that are full of holes...gravel and loose surfaces".

8.2 The behaviour of other road users was also a major cause of accidents, it was thought. Drivers who drank and drove, who sped, took risks, were lacking in confidence or who were over-confident, or were generally careless when they sat behind the wheels of their vehicles, were as much to blame for road accidents as were the environmental factors, it was claimed.

"Other crazy drivers are half the problez".

"Cld people who drive too carefully".

"Patience....if you take more patience you'd be more safe".

8.3 It was felt that many road accidents could be avoided if:

- roads could be improved
- * drivers were less impatient and did not take risks
- drivers were more competent and had the appropriate skills to drive
- * drivers did not drink and drive

The students did not believe that there was a broad-brush solution to the road toll.

"You've got to watch out for other drivers...it doesn't matter how good a driver you are you've got to watch out for the other dickheads on the roads".

"You can't get too over-confident or you'll end up in a tree".

"They need to keep the road conditions good".

.

"It's just common sense like knowing you've got other people in the car and that they are your responsibility".

9. Perceived Effect of Specific Behaviours on Driving Ability

Alcohol

9.1 All students without exception, recognised that alcohol had a detrimental effect upon the capabilities of a driver, particularly a novice driver. The most likely effect of alcohol on a driver was, according to the students, a reduction in reflex time and an inability to judge situations correctly.

"You become over-confident with yourself and do things that you would not normally do if you were driving".

"It slows your reactions...you can't judge distances and speed".

"Lack of concentration...they (people who drink and drive) are not interested it what they are doing".

"It changes the way you react...it makes your reactions slower".

Some students mentioned, in the context of discussion on the effects of alcohol on driving behaviour, that the temptation to drink and drive was ever-present. It wasn't fun to go to a party and not drink: everyone drank when they went out it was the thing to do even if you were driving.

"You enjoy drinking...you have fun when you're drinking...ff you don't drink then it's not fun".

"You don't have to drink but sometimes you can't help the situation".

There was some confusion in the minds of many students as to the number of drinks that could be consumed before one was affected by alcohol. Students were generally of the opinion that if they felt all right they were not drunk and they were capable of driving. Furthermore, whilst most were aware of the BAC limit in their State, most were unsure as to how many drinks over what period of time actually constituted this amount.

"It depends on whether you're a heavy drinker or not. If you're a light drinker then it's only going to take you a couple of pots to over 0.05".

"You can have a glass every nour I think but it depends on what you're drinking".

"0.025% is taken out of your body every hour".

"I don't know what you can drink to reach it (the BAC limit)...most people say that it is different for every person".

Whilst most of the students did not subscribe to the theory that coffee, food, vomiting or exercise could minimise the effect of alcohol on the body, there were some who certainly did and who were prepared to try such remedies.

"Once you put alcohol in your system there's nothing you can do about it".

"It takes time...the only thing is to stop drinking, have a few glasses of water or coffee and sit around for a couple of hours".

"I've been wrecked but I've been straight as a pin after I've spewed up'.

"Milk works..drink it before alcohol and it makes you feel less drunk".

Night Driving

9.2 It was generally agreed that driving at night required more skills and greater concentration than did day-time driving.

The students mentioned that there was a greater chance that a driver would be tired and lacking in concentration in the evening, hence their chance of being involved in an accident was higher. Furthermore, the driving conditions at night were more difficult, the students claimed: it was often difficult to judge distances and the lights of the on-coming cars could blind a driver.

"It's inight driving: here difficult because you're concentrating on driving and everything is dark all around you".

"You can be blinded by people with their high bear...say like when you are 111 meters in your car and you are supposed to dip your headlights and the other car doesn't dip his headlights you get blinded by the light".

"You have to be zone alerthif you have sobeone there to talk to you and someone who you can swap the driving with".

"You have to be able to judge things distances....necause it's different at hight".

"At night it's pretty distracting with all the lights".

Peer Group Pressure

9.3 The students recognised that for them, peer group pressure was a potential risk when they were driving. They had been in vehicles and seen at first hand what the effect of such behaviour had been on the young, inexperienced driver. Indeed some admitted to have been a part of the "cheer squad" or "hecklers" in the past.

Peer group pressure, whilst acknowledged to be a dangerous force on the novice driver, was also accepted, albeit reluctantly as part of life: it would happen at least once or twice to the novice driver. Most students claimed that they would, if necessary, tell their distracting passengers to be quiet or to get out of the car and that they would not put up with such behaviour when they were the driver.

"I wouldn't cave in under that sort of pressure...I'm not loosing my licence for them".

"That's the worst (having your friends in the car). I haven't actually done it but I know people who have...because they like having music on loud and they're yelling and arguing".

"If they're going to be distracting you and you feel responsible for them you would chuck them out of the car and then you are not responsible for ther".

"Everyone does it pace...everyone has tried it before....]'ve tried it but it doesn't prove acthing".

 "At the start when you're a youngster when you first get your licence and then for a year or two you have your hot cars and do wheelies and things in front of your mates...as you get clier you get wiser".

- 10. Driver Education: Attitudes to What Was Available and Suggestions for Change
- 10.1 Students in both States felt that driver education should be made available to all students of driving age. It was thought that via the school system potential drivers could be given an excellent grounding in the road rules and safe driving practices even if they did not actually get their licences. "I think that a school course would be really good if it was compulsory...and you should have to pass it".

"They need to make it (pre-driver education) compulsory before you get your licence".

"We have lessons after school....balf an hour once a week for three weeks. We go down to the driving centre and drive down there and learn the basics".

- 10.2 Most students were dissatisfied with the comprehensiveness of the courses currently provided by schools and technical colleges because of what they perceived was:
 - * their lack of qualified, competent teachers
 - * the small amount of hands on, practical driving experience permitted
 - * the large proportion of theory included

In addition, students felt that driver training should be free, regardless of where it was conducted.

"You could have all the theory side of it at school but when it comes to put it into practice that's where you loose out".

"If the teacher was a qualified instructor and not just a teacher it would be retter".

"We had a couple of periods of theory each day -during the course' then we went out and practiced what we had been taught".

- 11. Recall of and Attitude Towards the Young Driver Survival Kit
- 11.1 Students who attended those schools where the Young Driver Survival Kit had been included as part of the driver education programme, were asked what they recalled about 'The Big Gig' and 'Road Worrier' videos and what they thought the main message of each had been.
- 11.2 Following prompting, the students recalled having seen 'The Big Gig' and 'Road Worrier' videos, even if they had been but two of the number of pieces of visual stimuli to which they had been exposed. At the spontaneous level, neither video was viewed particularly positively by the students. Both, but more particularly 'Road Worrier', were considered to be childish in approach and more suited to a younger audience, it was felt.

"Martians and meteors.....a bit far fetched (Big Gig)".

"It ('Road Worrier') was pathetic....a bit low for our age group".

"It (Big Gig) get the point across but in a childish way".

11.3 The three themes of 'The Big Gig':

- * the effect of alcohol on driving skills
- * the effects of peer group pressure
- the requirements of night driving,

were recalled by many of the students, most of whom conceded that they were important points to stress to the learner driver.

"It gave across the ressage arout drink-driving and driving at night".

"It was just a slightly different way of getting the tessage scross".

"It made you more aware".

11.4 The extent of recall of the main message or theme of 'Road Worrier' was considerably more restricted than that for Big Gig. The students generally agreed that the video emphasised safe road behaviour, however beyond this rather general message there was nothing specific they could remember.

"Just safe driving...generally showing different aspects".

"It went on and cn...it was boring".

"They were saying how long it took to get those (driving) skills".

The Qualitative Research: Stage Two

•

· ·

.

.

- 1. Recall and Discussion of Driver Education Undertaken Since Previous Researcher's Visit
- 1.1 At the spontaneous level, most students recalled having seen "one or two" videos which specifically related to driver education following the researcher's last visit to the school. Some students also mentioned that they had undertaken an assignment on some aspect of driver training, had had some practical driving experience or learnt about basic car maintenance.

"We learnt about some of the components of the car...different systems in the car".

"Two videos....Big Gig and 'Road Worrier' and some theory notes".

"In our elective there was this thing here you could go off and do at the road safety centreyou go down there and they tell you a couple of things about road safety and you watch a few wideos and if you're lucky you go on the simulators and then walk around the course and get all the signals and stuff explained to you".

1.2 Spontaneous comments made by the students relating to the two test videos were mixed, but they erred on the side of criticism. It was not so much the message that came under the scrutiny of the students but the presentation of the message that was criticised. Many students considered the presentations to be childish and stupid, best suited to a younger audience.

> "They showed us some stupid videos...like cartoons they were...they were simed at people who wouldn't have their licence yet...like 3, 9 and 11 year blds and like we're 15 and 167.

"They the videos' were pretty useless really...the police videos were better...you remember the gory bits because that's the stuff that scares you".

"It told you that you're not going to be a perfect driver after a couple of weaks or overnight...you have to wait a couple of years or longer than that".

"It the video' helped me to be a better driver...about hight driving it's harder than day driving and about alcohol".

2. Recall of and Attitudes Towards 'Road Worrier'

Background

2.1 In each of the group discussions, the students were asked to recap what they recalled about the 'Road Worrier' driver education video. This initial discussion was then followed by a more detailed analysis by the students of the message of the video as well as attitudes towards the central characters and the means of presentation.

Spontaneous Recall

2.2 At the spontaneous level most students were easily able to recall the focal points of this particular video. There was a high level of recall of the need to obtain driving skills and the difficulty with which this could be achieved. In addition, some students were able to discuss individual driving skills in more detail, particularly with regard to the length of time each took to master.

"They talked about skills...awareness, basic procedures and judgement".

"First time he'd learnt basic skills and he had a stack then the second time he was over -confident and the last time he hit a chicken truck".

"Just talking about how long it takes to get them driving skills: and you can't get them quickly".

Recall of the content of the video was also particularly high. Students spoke about "a guy" or "Neil" trying to get his driving skills or "getting them out of a box". Some students also mentioned the inclusion of robots as the purveyors of specific driving skills. Some went further and discussed the inexperience of these robots: that they were only skilled in one specific area.

"There was this guy called Neil and no didn't have enough driving skills and no tried to get then out of a box in a garage".

"One incident was when one of the robots was driving and they nearly nit a car because they were going too fast around a corner. And the last one was where the robot was passing a car and it wouldn't slow down and they ended up crashing".

"It was about this guy who got his licence and who couldn't drive properly...he got a couple of robots to help him drive and they scuffed it up...he didn't have judgement".

Recall of the specific driving skills discussed in 'Road Worrier' was not as forthcoming at the spontaneous level as were the antics of Neil or the robots. Indeed, some students claimed that they had "switched off" because they felt that the approach of the video was too childish.

"A dude who wanted to learn how to drive...I don't know it was all too weird for me".

"They had those robot things...they were stupid..they taught us things but the approach wasn't all that good".

Understanding of the Communication of 'Road Worrier'

2.3 The majority of students were clear on what had been the main message of the 'Road Worrier' video. It was claimed that the communication related to the learning curve associated with driving a vehicle. Whilst many students could not recall all three driving skills discussed in the video, they were well aware of the fact that they took years rather than days to acquire.

"You can't get your driving skills overnight it takes about 7 years".

"You can learn to drive the car, change the gears and all that in the first 3 or 7 months and it tells you the stages...like you think "Ob great I can control the car!" but you get overconfident. It shows you that you're not experienced and that it takes a couple of years".

"Son't rush it....you cen't learn everything in a few days it takes years before you can it everything properly".

"You can't buy your skill it takes time. You can't jump into a car and know what is going on straight away you meed different skills".

Attitudes Towards the Communication

2.4 Attitudes towards the communication were positive with many students claiming that the message was credible. Furthermore, it was obvious that many students had not previously considered the learning curve involved in acquiring specific driving skills.

"You can't just start driving down the street because it's not as easy as that".

"I didn't realise just how long it took to get all those skills".

"When people get their licence they think that they will be able to drive easily but when they get it it's different....it's much harder".

Attitudes Towards 'Road Worrier' Overall

2.5 In the main, students reacted positively towards the message or communication of 'Road Worrier' but very negatively towards the method of presentation.

The slices of life with Neil attempting to do a three point turn, of his overall nervousness and of his desire to immediately become a proficient driver were easy for the students to relate to: indeed some claimed to have been in such situations already.

"I liked the start of it when everyone was saying park over there and he was saying "Ob no not a three point turn"...it was really good because that's probably how it would be".

"I thought it was really good because they focussed on a young kid who had just got his licence and I think it was better than having an old person driving around".

"It made me more aware...it's just different to getting in the car and starting the motor and just taking a ride".

The vast majority of students interviewed considered the video to be condescending and boring in its approach. The humour, it was claimed, was far more suited to a younger audience of pre-driving age students.

It was felt that the video lacked any sophistication in terms of its science fiction presentation. The students were very familiar with science fiction movies, most of which were considered complex in terms of their special effects. Whilst there were no specific requests for more or better effects, it was obvious that the 'Road Worrier' video was not up to the standard that the students were used to.

"I reckon it was a bit sarcastic...it was zade to a younger audience....they could have tade it a bit zone wature".

"It wasn't well made....it was a backyard jor like a home tidet for 4 year clis".

"Oh I wasn't really thinking about the message they were trying to get across...] was thinking "Oh my God what is this?" It was pathetic".

"I watched the first 5 minutes and then I switched off...you watch it for 6 minutes and then you think this is rubpish and start talking to the guy mext to you".

61

The use of robots was also criticised by many of the students mainly because the ones depicted were very "primitive" compared with those in videos and movies. The students were used to creatures which were far more complex and unique: robots such as the ones featured in the 'Road Worrier' video were very "basic" and associated with the early science fiction of the 1960's, it was claimed.

"It was tacky.....it was kinda put forward to like about pre-school age kids..it wasn't for our age group".

"Those robots were for play school".

"It was amusing but it didn't make much sense...the robots made it a bit stupid".

Attitudes Towards the Central Character Neil

2.6 The word most frequently used to describe Neil, the central character in 'Road Worrier' was "Nerd": the students believed that Neil lacked any intelligence. However, a small number thought that they too might behave in a similar fashion; the difference was that Neil's stupidity was "over the top", it was claimed.

"I thought he was a merd, trying to buy driving lessons...trying to learn how to drive by buying the act and experiencing it".

"He was a bit of an idiot to go round paying for robots...after the first one I would have learnt".

"The characters they had were just too over the top...if they'i had someone a little hit note down to earth it would have made more sense".

3, Recall of and Attitudes Towards 'The Big Gig'

Background

3.1 As was the case with the 'Road Worrier' video, 'The Big Gig' video was discussed with those students who had been exposed to it during the test period. The order in which the two videos was discussed was rotated from one group to the next so as to minimise order bias.

Spontaneous Recall

3.2 The students had very little difficulty recalling the content of 'The Big Gig' at the spontaneous level, and were easily able to relate the major events. Some claimed that the video was "just as stupid" as they believed 'Road Worrier' had been, however it was evident, even at this early stage of the discussion that Big Gig had not been as negatively regarded by the students as 'Road Worrier' had been.

> "It was about 2 martians and they crash landed on this house and there was this rock group and Beil was in it again and they had to drive...and everyone had a turn at driving".

"One bit was where he was driving too fast and he was trying to overtake around bends and another one was where she was tired and then one where he was affected by alcohol".

"The martians viewed each rand member and how they performed as a driver and decided who was the best driver and the problems of their driving".

Understanding of the Communication of Big Gig

3.3 The students were, in most cases, easily able to identify the main message or communication of Big Gig without any prompting from the researchers. Whilst not all students could isolate each of the three themes discussed in the video, all were familiar with them when they were mentioned by their peers.

"It talked about driving skills...dight driving, drinking and driving and peer group pressure".

"It was apout a group of kids and the peer pressure when driving

The three areas of communication that were mentioned by the students were:

- night driving
- peer group pressure
- drink driving

The understanding of the main message regarding night driving related to the need for practice in semi-dark conditions as well as in complete darkness and the stressing of how difficult night driving was or could be for the novice. In addition, the importance of recognising that one was tired, of pulling off the road and if possible, letting somebody else drive, was mentioned by some of the students.

"...night driving...not to take any risks..like if you're tired just pull over and let scheone else drive".

"Hight driving...it's more difficult than driving during the day".

"It said that you should get practice before going out really late at night...in sort of dusk situations".

Understanding of the main message with regard to peer group pressure was widespread across all group discussions. Group attenders recognised the importance of not being influenced by their peers whilst they were driving. It was a temptation to show off, particularly when there were members of the opposite sex involved, but this along with the driver's lack of experience were a recipe for disaster it was claimed.

"It spoke about driver skills and the ability to perforp with your peers around...and just ignore the distractions".

"Peer group pressure.....take your time, don't speed and don't let them push you into things that you don't want to do".

"...peer group pressure...you shouldn't have any distractions and ask your friends to leave if they are muching up in the back seat".

The message relating to drink driving was immediately recognised by the students. Whilst the theme of this particular message was familiar to most, the possible effect of just one drink on a driver was new to many students. "You shouldn't drink at all if you're driving....even the smallest bit will affect your driving...affect your awareness"

"It didn't go into too much detail it just said a little bit alcohol affects you and makes you uncoordinated".

"How long alcohol stays in your blood for a certain time and you can't get it out quicker....any influence of alcohol doesn't matter...if it's one little glass or ten glasses it still affects you".

Attitudes Towards the Communication

- 3.4 Overall, the students considered the communication to be credible. Furthermore, many claimed that they had actually learnt something while watching 'The Big Gig'. The two pieces of information that were "new" to many of the students were:
 - that night driving required skills over and above those needed for day-time driving
 - that even the smallest amount of alcohol affects a driver's reaction time behind the wheel.

"Most of it was common sense...that bit about night driving was new for me".

"...night driving...it said that you needed different skills...keeping awake and that".

"Drinking...any amount...it hampers your normal skills.

Attitudes Towards Big Gig Overall

3.5 Overall, the students' reaction towards 'The Big Gig' was mixed, however positive comments generally outweighed the negatives ones.

Most students considered that the video successfully got its message across and had, as previously mentioned, taught them something new about driving a car. It was also thought that it reinforced what many students already knew, for example: the importance of not drinking and driving, and the need to resist the temptation to show off when one's peers were in the car.

"I suppose it just reinforced what I already knew but it makes you a bit more aware of it so it's good in that way".

"It was good in that it showed you what may happen and what not to do when you are going to drive".

"It got the Ressage across all right....it showed you what could happen and what could happen if you did the right thing".

The primary criticism was that the video was immature in its approach and possibly more suited to a younger audience. This criticism was also directed at 'Road Worrier', but students were not as scathing in their comments about 'The Big Gig' as they had been about the other video. The use of aliens did little, it was claimed, to present a mature perspective to an adolescent problem.

"It was boring and stupid...it was aimed at 12 year olds the way they were dressed. When he said that he was going to blow up the world it didn't make sense...they should make films more realistic...they're aiming at future drivers".

"I thought the martians were really stupid...martians aren't really going to get the point across... I won't take a martian seriously".

"The message was OF but there was too much other garbage interfering....sort of hiding the facts".

"I found it difficult to take it seriously because they had the aliens in it and all that...skill-wise it was alright".

- 4. Suggested Changes and Improvements to 'Road Worrier' and 'The Big Gig' Videos
- 4.1 The students were asked for suggestions for changes which they felt could be made to either of the videos they had seen. Many students were forthcoming in this regard, believing that both videos were in need of improvement.
- 4.2 The suggestion that was most frequently mentioned by the students, particularly with regard to the 'Road Worrier' video was that it should be targeted more closely to their age group and should be more sophisticated if the science fiction approach was going to be retained.

"Stop treating us like kids....if we're old enough to drive a car we should be treated like our age".

"It should be more serious...at least you would find out something about it...this way you just sat there looking at it...like I don't believe it".

"It ('Road Worrier') was kid's stuff...like one of those movies you see at the life education centres".

4.3 Some of the students felt that a totally different approach was warranted if the videos were to achieve their aim. Many suggested that they would be more interested if the videos had been presented in a "true to life" way: if they had shown real life situations and people in different situations.

"I think a better way of going about it would be to say follow a teenager and the steps of a learner driver".

"They should show what happens fully...like with drink driving and having a trash or whatever...like people are killed and all that sort of stuff...they just showed you in that Sig Sig- like you had another charce".

"It would have been good if instead of the varyians they were going for a job or something and they had to know how he drive properly for the job". 5. Perceived Three Main Risks to Novice Drivers

- 5.1 As was the case during the first visit to the schools and colleges, the students were asked during the course of the discussions what they considered to be the three main risks to novice car drivers.
- 5.2 In the pre-exposure stage of the research the risks mentioned by the students were categorised into two broad groups:
 - personal risks (ie the behaviour of the novice driver him or herself)
 - environmental risks (ie conditions that were beyond the control of the driver such as weather or road conditions)

In the post-exposure stage, in contrast to the initial research phase, the risks mentioned by the students were mainly of a personal nature. That is to say, the students were more likely to consider that risky situations were within their control on the researcher's second visit than on the first.

- 5.3 The personal risks cited by the students were not dissimilar to those mentioned on the researcher's first visit, namely:
 - * the consumption of alcohol
 - * lack of hands-on driving experience
 - * being over-confident
 - peer group pressure

"Peer group pressure...Leing pressured into schething you don't want to do".

"You shouldn't go to places too early...you should go when you are confident enough".

"Not knowing the rules and the situations...like you get taught some things but it's never enough".

"Dricking and driving...the temptation".

- 6. Perceived Main Risks to Drivers with 12 Month's Experience
- 6.1 Once a person had held his/her licence for 12 months the students felt that the main risk to him or her was him/herself. The driver was likely to be over-confident, to take stupid risks and to be swayed by peer group pressure in its various forms.
- 6.2 The risks the students felt that drivers of 12 month's standing were most likely to take were:
 - * speeding
 - * showing off to friends (doing wheelies and the like)
 - driving when one was tired
 - drinking and driving

"Drinking..... when you're old enough to get rid of your plates you're old enough to legally drink...so you could go out to a party, take your car with you..decide to drive and have a crash".

"Overconfidence....thinking "now I can drive, no problems at all"".

"Speeding and showing off to friends in the car because they want you to do all these stupid things".

- 7. Driver Education: Suggested Changes and Improvements to What Was Available
- 7.1 At the close of each of the group discussions, the students were asked for their suggestions regarding the provision of driver education for their age group. The researchers asked the students if they were satisfied with the current methods of instruction and whether or not the schools, traffic authorities or other bodies could be doing a more efficient job in this area.
- 7.2 It should be remembered that on the initial visit to the schools the students were asked a similar question and that their response had generally been that driver education should be made available to all students of driving age, preferably free of charge. The students' attitudes in this regard had not changed, in that all, without exception, wanted driver education to be provided for their age group by qualified instructors and not necessarily their teachers at school.

"They should have a course available at school for people who want to learn the rules".

"They should have more standardised lessons at school and at least twice a year".

"It shouldn't be your schools teachers teaching you to drive...because you think "In my God it's so and so" and they give you a hard time during the lessons".

- 7.3 Other suggestions that were made by large numbers of students included:
 - more practical instruction particularly in problematical situations such as the wet, at night and on gravel surfaces
 - realistic videos and training materials that show real life situations

- testing of drivers in different weather conditions
 and on different road surfaces
- exposing people who have been involved in accidents or who have been penalised for one reason or another to novice or learner drivers

"You'd listen to people who've had accidents....people who have been out yahooing and realised how dangerous it can be".

"Show some things that do happen to people who show off...like it might be a bit frightening but some of them do and up in hospital with a few fingers less".

"When you go for your licence they should have different sections like wet sections, a gravel surface and pot boles".

"More practical experience...instead of having just one day driving".

The Teachers: Stage One

.

.

.

.

•

1. Size and Nature of the Schools Included in the Sample

- 1.1 The schools visited by the research team ranged from quite small - 280 students - to, in two cases, schools with a roll of 1,100 students. The median size was 750 pupils.
- 1.2 However, few of the students in any of these schools were receiving road safety or driver education lessons, unless, as was the case in three schools, the subject was compulsory for Year 11 or Year 12 students. Generally for this to occur, the topic was integrated into another program such as "Pastoral Care" (and the driver education component was a small proportion of the program) or the program was limited to a small number of lectures.
- 1.3 For the majority of schools however, numbers involved in road safety or driver education were limited by the availability of cars for practical experience, by the number of staff or visiting lecturers (eg police) with time to allocate to the area or by the availability of time for up to 16 pupils at D.E.C.A. in Shepparton.
- 1.4 The median number of students involved was approximately 50 per annum. These came from Years 10, 11 and/or 12.

- 2. Status of Driver Education as a Subject in the Schools
- 2.1 In two of the schools visited, there was no program at all, in 1989, in driver education or road safety. In three schools, the subject was compulsory, generally integrated with other subjects, or as a small number of lectures. For the remaining schools, the subject was generally elective, the staff numbers and facilities limited and the number undertaking the subject, small.

"There's no road safety program in the school at the moment. The police come in at the beginning of the year and give two lectures to Year 12 students here'.

2.2 As an elective subject, driver education was often 'blocked' against mathematics or science, precluding students with definite career paths in these areas. It also competed against a wide range of other electives for the students' time.

"It's elective, it competes with numerous options, for example, phys. ed., business studies. geography, history, science etc.."

"They choose from a range of what are called "Enrichment Subjects". I take a course for 3-10 weeks. I take four students for a course, for 2 lessons. Four lots of four kids, 16 in total".

"It's an elective and unfortunately it's blocked against maths and science surjects, so those who have a definite career path that way are precluded from doing it. Many want to do it. few can. If it was blocked against the arty crafty subjects, typing or whatever. It's all to do with blocking constraints, so we're had seventeen students. We started out this year with thirteen and so many bate left already, we're down to seven now".

"An elective, three periods a week, lectures, no prectical, all theory".

2.3 In some cases. when these topics were included in the 'Pastoral Care' program and were therefore compulsory, all of the Year 11 or Year 12 students received at least one lecture in this area.

> "There is a "Pastoral Care" program which provides time for students to be involved in driver education. We have two sessions set aside each week for Pastoral Care".

"I try to push sixteen kids a year through practical, plus theory which is four lessons a week for approximately one senester, approximately..thirtysix students a year".

"Don't run any but are looking at running it next year for Year 10's, as a camp up at D.E.C.A. in Shepparton". 2.4 The teachers within the Victorian schools were conscious of the new curriculum for the State, which apparently did not include driver education or road safety. The teachers were concerned that this was a retrograde step.

"V.C.E. has fixed it in terms of killing it, because it came outside of the curriculur, so there has to be a complete change by the Ministry before we can get anything (driver education program)".

"Started out this year in Year 12, two classes of 21-25 students, they've now faded down to about fifteen. There's thirteen doing it from Year 10. There'll be no Year 11 because we are going on to V.C.E. and Traffic Education is not a part of V.C.E. So now Years 11 and 12 are finished".

- 3. What was Actually Undertaken in Driver Education Lessons
- 3.1 The driver education teachers all stressed the value of practical experience in driver education lessons. Where cars were available to them, practical exercises were entered into by small numbers of students. The importance of this practical exposure was such that some of the teachers were working outside of the normal school hours to ensure that students could participate.

"The kids catch the bus down to Cakmans Park Driving Centre, each year two groups of twenty. Four afternoons, they get about one and a half hours down there, about six hours each in total".

"They get one hour of theory and then do the driving. One hour's theory then three students drive for half a day with we and half a day with Ross".

"We take three students out in the car for a double period. Unfortunately it's all during my own free marking correction time and the powers that be won't listen to anything else of course".

"Because we only have two lessons I try to get as much in-car instruction as possible and I do a bit of theory work in the beginning. But most of the theory I do in the car in relation to the practical work, so rather than just having discrete theory lessons and practical lessons I try to integrate the two".

3.2 Outside agencies were sometimes employed to provide or assist with practical lessons in driver education. In Adelaide, school holiday training sessions were available and in Victoria, D.E.C.A. in Shepparton was a valued source of training. However, the full potential of these facilities was realised only by a few students: cost was a major constraint for most.

> "The other program we offer is in conjunction with one of the Health Agencies, they sponsor driver education training during school holidays and we have a number participate in that. It's about a S71 fee for two and a half day program of theory and practical training. It was sponsored originally by the police...and now subsidised by Mutual Coopunity...any kids, as long as they have "l's" may attend, provided they can pay. I'd say out of lit in Year 11, probably three or four, at rost sim, would do the course".

3.3 Theoretical lessons covered a number of issues such as mechanics, buying and selling a vehicle, road laws and so on. Whether an elective or core subject, the teachers appeared to attempt to provide as much as possible in tuition, in the available time. In one school, where driver education and road safety was a compulsory subject, students attended approximately forty sessions, yet the teacher felt that students in electives could "go into more detail' because the elective students had four or five periods a week.

> "The compulsory tends to be more on driving, some courses you can do social issues, pollution and all that side of it, public transport versus private etc., but only having one period a week we tend to go through all the correct driving procedures, maintenance, then we go into the road laws, units on drink and driving, drugs, alochel, that sort of stuff. Economics of say buying and selling a car. So over about 40-50 sessions in the year we just try to cover the important things. Whereas the 'electives', they can go into much more detail, because they've got five periods a week. But ours tend to be biased towards driving and cars rather than the whole driver pre-education".

> "A series of lessons, maintenance, components of the car, things to look for in a vehicle inspection to make sure that things aren't falling off and the mirrors are right, where to check the water levels, fluid levels etc. Jacking the wheel up correctly to change the wheel. That's done as a theory lesson backed up by a video which is the "How to Drive" series. And it's done by practical as well. So they all get a chance to do it, they've got a check-sheet to walk around the car. Then they nove on to the physical and mental requirements of a driver".

3.4 The Victorian teachers felt that the opportunity to visit D.E.C.A was an excellent one, but limited by cost and space availability. Nevertheless, it was important training and for one or two schools, the only available to their students.

"We take sixteen but to D.B.C.A. for a week. That's one only training they get. Shviously they get a real benefit out of the prectical...".

- 4. Attitudes to Driver Education Training in High Schools
- 4.1 The teachers were all of the opinion that driver education or road safety lessons were particularly important to pre-license and early-licensed drivers. A number of them discussed this in terms of statistics:
 - age of those involved in road crashes and the disproportionate numbers of young males
 - the cost of reclaiming injured lives compared to the cost of providing road safety training

"I'm vitally interested in driver education. I have been for the last eight years and I initially got the Driver education courses off the ground here, not only here but in four other schools in Bendigo as Well...Personally, I view it as being a critically important subject for the kids. I believe it should be totally "core" at at least Tear 10. I know on the new V.C.E. framework, it's not..."

"taking accidents as an example, your three main causes which in round figures is 804-904 of accidents are your environmental causes, which is about 204-304 and your vehicle which is about 104-204. Hillions are being spent on the environmental, many millions in research on the vehicle, and I think the figure is \$1 million a year for the (driver education) unit. Their wires are completely short-circuited. The major cause, which is the human cause, is being meglected".

4.2 The teachers realised that they were not able to teach much more than basic skills in driving, and basic awareness of the mechanics and social aspects of driving a car. However, they also felt that they were attempting to develop responsible attitudes and that, in fact, these attitudes were more important than basic skills, since they determined a number of aspects of driver behaviour.

"...that's what you're doing, you are not seaching a student now to drive so much as teaching a correct attitudes. If you can distil safe attitudes, caring attitudes, I think that's the sest we'll get out of trying to teach them road laws".

4.3 Since driving was one thing which almost all students would do, the teachers were very adamant about the need for road safety lessons at school.

"I think it's one of those areas where every student in every school should do it. I think that's their biggest gap. Its one of the two things we know all our students are going to do when they leave school - drive a car and be parents. And it's one of the things we don't teach kids, or don't teach it effectively".

"I'd say there are massive gaps at this school. It's certainly not seen as a priority. It's a tacked-on thing. I even get the impression when the police come in to see the Year 12 students, there are some teachers who see that as an interruption rather than an essential part of the curriculur".

4.4 In particular, those students in Year 11 were seen by the teachers as being "car-mad", and ripe for lessons in safe driving practice. Thus, it was doubly important during the Years 10-11-12, to provide students with good survival skills and attitudes.

"About this time...Year 11's seem to get almost obsessed with driving - we get lots of new cars coming in about this time, where they pick up old bombs or whatever and one of the real problems we get with Year 11's at this time, is they start disappearing at lunch-time - their new-found freedom and their cars become a big obsession...it peters off next year. Tear 12 it's not such a problem". 5. Suggested Improvements to Current Materials/Programs

5.1 There were a number of ways in which driver education in schools could be improved, the teachers felt. However, for some of these teachers, the most important thing was to have driver education/road safety accepted as a core subject, rather than an elective.

"I want traffic safety as a core subject, even if only for one semester. We need a car, then we could swap learning about it, for 'hands-on' experience. Do what we can to correct driving habits, pre-road".

"I think the Ministry should be directing that it be a compulsory subject, start in primary schools and carry right through".

"In Victoria, or even nationally, I would like to see it as a core subject. Now we're fighting, and I mean fighting, at ministerial level to get staff at the Geelong Traffic Safety Centre, which is the off-road campus about 400 metres down the road, but we're being told consistently, 'ch, you're in excess, staff it with your own excess'..".

5.2 A lack of trained staff and a lack of firm policy within schools on driver education was also mentioned as а shortcoming by a small number of teachers. In particular, the lack of trained staff was bemoaned, since it was seen as students taught important that the be by road safety 'spare' teachers enroled for specialists rather than the subject in an ad-hoc fashion.

> "Generally, across soncels we have a lack of trained staff, we also have a lack of policy...because if we are really serious about reducing road tells, changing attitudes and improving kids' driving skills..and if the Government was really serious about it, then I think we should have it incorporated into every school curriculum and it should be core. I think we should have note trained staff, we are often in a situation where schede leaves with a skill, then there's a staff shortage of trained instructors and the whole thing falls in a hole..".

"It would be good to have two teachers involved in it so that you could have a practical component operating quite separate from the theory, it would bring a lot fore kids into it and make it really strong...".

5.3 More and more up-to-date resources were also needed, the teachers felt. In particular good, modern videos which reflected the teenager environment of today, were singularly scarce, they indicated.

"I really think up-to-date videos, basically of skills and situations on road - actual situations on the roads. A lot of the ones we see have very ancient vehicles in them..."

"One of the programs that I have got lock at different road situations like overtaking or changing gears or braking or skid control: they are dated in the sense that they are fairly old. They are early 70's so the sorts of people that you see, the dress, the vehicles etc, are a little out-of-date, and in as much they may influence the students preparedness to watch and listen...that has some influence".

"We need more time and more resources. We don't have any particular resources suited for road safety and we also obviously don't have the staff".

5.4 Finally, more emphasis on practical lessons in safe road behaviour and safe driving practice would improve the current programs, the teachers said.

"We need more time in practical classes in school time. I have the car on Wednesday afternoons and I sort of go off for two lessons and continue until 5 p.m.., so it's my time as well as the schools. I don't mind, even though it's only two kids at a time, over the whole year that amounts to sizteen kids".

"From my point of view I'd like to see the kids get a minimum of at least three sessions behind the wheel, driving. We look at about twenty minutes, here. I cannot buy that. I'd like to see more practical driving. I'm very happy with the fact that we now own our own car. I would like to see more practical driving. It's like trying to teach typing without getting a typewriter." 6. Materials and Resources Currently Available and Used

6.1 The teachers were asked what sort of materials they were using for any driver education or road safety lessons they were involved in. Replies indicated that whilst some school had a range of resources materials, others did not. All teachers were interested in obtaining more, especially up-dated materials.

"It's been around for ten years. One of the hang-ups I find is a shortage of indern, up-dated videos. When you have a look at legislative changes over the past 10 years, you'd think there'd be more up-dated stuff".

"I'll continue to use the 'How to Drive' series. There's two, one is supported by General Notors. I'll also use some of the others, I don't know who produced them, that talk about alcohol and driving. They are excellent. Fantastic videos. Once again, they need updating. They are set in a pub in Sydney with kids of the late 60's, early 70's".

""So trying to lay your hands on good up-dated materials and making sure as a result that they don't get out of date is a real problem..."

"UP to now the biggest gap we've had has been the provision and establishment of really good up-to-date materials. Specifically video tapes. I know you are producing new ones now, however they don't really cover, they sort of cover broad areas, they are not really specific".

- 6.2 Those resources in use were both State and Federal materials, and in some schools included at least part of the Young Drivers' Survival Kit. Several teachers mentioned 'Road Worrier' as having been used, but none mentioned 'The Big Gig' at this point in the questioning.
- 6.3 Videos were used by all, and in particular the video called 'Drinking, Driving, Surviving'. Its content was believed to be excellent and the students responded very well to it, teachers indicated.

"'Drinking, Driving, Surviving', we are always showing that. I've shown it every year for the past 10 years and it hasn't dated, it relates to then. The party style, I think it's excellent".

"There was a previous VDSE that had 'Drinking, Driving, Surviving', the film in it, which is faculous, the mids loved that, it's got a little bit of animated relief in it and generally it tackles the whole thing seriously and it makes a very good points, that film, very good."

"...we use "Drinking, Briving, Surviving" which is terrific. It works really well, it takes twenty minutes. It can be really funny in parts, kids can relate to it. It looks like people really were doing it and the kids plok up on that, they whow if someone is acting".

- 6.4 Other videos were used, but were often out of date. Some of those mentioned were:
 - 'How to Drive' which included sections on understanding cars, how to drive etc.
 - * the Shepparton advanced driving videos, 8 or 10 to the series
 - accident-type videos, e.g. 'The Car Ahead', 'The Car Behind', 'Head-on Collision', called "antiquish" by one teacher
 - × tapes on motor cycle riding, introduced by Wayne Gardner
 - * 'Hot Wheels' and 'Gasoline and Alcohol'
- 6.5 Other resources used were:
 - ± pamphlets from both State and Federal agencies
 - × resources from other States
 - overseas materials

"We have a ...popular booklet put out by the Education Department in South Australia....some of the local road safety we get from the South Australian Road Safety Council...*

"Any pamphlet which has been made available, we have just about got a copy of. Going back to the days of Peter Brock and General Motors, 'The ABC of Driving', that's how far back we go..."

"We use a lot of pamphlets like the 'Road Traffic Code', that's the main text book we use and we use a lot of their parphlets. I've also developed some of my own material and having been at mational conferences dealing with road safety I make use of gear produced by other bodies. from other States, e.g., Worthern territory and Victoria. Some other material I picked up when I was in South Africa, 'Partial Learners'..."

"We did get a series of parablets last year, mainly statistics, nothing else".

"We have some fairly cutdated booklets in the resource centre, free giveaways from the RSC. at least 5 or 6 years old".

6.6 As mentioned, a small number of the teachers indicated that they had 'Road Worrier' amongst their available resource materials. Initial comments were that it was an acceptable addition.

> "We have a copy of "Road Worrier" which we trailed last year with Year 12 and it was very successful in the way it was put over with the characters. It surprised me a bit, I thought it might have been a bit young for them but they actually took to it".

> "Coly two files, 'Road Worrier' and then I've got "How to Drive', a series, that's twelve or thirtees programs.

7. Attitudes to 'YDSK' Prior to Test

- 7.1 The teachers were all asked about any previous exposure to the materials within the 'Young Drivers' Survival Kit': if 'Road Worrier' had already been mentioned, they were asked about 'The Big Gig' and the other contents of the kit. Since the design of the sample included schools where the YDSK, had not been used, it was not surprising that not all the teachers were able to comment on the material.
- 7.2 Amongst teachers who knew or had used the materials, 'Road Worrier' was much better reviewed than was 'The Big Gig'. Both however, were seen as generally too young in approach, for Year 11 or Year 12 students. Teachers' comments ranged from damning to relatively positive, about the material.

"Those tapes we get from the Federal Transport Office, the one on 'Road Worrier and the other one, 'The Big Gig', they're just utter junk. There's no two ways about it, it turns kids off. I'm not saying there's not a message in there, but it's not a message that the kids can pick up because the videos don't do anything for them. The 'Road Worrier' I reckon you can get about half way through it before they're gone, 'The Big Gig', give the two or three minutes and they're starting to get restless, and they are supposedly geared to those sort of things they're interested in. They are just not interested. I've shown them to Year 10 for a couple of years, they're bored stiff...".

"Again, the impression I got from the kids was that they were generally just insulted by those films and thought "this is not Grade 2...and the general feeling about "The Big Gig" and the other one, the "Road Worrier" was "do we have to watch this, this is ridiculous"...".

"Ch, they loved it, they sat and laughed at thez. Some of the boys were really giggling away, they can really see the funny situation, and the zusic fans all nod, because it's really up to date lively zusic in thez. Both filts have the dryness taken out of thes...this has up-dated, but whether it's better or not I don't know".

"Occasionally I'll show them again. To try to get the appropriate message across with them. Talk with them about them, stop and start them and discuss the different points. "The Hig Gig" I don't think I'll ever use again, but the 'Road Worrier' I may use. You've got to pick the groups". 7.3 In contrast to findings amongst the students, the 'Road Worrier' was less of a problem to the teachers than was 'The Big Gig', and elicited more positive comments than the latter video. Positive reactions included the potential of 'Road Worrier' to communicate well, with teachers reporting that the students seemed to understand the points being made in this video.

> "They talk about experience and 'you can't buy experience', experience comes from doing it. It's enjoyable, it's quite at their level, it's got colour and action but I think there's a good under-lying message there. Experience cannot be bought".

> "It had a few sections in it where it was probably a little hit silly. But it seemed to have a good message to it where most of the children seem to identify with it. Some parts of it were ..probably the dialogue was overdone, but most of the other sections they identify with and have either a laugh, which obviously jogged the memory to some degree...I think mostly they realised the attitudes they were trying to get across".

7.4 However, the humour was the 'saving grace' of 'Road Worrier' in the view of some of the teachers: nevertheless, there were occasions where this was also 'over the top'. In particular, the scene with the chicken feathers was a little juvenile, they indicated, and further, they suggested that the students felt similarly. Such scenes caused a loss of reality which was unnecessary, it was believed.

> "Content...comes across fairly well. Fids will watch the video and they are able to come up with the main message from it. Presentation...as far as I'm concerned road safety and humour don't min ...and tearing down there in Mark 1. 2. and 3. onlower feathers sticking to cats...it's a bit beyond reality and the kids who saw it. that's the first thing they'd say, was 'well, you don't get chicken feathers like that, when you have a dingle'. It's a little bit not far fetched..'.

"However, the way the tape is put together is quite humorous. I like the idea of the kid going in and trying to buy driving skills, thid at easy way around it, that's quite good. The message is quite good. The language in it is reasonarly good, most of the way through it".

"The good points about it, firstly of course would have to be that it is up-to-date, relatively podern, undouptedly it's aimed at the teenage market...".

"The kids first of all think it's a cit corny, tray find it entertaining and that. At the end of it you ask what the message is and they tell you, yas, it takes time, yes. Some like it, some find it corny". 7.5 "The Big Gig' was known to two or three of the teachers, none of whom felt that it was good material for students of immediate pre-license age. Though the video had some good points to make, and though these points were easily perceived by the students, the execution of the video was such that the students disliked it, the teachers reported.

> "I'm really hard pressed to find some good points about that, to tell the truth...it's just too way out, too extreme. Some of the good points in it, they are trying to associate with rock and roll, heavy rock type music. Once again, very extremist. Even the vehicle he is driving is very trendy but you don't see them any more. I've never seen a Valiant Convertible in my entire life. If they were using a late model Brock Connodore I'd say 'fair enough'. The basic message is good but I don't think the way they are trying to convey the message is anywhere near good enough. The problem is that the wids get way-laid with this silly looking lot of aliens who float around in an upside-down Volkswagon...they've obvicusly decided to pluck something out of 'Star Wars'".

"..a lot of it, they say, is very unrealistic. It's not it a real situation. Not in a real environment that they can easily associate with...I think as a result they look at it from the outside looking in, rather than associating with it and saying 'Yeah, that's me". Like the really good points in the 'Road Worrier' and the reason why some of the good video tapes are floating around is that they can easily associate themselves with that person".

"Appalling Star Trek. I only saw the first half, but it's rubbish.

7.6 However, 'The Big Gig' did rate some positive comment. Teachers felt that the points around which the video was made, were important for the pre-license driver.

> "C.R. the content is there, it comes across, you've got your night driving. you've got your alcohol and you've got your peer group pressure, and those three things come out. The realities leave a bit to be desired".

7.7 The stop/replay technique of 'The Big Gig' was also approved

of, as a technique for reinforcing the points being made.

"I liked it where they stopped and now we go pack. I think they students, seed to see it a second time to realise that these people are winding back to let them tome out of that situation. The timey mit of alcohol I thought was very good and finally our friend Keally comes out of it a space pilot so there's one with success".

*1 think the way they back track.. is very good. Here's an architent situation, back track. 0.7, stop, go again. It's good, I like conn of them. A cit famoliti but you've got to empellian things to get the truth ecross". 7.8 There was little else of importance said about the videos on the first visit to schools. However, those few teachers who had used the YDSK. felt that some of the support materials for the kit, the 'Drink and Drive' handbook and the Teachers' Notes, were excellent.

> "Now the support material for both was excellent, "So that's why you Drink and Drive', a handbook that I use a lot, all the time, if you hand it out to them and say 'now give me some reasons' and there'll always be some. Subtle yet brief. The Teachers' Notes are very good. Not so much in detail. Only two or three paragraphs, even up to a page on the main points, but there's sufficient there to take it even further. Throw in possible ideas beforehand, discussion ideas after..".

7.9 The magazine 'Street Beat' was well received by students, according to the teachers. In one school, written expressions of attitude to 'Street Beat' were collected, and comments included:

I thought the book was very informative and its a good lessons for the younger drivers. It was a lot like the video we watched yesterday".

"A lot of this book is common sense. It has some good information and points that all people should take note of".

"This book made me take in what driving is all about. The information in this book should be released into the public".

"This booklet shows you a lot about road safety and shows young people better driving skills. It also shows you dever trust traffic lights, even green ones".

.

Teachers Reports After the Test

Teachers Reports After the Test Stage Two

.

1, Overview of the Research Method

- 1.1 Those schools which had been enroled to trial the YDSK. materials, were visited twice by the researchers. On the second visit, the students and teachers were asked for their opinions of and reactions to the two videos, 'Road Worrier' and 'The Big Gig'. A diary was provided for the teachers to record the manner in which YDSK. was used.
- 1.2 The teachers were asked to describe the manner in which they had used the YDSK. materials, how helpful the Teachers' Notes had been and what suggestions they could make about improving the kit. Finally, the teachers were asked whether or not they would use the kit again.

2. How the YDSK. Materials were used by the Teachers

2.1 Only four of the teachers completed their diary records when using the YDSK. materials. However, in-depth discussions with all teachers and the researchers revealed that the materials were generally used in the same manner. That is, students would engage in discussion on road safety prior to exposure to either of or both videos and once seen, the students again entered into discussions, this time on the major points made in the videos. The students' attitudes to the key points and to the execution of the videos, were explored by the teachers.

> "When we started off we went through with a preliminary discussion which was to mostly set the scene just to go through what basically would be shown but not telling them what was on it so their minds were tuned into what they were going to receive and we had a discussion at the end of the video on points that they felt they benefited from, points they learnt, what they thought was useful or not".

> "What I have done is show the two videos and done it on a class discussion..had a discussion first about the video and then showed it. After the video we then discussed it again and then I set a project and we used the topics that were in the Teachers' Notes".

2.2 From the discussions and diaries, it appeared that the teachers mainly used the videos on separate occasions, showing one first and completing the discussions around that one, then using another period to show the second video. Apart from filling in their short questionnaire, student activities were not extended beyond these sessions, far as as YDSK. was concerned.

3. Teachers' Attitudes to 'Road Worrier'

Communication

3.1 Teachers were first asked their opinions of the way in which 'Road Worrier' communicated its message to the target audience, their students. Responses indicate that the video was seen as effective, in that by the second viewing, students were aware of the major point being made: that it takes time to develop driving skills and judgement. However the point was made by a number of the teachers, that on initial viewing the points were not as clear as might be desired and that it did take more than one showing for students to comprehend.

"...it took about half way into the film before I realised what they were trying to get across. It wasn't made clear right from the start, these little robots running around....Once you've worked it out it probably did come across but I think some of the messages may have been lost on the students, first time around. I had a feeling they probably took a lot longer than I did before the penny dropped.."

"I don't think someone seeing it for the first time would clearly see the message. You would have to reinforce that at the end"

3.2 Nevertheless, from the teachers' reports it appeared that 'Road Worrier' effectively communicated to the students, the longevity of acquiring skills, anticipation and judgement in driving.

"The message comes across quite clearly"

"Yeah . initially it's not but it does come through, when you talk about it, it sinks in"

"The message that came to me, personally, was that you just cam't go but and buy these skills, they only come with experience and I think it is important that the students picked that up..."

"Even the ones that said it was stupid, they seezed to get the ressage...".

3.3 The teachers were of the opinion that the discussions and 'drawing together' of the video material was important for 'Road Worrier's' success as a 'training' video. Since most had entered into this type of discussion, their comments were pertinent.

> "I think there were some pertinent messages there and I think the kids were able to be made aware of those but it certainly took a fair amount of drawing together at the end of the tape, it was up to me as the teacher rather than relying on the tape".

Execution

3.4 Generally, the execution of the video 'Road Worrier' was positively reviewed by the teachers. They felt it was up-todate and used images and constructions with which the students were at ease. The humour, most felt, was relatively appropriate.

"They liked the idea and the humour..".

"My gut reaction was 'good God, what's this', it left me cold and yet watching the kids' reactions, they took it a bit differently...".

"The students seezed to be interested in it, and they were rivered to the screen...they were watching each segment of it but some of it seezed to be a bit overdone....the father seezed to be fairly unhelpful".

"I guess the type of buncur and some of the ideas would initially appear juvenile but I think the kids enjoyed that. The have a sense of fun and fantasy and I don't see any problems with that. The kids were enjoying it, they were entertained by it and there was no-one saying 'this is babyish' so I think they were able to see through that and see it as a bit of fun".

Possible Improvements

3.5 There were few concrete suggestions for improving 'Road The Worrier'. video was 'quite believable' and as it communicated well, the teachers were disinclined to make suggestions about the execution of it. However, one point was made by a small number, and that was that the execution may have benefited from having the main character, Neal, do some of the driving, rather than the robot. The teachers who discussed this, felt that it was also the opinion of the students.

> "...their comment was that the 'Road Worrier' video would have been much more believable had the young guy who was the star of the whole thing been doing the driving and his alter-ego or whatever else, the robot, was sitting in the passenger seat telling him what to do and how to do it rather that actually doing the driving. Whether he was the driving skills person or the person trying to demonstrate driver anticipation. I think a lot of the kids found the concept of the other person driving the vehicle, a bit hard to fall into place".

3.6 Apart from this, suggestions for improvements were not forthcoming. The general view appeared to be that 'Road Worrier' should be left alone, since it communicated fairly well. especially with post-viewing discussions, and was reasonably easy for the students to associate with and enjoy.

> "It's a video, it's colourful, it's interesting and it does hold their attention. Based on the discussions you will find that nost of the kids have come up with this idea that you can not buy experience, it has to do with practice. I think the kids can see that experience can not be bought at this stage, they have to accurulate the skills".

4. Teachers' Attitudes to 'The Big Gig'

- 4.1 'The Big Gig' was much less favourably viewed by the teachers than was 'Road Worrier'. Whilst there was no dispute with the importance of the three central concepts:
 - peer group pressure
 - alcohol and driving
 - night driving,

.

the teachers were generally scathing of the tenor of this video, calling it juvenile or saying that it was suitable only for much younger students than theirs. Further, they indicated that the students had similar views.

"Well, obviously the three points it tried to present to the kids are very important and the points they make are very valid ones in my view. My criticism of it is that it is altogether too gimmicky and the message is lost. It talks down to the kids and that is the first thing they criticised. They reckon it would be beaut for their 5 and 6 year old brothers and sisters but not meant to be directed at them".

4.2 However, this attitude was not unanimous amongst the teachers. Those who had trialled the materials amongst Year 10 were less likely to think that 'The Big Gig' was inappropriately young than those who had used it with Year 11 students. At the Year 10 level, the rock music was generally appropriate, the teachers felt, although trends in music could mean that it became outdated quite quickly.

"..they were obviously targeted. They thought by using a rook band they could target the young people. The way the video was introduced with the band practising in the shed was good because I think it sort of intediately got them interested. Most kids of that age are interested in rook music and it got their attention.."

"...the music, pood. Well, you can't help the music champing so fast, the music they made today might be out-ci-date in three months tire.."

"They liked the music part of it, the theme of having a band on the road, that was fine. They had no problems with that..."

4.3 The major criticism lay with the space-age execution. It was this aspect of 'The Big Gig' which was seen as juvenile and inappropriate, by (according to the teachers) both teachers and students.

> "I think most of them were surprised that we had aliens from outer space, looking for a pilot..and they couldn't work out how a person who could drive a car on earth had anything to do with flying a space ship...but the content which was social attitudes and drink driving and peer group pressure was relevant and they identified with that and thought it was quite good but the outer space factor wasn't something they could..".

"Well, I think the aliens floating all over the place was the thing the kids couldn't relate to".

"The theme of having the band on the road, that was fine, they had no problems with that but the concept of some alien space people coming in to try and select a space pilot I'm sure they felt was over the top. Credibility was really lacking from that point of view".

4.4 The techniques of stopping the 'action' and replaying the scenes in a way which avoided unsafe road practices, was quite well received by most of the teachers. They felt that it added to the communication impact.

".. the re-winding technique was good in that it showed how to re-live the situation and do it properly and I thought that was positive..".

4.5 Nevertheless, the teachers appeared to be more inclined to dismiss 'The Big Gig' for its space age presentation and consequent perceived low age targeting. The students' ridicule of the aliens obscured the quite important messages the video attempted to convey, the teachers felt and since this was the case, few would continue to use 'The Big Gig'.

Suggested Improvements

4.6 The major improvement the teachers suggested, especially for 'The Big Gig', was to ensure that it was presented in a more adult fashion. To most this meant employing a less 'way out' theme than the 'aliens'. However, it did not necessarily mean moving away from the rock band idea.

> "I would say that they've got it partly right in their presentation in regard "The Big Gig" in that Wids like rusic...the pop music is a great way to go and the Wids were very interested in that when it started...".

> "The kids see through the gizzicky stuff. I don't dispute that videos have to be entertaining and you have to catch people's attention..but I'z sure there are ways that can be done. You don't necessarily have to have a rock band or men from outer space".

4.7 The space/aliens theme seems to have been the major reasons why teachers felt that 'The Big Gig' was more appropriate for younger students than those aged 15 to 19 years.

"I thought that the kids were too mature for that (aliens) stuff".

"A more intelligent approach would be beneficial to get to the older students, those who already have their licence or are just getting it".

"The idea is good but the production and the means of getting the message across meeds a different approach....not an outlandish, unbelievable approach. That's about all I can say".

4.8 The teachers again pointed out the excellent production "Drinking, Driving, Surviving" as an example of good execution. This video was described as:

> "..excellent videc, it had tremendously clear messages, it was skilfully done, ..factual, a bit of humbur and a hard hitting message...you come away from that

knowing exactly what it was all about".

As such, most saw it as the antithesis of 'The Big Gig' for which the important messages were obscured by the alien theme and corny attempts at humour. 5. Other Components of the YDSK. Kit

- 5.1 Also within the YDSK. was a Teachers' Handbook, a number of pamphlets about drink driving, for the students' use, and a 'Street Beat' magazine. The teachers were asked for their opinion of these other materials.
- 5.2 The teachers were positive in their reviews of the 'Teachers' Notes', the handbook outlining the aims, target groups and some teaching points and discussion starters for classroom work. Most had read the 'Notes' and even if they had not used any of the suggestions, felt that the handbook was well laid out and presented valid and useful suggestions. Background notes were also appreciated.

"The 'Teachers' Notes' certainly gave you a bit of background...I went through the notes...they are helpful, they give you a guide".

"What's in the 'Teachers' Notes' is fine. I wouldn't try to change that. It's a summary of the situation as it is...they've got access to more detailed information elsewhere that's used. I wouldn't try to make them into anything more detailed than they are now. I reckon they're fine".

"I thought the 'Teachers' Notes' were actually quite good and valid".

"The 'Teachers' Notes' were good because... I did view the videos before I showed the kids, as they suggested in there, although you could actually pick up those 'Teachers' Notes' and get a pretty fair idea of what the videos were about. Using those notes you could quite easily set a work program. I virtually used them, following through up to a certain stage. I didn't do surveys because of our restricted time".

5.3 One or two teachers were disappointed that there were not more pamphlets with their kits, since they had received only a handful with the videos. Otherwise, the pamphlets were a valued asset, and the magazine 'Street Beat' was also appreciated.

6. Whether the YDSK. Materials Will be Used Again

- 6.1 Some of the value of the materials could perhaps be gauged by the degree to which teachers were interested in using it again. This question was put to the teachers involved in Stage Two of the research.
- 6.2 Most teachers felt similarly, that is:
 - that they would certainly use 'Road Worrier' again, but
 - they might not or would not use 'The Big Gig' again.
- 6.3 There were a small number who would re-use 'The Big Gig', perhaps with Year 10 students and with small groups amongst whom detailed discussion could take place. 'The Big Gig' was believed by these teachers to be attempting to make valid points and it was for this reason that they would keep the video in use.

"...if you were using it as a starter for small group discussions to look in more depth at some of the issues raised...but if you were looking at (it) as a one-off thing, then (it) would have limited value. We would use (it) because we could build on what it's presenting but if I had to budget to buy materials like that then I certainly would not pay for it".

"I think I would target this for a younger age group as an introduction...the Year 11's were really turned off.."

"I thick the videos are probably not suited for that age group. I think kids at 18, 18 are starting to mature quite well and their big criticism was that (the videos) were aimed at a younger age group and not really at them". Appendix A The Tabulations

.

BANNER *BY* Q1-INCIDENCE OF HOLDING & DRIVERS LICENCE TABLE 1

·.		INCID	CIDENCE OF LICENCING			TO GET		AGE OF RESPONDENTS				SEX		YEAR			STATE		LOCATION		TYPE OF SCHOOL			
	TOTAL	-	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NCE	15-YRS I	6-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE	FEMALE	10 .	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	A	B	с	
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		16.5	NO																	
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	100%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	100%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 1001 11	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100¥ 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 1003 693	125 1001 311	64 1002 162	54 1003 133	291 100% 71%	
21-INCIDENCE OF HOL	DING A	DRIVERS	LICENCE										i							1				
YES	68 17% 100%	100%	20 100% 29%	68 100% 100%					44 25% 65%	20 35% 29%	4 67% 6%	46 19% 68%	22 13% 32%		54 29% 79%	13 57% 19%	6 31 93	62 29% 91%	49 17% 72%	19 15 % 28%	8 13% 12%	4 71 61	56 19% 82%	
NO	341 83% 100%				341 100% 100%	125 100% 37%	211 100% 62%	100%	132 75% 39%	37 65% 11%	2 33% 1%	191 81% 56%	150 871 441	196 1003. 573	133 71% 39%	10 432 32	187 97ม 551ง	153 71% 45%	235 83% 69%	106 85% 31%	56 88% 16%	50 93% 15%	235 81% 69%	

•

-

TABLE 2 BANNER *BY* Q2a-TYPE OF LICENCE HELD FILTERS: HAVE A DRIVERS LICENCE

.

.

		r	INCIDENCE OF LICENCING		AGE OF RESPONDENTS			SEX		YEAR		STATE		LOCATION		TYPE OF SCHOOL		
	TOTAL	LICENC				17-YRS	18 Plus	MALE I	EMALE	11	12	VIC	SX	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	8	C
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL														
RESPONDENTS	68 100% 100%	48 100% 71%	20 100% 29%	68 100 % 100%	100%	20 100% 29%	4 100% 6%	46 100% 68%	22 100% 32%	54 100% 79%	13 100% 19%	6 100% 9%	62 100% 91%	49 100% 72%	19 1003 283		4 100% 6%	56 100% 82%
)2a-TYPE OF LICENCE	HELD								1									
EARNERS	48 71% 100%			48 71% 100%	77%	11 55% 23%	3 75% 6%	30 65% 63%	18 82% 38%	39 72% 81%	9 69% 19%	6 100% 13%	42 68% 86%		11 58% 23%	6 75% 13%	2 50% 4%	40 71% 83%
ף'	18 261 1001		18 90% 100%	18 26 % 100%	231	8 40% 44%		15 33% 83%	3 1 4% 17%	14 26% 78%	3 23% 17%		18 29% 100%	10 20% 56%	8 42% 44%		1 25% 6%	15 27% 83%
ULL LICENCE	2 3% 100%		2 10% 100%	2 3% 100%		1 5% 50%	1 25% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 5% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 8% 50%		2 3% 100%			_	1 25% 50%	1 2% 50%

.

.

۰.

.

.

.

٦

PAGE 2

TABLE 3 BANNER *BY* Q25-WHEN OBTAINED A LICENCE Filters: have a drivers licence

		INCIDENCE OF LICENCIN			AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SEX		YEAR		STA	re	LOCATION		TYPE OF SCHOOL		
	TOTAL		LICENCED		16-YR9 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE FEMALE		11 12		VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	B	с
		LEARNE -R		TOTAL							;							
RESPONDENTS	68 100 % 100%	48 100% 71%	20 100 % 29%	68 100\$ 100\$	44 100% 65%	20 100% 29%	4 100% 6%	46 100% 68%	22 100% 32%	54 100% 79%	13 100% 19%	6 100% 9%	62 100% 91%	49 100% 72%	19 100% 28%	8 100% 12%	4 1002 63	56 1003 823
Q25-WHEN OBTAINED A	LICENC	L					ļ											
1988 OR BEFORE	9 13% 100%	4 81 441	5 25% 56%	9 13% 100%		8 40% 89%	1 25% 11%	5 11% 56%	4 18% 44%	5 9% 56%	4 31% 44%	2 33% 22%	7 111 781	8 16% 89%	1 5% 11%	1 13% 11%	1 25% 11%	7 138 781
JAN-FEB 1989	4 6% 100%	4 8% 100%		4 6\$ 100\$	2 5% 50%	1 5% 25%	1 25% 25%	2 4% 50%	2 9% 50%	3 6% 75%	1 8% 25%		4 63 1003	3 6% 75%	1 5%, 25%			4 7ዊ 100%
MARCH- APRIL 1989	12 18% 100%		1 5% 8%	12 184 1005	8 18% 67%	3 15% 25%	1 25% 8%	9 20% 75%	3 14% 25%	10 19% 83%	2 15% 17%	2 33% 17%	10 16% 83%	9 18% 75%	3 16% 25%	2 25% 17%	1 25% 8%	9 16% 75%
MAY-JUNE 1989	17 25% 100%	15 31 3 88 3	2 10% 12%	17 25% 100%	14 32% 82%	3 15% 18%		10 22% 59%	7 32% 41%	14 26% 82%	2 15% 12%	2 33% 12%	15 24 % 887	12 24% 71%	5 26% 29%	2 25% 12%		15 27% 88%
JULY-AUGUST 1989	20 29% 100%	11 23% 55%	9 453 453	20 29% 100%	15 34% 75%	4 20% 20%	1 25% 5%	15 334 754	5 233 253	17 31% 85%	3. 23% 15%		20 32 % 100%	15 31% 75%	5 26% 25%	2 25% 10%	2 50% 10%	16 29% 80%
OTHER	4 6% 100%	2 4% 50%	2 10% 50%	4 6% 100%	3 7%; 75%;	1 5% 25%	i	4 9% 100%		4 72 1003			4 6% 100%		4 219. 1002			4 79. 100%
NO INFORMATION	1 11 100%	1 21 1001		1 1% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 5% 100%		1 8% 100%		1 2 स् 100 क	1 2% 100भ				1 21 1002
YO RESPONSE	1 1% 100%		1 5% 100%	1 1% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%		1 13% 100%		

•

ABLE 4 BANNER *BY* Q2c-TAUGHT TO DRIVE BY FILTERS: HAVE A DRIVERS LICENCE

1

۰.

			CIDENCE		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x	YEAF	R 1	STA	TE	LOCAT	ION	туре	OF SCH	жог
	TOTAL		ICENCED		16-YRS	17-YRS	18 Plus	MALE	FEMALE	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	8	c
		LEARNE R	P OR FULL	TOTAL														
ESPONDENTS	68 100% 100%	48 100% 71%	20 100% 29%	68 100% 100%	44 100% 65%	20 100% 29%	4 100% 6%	46 100% 68%	22 100% 32%	54 100% 79%	13 100% 19%	6 100% 9%	62 100% 91%	49 100% 72%	19 100% 28%	8 100% 12%	4 100% 6%	56 100% 82%
2C-TAUGHT TO DRIVE	BY											I						
ARENTS	37 54% 100%	26 543 703	11 55% 30%	37 54% 100%	25 57% 68%	10 50% 27%	2 50% 5%	27 592 731	10 45% 27%	30 56% 81%	7 54% 19%	3 50% 8%	34 55% 92%	27 55% 73%	10 53% 27%	3 38% 8%	3 75% 8%	31 55% 84%
ROTHER/SISTER	2 3% 100%	2 4% 100%		2 3% 100%	1 2% 50%	1 5% 50%		1 21 501	1 5% 50%	2 4% 100%			2 3% 100%	1 2% 50%	1 5% 50%			2 43 1003
)YFRIEND/ (RLFRIEND	6 9% 100%	4 83 671	2 10% 33%	6 9% 100%	3 7% 50%	2 10% 33%	1 25% 17%	2 41: 337	4 181 673	4 78 678	1 8% 17%	1 175 175	5 8% 83%	6 12% 100%		1 13% 17%		5 91 831
RIVING SCHOOL/ NSTRUCTOR	23 34% 100%	14 293 613	9 45 % 39%	23 34% 100%	17 39% 74%	5 25% 22%	1 25% 4%	15 333 65%	8 36% 35%	19 35% 83%	4 312 172		23 37% 100%	18 37% 78%	5 26% 22%	3 38% 13%	4 100% 17%	16 291 701
r SCHOOL/TEACHER	6 9% 1001	6 13% 100%		6 9% 100%	3 73 50%	2 10% 33%	1 25% 17%	3 79 501	3 14% 50%	6 11% 100%		3 50% 50%	3 5% 50%	6 12% 100%		6 75% 100%		
YAL AUTOMOBILE SSOC.	7 10 % 100%	5 10% 71%	2 107 29%	7 10% 100%	5 11% 71%	2 10¥ 29%		6 132 867	1 5%]4%	5 93 713	2 15 % 29%		7 11% 100%	6 12% 86%	1 5% 14%			7 13% 100%
::L f	7 101 1001	5 10र 71\$	2 10¥ 29¥	7 10% 100%	6 141 862) 5% 14%		7 151 100+	1	7 13 1 1001			7 11% 100%	4 8% 57%	3 164 434	1 13\$ 14\$		6 113 863
THER	6 9± 100*	5 10% 83%	1 5% 17%	6 94 1002	5 11% 83%	1 5% 17%		4 99 671	2 9%, 337	4 7% 67%	2 15% 33%		6 10% 100%	3 6% 50%	3 16% 50%	1 13% 17%		5 9% 83%
) INFORMATION	1003 14 1	1 21 1001		1 1% 100%		1 5% 100%		; 27 1001		1 22 1002			1 2% 100%		1 5% 100%			1 2* 100%
) RESPONSE	1 1* 100*		1 53 1002	1 1* 1007		1 5% 100%		1 25 1009		1 29 1009			1 2% 100%	1 22 100%				1 2% 100%

.

PAGE 4

•

"Л

• •

	YDSK - OCTOBER 1989
TABLE 5	BANNER *BY* Q3-INTENTION TO OBTAIN LICENCE IN THE NEXT 6 MONTHS

•

		INCID	INCIDENCE OF LICENCING			TO C		λge	OF RES	PONDENT	5	SEX	<		YEAR		STAI	E	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCH)) D
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE I	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																		- <u></u> -	
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 1005 175	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	- 170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q3-INTENTION TO OBT	IN LIC	NCE IN	THE NEX	г 6 но	TH9	1										ĺ				ł			
YES	128 31% 100%	3 6% 2%		3 43 23	125 37% 98%	125 100% 98%		32 19% 25%	67 38% 52%	27 47% 21%	2 33% 2%	72 30% 56%	56 33% 44%	15 8% 12%	105 56% 82%	7 30% 5%	26 13% 20%	101 471 791	108 38% 84%	20 16% 16%	20 31% 16%	13 24% 10%	95 33% 74%
NO	212 52% 100%	1 2% 0%		1 1% 0%	211 62% 100%	i	211 100% 100%	137 81% 65%	62 35% 29%	12 21% 6%	1 17% 0%	119 50% 56%	93 543 448	178 91% 84%	29 16* 14*	4 173 23	162 849. 76%	50 23% 24%	128 45% 60%	84 67% 40%	38 59% 18%	37 69% 17%	137 473 65%
DON'T KNOW	2 0% 100%				2 1% 100%				1 1% 50%		1 17% 50%	1 0% 50%	1 1 50 3		2 18 1008			2 13 1003	2 1% 100%				2 1% 100%
NO INFORMATION	2 0% 100%			Ì	2 11 1001				2 1\$ 100\$			1 0% 50%	1 18 501	2 1% 100%		1 	2 13 1001		1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 2% 50%		1 0% 50%
NO RESPONSE	65 16 % 100%	44 92 % 66%	20 100 % 31 %	64 943 985	1 01 21			1 11 21	44 25% 68%	18 32% 28%	2 33% 3%	44 19% 68%	23 12% 32%	1 1% 2%	51 27% 78%	12 528 18%	3 2% 5%	62 29% 95%	45 16% 69%	20 16% 31%	5 81 83	4 7% 6%	56 19% 86%

BANNER *BY* Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

•

.

-

..... INCIDENCE OF LICENCING TO GET AGE OF RESPONDENTS SEX YEAR STATE LOCATION TYPE OF SCHOOL A LICENCE LICENCED UNLICE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY COUN В R TOTAL -NCED NO YES PLUS ~TRY LEARNE POR TOTAL – R FULL ESPONDENTS 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 237 172 187 6 196 23 193 215 284 125 64 54 291 100% 1001 100% 100% 100% 1001 100% 100* 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1001 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1001 100% 100% 12% 5% 17% 83% 314 52% 42% 43% 143 581 13 42% 481 46% 6% 47% 53% 69% 31% 13% 16% 71% 4.1-PIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED RIVERS ACK OF EXPERIENCE/ 49 - 4 44 23 21 23 21 5 31 18 23 25 21 27 1 5 31 18 5 11 33 12% 5% 7% 13% ITUATIONS NOT 81 18% 10% 14% 12% 9% 13% 10% 12% 13% 43 11\$ 13% 11% 14% 91 17% 111 **VCOUNTERED BEFORE** 100% 8* 2% 10% 90% 47% 43% 47% 63% 37% 43t 10% 478 51% 2% 43% 55% 63**x** 37% 10% 223 673 AKING RISKS/DOING 1 1 1 1 1 - 1 - 1 JUPID THINGS/LACK 03 21 12 12 01 1% 01 01 01 CONCERN FOR 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1001 1001 INICKING/BEING 21 3 17 6 11 10 10 1 13 8 9 12 8 13 17 1 4 6 1 14 RVOUS/LACK OF 52 6% 5% 61 5* 5% 5% 6% 6% 2% 5% 53 51 43 31 63 63 6% 93 21 5% INFIDENCE 1001 14% 5% 19% 813 29% 52% 483 48% 5% 62% 38% 43% 57% 381 62% 81% 198 29% 51 67% THER EXPERIENCE 10 3 1 2 Э з 9 1 2 7 2 2 - 4 - 7 4 A B 1 9 2% 81 15* 2% 2% 5% 18 48 6% HMENTS 10% 15 13 13 43 11 43 11 43 13 2% 31 100% 40% 30% 70% 30% 10% 20% 301 40% 30% 90% 10% 20% 70% 10% 201 80% 20\$ 80% 10% 901 VCK OF 14 2 7 5 7 5 2 5 Ô. 9 3 11 12 5 2 -7 2 12 4 2 3% 2% 43 3% 43 2% 51 2% 51 2% 21 NCENTRATION/ 31 41 48 62 43 5% 4 % 6% 41 23 COMING 1007 14% 14% 86% 50% 36% 501 36% 14% 36% 64% 29% 64% 71 21% 79% 86% 143 36% 14% 50x ISTRACTED/CARELESS ERCONFIDENCE e 1 ¢ 2 4 4 2 -5 1 - 4 5 3 2 f 1 11 21 2% 17 21 2% 13 3% 1% 21 1 % 21 2% 12 1% 5% 11 1003 100* 179 832 335 671 67% 33% 83% 17% 67% 33% 831 17% 178 331 50% **INTAINING** 11 2 Z 3 - 3 4 8 з 4 7 - 4 7 4 7 NCENTRATION/ 33 4 % 10% 6% 2% 21 23 21 21 73 3\$ 2% 21 4% 21 31 29 38 61 21 100% 18+ 159 36% 273 36\$ 36* 73% 27% 361 643 36% 64% 641 361 AYING ALERT/ 361 643 271 36\$ 64% EPING EYES ON CK OF JUDGEMENT 1 1 1 1 1 0. 01 ነት 21 0% 43 03 01 2% 100% 100+ 100* 1001 1001 1001 100% 1001 1001 REDNESS/LONG 2 2 ્ય 2 - 2 1 1 . -4 2 15 11 23 21 12 21 1% 18 15 23 STANCE DRIVING 1 -13 24 1.1 19 12 37 13 1001 100# 409 601 401 407 201 803 20% 601 401 40% 60% 801 201 401 20% 40%

· ·

.

.

5

BY Q4. 1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

f		INCIDE	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C		AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS		SEX			YEAR]	STA	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCH	юl
	TOTAL	L1	CENCED		UNLICE	YES	NO	15-YRS 1	6-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	۸	в	с
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	ŀ																		
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 1005 125	20 100\$ 5\$	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 1001 581	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 1001 131	291 100% 71%
Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	'E DRIVII	IG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED																		
OTHER DRIVING RISK Comments	1 0% 100%				1 01 1001		1 0% 100%		1 1% 100%			1 0% 100%		1 13 1003			1 13 1003			1 1% 100%			1 0% 100%
NET SPEED COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	71 17% 100%	7 15% 10%	3 15% 4%	10 15% 14%	61 18% 86%	19 15% 27%	41 19% 58%	28 16% 39%	28 16% 39%	15 26% 21%		37 16% 52%	34 202 483	33 17% 46%	34 18% 48%	3 13% 4%	37 191 521	34 16% 48%	49 17¥ 698	22 18% 31%	9 14% 13%	11 20% 15%	51 18% 72%
DRIVING TOO FAST/ SPEEDING	63 15 % 100%	6 13% 10%	3 15% 5%	9 13% 14%	54 16% 86%	17 14% 27%	36 17% 57%	26 15% 41%	23 13% 37%	14 25% 22%		35 15% 56%	28 16% 44%	29 159 469	30 162 482	3 13% 5%	34 18% 54%	29 13% 46%	42 154 673	21 17% 33%	7 11% 11%	11 20% 17%	45 15% 71%
DRIVING TOO SLOW	4 1% 100%	1 2% 25%		1 13 253	3 1% 75%	1 1% 25%	2 1% 50%	1 1% 25%	3 2% 75%			1 0% 25%	3 2% 75%	1 1% 25%	3 2% 75%		1 1% 25%	3 1% 75%	4 1% 100%		1 2% 25%		3 11 751
BEING OVERCAUTIOUS	2 0\$ 100\$				2 1% 100%		2 1% 100%	13		1 2% 50%			2 13 100%	2 1% 100%			1 1% 50%	1 0% 50%	2 1% 100%		1 2% 50%		1 0% 50%
OTHER SPEED Comments	2 0% 100%				2 18 1003	1 1% 50%	1 0% 50%		2 1% 100%			1 0% 50%	1]% 50%	। 19 50%	1 19 509		1 1% 50%	1 0% 50%	1 01: 501:	1 19(50%)	i.		2 1% 100%
NET ENVIRONMENTAL CO RESPONDENTS	MMENTS 59 14% 100%	9 19% 15%	4 20% 7%	13 19 5 22%	46 13% 78%	17 14% 29%	27 133 463	17 10% 29%	27 15% 46%	14 25% 24%	1 17% 2%	30 13% 51%	29 17% 499	21 119 369	33 184 564	5 22% 8%	26 13% 44%	33 15% 56%	17%	10 91 17%	22 34% 37%	2 49 39	35 12 % 59%
WET WEATHER /FOG	9 2\$ 100%	1 2% 11%		1 12,6 113,	8 2 % 89%	2 21 221	6 3% 67%	1 1% 11%	6 32 672	2 41 221	8	5 2% 56%	4 24 445	2 13 221	6 34 674	1 4% 11%	7 4 थे 78 भे	2 1 # 2 2 %	9 31 1002		1 2% 11%		5 31 895
PEDESTRIANS	5 1% 100%		<u></u>		5 1% 100%	3 21 601	2 1% 40%		3 21 601	<u> </u>		2 1% 40%	3 24 604	2 19 40,	3 24 (0)		1 11 201	4 27 807	5 24 1004		1 2३ 20४	ן 25 205	3 1 % 60 %

BY Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED

DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C		λge	OF RESP	ONDENTS	· ·	SEX	:	····	YEAR		STAT	Ε	LOCATI	он	TYPE	OF SCH	DOL .
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NO	15-YRS 1	6-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	AIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	B	с
		LEARNE ~R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																			
ESPONDENTS	409 1003 1003	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
1.1-FIRST MAIN RIS Rivers	TO SA	e drivi	NG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED											İ							
THER DRIVERS/ Areless drivers/ "Ipatient drivers	30 73 1003		2 10% 7%	8 12% 27%	22 6% 73%	6 5% 20%	14 7% 47%	10 6३ 33र	12 73 402	8 14% 27%		17 7% 57%	13 8% 43%	14 7% 47%	14 7% 47%	2 9% 7%	14 78 478	16 7% 53%	21 7\$ 70\$	9 7% 30%	9 14% 30%	1 2% 3%	20 7% 67%
IGHT DRIVING	8 2% 100%	2 4* 25*		2 3% 25%	6 2% 75%	3 21 38%	3 19 389	2 11 251	5 3% 63%	1 2% 13%		3 1% 38%	5 3% 63%	2 1% 25%	6 3% 75%		2 1% 25%	6 3% 75%	8 3% 100%		8 13% 100%		
HAVY TRAFFIC	6 1% 100%		1 5% 17%	2 3 % 33%		3 2% 50%	1 0% 17%	1 1% 17%	4 2% 67%	1 2% 17%		2 1% 33%	4 2% 67%		6 3% 100%			6 3% 100%	6 2% 100%		6 9% 100%		
IKES .	2 0% 100%	II.			2 1% 100%		2 1% 100%	1 33 507		1 2% 50%	I	1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%		1 1% 50%	1 0% 50%	2 1% 100%				2 1% 100%
THER ENVIRONMENT	4 1%; 100≵		1 5% 25%	1 1% 25%	3 11 751	1 1* 25%	2 19, 503	2 19 507		1 2% 25%	1 17% 25%	1 0% 25%	3 2% 75%	2 1% 50%		2 9% 50%	2 1% 50%	2 13 503	3 1% 75%	1 1¥ 25¥	1 2% 25%		3 1% 75%
KT PEER PRESSURE C Kspondents	0MMENTS 24 61 1001	3 67		3 41 131	21 6% 88%	8 69 339	12 61 509	10 67 425	13 7¥ 543,	1 2% 4%		12 5% 50%	12 7 % 50%	13 7% 54%	10 5% 42%	1 4% 4%	13 71 541	11 5% 46%	15 5% 63%	9 7% 38%	7 11% 29%	1 25 45	16 5% 67%
-IENDS IN CAR/ ACK SEAT/CAUSE Istractions	14 31 1001	41		2 33; 141	12 4% 86%	4 37 297	8 41 573		6 31 431	1 2% 7%		6 3% 43%	8 5% 57%	8 4% 57%	6 \$E \$21		7 41 501	7 3% 50%	7 2% 50%	7 6% 50%	3 5% 21%	1 2% 7%	10 31 711
ADIO BLARING/ Isic Lour	2 01 1004				2 1% 100%	2 21 1001		ג ג 501	1 11 501			1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%		2 12 100%		1]1 5018	1 0% 50%	2 1% 100%				2 13 1003
IOWING OFF/ACTING	6 1+ 100+				6 23 100%		، م رج 100 -	2 19 335	4 21 671			2 1* 33*	4 2% 67%	6 31 1001	_		6 39 1009		4 13 673	2 2% 33%	4 6% 67%		2 1¥ 33¥

.

· -

•

BY Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCIDI	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO (AGE	OF RESP	ONDENT	9	SE	·	 ===	YEAR		STAT	E	LOCAT	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	хог.
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICI YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS 1	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE I	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL						<u> </u>					· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_						
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 1001 523	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 1001 423	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 1003 163	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4.1~ First main ris Driv ers	TO SA	E DRIVI	NG FOR	NEWLY S	ICENCE	I																	
PEER GROUP PRESSURE	5 1% 100%	1 2% 20%		1 1% 20%		2 2% 40%	1 01 201	1 1% 20%	4 23 803			4 2% 80%	1 1% 20%	2 12 402	2 1% 40%	1 4% 20%	2 18 408	3 1% 60%	3 1% 60%	2 24 403	1 23 203		4 1% 80%
NET NET ALCOHOL/DRU RESPONDENTS	0S COMM 105 26% 100%	10 21%	6 30% 6%	16 24% 15%	26%	27 22% 26%	61 29% 58%	45 26% 43%	43 24% 41%	15 26% 14%	2 33% 2%	59 25% 56%	46 27% 44%	54 28% 51%	40 21% 38%	10 43% 10%	55 28% 52%	50 23% 48%	76 27% 72%	29 23% 28%	14 22% 13%	18 33% 17%	73) 25% 70%
ALCOHOL .	27 7% 100%	1 2% 4%	3 15% 11%	4 6 % 15 %		7 6% 26%	15 73(563	12 75 445	12 78 448	3 5% 11%		19 8% 70%	8 5% 30%	15 81 56%	10 51 371	2 9% 7%	14 7% 52%	13 6% 48%	17 6% 63%	10 8% 37%	7 11 % 26%	6 11% 22%	14 5% 52%
DRIVING AND Drinking	73 18 % 100%	8 17% 11%	4 20% 5%	12 18%) 16%	18%	18 14% 25%	43 20% 59%	31 18% 42%	28 16% 38%	12 21% 16%	2 33% 3%	38 16% 52%	35 20€ 48≉	36 185 493	29 161 401	7 30% 10%	39 201 53%	34 168 47%	53 194 734	20 163 271	7 11% 10%	12 22% 16%	54 19\$ 74\$
TAKING/SMOKING Drugs	7 2% 100%	2 41 291		2 3% 29%	5 1% 71%	4 3% 57%	1 0% 14%	1 1% 14%	4 23 573	1 2% 14%	1 17% 14%	4 21: 571:	3 23 43%	1 17 143	3 29. 439] 1315 437	2 1% 29%	5 21: 717	6 21 861	1 18 14 %	1 21 141	1 2% 14%	5. 28 718
DRUNK DRIVERS	5 1% 100%				5 1% 100%	2 23 403	3 1% 60%	2 1% 40%	3 2% 60%			3 13, 603,	2 11 401	3 72 602	2 19 407		2 1१ 40%	3 14 604	5 24 1007				5 2% 100%
NET CAR COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	23 6% 100%	6 131 261	2 10% 9%	8 12% 35%	15 42 653	5 43 223	9 4% 39%	7 4% 30%	10 6% 43%	4 72 172	2 33% 9%	13 5% 57%	10 6% 43%	6 49. 359	12 6% 52%	3 13% 13%	8 4% 35%	15 75 65 ¥	17 61 741	6 53 261	4 62 172	4 71 171	15 5% 65%
NOT REGULARLY CHECKING CAR/ HAVING CAR Serviced/ Unroadworthy	3 1 1001		<u></u>		3 1% 100%	1 12 331	2 13 673	2 1 % 67 %		1 29 339		3 1% 100%		2 1+ 674	1 19 339		1 1 3 3 9	21 19 675	2 19 672	1 15 232	1 29 339	1 2¥ 331	1 0% 33%

.

BY Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	TO C	1	AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS	Ì	SEZ	 L		YEAR		STAT	Z	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	юl
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 Plus	MALE I	ENALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN -TRY	λ	B	c
ĺ		LEARNÉ -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																			
ESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	100%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 1005 15	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
1.1-FIRST MAIN RIS Rivers	TO SA	e drivi	NG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED									:									
WERFUL/FAST CARS	1 03. 100%				1 0\$ 100%	1 1¥ 1002			1 13 1003				1 1% 100%	1 1\$ 100\$			1 1% 100%			1 13 1002			1 01 1001
EING AWARE OF THER CARS ON THE AOD	9 21 1001	2 41 22%	2 10% 22%	4 6% 44%	- •	2 2% 22%	3 1% 33%	3 2% 33%	5 3% 56%	1 2% 11%		5 2% 56%	4 2% 44%	2 1% 22%	6 3% 67%	1 43 113	2 13 223	7 3% 78%	7 2% 78%	2 2% 27%	2 3% 22%	3 6% 33%	4 1% 44%
)T WEARING Fatbelt	10- 2% 100%	4 82 403		4 62 402	6 2% 60%	1 1% 10%	4 2% 40%	2 19 209	4 24 402	2 43 208	2 33% 20%	5 2% 50%	5 31 501	3 2% 30%	5 3% 50%	2 9% 20%	4 2% 40%	6 33; 603;	8 3% 80%	2 2% 20%	1 2% 10%		9 31 901
ET OTHER COMMENTS ESPONDENTS	51 12% 100%	2 43 43	2 10% 4%	4 6% 82	47 14% 92%	26 21% 51%	21 10% 41%	22 13% 43%	24 14% 17%	4 71 81	1 17% 2%	32 14% 63%	19 11\$ 37\$	24 12% 47%	26 14% 51%		17 9% 33%	- 34 16% 67%	37 13% 73%	14 11% 27%	5 8% 10%	8 15% 16%	38 13\$ 75\$
)T OBEYING ROAD "LES SIGNS	16 41 100%				16 5% 100%	9 7% 56%	7 3% 44%	8 5% 50%	8 5% 50%			5 2% 31%	11 52 693	7 43 443	8 43 505		2 1% 13%	14 71 881	14 5% 88%	2 2% 13%		3 6% 19%	13 4% 81%
THER COMMENTS	11 3% 1009				11 3% 100%	6 5% 55%	5 21 451	7 49 649	3 2* 27\$	1 2* 9*		10 43 913	1 11 91	6 31t 551s	5 3% 45%		4 25 365	7 38 64%	6 2% 55%	5 48 458	1 2% 9%	3 6% 27%	7 2% 64%
> INFORMATION	24 6.1 1001	2 41 81	2 101 89	4 54 179	20 6% 832	11 9% 46¥	9 43 38%	7 43 293	13 . 7% . 549	3 5% 13*	1 17% 4%	17 75 712	7 4% 29%	11 6% 46%	13 7% 54%		11 6% 46%	13 61 541	17 6% 71%	7 6% 29%	4 6% 17%	2 4% 8%	18) 6% 75%
RT EXPERIENCE	77 194 100¥	1] 239 149	4 201 51	15 22 1 191	62 181 81	29 23% 30%	33 167 43%	35 219 459	34 191 443	8 144 104		51 22% 66%	26 15% 34%	33 17 1 431	42 22% 55%	2 9% 3%	31 16% 40%	45 21% 58%	47 179 61%	30 24% 39%	15 23% 19%	7 131 91	55 19% 71%
ET DRIVING RISK WMENTS	36 99 36	5 104 149	2 10 ⁵ 63	7 101 191	29 91 819	12 10% 33%	17 81 471	13 89 361	17 104 475	6 119 179		22 9% 61%	14 8% 39%	16 8% 44%	19 10% 53%	1 44 34	13 7# 36%	23 11% 64%	28 101 781	8 6\$ 22\$	13 20 8 364	4 71 111	19 7\$ 53\$

1.1

..

٠,

Х

· •

٠.

BANNER *BY* Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	тос		ACE	OF RES	PONDENT	3	SEX	(YEAR	<u> </u>	STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	юL
	TOTAL	L	CENCED		UNLICE	A LICE YES	NCE	15-YRS J	6-YRS	L7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN - TRY	λ	В	c
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL															-				
RESPONDENTS	409 100 % 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
04.2-SECOND MAIN RI DRIVERS	к то з	FE DRIV	ING FOR	NEWLY	LICENCE	D																	
LACK OF EXPERIENCE/ SITUATIONS NOT ENCOUNTERED BEFORE	23 6% 100%	1 2% 4%	2 10% 9%	3 41 131	20 6% 87%	7 6% 30%	13 6% 57%	10 6% 43%	11 63 483	2 42 92		11 5% 48%	12 79 521	11 6% 48%	10 5% 43%	2 9% 9%	15 8% 65%	7 .39 309	16 6% 70%	7 6% 30%	6 9\$ 26\$	2 4% 9%	15 53 653
TAKING RISKS/DOING STUPID THINGS/LACK OF CONCERN FOR	9 23 1003	1 21 11%		1 13 113	8 21 891	1 1% 11%	7 3% 78%	7 41 781	2 1% 22%			3 1% 33%	6 31 671	7 41: 781:	1 1% 11%	1 4% 11%	4 2% 44%	5 2% 56%	5 22 56%	4 3\$ 44\$			9 3% 100%
PANICKING/BEING NERVOUS/LACK OF CONFIDENCE	7 21 1001				7 2% 100%	3 2% 43%	4 23 573	2 1* 29*	5 31 711			6 3% 86%	1 13 143	5 3% 71%	2 13 293		3 2% 43*	4 2¥ 57\$t	6 21 861	1 1% 14%	2 3% 29%	1 2% 14%	4 1 ¥ 5 7 ¥
OTHER EXPERIENCE Comments	8 23 1003	4 81 501		4 6% 50%	4 13 503	2 2% 25%	2 1% 25%	4 2% 50%	3 2% 38%	1 2% 13%		5 2% 63%	3 2* 38*	3 21 38%	4 2% 50%	1 41 131	3 21. 38%	5 2 સ્ 6 3 સ	3 1% 38%	5 4% 63%	1 2% 13%	1 24 13%	6 21 751
LACK OF CONCENTRATION/ BECOMING DISTRACTED/CARELESS	14 33 1003	3 6% 21%	1 5% 7%	4 63 293	10 31 711	4 38 298	6 3% 43%	7 41 501	7 43 503			5 21 361	9 58 648	6 31 431	8 4% 57%		4 2% 29%	10 5% 71%	10 41 711	4 3% 29%		1 24 71	13 4% 93%
overconfidence	6 11 1005				6 23 100%	3 2% 50%	3 1% 50%	2 1% 33%	4 23 673			3 11 501	3 2% 50%	4 27 671	2 18 338		4 24 679	2 19. 334	6 2¥ 100%		4 6% 67%		2 1% 33%
MAINTAINING Concentration/ Staying Alert/ Keeping Eyes on	16 4% 100%	1 2% 6%	1 5¥ 6¥	2: 3% 13%	14 41 88%	1 1% 6%	13 6% 81%	7 43 442	5 3% 31%	4 72 258		7 31 449	9 54 567	10 51 634	5 .31 311	1 49: 6%	13 79 814	3 15 193	11 4૬ ૯୨૧	5 43. 319	2 39 131	। 2६ 6१	13 41 811
LACK OF JUDGEMENT	2 01 1001				2 1% 100%		2 1% 100%	1 1% 50%	1 1 % 50%			1 01 502	1 14 501	2 19 100¥			2 19 1009		1 0¥ 50¥	1 1% 50%	1 2¥ 50¥		1 0% 50%
TIREDNESS/LONG Distance driving	8 2% 100%	1 2% 13%		1 18 139	7 2% 88%	1 13 133	6 3% 75%	4 24 504	3 2% 38%	1 24 131		4 23 504	41 24 500	е Вм 754	2 19 259		6 34 751	2 1 (250	4 11 504	4 3‡ 50%	2 35 254	3 67 381	3 1% 38%

BY Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

	ļ	INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C A LICE		AGE	OF RESI	PONDENTS	3	SEX			YEAR		STAT	E	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	OF SCH	юL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	6-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	3	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL							_												
RESPONDENTS	409 100 100	48 100% 12%	20 1001 51	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100 % 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100 % 16%	54 100% 13%	29 100 71
)4.2-SECOND MAIN RI PRIVERS	x to s	FE DRIV	ING FOR	NEWLY	LICENCE	D	ľ									A							
THER DRIVING RISK	4 12 100%	1 23 253		1 1% 25%	3 1% 75%	1 1% 25%	1 036 2518	1 1% 25%	3 2% 75%			2 1% 50%	2 1% 50%	3 21 751	1 1% 25%		2 1% 50%	2 1% 50%	3 1\$ 75\$	1 1% 25%	1 2\$ 25\$	1 2% 25%	1: 50
IET SPEED COMMENTS ESPONDENTS	62 15% 100%	10 21% 16%	1 5¥ 2%	11 16% 18%	51 15% 82%	15 12% 24%	35 17% 56%	26 15t 423	23 13% 37%	11 19% 18%	2 33% 3%	42 18% 68%	20 12% 32%	31 16% 50%	28 15% 45%	3 13% 5%	40 21% 65%	22 10 % 35%	38 13% 61%	24 19% 39%	5 8% 8%	12 225 195	4 15 73
RIVING TOO FAST/ PEEDING	58 14 % 100%	7 15% 12%	1 51 21	8 12% 14%	50 15% 86%	15 12% 26%	34 16% 59%	26 15% 45%	22 13% 38%	8 14% 14%	2 331 31	39 16% 67%	19 11% 33%	30 15% 52%	25 13¥ 43¥	3 13% 5%	39 204 674	19 91 331	37 13% 64%	21 17¥ 36¥	4 6% 7%	12 22% 21%	4 14 72
RIVING TOO SLOW	2 01 1001	1 2% 50%		1 11 501	1 0% 50%		1 0% 50%			2 43 1005		1 03 50%	1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%		1 13 503	1 0% 50%	1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 2% 50%		0 50
THER SPEED OMMENTS	3 1% 100%	2 43 672		2 3% 67%	1 0% 33%		1 0% 33%	1 1% 33%	1 1% 33%	1 2% 33%		3 14 100%		1 1% 33%	2 1% 67%		1 18 338	2 1% 67%		3 2% 100%			1 100
ET ENVIRONMENTAL C ESPONDENTS	0MMENTS 48 12¥ 100%	4 81 87	4 20+ 85	8 12% 17%	40 12 % 83%	11 9% 23%	28 13% 58%	23 143 484	19 11* 407	6 11% 13%	ł	30 13% 63%	18 10% 38%	25 13% 52%	20 11% 42%	2 9% 4%	23 12% 48%	25 12% 52%	37 13% 77%	11 9% 23%	8 13% 17%	3 6% 6%	3 13 77
ET WEATHER /FOG	8 27 1001	1 23 137		1. 19 13%	7 2% 881	1 1% 13%	6 3% 75%	5 31 633	2 19 259	1 2% 13%		5 29 639	3 2% 38%	5 3% 63%	2 1% 25%	1 41 131	3 2≹ 38%	5 23 633	7 2% 88%	1 1% 13%			3 100
EDESTRIANS	5 1+ 1001	1 29 209		1 13 209	4 13 802	3 2% 60*	1 0% 20%	2 13 403	2 19 409	1 2\$ 20\$		3 11 601	2 18 408		4 22 80%		1 । रे 20रे	4 2% 80%	5 2% 100%				2 100
THER DRIVERS/ NRELESS DRIVERS/ AFATIENT DRIVERS	18 41 100+	2 43 113	4 20+ 22+	6 91 139	12 41 671	4 39: 729;	8 49 443	9 53 503	239 239	3 51 171		11 59 619	7 4% 39%	9 51 509	8 42 443	1 42 62	6 32 332	12 6% 67%	12 4% 67%	6 5% 33%	2 3* 11*	1 29 69	1 5 83

17

۰.

· .

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

PAGE 12

(**7**

.

PAGE 13

BY Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

	[·····	INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	тос	ET	AGE	OF RESE	ONDENT	3	SE)			YEAR		STAT	Έ	LOCATI	ON	ТУРЕ	OF SCH	DOL 1
		L	ICENCED		UNLICE	A LICE		15-YRS 1	6-YRS 1	7-YR9	18	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	v1c	Sλ	CITY	COUN	λ	8	
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO	1			PLUS					ľ				-TRY			
RESPONDENTS	409 1005 1005	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	100%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	175 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RI Drivers	SK TO S	FE DRIV	INC FOR	NEWLY	LICENC	D																	
NIGHT DRIVING	10 2% 100%	-			10 3% 100%		9 41 901	5 3% 50%	4 2% 40%	1 2% 10%		8 31 801	2 11 201	8 4% 80%	2 1% 20%		8 41 801	2 13 203	10 4% 100%		6 9% 60%		4 1% 40%
HEAVY TRAFFIC	3 11 1001				3 1% 100%		3 1% 100%	2 13 673	1 13 333			1 0% 33%	2 11 672	3 2% 100%			3 2% 100%			3 2% 100%			3 11 1001
BIKES	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%	1 1% 100%			1 1% 100%			1 0% 100%			1 1% 100%			1 0% 100%	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%
OTHER ENVIRONMENT Comments	6 1% 100%	1			6 2% 100%	2 2% 33%	4 23 673	3 2% 50%	3 2% 50%			3 1% 50%	3 2% 50%	3 2% 50%	3 2% 50%		3 2% 50%	3 1% 50%	4 1 % 67%	2 2% 33%		2 43 338	4 12 672
NET PEER PRESSURE C RESPONDENTS	OMMENTS 30 7% 100%	3 6* 10*	2 10% 7%	5 7% 17%	25 7% 83%	5 4% 17%	20 93 673	16 9% 53%	12 7% 40%	2 43 73		15 61 501	15 91 - 50%	19 10% 63%	9 5% 30%	2 98 78	15 81 50%	15 7% 50%	18 6% 60%	12 10% 40%	1 29 39	4 72 138	25 9% 83%
FRIENDS IN CAR/ Back Seat/Cause Distractions	12 3% 100%				12 45 1005	3 2% 25%	9 4% 75%	9 518 7518	2 11 173	1 2% 8%		3 19 259	9 51 755	8 41 67%	3 2% 25%	1 4 र 8 रु	ଞ 4୨ 67୨	4 29 339	7 2१ 58%	5 42 423	1 2१ 84	2 41 171	9 31 751
RADIO BLARING/ HUSIC LOUD	2. 0% 100%				2 18 100%		2 1 % 100%	1 1% 50%	1 15 505				2 17 100%	1 1% 50%		1 42 50%) 1% 50%।	1 05 509	। 0भ 50२	1 19 502			2 13 1003
SHOWING OFF/ACTING SMART/COOL	13 31 1001		2 101 151	4 6% 31%	9 3% 69%	1 1% 8%	8 41 621	4 2% 31%	8 5% 62%	1 21 81		9 4૧ 69૧	4 29 319	8 41 621	4 2% 31%	1 41 81	7 41 549	6 34 469	6 2 પ્ર 4 6 પ્ર	7 61 54 ६			13 41 1001
FEER GROUP PRESSURE	5 11 1001	1 2* 20*	<u> </u>	1 13 20%	4 18 808	1 11 201	3 12 602	3 21 601	2 13 405	<u></u> :/		3 14 604	2 11 407	3 24 E0+	2 14 40%			5 24 100 r	74 100+			2 4 भ 4 0 भ	3) % 60%

.

BY Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

INCIDENCE OF LICENCING TO GET AGE OF RESPONDENTS YEAR STATE LOCATION TYPE OF SCHOOL SEX A LICENCE LICENCED UNLICE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 12 VIC COUN 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 SA CITY A С в TOTAL -NCED YES NO PLUS -TRY LEARNE P OR TOTAL - R FULL 409 SPONDENTS 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 237 172 196 187 23 193 215 284 125 64 54 291 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1002 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1001 100% 100% 100% 12% 51 17% 838 31% 52% 42% 433 14% 13 581 42% 48% 6% 473 531 69% 31% 16\$ 13% 712 461 1.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY"LICENCED **UVERS** IT NET ALCOHOL/DRUGS COMMENTS SPONDENTS 84 Э 70 30 38 34 11 14 31 16 48 36 30 42 32 56 28 15 11 52 6 63 21% 23* 15% 213 21% 24% 18% 18% 191 28% 50% 22% 20% 21% 22% 48% 17% 24 ŧ 20¥ 98 28% 22% 15% 100% 13% 43 17% 83% 36% 45% 37% 40% 19% 4% 57% 43% 36% 50% 13% 38% 624 67% 33% 78 18% 75% 17 .COHOL 17 12 4 5 7 4 12 5 5 11 7 10 8 9 2 3 12 4% 5¥ 101 2% 3% 43 7% 17% 5% 3% 48 43 5% 3% 78 31 63 3% 6% 48 100% 100% 71% 241 29% 418 24% 29% 53% 6% 71% 6% 591 29% 65 ti 41% 47% 12% 18% 713 LIVING AND 56) 2 50 15 4 34 24 23 8 31 25 25 24 25 31 39 17 40 - 6 4 12 **UNKINO** 14% 6% 10% 91 15% 121 16% 13% 17% 143 14% 13% 15% 132 13% 26% 13% 144 141 14% 61 22% 143 100% 71 41 113 89% 27% 61% 43% 413 14% 21 55% 45% 45% 43% 11% 45% 554 701 30% 7% 21% 71% KINO/SMOKING 13 5 6 5 1 7 2 7 7 4 - 4 4 1 6 13 10 13 1 2 3% UCS 10% 51 91 21 43 23 21 7% 17% 12 3% 4% 43 22% 6 21 11 4¥ 43 100% 38% 81 46% 54% 381 15% 31% 314 31% 8% 461 541 81 54% 38% 100% 779 23% 100% **(UNK DRIVERS** - 7 2 2 1 2 1 1 7 3 ٦. 2 3 1 18 4% ાશ્વ 01 12 11 2% 05 18 21 14 19 11 13 100% 672 67% 33% 33t 673 33% 33% 67% 1001 100# 67% 33% 100% T CAR COMMENTS SPONDENTS 22 з 3 19 6 12 10 11 1 13 9 9 11 11 11 13 11 18 4 5% 6% 43 51 6% 6\$ 2% 61 64 51 5% 55 7% 5¥. 61 44 9% 7% 6% 100% 14% 143 86% 271 55% 45% 501 5% 59% 412 591 412 501 50+ 501 50¥ 18% 82% OT REGULARLY 4 4 2 -4 2 2 2 2 4 2 2 IECKING CAR/ 12 11 2% 2% 11 1% 21 12 14 1 👻 24 43 11 WING CAR 1001 100% 100% 1001 50x 50% 100% 50% 50 501 502 50% 50% RVICED/ IROADWORTHY WERFUL/FAST CARS 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 13 12 19 1 🕯 18 11 13 19 14 14 14 U. 14 2. 100% 100% 33% 67% 33% 671 671 33¥ 331 67ኒ 337 679 100% 100%

PAGE 14

.

BY Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

1		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	LING	TO		AGE	OF RES	PONDENTS	3	SE)	<		YEAR		STAT	TE	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	OF SCHO	OL .
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	A LIC	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN -TRY	λ	в	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100 x 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RI Drivers	k to s	FE DRIV	ING FOR	NEWLY	LICENCE	D																	
BEINC AWARE OF Other Cars on the Raod	7 2% 100%	2 4% 29%		2 3% 29%	5 11 711	4 3% 57%		3 22 438	3 21 431	1 2% 14%		4 21 571	3 21 432	2 1% 29%	5 37. 711.		2 14 291	5 2% 71%	6 21 861	1 1% 14%		2 41 291	5 2% 71%
NOT WEARING Seatbelt	6 1% 100%				6 2% 100%	1 1% 17%	5 2% 83%	1 1% 17%	5 3% 83%			3 11 50%	3 2% 50%	4 2% 67%	2 1% 33%		4 2भ 67भ	2 13 33%	3 1% 50%	3 2% 50%			6 2% 100%
OTHER CAR COMMENTS	2 0\$ 100%	1 2% 50%		1 18 508	1 0% 50%		1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%		-	2 1% 100%		1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%	•	1 11 509:	1 0% 50%		2 23 100%			2 1% 100%
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	71 17% 100%	6 13% 8%	5 25% 7%	11 16 % 15%	60 181 851	28 22% 39%	32 15% 45%	27 16% 38%	35 20% 49%	8 14% 11%	1 17% 1%	45 192 632	26. 15% 37%	35 183 493	35 199 492		31 169 44*	40 194 569	52 18% 73%	19 15% 27%	9 14% 13%	10 19% 14%	52 18% 73%
IGNORING SAFTEY PRECAUTIONS	3 1% 100%		1 5% 33%	1 18 332	2 15 675		2 1% 67%	1 14 339	2 1% 67%			2 11 671	1 1% 33%	2 1¥ 67%	1 14 334		2 11 671	1 ०५ ३३२		3 2% 100%			3 1% 100%
NOT OBEYING ROAD Rules signs	13 3% 100%	1 2% 8%		1 1% 8%	12 4% 92%	5 41 381	7 38 548	5 ૩૧ ૩૭૧	8 52 62%			10 4% 77%	ु २२ २३२	8 43 623	5 31 381		0 43 543	6. 71. 460	9 31 692	4 3% 31%	1 24 84	5 92 382	7 2% 54%
OTHER COMMENTS	20 5% 100%	2 4% 10%	2 10% 10%	4 6% 20%	16 5% 80%	10 8% 50%	6 32 304	6 47 40E	9 51 459	5 93 253		10 4% 50%	10 69 509	6 34 304	13 73 653		દ કંધ 30 ર	14 74 704	18 63 90%	2 2% 10%	4 6% 20%	2 4% 10%	14 5% 70%
NO INFORMATION	35 9% 100%	3 61 91	2 101 61	5 7% 14%	30 9% 86%	13 10% 37%	17 8% 491	15 9¥ 43¥	16 91 464	3 5% 9%	1 175 35	23 10% 66%	12 73 341	19 104 543	16 99 464		ो छ 8 ≠ 4 £⊐	19 94 544	25 9% 714	10 8% 29%	4 6% 119	3 64 91	28 101 801
NET EXPERIENCE Comments	39 10% 100%	5 109 139	2 10% 5%	7 302 182	32 91 821	12 104 314	20 91 514	17 103 448	19 111 491	3 51 81		23 104 599	4]){ 94]{	20 10% 51%](9. 4].	3 13+ 8+	22 11+ 56+	14 7, 43,	25 94 641	14 119 364	9 141 239	4 71 101	26 93 673

.

.

.

:

.

BY Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF LICENCING			TO C		λGE	OF RESI	PONDENT	s	SE			YEAR		STAT	E	LOCAT	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	201
	TOTAL		ICENCED P OR FULL	TOTAL	UNLICE -NCED	-		15-YRS I	6-YRS	17-YRS	18 Plus	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	٨	B	c
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 1003	20 100 % 5%	68 1003 17%		125 100 % 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100 % 42%	100%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 1003 713
Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RI Drivers	K TO S	FE DRIV	ING FOR	NEWLY	LICENC	D								1				ļ					
NET DRIVING RISK COMMENTS	55 13% 100%	13%	2 10% 4%	8 12% 15%	47 143 853	11 9 % 20%	35 17% 64%		23 13% 42%	5 9% 9%		23 10% 42%	32 195 585	35 18% 64%	18 10% 33%	2 9% 4%	32 17% 58%	23 11% 42%		17 143 313	9 14% 16%	5 93 93	41 143 755
NO RESPONSE	20 5* 100*	61	2 10% 10%	5 7% 25%	15 4% 75%	13 10 % 65%	2 1% 10%	2 1% 10%	10 6% 50%	8 14% 40%		11 5% 55%	9 5% 45%		18 10% 90%	2 9\$ 10ን		20 9% 100%	20 7 % 100%		19 30% 95%		1 0% 5%

.

•

.

.

•

.

•

BANNER

		INCIDE	NCE OF	LICENC	CINO	TO C		AGE	OF RESI	PONDENTS	-	SE)			YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCH	DOL 100
	TOTAL	L	LCENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NCE	15-YRS	6-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	X	8	С
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL									: 										
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100 % 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 1003 463	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 1003 713
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	TO 51.	E DRIVI	IG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED																		
LACK OF EXPERIENCE/ Situations not Encountered before	23 6% 100%	3 6% 13%	1 5% 4%	4 63 175	19 6 % 83%	3 23 133	14 7% 61%	11 6% 48%	10 6% 43%	2 4% 9%		15 6% 65%	8 51 351	13 7% 57%	9 5* 39%	1 42 43	13 7% 57%	10 5% 43%	13 51 571	10 82 433	3 5% 13%	2 4% 9%	18 61 781
TAKING RISKS/DOING Stupid Things/Lack of Concern For	13 3% 100%		2 10 % 15%	2 3% 15%	11 3% 85%	4 3% 31%	6 3% 46%	6 43 463	3 2% 23%	3 5% 23%	1 17% 8%	10 4% 77%	3 28 238	7 4% 54%	4 2% 31%	1 415 815	7 4% 54%	6 33 468	10 41 771	3 2% 23%	3 5% 23%	3 6% 23%	7 2% 54%
PANICKING/BEING NERVOUS/LACK OF CONFIDENCE	5 1\$ 100\$				5 1% 100%	3 2% 60%	2 1% 40%	3 2% 60%	2 13 405			2 1% 40%	3 29 601	2 12 40%	3 2% 60%		2 12 402	3 13 603	4 1% 80%	1 136 2036			5 2% 100%
OTHER EXPERIENCE Comments	8 2% 100%	2 43 253		2 3% 25%	6 2% 75%	2 2% 25%	4 23 503	3 2% 38%	5 3% 63%		8	4 2% 50%	4 21 501	4 2% 50%	4 21 501		5 3% 63%	3 1% 38%	4 1* 50*	4 3% 50%			8 31 1001
LACK OF CONCENTRATION/ BECOMING DISTRACTED/CARELESS	12 3% 100%				12 43 1003	5 42 428	7 3% 58%	5 3% 42%	4 21 331	3 5% 25%		6 3\$ 50\$	6 3% 50%	5 3% 42%	5 31 421	2 916 1736	7 41 581	5 2% 42%	11 49 929	1 1% 8%	3 5% 25%	1 23 83	8 3% 67%
OVERCONFIDENCE	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%		1 13 1005				1 1% 100%	ો 1થ 100%) 1% 100%			1 1% 100%			1 01 1001
MAINTAINING CONCENTRATION/ STAYING ALERT/ KEEPING EYES ON	6 1% 100%	2 41 331		2 3% 33%	4 1% 67%	2 21 331	2 1 % 33%		5 3% 83%			4 2\$ 67%	2 12 335	1 13 17%	5 3'‡ 833	÷	3 29 509	3 14 509	5 25 831	1 1% 17%	1 21 171	2 4% 33%	3 1% 50%
LACK OF JUDGEMENT	1002 98 1				1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%		1 13 1002			1 0% 100%		1 1% 1007			1 1¥ 1009			1 14 1009.			1 0% 100%
TIREDNESS/LONG DISTANCE DRIVING	3 11 1001	1 24 331		1 1% 33%	2 1% 67%		2 1% 67%	2 1 % 67%	1 1% 33%			3 1% 100%		2 1 र 67 व	1 19 339			3 14 100x	1 × 100 ×			2 43 672	1 0% 33%

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

· ·

; /

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	το α		AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS		SEX		<u> </u>	YEAR) I	STAT	ε	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	юL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NCE	15-YRS I	L6-YR9 1	7-¥89	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	٨	B	c.
	10172	LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		• 20																	
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 1001 171	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 1005 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	E DRIVI	NG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED																		
NET SPEED COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	37 9% 100%		2 10% 5%	6 9% 16%	31 92 842	13 10% 35%	18 9% 49%	83	18 10% 49%	6 11% 16%		21 9% 57%	16 9% 43%	17 9% 46%	18 10% 49%	2 9% 5%	20 10% 54%	17 81 461	26 9% 70%	11 91 301	6 9% 16%	7 13% 19%	24 8% 65%
DRIVING TOO FAST/ SPEEDING	35 9% 100%	83	2 10% 6%	6 9% 17%	29 9 % 83%	12 10% 34%	17 8% 49%	6%	18 10% 51%	6 11% 17%		20 8% 57%	15 9% 43%	15 81 431	18 10% 51%	2 9% 6%	20 10% 57%	15 7% 43%	24 5% 69%	11 9 1 31 1	6 9% 17%	6 11% 17%	23 8% 66%
DRIVING TOO SLOW	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%	15					1 1% 100%	1 1% 100%				1 ठभ्र १००भ्	1 0% 100%	-			1 0% 100%
BEING OVERCAUTIOUS	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%	1 1% 100%		1 1% 100%				1 0% 100%		1 1% 100%				1 0% 100%	1 0% 100%			1 2% 100%	
NET ENVIRONMENTAL CO Respondents	0MMENTS 47 11% 100%		2 10% 4%	7 10% 15%	40 121 851	5 4% 11%	34 16\$ 72\$	27 16% 57%	16 9% 34%	4 7% 9%		25 11% 53%	22 135 475	31 16% 66%) 4 7 খ 30 খ	2 93 43	29 15% 62%	18 8+ 384	30 11% 647	17 143 36%	11 17% 23%	5 9% 11%	31 11% 66%
VET WEATHER /FOG	9 21 1001	-		1 1% 11%	8 21 891	1 1% 11%	6 31 671	4 28 448	4 22 442	1 21 111		6 3% 67%	3 2% 33%	6 3¥ 679	2 19 222	1 42 118	6 3થ 67થ	3 14 339	8 34 89%	1 1%. 11%	5 81 569		4 12 442
PEDESTRIANS	8 23 1001	1 24 134	1 5% 13%	2 3% 25%	6 2% 75%		6 3% 75%	6 4% 75%	2 11 251			3 15 385	5 39 638	6 3% 75%	2 14 259		5 39 639	3 14 26+	3 18 384	5 49 639		2 43 253	6 2% 75%
OTHER DRIVERS/ Careless Drivers/ Impatient Drivers	13 31 1001	43	1 5% 8%	3 4% 23%	10 3% 77%	3 2% 23%	7 31 541	7 43 543	5 3¥ 38¥	1 21 81		7 3% 54%	6 3% 46%	7 41 543	5 31 381	1 4ዩ 8ኈ	6 34 461		621	5 48 388	3 5૧ 2૩૧		10 31 771
NIGHT DRIVING	7 2% 100%	1 2% 14%	<u> </u>	1 18 148	6 2% 86%		6 3% 86%	4 2% 57%	3 21 431			4 29: 571;	3 2% 431	6 34 864	1 11 149		4 21 573	3] 1 4] 1	7 2 # : 00 #		3 51 439	1 2% 14%	3 12 432

4

t

•

BANNER TABLE 8 (CONT.)

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED

.

DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C		AGE	OF RESI	PONDENTS	3	SE	<u>ر</u>		YEAR		STAT	ΓE	LOCATI	ю м	TYPE	OF SCH	ol
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	YES	NO	15-YR9 1	L6-YRS	17-YR9	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL				L									_						·
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100x 83x	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100 % 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100 \$ 58 \$	172 100% 42%	196 100 5 48 5	187 1003 463	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100 3 31 3	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	E DRIVI	NG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCE						ľ							i					Í
HEAVY TRAFFIC	3 12 1002				3 11 1001	1 1% 33%	2 13 673	2 13 673		1 2% 33%		2 15 675	1 1% 33%	2 1 १ 6 7 ३	1 17 333		2 18 678	1 02 332	2 1% 67%	1 13 333		1 2% 33%	2 13 673
BIKES	2 0% 100%			1 1% 50%	1 0% 50%		1 0% 50%		2 13 1003				2 1% 100%		2 1* 100*			2 1% 100%	2 1% 100%				2 15 1005
OTHER ENVIRONMENT COMMENTS	9 2% 100%	1 2% 11%		1 1% 11%	8 2% 89%		8 43 893	6 41 671	2 1% 22%	1 2% 11%		5 28 568	4 23 443	6 34 674	9 2€ 33%		7 41 781	2 13 223	3 14 334	6 59 678		1 2% 11%	8 31 891
NET PEER PRESSURE CO RESPONDENTS	0414ENTS 33 81 1001	2%	2 10\$ 6\$	3 41 91	30 9% 91%	11 9% 33%	19 93 583	14 8% 42%	16 9% 48%	3 5% 9%		19 8% 58%	14 8% 42%	17 91 521	14 72 422	1 4% 3%	14 7% 42%	19 9% 58%	26 9兆 79覧	7 6% 21%	5 83 153	4 7% 12%	24 8% 73%
FRIENDS IN CAR/ Back SEAT/Cause Distractions	14 31 1001)4 4% 100%	4 32 292	10 5% 71%	6 42 432	7 4% 50%	1 2% 7%		7 3% 50%	7 43 50%	B 491 £71≹	6 3३ 43१		8 4% 57%	6 35 435	10 4% 71%	4 3% 29%	3 5% 21%	1 2% 7%	10 31 711
RADIO BLARING/ MUSIC LOUD	1 01 1001				1 01 1001	1 18 1008	į			1 2% 100%		1 0% 100%			ן די 100ג			1 01 1003		1 1% 100%			1 0% 100%
SHOWING OFF/ACTING SMART/COOL	12 31 1001		1 5% 8%	2 3% 17%	10 31 831	5 44 429	5 23 423	5 3% 42%	7 43 583			8 3% 67%	4 29 334	5 38 420	7 44 551		4 2% 33%	8 4 भ 67 भ	10 4% 83%	2 21 171	1 2% 8%	2 4% 17%	9 31: 751:
PEER GROUP PRESSURE	7 2% 100%	ļ	1 53 145	1 1% 14%	6 21 864	2 29 291	4 23 573		3 2% 43%	1 2% 14%		4 2३ 57३	3 27 434	4 24 5 14	1 14 14	1 49. 14%	2] % 29%	5 21) 711)	7 22 1003		1 21 142	2 41 291	4 1\$ 57%
	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>			<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>						<u> </u>	 _				L <u></u>				

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

•BY• Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

•.

...

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	10 (r	AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS	;	SE)		·	YEAR		STAT	ε	LOCATI		ŤYPE	OF SCHO	юL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	INCE	15-YRS	6-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	٨	B	с
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		=-		L			7 203						<u>_</u>			-181			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 1005 125	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS DRIVERS	к то за	E DRIVI	NG FOR I	NEWLY	ICENCE	I																	
NET NET ALCOHOL/DRU RESPONDENTS	6S COMM 54 13% 100%	NTS 7 15% 13%	2 10% 4%	9 13% 17%	45 131 831	16 13% 30%	29 14% 54%	26 15% 48%	20 11% 37%	6 11% 11%	2 33% 4%	27 11% 50%	27 16% 50%	27 14覧 50覧	21 11% 39%	6 26% 11%	23 12% 43%	31 14% 57%	35 12% 65%	19 15% 35%	3 5% 6%	5 9% 9%	46 16% 85%
ALCOHOL	10 2% 100%	3 6% 30%		3 4% 30%	7 2% 70%	2 2% 20%	5 2% 50%	5 3% 50%	2 18 208	3 5 % 30%		6 3% 60%	4 28 408	5 3% 50%	2 1% 20%	3 13% 30%	6 3% 60%	4 2% 40%	7 2% 70%	3 2 % 30%	1 21 101	1 2% 10%	8 3% 80%
DRIVING AND Drinking	32 6% 100%	3 6% 9%	1 5% 3%	4 6% 13%	28 8% 88%	7 6% 22%	21 10% 66%	16 9% 50%	15 9% 47%		1 17% 3%	14 6% 44%	18 10% 56%	19 10% 59%	11 6% 34%	2 91 61	15 8% 47%	17 8% 53%	20 71 631	12 10% 38%	2 3% 6%	3 6% 9%	27 93 843
TAKING/SMOKING DRUGS	12 3% 100%	2 4% 17%	1 5% 8%	3 4% 25%	9 3% 75%	6 5% 50%	3 1% 25%	3 2% 25%	4 25 338	3 5% 25%	2 33% 17%	6 3% 50%	6 3 % 50 %	3 2% 25%	7 41 581	2 9% 17%	3 2% 25%	9 43 753	8 3% 67%	4 3% 33%			12 43 1003
DRUNX DRIVERS	2 03 1003				2 1% 100%	1 15 505	1 03 505	2 11 1001				1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 15 505	1 1 % 50%		1 11 501	1 01 501	1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%			2 1% 100%
OTHER ALCOHOL/ DRUGS COMMENTS	1 0% 100%	1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%					1 1% 100%			1 0% 100%			1 1% 100%			1 0 t 100 t	1 0% 100%			1 2% 100%	
NET CAR COMMENTS Respondents	33 8% 100%	5 101 151) 52 33	6 9% 18%	27 6% 82%	7 6% 21%	19 93 584	13 8% 39%	15 9% 45%	3 5* 91	2 33% 6%	24 10# 731	9 5% 27%	16 8% 48%	13 71 391	4 17% 12%	21 119 649	12 62 363	20 75 611	13 101 391		6 119 189	27 93 825
NOT REGULARLY CHECKING CAR/ HRVING CAR SERVICED/ UNROADWORTHY	15 4% 100%	2 41 131		2 3\$ 13\$	13 4% 87%	4 3% 27%	9 48 603	6 43 408	7 43 473	1 2% 7%	1 17% 7%	13 5% 87%	2 19 138	8 4% 53%	6 31 409	1 4 7 18	8 41 539	7 39 479	8 31 539	7 64 475		3 61 201	12 45 805

. .

Л

٩

.

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCIDE	NCE OF	LICEN	CINC	то		AGE	OF RESI	ONDENTS	3	SE)	<u>،</u>		YEAR		STAT	E	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCH	юL
	TOTAL	LI	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICI	ENCE NO	15-YRS	16-YRS :	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	А	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL																			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100 % 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100\$ 31\$	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	E DRIVIN	IG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED															ļ			
POWERFUL/FAST CARS	5 13 1003	3 6% 60%		3 4% 60%	2 13 403	1 1% 20%	1 0% 20%		3 2% 60%	1 2% 20%		3 1% 60%	2 12 402	1 1% 20%	1 12 201	3 13% 60%	2 1% 40%	3 1% 60%	4 1% 80%	1 13 203			5 2% 100%
BEING AWARE OF OTHER CARS ON THE RAOD	5 1% 100%				5 11 1001		5 2% 100%	4 2% 80%	1 1% 20%			3 14 604	2 1% 40%	4 2\$ 80\$	1 1* 20*	i	5 3% 100%		3 1% 60%	2 2% 40%		2 4% 40%	3 1% 60%
NOT WEARING Seatbelt	6 1% 100%		1 5% 17%	1 1% 17%	5 1% 83%	1 1% 17%	4 2% 67%	1 1% 17%	4 21 671	1 2% 17%		4 2% 67%	2 1% 33%	2 1% 33%	4 2% 67%	:	4 2% 67%	2 1% 33%	4 1% 67%	2 2% 33%		1 2% 17%	5 21 831
OTHER CAR COMMENTS	2 0% 100%				2 13 1001	1 1% 50%		1 1% 50%			1 17% 50%	1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 13 503	1 13 503		2 1% 100%		1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%			2 1% 100%
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	129 32% 100%	16 33% 12%	6 30% 5%	22 32% 17%	107 31% 83%	47 38% 36%	60 28% 47%	54 32% 42%	54 31% 42%	20 35% 16%	1 17% 1%	75 32% 58%	54 31% 42%	59 30% 46%	66 359. 51%	2 9% 2%	54 28% 42%	- 74 34% 57%	91 322 719	38 30% 29%	13 201 101	21 39% 16%	95 33% 74%
IGNORING SAFTEY Precautions	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%		1 1% 100%				1 18 1008) 11 1001			1 1૧ 100%		1	1 18. 1003			1 0% 100%
NOT OBEYING ROAD Rules Signs	20 5% 100%	3 64 154		3 4% 15%	17 5% 85%	7 6% 35%	10 5% 50%	10 6% 50%	9 5% 45%] 22 52		14 61 704	6 191 1910 1908	11 69 559	9 5* 45*		9 51 452	11 5% 55%	10 49 509	ነ0 81 50%		5 91 251	15 5% 75%
OTHER COMMENTS	22 59 100%	2 42 93	4 201 181	6 9 % 27%	16 5% 73%	9 72 413	7 3% 32%	8 5% 36%	8 5% 36%	6 113 279		12 5% 55%	10 61 452	6 3€ 27≹	14 74 644	1 4% 5%	3 2% 14%	19 92 861	18 64 825	4 31 181	1 2થ કર્મ	6 111 27%	15 5% 68%
NO INFORMATION	86 213 1005	11 239 139	2 109 29	13 19% 15%	73 21% 85%	31 251 361	42 20% 49%	36 21% 42%	36 20% 42%	13 239 15%	1 171 1%	49 214 57%	37 223 433	41 219 48%	43 231 501	1 4 મ 1 પ્ર	41 21% 48%	44 204 513	63 224 734	23 18+ 27*	12 199 147	10 194 122	64 22% 74%

.

t.

.

.

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

.

		INCI	ENCE OF	LICENC	CING	TO		AGE	OF RESI	PONDENTS	3	SEX	<		YEAR		STAT	TE .	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	OF SCH	юl
	TOTAL		ICENCED	<u>, </u>	UNLICE -NCED	A LICE 	NO	15-YR9	16-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	18	c
	10142	LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL															· <u> </u>			<u>. </u>	
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 1005 125	20 100% 5%	68 100 % 17%	100%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	1002	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 1002 472	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100 % 71%
Q4.J-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	to sa	E DRIV	ING FOR	NEWLY	ICENCE													ļ					·
NET EXPERIENCE Comments	35 9% 100%	10%	1 5% 3%	6 93 173	29 91 831	7 6% 20%	20 93 573	9%	18 10% 51%	2 4% 6%		21 9% 60%	14 83 403	19 10% 54%	15 8% 43%	1 41 38	20 10% 57%	15 7% 43%	19 7ኳ 54ጜ	16 13% 46%	3 5% 9%	2 41 61	30) 10% 86%
NET DRIVING RISK Comments	35 9% 100%	61		5 7\$ 143	30 9% 86%	11 9% 31%	18 9% 51%	8%	14 8% 40%	6 11% 17%	1 17% 3%	23 10% 66%	12 7% 34%	8%	15 8% 43%	3 13% 9%	18 9% 51%	17 8% 49%	29 10% 83%	6 5% 17%	7 11% 20%	8 15% 23%	20 74 578
NO RESPONSE	20 5% 100%	61		5 7 % 25%		13 10% 65%	2 1% 10%		10 5% 50%	8 14% 40%		11 5% 55%	9 53 159		18 10% 90%	2 9% 10%		20 9% 100%	20 7% 100%		19 30% 95%		1 0% 5%

ι.

•

•

4

		INCIDE	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO (A LICE		AGE	OF RESI	PONDENT	3	SĐ	(YEAR		STAT	Έ	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCH	DOL 100
	TOTAL	L	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YR3	18 PLVS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҰ	COUN - TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE -R	F OR FULL	TOTAL						<u> </u>				<u> </u>									
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100\$ 12\$	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 LDOX: 1%	237 100% 581	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 1003 63	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 1001 311	64 100 % 16%	54 100% 13%	291 1001 711
Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING.FOF	R A NEW	LY LIC	NCED DR	IVER	l l				K					ļ				j			
LACK OF EXPERIENCE, Situations not Encountered before	94 23% 100%	8 171 91	4 20% 4%	12 18% 13%	82 24% 87%	32 26% 34%	48 23% 51%	43 25% 46%	42 24% 45%	9 16% 10%		56 24% 60%	38 222 40%	47 242 50%	43 23% 46%	4 17% 4%	49 25% 52%	44 20% 47%	59 21% 63%	35 28% 37%	20 31* 21%	8 15% 9%	66 233 703
TAKING RISKS/DOING STUPID THINGS/LACK OF CONCERN FOR	23 6% 100%	2 43 93	2 10% 9%	4 6% 17%	19 6 % 83%	5 4% 22%	13 6% 57%	13 81 571	6 31 261	3 5% 13%	1 173 48	14 63 613	9 51 398	14 73 613	6 31 261	2 98 98	11 6% 48%	12 6% 52%	16 6% 70%	7 6% 30%	3 51 131	3 6% 13%	17 61 741
PANICKING/BEING NERVOUS/LACK OF CONFIDENCE	33 8% 100%	3 61 91	1 5% 3%	4 6% 12%	29 91 881	12 10% 36%	17 8% 52%	15 9% 45%	17 10% 52%	1 2% 3%		21 9% 64%	12 7% 36%	16 81 493	17 9% 52%		13 7% 39%	20 9% 61%	27 10% 82%	6 5% 18%	8 13% 24%	2 4% 6%	23 81 701
OTHER EXPERIENCE COMMENTS	21 5 % 100 %	6 13% 29%	3 15% 14%	9 13% 43%	12 43 573	4 3% 19%	8 43 363)	9 5% 43%	8 53 382	4 7% 19%		15 6% 71%	6 3% 29%	9 5% 43%	10 5% 48%	2 93 103	10 5% 48%	11 5% 52%	9 32 432	12 101 571) 22 5%	2 41 101	18 63 863
LACK OF CONCENTRATION/ BECOMING DISTRACTED/CARELES:	40 10% 100%	5 10% 13%	1 5% 3%	6 93 158	34 10% 85%	16 13% 40%	18 9% 45%	19 11% 48%	16 9% 40%	5 9% 13%		16 7% 40%	24 14% 60%	15 8% 38%	22 12% 55%	3 13% 8%	14 75 355	26 12% 65%	33 121 831	7 6% 18%	8 13¥ 20¥	4 71 10%	28 101 701
overconfidence	12 3% 100%				12 4% 100%	4 3% 33%	8 4% 67%	2% 33%	8 5% 67%			7 31 581	5 .३१ 429	9 513 751	3 22 253		8 48 671	4 2% 33%	11 43 923	1 1% 8%	7 112 583	1 21 87	4 1% 33%
MAINTAINING CONCENTRATION/ STAYING ALERT/ KEEPING EYES ON	33 8% 100%	5 10% 15%	3 15% 9%	8 125 241	25 7% 76%	6 5% 18%	19 9% 58%	11 6% 33%	14 8% 42%	8 14% 24%		19 81 581	14 8* 425	15 8% 45%	17 9% 52%	1 49 31	20 102 613	13 6 % 39%	23 8% 70%	10 81 301	7 119 211	3 64 93	23 81 701
LACK OF JUDGEMENT	4 13 1003				4 1% 100%	1 13 25%	3 18 759	1 1% 25%	2 13 503	1 2% 25%	ļ	3 11 751	1 14 251	3 21 759		ો 4૧ 25૧	3 2% 75%	1 01 25 i	2 13 503	2 21 501	2 3% 50%		2 11 501
TIREDNESS/LONG DISTÂNCE DRIVING	16 43 100%	2 43 139		2 3% 13%	14 41 881	3 2% 19%	11 5% 69%	8 53 503	6 31 381	2 4% 13%		11 58 695	5 34 7 9	11 67 697	5 3% 31%		8 41 504	8 4१ 50१	11 41 699	5 4% 31%	4 61	6 115 381	6 2% 38%
OTHER DRIVING RISK COMMENTS	5 13 1002	1 21 201		1 12 201	4 12 802	1 1* 20%	2 1% 407	1 19 201	4 2% 80%			1\$ 601	2 14 407	4 21 FQJ	1 19 203		3 2¥ 60¥	2 זע 404	3 1¥ 601	2 27 401	2# 20+) 27 205) 13 602

	<u>[]</u>	INCID		LICENC				AOF	OF RESP		<u> </u>	sez	<u> </u>		YEAR	<u> </u>	STAT		LOCATI			OF SCHO	
			CENCED		UNLICE	A LICE	H	_			18	MALE F	┉┉╢	10	12	12	 vic	<u></u>	CITY	COUN		B	~~
	TOTAL	LEARNE	P OR		-NCED	YES	NO	13-183 1	0-183 1	(-1Ka	PLUS		EUNCE	10		12	*10	50		-TRY	~	В	Ĭ
		-R	FULL																				
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100 1 51	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 1003 463	23 100% 6%	193 1002 472	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING, FOR	N NEW	LY LIC	NCED DR	IVER							1										
NET SPEED COmments Respondents	165 40% 100%	20 42% 12%	6 303 43	26 38% 16%	139 41 % 84%	46 37% 28%	91 433 558	65 38% 39%	68 39% 41%	30 53% 18%	2 33% 1%	98 41% 59%	67 39% 41%	79 40% 48%	77 41% 47%	8 35% 5%	96 50% 58%	69 32% 42%	109 38% 66%	56 45\$ 34%	19 30 1 121	30 56% 18%	116 40% 70%
DRIVING TOO FAST/ Speeding	156 38% 100%	17 35% 11%	6 30% 4%	23 34% 15%	133 39% 85%	44 35% 28%	87 41% 56%	63 37% 40%	63 36 % 40%	28 493 183	2 33% 1%	94 40% 60%	62 36% 40%	74 38% 47%	73 39 % 47%	8. 35% 5%	93 48% 60%	63 29 % 40%	103 36% 66%	53 42% 34%	17 27% 11%	29 54 % 19%	110 38% 71%
DRIVING TOO SLOW	7 2% 100%	2 43 293		2 32 292	5 1% 71%	1 1% 14%	4 2% 57%	2 15 295	3 22 432	2 43 293		2 15 295	5 3% 71%	3 2% 43%	4 2% 57%		2 1% 29%	5 2% 71%	6 2% 86%	1. 12 143	2 3% 29%		5 2% 71%
BEING OVERCAUTIOUS	3 13 1005				3 1% 100%	1 1% 33%	2 1% 67%	2 1% 67%		1 2% 33%		1 0% 33%	2 1% 67%	3 2% 100%			1 15 335	2 1 % 67%	3 1% 100%		1 29 335	1 28 338	1 0% 33%
OTHER SPEED Comments	5 1% 100%	2 43 403		2 3% 40%	3 12 603	1 15 205	2 11 403	1 1% 20%	3 21 601	1 2% 20%		4 21 80%	1 1% 20%	2 12 40%	3 2% 60%		2 1% 40%	3 13 605	1 01 201	4 31 801			5 2% 100%
NET ENVIRONMENTAL C Respondents	DMMENTS. 117 29% 100%	16 33% 14%	6 301 51	22 321 191	95 28% 81%	26 21 % 22 %	67 32% 57%	53 31% 45%	47 273 403	16 28% 14%	1 17% 1%	62 262 532	55 321 47%	57 29% 49%	52 28% 44%	7 30% 6%	56 29% 48%	61 28% 52%	92 32* 79*	25 201 214	30 47% 26%	10 19% 9१	77 26% 66%
WET WEATHER /FOG	26 6% 100%	3 6% 12%		3 4% 12%	23 71 881	4 3% 15%	18 9% 69%	10 5% 38%	12 71 461	4 7% 15%		16 7% 62%	10 6% 38%	13 712 5012	10 5% 38%	3 13% 12%	16 89 625	10 5% 38%	24 81 921	2 2 प्र 8 भ	6 99 231		20 71 771
PEDESTRIANS	18 41 1001	2 4% 11%	1 5* 69	3 43 173	15 4% 83%	6 5% 33%	9 4% 50%	10 6% 56%	7 41 391	1 22 61		8 31 447	10 6२ 56९	8 43 443	9 51: 504		7 4મ ૩૧૧	2) 52 612	13 5% 72%	5 49 289	। 2१ 6१	3 6% 17%	14 5% 78%
OTHER DRIVERS/ CARELESS DRIVERS/ Impatient Drivers	54 132 1002	9 191 171	4 20% 7%	13 19% 24%	41 122 76%	10 8 % 19%	29 14\$ 54\$	26 153 483	18 101 331	10 184 194		28 12¥ 52¥	26 15% 48%	30 15¥ 56¥	20 11% 371	4 17% 7%	26 13% 489	28 13¥ 521	41 140 760	13 104 244	14 229 269	2 41 41	38 13% 70%

.

•

,

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 TABLE 9 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING,FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

•

.

Λ.

PAGE 24

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 TABLE 9 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING.FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO		AGE	OF RESI	ONDENT	s	SE)	·	- =	YEAR	ī	STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCH	XOL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LIC	NCE	15-YRS 1	L6-YR9	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE !	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	В	c
		LEARNE	P OR FULL	TOTAL																			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 1001 461	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100 % 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR	SAFE DR	VING, FO	R A NEW	LY LIC	NCED D	IVER												ļ					
NIGHT DRIVING	25 64 1005	6%		3 4% 12%	22 6% 88%	3 2% 12%	18 9% 72%	11 63 443	12 7% 48%	2 4% 8%		15 6% 60%	10 6% 40%	16 8% 64%	9 5% 36%		14 7% 56%	11 5% 44%	25 9% 100%	l	17 27% 68%	1 2% 4%	7 2% 28%
HEAVY TRAFFIC	12 3 % 100 %	1 2% 8%	1 5% 8%	2 31 171	10 3% 83%	4 3% 33%	6 3% 50%	5 3% 42%	5 31 421	2 4% 17%	8	5 2% 42%	7 4% 58%	5 3% 42%	7 41 581		5 3% 42%	7 31 581	8 3% 67%	4 3% 33%	6 9% 50%	1 2% 8%	5 2% 42%
81KES	5 1% 100%	1 2% 20%		1 13 201	4 11 801	1 1% 20%	3 1% 60%	1 1% 20%	3 2% 60%	1 2% 20%	ĺ	2 13 403	3 2% 60%	1 1% 20%	4 2% 80%		1 11, 201	4 2% 80%	5 2% 100%				5 2\$ 100\$
OTHER ENVIRONMENT Comments	17 4% 100%		1 5% 6%	2 3% 12%	15 41 881	3 2% 18%	12 6% 71%	9 5% 53%	5 38 298	2 4% 12%	1 17% 6%	9 48 538	8 5% 47%	9 5% 53%	8 3% 35%	2 9% 12%	10 5% 59%	7 3% 41%	10 41 591	7 6% 41%	1 2¥ 6%	3 6% 18%	13 41 761
NET PEER PRESSURE CO RESPONDENTS	CMMENTS 84 21% 100%	7 15% 8%	4 20\$ 5\$	11 16% 13%	73 21% 87%	22 18% 26%	50 243 603	37 225 443	41 23% 49%	6 11% 7%		46 19% 55%	38 224 454	48 24% 57%	31 17% 37%	4 175 58	41 219 499	43 208 519	57 201 681	27 22% 32%	13 203 159	9 17% 11%	62 21 \$ 74 \$
FRIENDS IN CAR/ BACK SEAT/CAUSE DISTRACTIONS	40 10% 100%	42		2 3% 5%	38 111 951	11 9% 28%	27 13% 68%	22 13% 55%	15 9% 38%	3 5% 8%		16 7% 10%	24 143 603	24 12% 60%)5 8% 38%,	1 49. 38	23 12% 58%	17 87≮ 43≹	24 81 601	16 133 403	7 31% 187	4 7% 10%	29 10 % 73%
RADIO BLARING/ MUSIC LOUD	5 1% 100%				5 1% 100%	3 2% 60%	2 12 403	2 19 401	2 13 401	1 2% 20%		2 19 409	3 2% 60%	1 1% 20%	3 2¥ 60¥	1 4% 20%	2 1¥ 409	3 1 % 60 %	3 19 609	2 2% 40%			5 2% 100%
SHOWING OFF/ACTING - SMART/COOL	31 8% 100%	3 6% 10%	3 15% 10%	6 9% 19%	25 71 811	6 5% 19%	19 9% 61%	11 6% 35%	19 11% 61%	1 2% 34		19 8% 61%	12 79 399	19 10% 61%	11 6% 35%	1 49 32	17 91: 551:	14 73, 45 t	20 71 653	11 9% 35%	5 81 161	2 4% 6%	24 8% 77%
PEER GROUP PRESSURE	17 41 1001	2 49 129	1 53 63	3 4% 18%	14 41 821	5 4\$ 29\$	8 4% 47%	7 41 411	9 5% 53%	1 23 63)) 51 659	6 31 354	9 5% 53%	5 34 29%	2 9% 12%	4 2 र 2 4 २	13 69 769	15 કર્ય 88ય	2 23 1 23	2 3× 12+	4 72 241	11 41 655

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 TABLE 9 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING, FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

.

		INCIDE	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO (AGE	OF RESI	ONDENT	3	SEZ			YEAR]	STAT	Έ	LOCAT	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	JOL
	TOTAL	LI	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICH	NCE	15-YRS (16-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	9	
		LEARNE - R	P OR Full	TOTAL				•							<u></u>		<u> </u>		L				
RESPONDENTS	409 100 % 100 %	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 1003 13	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING, FO	R A NEV	LY LIC	NCED DA	IVER																	
NET NET ALCOHOL/DRU Respondents	S COMM 225 55% 100%	NTS 21 441 91	9 45% 4%	30 44% 13%	195 57% 87%	65 52% 29%	127 60% 56%	97 57% 43%	92 52% 41%	31 54% 14%	5 83% 2%	124 52% 55%	101 59% 45%	110 56% 49%	92 49% 41%	21 91% 9%	109 561 48%	116 54% 52%	153 54% 68%	72 58% 32%	23 36% 10%	37 69% 16%	165 57% 73%
ALCOHOL	54 13% 100%	4 8% 7%	3 15% 6%	7 10% 13%	47 14% 57%	21 173 393	24 11% 44%	22 13% 41%	21 124 394	10 18% 19%	1 17% 2%	37 16% 69%	17 102 313	25 13% 46%	23 12% 43%	6 26% 11%	27 149 504	27 139 501	32 11 1 59 1	22 18% 41%	10 16% 19%	10 19% 19%	34 128 638
DRIVING AND Drinking	161 39% 100%	15 31% 9%	7 35% 4%	22 32% 14%	139 41% 86%	40 32% 25%	98 46% 61%	71 42% 44%	66 38% 41%	20 35% 12%	4 673 23	83 35% 52%	78 45% 48%	80 41% 50%	64 34% 40%	15 65% 9%	79 413 499	82]8t 519	112 391 701	49 39% 30%	13 202 82	27 50% 17%	121 423 753
TAKING/SMOKING DRUGS	32 8% 100%	9 19% 28%	2 10% 6%	11 16% 34%	21 6% 66%	15 12% 47%	6 3% 19%	8 5% 25%	12 75 385	8 14% 25%	4 673 133	16 7% 50%	16 91 50%	5 3% 16%	17 9% 53%	10 43% 31%	5 3भ 16भ	27 13% 84%	24 81 751	8 6% 25%	1 2% 3%	1 2% 3%	30 10% 94%
DRUNK DRIVERS	10 21 1001	2 44 204		2 3% 20%	8 2% 80%	4 3% 40%	4 2% 40%	4 2% 40%	5 3% 50%	1 2% 10%		5 2% 50%	5 3% 50%	4 2% 40%	6 3% 60%		3 29 309	7 3% 70%	8 3% 80%	2 2% 20%			10 3% 100%
OTHER ALCOHOL/ DRUGS COMMENTS	1 0% 100%	1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%					1 14 1004			1 0% 100%			1 13 1002			1 0∤ 100%	1 0१ 100%			। 2ध १००६	
NET CAR COMMENTS Respondents	74 18% 100%	13 27% 18%	3 152 42	16 241 223	58 17% 78%	18 14% 24%	38 18% 51%	28 163 381	34 19% 46%	8 14% 11%	4 67% 5%	48 201 651	26 159 35%	35 18% 47%	32 171: 431:	7 301 9 1	ु १७ 19४ 504	37 174 503	47 17* 649	27 222 36%	4 69 59	14 269 199	56 19% 76%
NOT REGULARLY CHECKING CAR/ HAVING CAR Serviced/ UNROADWORTHY	22 5% 100%	2 44 91		2 38 98	20 6% 91%	5 43 231	15 7% 68%	12 7% 55%	7 48 328	2 41 91	1 17% 5%	18 81 821	4 2१ 18रे	14 7૧ ૬4૧	7 41 321	1 42 57	11 64 50+	11 5+ 50%	12 47 557	10 8% 45%	1 27 51	6 118 278	15 5% 68%
POWERFUL/FAST CARS	9 2 % 100%	3 69 अर्थ		3 41 332	6 23 673	3 2% 33%	3 1% 33%	2 13 229	6 34 671	1 22 119		5 21 561	4 29 441	4 27 44२	2 19 229	3 134 334	34 56+	4 21, 44,	4	5. 4't 56't			9 33 1003

.

PAGE 26

. 4

.

		INCIDE	NCE OF						OF RESI						YEAR		STA						
			CENCED		UNLICE	TO C A LIC		┝═══╼			 18	SE) 	<u> </u>	10	11		VIC	SA	LOCAT	COUN	۰۱۳۲ م	OF SCH B	<u> </u>
	TOTAL	LEARNE	POR	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO	19-183	10 185 .	-183	PLUS	10.22	0.000				•12	-27	CIII	-TRY	n	0	Ĩ
		- R																				<u> </u>	
RESPONDENTS	409 1005 1005	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 1001 171	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 1003 523	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 1003 693	125 100% 31%	64 100 % 16%	54 100 1 13 1	291 100% 71%
Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING, FOR	R A NEW	LY LICE	NCED DR	IVER					:												ſ
BEING AWARE OF Other cars on the Raod	21 5% 100%	4 8% 19%	2 10% 10%	6 91 291	15 4% 71%	6 5% 29%	8 4% 38%	10 5% 48%	9 5% 43%	2 43 103		12 5% 57%	9 5% 43%	6 42 383	12 6% 57%	1 4% 5%	9 5% 43%	12 69. 578	16 61 761	5 43 243	2 3% 10%	7 133 335	12 43 573
NOT WEARING Seatbelt	22 5% 100%	4 8% 18%	1 5% 5%	5 7% 23%	17 5* 77*	3 2% 14%	13 63 593	4 2% 18%	13 7% 59%	3 5% 14%	2 33% 9%	12 5% 55%	10 6% 45%	9 53 41 %	11 62 502	2 9% 9%	12 62 55%	10 53 45%	15 5% 68%	7 63 328	1 2% 5%	1 2% 5%	20 7% 91%
OTHER CAR COMMENTS	4 1% 100%	1 2\$ 25\$		1 1* 25*	3 1% 75%	1 1\$ 25\$	1 0% 25%	2 1% 50%	1 1% 25%		1 17% 25%	3 1% 75%	1 14 25%	2 12 502	2 1ጚ 50ዊ		3 29 75%	1 03: 25%	1 0% 25%	3 2% 75%			1 1 100
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	117 29% 100%	10 21% 9%	7 35% 6%	17 25% 15%	100 29% 85%	48 36% 41%	52 25% 44%	49 29% 42%	54 31% 46%	13 23% 11%	1 17% 1%	75 32% 64%	42 24% 36%	53 279 452	61 33% 52%	1 41 13	42 221 361	75 35& 64&	83 29% 71%	34 27% 29%	9 143 83	26 481 221	82 28 % 70%
IGNORING SAFTEY Precautions	11 1001		1 5% 25%	1 11 251	3 1% 75%		3 1% 75%	1 1% 25%	3 2% 75%			2 13 502	2 14 502	3 2* 75*	1 1 भ 25 रे		3 2% 75%	1 0% 25%		4 3% 100%			4 12 1002
NOT OBEYING ROAD Rules Signs	49 12% 100%	4 8% 8%		4 6% 8%	45 13% 92%	21 17% 43%	24 11% 49%	23 14 % 47%	25 14% 51%	1 2% 2%		29 122 591	20 121 411	26 132 534	22 127 458		18 91 373	31 14% 63%	33 12% 67%	16 13% 33%	1 2% 2%	13 24% 27%	35 124 714
OTHER COMMENTS	48 129 1009	4 8% 8%	4 202 82	8 12% 17%	40 12% 83%	22 181 461	18 91 381	20 128 42*	18 10% 38%	10 184 219		30 131 638	18 103 38%	17 99 359	29 16% 60%	1 41 21	12 69 258	36 173 753	39)49 819	9 7% 19%	6 9% 13%	11 20% 23%	31 11% 65%
NO INFORMATION	22 5% 100%	2 4% 9%	2 10% 9%	4 6% 18%	18 5% 82%	9 7% 41%	9 43 418	7 4¥ 328	12 71 551	2 42 93	1 17% 5%	16 7% 73%	ઇ ઉપ 27મ	11 6% 50%	11 64 504)) 6% 50%	11 5% 50%	15 5% 68%	7 6% 32%	2 3% 9%	2 4% 9%	18 61 821
NET EXPERIENCE COMMENTS	122 30% 100%	15 31% 12%	7 35% 6%	22 32% 18%	100 29¥ 82 %	40 321 331	58 27% 48%	53 31% 43%	56 328 468	13 231 111		76 321 621	46 279 384	57 29∎ 47⊁	59 324 484	6 261 5*	5.8 301 481	63 294 523	75 261 611	47 38% 39%	21 33¥ 17≵	10 191 81	91 31 4 75 4

.

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 TABLE 9 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING,FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

.

-

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 BLE 9 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING, FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

.

		INCIDE	ENCE OF	LICEN	21NG	TO C A LICE		AGE	OF RES	PONDENT	s	SE	x		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	N	TYPE	OF SCHO	юL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED		8	15-YRS	16-YR9	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL		123	,,				7203												
SPONDENTS	409 100%	48 100%	20 100%	68 100 %			211 100%	170 100%	176 100%	57	6		172 100%	196 100 %	187 100%	23 100%	193 100 %	215	284 100%	125 100%	64 1003	54 100%	291 100%
	100%	12%	5%	17%		313	52%		43%	100%	100% 1%		42%	48%	462	62	471	100% 53%	69%	31%	168	13%	71%
-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING, FOI	R A NEW	IN LIC	ENCED D	IVER																	
T DRIVING RISK	117		6	20		32	63		50	17	_1	65	52	58	52	6	59	58	88	29	27	14	76
MMENTS	29% 100%	29% 12%	30% 5%	29% 17%	28% 83%	261 271	302 54%		28% 43%	30% 15%	17%		30 % 44%	30% 50%	28≉ 441:	26३ 5३	31% 50%	27% 50%	31%- 75%-	23% 25%	421 231	26% 12%	26% 65%
RESPONSE	2 01:				2 1%	2 2%			1 1 %	1 2 %		1	1		2]		2	2		2		
	100%				100*				50%	50%		50%	50%		100%			100% 100%	1% 100%		3% 100%		

•

•

л

BY Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	INC	TO		AGE	OF RES	PONDENTS	3	SE	(YEAR		STAT	IE I	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	XOL
1	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LIC		15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	18 Plus	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL				[<u> </u>					<u> </u>								·.
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 1002 832	125 1001 311	211 1001 521	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100\$ 14\$	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 1001 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 1002 312	64 1001 161	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	TCH VT	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT																		
REDUCES AWARENESS/ Become Unaware of Other Drivers	11 31 1001		2 10% 18%	2 3% 18%	9 3% 82%	2 2% 18%	7. 38 648	6 43 553	4 24 364	1 2% 9%	(4 24 36%	7 4 % 64 %	6 39: 559:	4 2% 36%	1 4% 9%	6 32 552	5 2% 45%	6 2% 55%	5 43 45%	1 2% 9%	4 7% 36%	6 2\$ 55%
TAKE MORE RISKS	1 0 % 100%				1 03 1003		1 0% 100%	1 1% 100%					1 1% 100%		1 1* 100%			1 0% 100%	1 G% 100%				1 0% 100%
OTHER	3 1% 100%	1 2% 33%		1 1% 33%	2 1% 67%	2 2% 67%		2 1 % 67%	1 1% 33%			2 19 679	1 18 339:		3 2% 100%			3 1% 100%	2 12 671	1 11: 331:			3 1% 100%
NET AFFECTS THE DRI Respondents	1NG 26 64 100%	6 13% 23%		6 9% 23%	20 63 773	8 6% 31%	12 6% 46%	9 5 % 35%	10 6% 38%	6 11% 23%	1 178 42	12 5% 463	14 8% 54%	10 5% 38%	15 8% 58%	1 4 % 4 %	12 6% 46%	14 71 541	22 81, 853,	4 3% 15%	10 161 384	1 29 43	15 5% 58%
SLOPPY/CARELESS DIRIVING	2 0 1 100%				2 1% 100%	2 21 1001			2 15 1005			1 01: 501:	1 1% 50%		2 1 ૧ 100૧	1	1 1% 50%	1 034 501;	2 1 % 100%				2 1% 100%
DRIVE TOO FAST/ Speeding	7 2% 100%	2 44 293		2 3¥ 29%	5 1% 71%	1 1% 14%	4 2% 57%	2 18 29%	3 21 431	2 44 29%		4 2૧ 57૧	3 27 439	ર 2 ધ 4 કે પ	4 23 575		1 19 14%	6 37 862,	7 2% 100%		5 Bit 719		2 1% 29%
CAN'T CONTROL CAR PROPERLY/LOSS CONTROL OF THE CAR	7 23 100%	2 4% 29%		2 3% 29%	5. 1% 71%	2 2% 29%	3 1% 43%	2 1 % 29%	2 1% 29%	3 5% 43%		3 12 435	4 29 574	2 11 294	5 37 71%		4 24 579) 1 મ 4 3 સ	5 2%, 7\%,	ટ 2૧ ઽ૧૧	2 39 29%	1 2% 14%	4 13- 575
MARES YOU A DANGER TO OTHERS/CAUSE ACCIDENT	7 28 1008	2 41 291		2 39 29%	5. 1% 71%,	3 22 432	2 1% 29%	2 1% 29%	4 2% 57%	1 2% 14%		2 19 299	5 3* 719	2 14 294	4 21 571	1 49 14%	2 19 29%	5 29: 71%	5 2¥ 71%	2 2% 29%	1 29 14%		6 2% 86%
JTHER	4 12 1002	1 24 25%		1 1% 25%	3 1% 75%		3 1% 75%	3 2¥ 75 %			1 17% 25%	3 14 754	1 1 + 25 +	3 24 751	1 19 254		4 24 1004		4 13 1004) 		ן סז 25%

1

· .

.

-

BY 05.1-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	TO (AGE	OF RESP	ONDENT	3	SE	<u>, </u>		YEAR		STAT	ε	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	юL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICI YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	. <u> </u>	с.
		LEARNE - R	P OR Full	TOTAL																			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100 3 533	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100 % 13%	291 100% 71%
25.1-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	HICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT								ļ										
NET AFFECT ON SENSE. RESPONDENTS	73 183 100%	3 68 48	1 5% 1%	4 6% 5%	69 20% 95%	25 20% 34%	42 20% 58%	26 15% 36%	33 19% 45%	13 23% 16%	1 17% 1%	29 12% 40%	44 26% 60%	40 20% 55%	31 172 428	2 9% 3%	43 22% 59%	30 14% 41%	54 198 748	19 15% 26%	26 41% 36%	1 2% 1%	46 16% 63%
AFFECTS Concentration/ Become distracted	45 11% 100%	3 6% 7%	1 5% 2%	4 63 93	41 12% 91%	18 14% 40%	22 104 494	16 9% 36%	18 10% 40%	10 18% 22%	1 17% 2%	17 7% 38%	28 16% 62%	22 11% 49%	21 11% 47%	2 9% 4%	23 124 514	22 10% 49%	34 12% 76%	11 9% 24%	19 30३ 42३	1 2% 2%	25 9% 56%
HAKES YOU TIRED/ DROWSY/SLEEPY	26 6% 100%	1 2% 4%		1 13 43	25 7% 96%	5 48 198	19 91 731	8 5% 31%	13 7% 50%	5 9% 19%		11 5% 42%	15 9% 58%	15 8% 58%	11 69 423		17 9% 65%	9 48 358	21 7% 81%	5 4%)9%	10 164 384		16 5% 62%
DIORIENTATION/NOT JITH IT/DON'T KNOW JUAT YOUR DOING	5 14 1004				5 1% 100%	4 3% 80%	1 0% 20%	2 13 403	2 1% 40%	1 25 205		1 0% 20%	4 23 80%	3 2% 60%	2 1% 40%		3 2% 60%	2. 1% 40%	2 13 402	3 2* 60%] 2१ 20३		4 1% 80%
JTHER	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%	1 1% 100%			1 1% 100%				1 12 1002] 1२ १००५			1 0३ 100२	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%
VET AFFECTS ON MIND RESPONDENTS	52 131 1001	4 B¥ B¥	7 35% 13%	11 16% 21%	41 12% 79%	13 10% 25%	28 13% 54%	21 12% 40%	23 13% 44%	8 14% 15%		29 121 561	23 13% 44%	23 12% 44%	25 13% 48¥	4 173 8%	24 124 464	28 13% 547	31 11% 60%	21. 17¥ 40 €	10 16= 195	9 172 177	33 11% 63%
YOU BECOME DVERCONFIDENT/ False Sense of Confidence	19 1009		1 5% 25%	1 1% 25%	3 1% 75%	2 2% 50%	1 0% 25%	1 1% 25%	2 13 503	1 21 251		2 1भ 50भ	2 11 501	1 17. 25%	3 21 751		1 19. 259:	3 1% 75%	3 19 759	1 11 25¥	2 3¥ 50¥		2 1% 50%
AFFECTS JUDGMENT/ JLEAR THINKING/ JAN'T MAKE SENSIBLE	33 8% 100%	3 61 91	4 20% 12%	7 10% 21%	26 8% 79%	7 6% 21%	19 9% 58%	11 6% 33%	16 9% 48%	6 11% 16%		21 91 641	12 7૧ ૩૯૫	16 81 481	14 79 425	3 13% 9%	17 91 521	16 7 % 48%	19 7% 58%	14]1* 42*	7 115 215	5 91 151	21 7% 64%

.

3

•

. I

	[INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	то		AGE	OF RESP	ONDENT	9	SE			YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	ol
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LIC		15-YRS	16-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY		B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL									ļ										
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 1002 63	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100 % 71%
Q5. 2-main ways in W Driving Skills	LICH AL	COHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT						ļ												
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	153 37 2 100 2	21 44% 14%	8 40% 5%	29 43% 19%	124 363 813	49 39% 32%	73 35% 48%	68 403 443	66 38% 43%	17 30% 11%	2 33% 1%	94 401 613	59 349 398	70 36% 46%	74 10% 48%	9 39% 6%	62 32% 41%	90 422 591	106 37% 69%	47 38% 31%	9 134 5%	22 41% 14%	123 423 803
SLOWS REFLEXES	30 7% 100%	2 4% 7%	3 15% 10%	5 7%; 17%	25 7% 83%	11 9% 37%	14 7% 47%	11 6% 37%	14 8% 47%	4 78 138	1 17% 3%	15 61 501	15 9% 50%	12 6% 40%	14 7% 47%	4 17% 13%	14 7% 47%	16 71 531	19 7 % 63 %	11 9% 37%	2 3 श 7 16	2 4% 7%	26 93 873
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE Slower	35 9% 100%	4 8% 11%	1 5* 3*	5 7% 14%	30) 9% 86%	14 11% 40%	16 8% 46%	17 10% 49%	14 81 401	4 73 113		25 11% 71%	10 6% 29%	13 7% 37%	21 119 609	1 4% 3%	13 7% 37%	22 10% 63%	23 8% 66%	12 102 342	1 2 १ 3 १	6 11% 17%	28 10% 80%
AFFECTS VISION/ Eyesight	67 16% 100%	11 23% 16%	4 20% 6%	15 22% 22%	52 15% 78%	16 13% 24%	35 17% 52%	30 18% 45%	28 16% 42%	8 14% 12%	1 17% 1%	41 17% 61%	26 159 399	35 18% 52%	28 15% 42%	4 17% 6%	28 15% 42%	38 187 57%	46 16 % 69%	21 17% 31%	3 576 45	12 22% 18%	52 18% 78%
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ SENSE OF BALANCE/ LOSE CONTROL/DIZZY	13 3% 100%	4 8% 31%		4 68 318	9 3% 69%	3 2% 23%	5 2% 38%	7 43 543	5 3% 38%	1 2% 8%		8 3¥ 621	5 31 38%	6 3% 46%	7 નામ 54૧		3 2% 23%	10 5% 77%	11 4* 85%	2 292 157-	1 29 84	1 24 81	11 4% 85%
AFFECT ANTICIPATION	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%	1 13 1003					1] %] 00%	1 1% 100%			1 1भ 100भ	:		1 19 1005			1 0% 100%
MAKES YOU SICK	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%	1 1% 100%				1 01 1001		1 1* 100*			1 17 1009	i	। ०१ १००१		1 2 2 1009		
OTHER	6 11 1009				6 2% 100%	5 42 831	1 0\$ 17\$	1 1 1 17 2	5 3% 83%			4 2¥ 674	2 19 339	2] X 339	4 ટ્રેગ હ7૧		2 19 339	4 2% 678	6 23 1004		I	1 29 179	5 2% 83%

.

.

BY Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

_

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO G A LICE		ACE	OF RESP	ONDENTS	3	SEX	:		YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCH	OL .
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE			15-YRS 1	6-YR9 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	X	8	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL					. <u> </u>		1203									- 1			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%		20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%		125 100 % 31%	211 100% 52%	100%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%		187 100 \$ 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving Skills	ICH AL	CHOL PE	RCIÉVED	TO EF	ECT																		
NET OTHER COMMENTS , RESPONDENTS	14 3% 100%		3 15% 21%	3 48 213	11 3% 79%	5 4% 36%	6) 3% 43%	4 2% 29%	5 3% 36%	5 9% 36%	f	11 5% 79%	3 21 21%	8 4 ହ 5 7 ହ	6 394 438		7 4 ኳ 50 ኳ	7 3* 50*	9 3% 64%	5 4% 36%	2 3% 14%	5 9% 36%	7 2% 50%
OTHER COMMENTS	2 D% 100%				2 13 100%	1 1% 50%	1 0% 50%		1 1 % 50%	1 2% 50%		2 1% 100%	ļ	1 1૧ 50૧	1 1* 502		2 1% 100%		2 1% 100%		1 2% 50%		1 0% 50%
NO INFORMATION	12 31 1001		3 15% 25%	3 4\$ 25\$	9 3% 75%	4 3% 33%	5 2% 42%	4 2% 33%	4 2% 33%	71 331		9 48 758	3 2% 25%	7 42 582	5 38 429		5 3% 42%	7 3% 58%	7 2% 58%	5 4% 42%	1 2% 8%	5 9% 42%	6 2% 50%

.

•

TABLE 11

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO		ACE	OF RESI	PONDENTS	;]	SE.	x		YEAR		STA:	re)	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCH	100
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LIC		15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	18	MALE	FEMALE	10	11		V 1C	SA	CITY	COUN			
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	FACED	YES	NO				PLUS					ļ				-TRY			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100\$ 83 \$	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	- 170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100 3 143	6 100 % 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100 % 6 %	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100 % 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100%	291 100 %
Q5.2- main wa ys in W Driving Skills	ICH ALC	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT													*	074	315	104	13%	71%
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	153 37% 100%	21 44% 14%	8 40% 5%	29 43% 19%		49 392 325	73 35% 48%	68 40% 44%	66 38% 43%	17 30% 11%	2 33% 1%	94 40% 61%	59 348 392	361	74 402 48%	9 39% 6%	62 32% 41%	90 12% 59%	106 37 % 69%	47 38% 31%	8 13% 5%	22 413 143	123 421 801
SLOWS REPLEXES	30 71 1001	2 43 73	3 151 101	5 7% 17%	25 71: 831:	11 9% 37%	14 7% 47%	11 6% 37%	14 8% 47%	4 71 131	1 17% 3%	15 6% 50%	15 9% 50%	63	14 78, 478	4 17% 13%	14 78 472	16 71: 531:	19 78 639	11 9% 37%	2 33, 7%,	2 12 78	26 9% 87%
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	35 93 1003	4 81 118	1 5% 3%	5 7% 14%	30 91 861	14 11% 40%	16 8% 46%	17 10% 49%	14 8% 40%	4 78 118	ļ	25 11% 71%	10 6% 29%	13 7% 37%	21 11% 60%	1 4% 3%	13 7% 37%	22 101 633	23 8% 66%	12 10% 34%	1 2% 3%	6 11 1 17 1	28 103 803
AFFECTS VISION/ Evesight	67 16% 100%	11 23% 16%	4 20% 6%	15 22% 22%	52 15% 78%	16 13% 24%	35 171 521	30 18% 45%	28 16% 42%	8 14 % 12%	1 17%, 1%,	4) 17% 61%	26 15% 39%	35 18% 52%	28 15% 42%	4 173 62	28 15% 42%	38 182 573	46 16% 69%	21 17¥ 31%	3 5% 4%	12 22% 18%	52 18% 78%
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ SENSE OF BALANCE/ LOSE CONTROL/DIZZY	13 3% 100%	4 8% 31%		4 62 312	9 3% 69%	3 21 231	5 21 381	7 43 543	5 3 % 38%	1 2% 8%		8 32 622	5 3% 38%	6 3% 46%	7 4% 54%		3 2% 23%	10 5*1 77*	11 4% 85%	2 2% 15%	। 2३ 8१	1 2% 8%	11 43 855
AFFECT ANTICIPATION	1 01 1003				1 0% 100%		1 01 1001	1 1% 100%			Ì		ן זא 1004	3 1% 100%			1 12 1002			נ פנ גססנ			1 01 100 1
MAKES YOU SICK	1 02 1002				1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%	1 1% 100%				1 01 1004		1 1% 100%			1 1% 100%		1 40 1001		27 1007		ĺ
OTHER	6 1% 100%				6 2% 100%	5 41 839	1 0% 17%	1 15, 173,	5 31 831			4 24 679	2 1 t 33*	2 1 % 3 3 %	4 2⊫ €.7%		2 19 33%	4 2द्द 679	6 24 1004			1 24 174	5 2% 83%

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

BY Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO (AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS	;	SE			YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	OL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICI	ENCE	15-YRS 1	6-YRS 1	7-¥RS	18 Plus	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	A2	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	B	С
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	123					1 203												
RESPONDENTS	409 100 % 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	HICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ect																		
NET AFFECT ON SENS Respondents	101 25% 100%	9 19% 9%	1 5% 1%	10 15% 10%	91 27% 90%	27 22% 27%	61 29% 60%	47 28% 47%	44 253 443	8 14% 8%	2 33% 2%	54 23% 53%	47 27% 47%	58 302 573	40 21% 40%	2 91 21	59 31 % 58%	42 20% 42%	74 26% 73%	27 22% 27%	25 39% 25%	11 20% 11%	65 22% 64%
AFPECTS CONCENTRATION/ BECOME DISTRACTED	41 10% 100%		1 5% 2%	5 7% 12%	36 113 883	11 95 275	24 11% 59%	20 123 493	18 10% 44%	2 43 53	1 17\$ 2\$	16 7% 39%	25 15% 61%	23 12% 56%	16 9% 39%	2 9% 5%	19 103 463	22 10% 54%	34 12% 83%	7 6% 17%	9 14% 22%	4 7% 10%	28 10% 68%
MAKES YOU TIRED/ DROWSY/SLEEPY	50 12% 100%	101		5 7% 10%	45 13% 90%	13 10% 26%	30 14% 60%	22 13% 44%	22 13% 44%	5 9% 10%	1 17% 2%	33 14% 66%	17 10% 34%	29 15% 58%	20 11% 40%		34 18% 68%	16 7% 32%	35 121 70%;	15 12% 30%	16 25% 32%	6 11% 12%	28 10% 56%
DIORIENTATION/NOT WITH IT/DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR DOING	6 11 1001				6 21 1001	1 1% 17%	5 2% 83%	4 23, 673,	2 13 333			3 1% 50%	3 23 50%	4 2% 67%	2 1\$ 33\$		4 2% 67%	2 1% 33%	3 18, 501;	3 2% 50%			6 2% 100%
other	4 11 1003				4 11 1001	2 23 503	2 1% 50%	1 13 253	2 13 503	1 28 253		2 1% 50%	2 1% 50%	2 1% 50%	2 12 502		2 11 501	2 19, 50¥	2 1¥ 50३	2 2% 50%		1 2% 25%	3 11 75%
NET AFFECTS ON MIND Respondents	59 142 1002		5 25% 8%	12 183 203	47 14% 80%	13 10% 22%	34 16% 58%	24 14% 41%	25 14% 42%	9 161 151	1 17\$ 2\$	37 16% 63%	22 13% 37%	32 16% 54%	19 10મ 32મ	6 26% 10%	32 171 541	27 134 461	35 12% 59%	24 19≹ 413	6 99 109	8 15% 14%	45 15% 76%
YOU BECOME OVERCONFIDENT/ FALSE SENSE OF CONFIDENCE	10 21 1001				10 3% 100%	1 1% 10%	9 43 903	5 3% 50%	3 24 301	2 42 202		9 4% 90%	1 1% 10%	9 512 909	1 1% iO%		7 4 % 70%	3 13 304	4 14 404	6 5% 60%	2 33 20%	3 61 301	5 2% 50%
AFFECTS JUDGMENT/ Clear Thinking/ Can't Make Sensibl	28 71 1001	4 81 143	4 201 141	8 17% 29%	20 63 712	8 6% 29%	12 6% 43%	9 5 1 321	13 72 462	5 91 181	1 172 43	19 8% 68%	9 51 321	13 7% 46%	10 51 361	5 22% 18%	14 78 50%	14 71 50∤	17 65 61%	11 91 391	3 59 119	4 7% 14%	21 71 751

BANNER

BY 05.3-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT ... DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO (AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS	3	SE)			YEAR	ا	 STAT	TE	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO)OL
(TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE		15-YRS 1	6-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLU9	MALE 9	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY		- <u>-</u>	
	101.12	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		125							ļ										
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100\$ 83\$	125 100% 31%	211 1003 523	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100 % 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PER	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT						l												
NET AFFECTS BODY RESPONDENTS	83 20% 100%	17 35 % 20%	4 201 51	21 311 251	62 18% 75%	21 17% 25%	39 181 471	29 17% 35%	46 26% 55%	6 11% 7%	2 33% 2%	49 21% 59%	34 20% 41%	36 18% 43%	41 223 494	5 22% 6%	36 191 433	47 22% 57%	55 19 % 66%	28 22% 34%	10 16% 12%	10 19% 12%	63 221 761
SLOWS REFLEXES	16 43 1003	1 2% 6%		1 1% 6%	15 4 % 94%	5 4% 31%	8 43 503	9 5% 56%	5 3 4 31 4	2 43 135		5 28 31%	11 68 698	9 58 568	5 31 319,	2 9% 13%	9 5% 56%	7 3% 44%	10 4% 63%	6 5% 38%		2 4% 13%	14 53 883
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	17 41 1001	2 4% 12%		2 31 121	15 4% 88%	5 4% 29%	9 48 538	6 41 351	11 6% 65%			7 3% 41%	10 62 598	10 5% 59%	6 3ર 35૧	1 42 53	10 5% 59%	7 39, 11 4	9 3% 53%	8 6% 47१	3 5% 18%	3 6% 18%	11 43 658
AFFECTS VISION/ Evesight	33 8% 100%	9 19% 27%	3 15% 9%	12 16% 36%	21 6% 64%	7 6 % 21%	14 7% 42%	9 5% 27%	19 11% 58%	3 5% 9%	2 33% 6%	24 10% 73%	9 51 271	63	19 10% 58%	2 91 61	11 64 332	22) 10% 67%	25 9% 76%	8 6% 24%	4 6% 12%	4 75 125	25 93 763
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ Sense of Balance/ Lose Control/Dizzy	10 2% 100%	3 6% 30%	1 5% 10%	4 63 403	6 2% 60%	1 1% 10%	5 23 503	4 2% 40%	5 3% 50%	1 21 101		6 3% 60%	4 22 402	5 3% 50%	5 39 501		4 24 404	6 3% 60%	5 212 50%	5 43 503	2 39 20%	1 29 10%	7 21 701
MAKES YOU SICK	4 11 1001	1 2% 25%		1 1 25	3 18 758	2 2% 50%	1 01) 2516	1 15 253	3 2% 75%			3 12 75%	1 1% 25%		3 24 75		1 1 ¥ 25(3	3 19: 759:	3 17 759	1 12 252	1 24 254		3 15 755
OTHER	1 % 100%	1 2% 25%		1 19 251	3 1% 75%) 14 25%	2 13 503	1 11 251	3 2% 75%			4 21 1003) 19 254	24 24 754		2 19 501	2 19 508	3 11 757	1 1% 25%			4 1% 100%
NET AFFECT ON SENSE RESPONDENTS	64 161 1001	7 154 11%	4 20\$ 6\$	11 16\$ 17\$	53 163 833	18 141 281	35 17% 55%	33 191 521	24 14\$ 38\$	7 129 119		43 181 671	21 12¥ 338	37 19% 588	22 124 44	4 172 61	33 173 524	30 144 471	42 151 661	22 181 349	7	13 242 202	44 15% 698

.

.

BY Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	то с		AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS	;	SEX	:		YEAR		STAT	Έ	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	OL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NCE	15-YRS 1	16-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	A	в	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED						PLUS												
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%		176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT															1			
MAKES YOU A DANGER TO OTHERS/CAUSE ACCIDENT	7 23 100%				7 2% 100%	2 2% 29%	5 2% 71%		6 3% 86%	1 2% 14%		2 1% 29%	5 3% 71%	3 2% 43%	4 21 571		4 22 572	3 1% 43%	5 2% 71%	2 2% 29%	1 2% 14%		6 2% 86%
OTHER	5 1% 100%	3 6% 60%	1 5% 20%	4 61 801	1 0% 20%	1 1% 20%			3 2% 60%	2 4% 40%		3 1% 60%	2 1% 40%		4 2% 80%	1 4% 20%	1 12 202	4 21; 801;	5 2% 100%		1 2% 20%		4 1% 80%
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	37 9 % 100%	3 6% 8%	3 15% 8%	6 9% 16%	31 9% 84%	12 10% 32%	19 9% 51%	9%	13 7% 35%	8 141 221	1 17% 3%	22 9% 59%	15 9% 41%	20 10% 54%	15 8% 41%	2 9% 5%	16 8१ 43२	21 10 c 57 i	24 81 651	13 10% 35%	4 62 11%	10 19% 27%	23 8% 62%
OTHER COMMENTS	6 1% 100%	2 41 338		2 3% 33%	4 1% 67%	1 1% 17%	3 1% 50%	1%	3 2% 50%	1 2% 17%		2 1% 33%	4 22 67%	2 1% 33%	3 2% 50%	1 4% 17%	2 15 333	4 23 67%	4 19 67%	2 29: 33%		1 2% 17%	5 2% 83%
NO INFORMATION	31 8% 100%	1 2% 3%	3 15% 10%	4 6% 13%	27 8% 87%	11 9% 35%	16 8% 52%	13 8% 42%	10 6% 32%	7 12% 23%	1 17% 3%	20 8% 65%	11 61 35%	18 91 58%	12 61 391	1 42 3%] 4 7३ 45३	17 89 55 r	20 74 659	11 9 % 35%	4 69 138	9 172 293	18 6% 58%
NO RESPONSE	19 5% 100%	3 6 % 16%	2 10% 11%	5 7% 26%		12 10% 63%	2 1% 11%	1 1% 5%	10 6% 53%	8 142 428		11 5% 58%	8 5% 42%		17 9% 89%	2 9% 11%		19 91 1004	19 79 100%		19 30x 1004		

.

•

BY Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT

DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C		AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS	·]	SEX	:		YEAR	<u> </u>	STAT	Έ	LOCATI	ом	TYPE	OF SCHO	XOL .
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	YES	NO	15-ŶRS 1	16-YRS 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	EHALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	 A	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL			• -									i							
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100\$ 5\$	68 100 % 17 %	341 1003 833	125 1008 318	211 1008 528	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	195 100% 48%	187 1001 461	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT													ŀ					
SHOWING OFF/ACT Silly	5 1% 100%				5 1% 100%	1 1% 20%	4. 23 803	4 2% 80%		1 2% 20%		3 12 602	2 1% 40%	4 2% 80%		1 4% 20%	1 1% 20%	4 2% 80%	4 1% 80%	1 1% 20%		1 2% 20%	4 1% 80%
OTHER	2 0% 100%				2 1% 100%	2 2% 100%			1 1% 50%	1 2% 50%		1 0% 50%	1 19: 50%;		2 14 1001		1 1% 50%	1 0* 50%	2 1% 100%				2 1% 100%
NET AFFECTS THE DRI Respondents	1NG 39 10% 100%	4 8% 10%		4 63 105	35 10% 90%	11 92 28%	24 11\$ 62\$	18 11% 46%	16 9% 41%	4 7% 10%	1 17% 3%	17 7 % 44 %	22 132 568	19 10t 49t	17 9% 44%	3 13% 8%	21 11% 54%	18 8% 46%	29 10% 74%	10 8% 26%	2 32 52	4 73 103	33 11% 85%
SLOPPY/CARELESS Diriving	7 2% 100%	2 4% 29%		2 3% 29%	5 1% 71%	1 1% 14%	4 23 578	2 1% 29%	2 1% 29%	2 4% 29%	1 17% 14%	1 0% 14%	6 3% 86%	1 1૧ 14૧	3 2 א 4 3 ג	3 13% 43%	4 23 57%	3 1% 43%	7 2% 100%			1 2% 14%	6 2% 86%
DRIVE TOO FAST/ SPEEDING	11 3% 100%	3 6% 27%		3 48 278	8 2% 73%		8 4% 73%	5 3% 45%	4 2% 36%	1 2% 9%	1 17% 9%	6 3% 55%	5 3% 45%	6 39 553	3 21 274	2 9% 18%	7 47 643	4 29: 36%	8 3% 73%	3 2% 27%			11 43 1003
CAN'T CONTROL CAR PROPERLY/LOSS CONTROL OF THE CAR	10 2% 100%				10 32 100%	5 4% 50%	5 23 503	4 22 402	5 3% 50%	1 2% 10%		5 2% 50%	5 392 50%	4 21 40)	6 34 605		4 21 401	6 38 1003	8 3% 80%	2 2¥ 20%		1 2% 10%	9 3% 90%
MAKES YOU A DANGER To others/cause Accident	5 11 100%				5 1%)00%	2 2% 40%	3 1% 60%	3 216 60%	2 1% 40%	·		1 0% 20%	4 29. 80%	2 1* 40%	3 24 60+	ľ	3 21 60%	2 19, 409.	3 ۶۲ ۶0۶	2 29; 40%			5 23 1003
OTHER	8 2% 100%				8 2% 100%	3 21 381	5 2% 63%	4 2% 50%	3 21 381	1 2% 13%		4 21 501	4 2૧ 50મ	8 39 759	2 14 254		5 31 631	3 13 38 r	5 2३ 63¥	3 2% 38%	2 31 259	2 4% 25%	4 18 508

BY Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

.

	· · · · · ·	INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	то		AGE	OF RESI	ONDENTS	i	SEX		<u></u>	YEAR		STAI	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	ог зсно	ol
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	A LIC	ENCE NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	В	с
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																	<u> </u>	•	
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 1001 121	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100 % 52 %	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
25.3-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving Skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT						-												
AFFECTS CONCENTRATION/ SECOME DISTRACTED	28 73 1003	5 10% 18%	1 5% 4%	6 9\$ 21\$	22 6% 79%	7 6 % 25%	15 7\$ 543	14 8% 50%	12 7% 43%	2 43 73		18 8% 6 4%	10 6% 36%	16 8% 57%	9 5% 32%	2 92 72	12 61 43%	16 7% 57%	16 61 571	12 10% 43%	1 2% 4%	10 19 3 36 3	17. 6% 61%
AKES YOU TIRED/ DROWSY/SLEEPY	28 7 % 100%	2%	2 10% 7%	3 43 113	25 7% 89%	8 6% 29%	17 8% 61%	91	10 6% 36%	3 5% 11%		20 8% 71%	8 5% 29%	18 9% 64%	8 4% 29%	2 9\$ 7\$	19 10% 68%	8 4% 29%	23 8* 82*	5 4% 18%	6 9€ 21€	4 72 148	18 61 643
DIORIENTATION/NOT JITH IT/DON'T KNOW JHAT YOUR DOING	3 1% 100%		1 5% 33%	1 13 335	2 1% 67%	2 2% 67%		2 1 % 67%		1 2% 33%		2 1% 67%	1 1% 33%		3 2% 100%			3 1% 100%	1 0* 33%	2 2% 67%			3 12 1005
)THER	6 1% 100%	1 21 171		1 1% 17%	5 1% 83%	2 2% 33%	3 1% 50%	3 21 501	2 1% 33%	1 25 175	ľ	4 2% 67%	2 1% 33%	3 2% 50%	3 2% 50%		2 1% 33%	4 22 673	3 1 % 50%	3 2% 50%			6 21 1001
IET AFFECTS ON MIND Respondents	48 12% 100%		2 10% 4%	6 9% 13%	42 12% 88%	18 14% 38%	24 11% 50%	19 112 403	19 113 403	9 16% 19%	1 171 29	33 14% 69%	15 9% 31%	21 119 44%	23 12% 48%	4 17% 8%	20 10% 42%	28 13% 58%	35 124 734	13 10% 27%	4 61 8%	5 9% 10%	39 132 818
OU BECOME VERCONFIDENT/ ALSE SENSE OF CONFIDENCE	1 0% 100%		1 5% 100%	1 18 1008					1 13 1003				1 18 1008			1 42 1002		1 0% 100%	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%
\FFECTS JUDGMENT/ :LEAR THINKING/ :AN'T MAKE SENSIBLE	23 62 1003	3 61 134	1 5% 4%	4 6% 17%	19 61 831	11 93 483	8 43 354	7 4% 30%	11 6% 48%	4 7\$ 17\$	1 17% 4%	19 81 831	4 22 178	7 41 301	15 8ኒ 65ኒ	1 4 १ 4 १	6 31 264	17 8ን 74ን	16 61 701	7 64 309	1 2२ 4२	8 हरू 132	19 71 831
REDUCES AWARENESS/ RECOME UNAWARE OF THER DRIVERS	15 41 1001	1 21 71		1 13 73)4 4% 93%	2 2% 13%	12 6% 80%	8 51 531	5 3% 33%	2 41 132		9 41 601	6 ३३ ४०१	10 59 67%	4 29 27%	1 4% 7%	11 61 731	4 23 279	10 49 671	5 42 334	3 5* 20*	1 21 71	11 41 732
ARE MORE RISKS	3 18 1909				3 11 1001	2 2 % 67%	1 0% 33%	1 1% 33%	1 1\$ 33\$	1 21 334]	2 19 679	1 18 339	1 12 331	2 13 679		2 14 674	। ०१ ३३२	1 1 (^1	1 17 334			3 13 1003

TABLE 13 BANNER *BY* Q5-WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL AFFECTS DRIVING SKILLS

r===						
	INCIDENCE OF LICENCING	TO GET	AGE OF RESPONDENTS	SEX	YEAR	
l.		A LICENCE	┝╾╴╌╴╶═╴╴╧╴╴╴╴		/== 	╘╬
	LICENCED UNLICE		15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18	MALE FEMALE	10 11 1	12

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	то с		AGE	OF RES	PONDENTS	3	SE)	<]		YEAR		STAT	Е	LOCAT	ион	TYPE	OF SCHO	XOL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICH		15-YRS	16-YR5	17-185	18 Plus	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	58	CITY	COUN -TRY	A	В	С
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES					PLUS	<u> </u>							 		<u> </u>		
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	287 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 1004 47 %	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 1001 131	291 100% 71%
Q5-WAYS IN WHICH ALC	OHOL A	FECTS D	RIVINC :	SKILLS																l			
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	333 81% 100%	43 90% 13%	16 80% 5%	59 87% 18%	274 801 821	105 844 324	164 78% 49%	138 81% 41%	148 84% 44%	42 74% 13%	5 83% 2%	210 891 639	123 722 372	150 77% 45%	160 861 481	20 87% 6%	148 779 447	184 86% 55%	230 81 % 69%	103 82% 31%	44 691 135	48 891 143	241 83% 72%
SLOWS REFLEXES	117 29% 100%	16 33% 14%	7 35% 6%	23 343 205	94 28% 80%	40 32% 34%	51 243 443	49 29% 42%	48 27% 41%	18 32% 15%	2 33% 2%	69 29% 59%	48 28% 41%	48 243 413	58 31% 50%	11 481 91	43 22% 37%	74 349 638	77 27% 66%	40 322 342	8 13% 7%	17 31% 15%	92 32% 79%
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	145 35% 100%	21 442 142	8 40% 6%	29 43% 20%	116 34 % 80%	47 38% 32%	67 32% 46%	63 37% 43%	66 38% 46%	14 25% 10%	2 33% 1%	100 42% 69%	45 26% 31%	66 34% 46%	68 362 479	9 39% 6%	56 29% 39%	88 411 611	93 331 641	52 423 363	15 23% 10%	26 48% 18%	104 36% 72%
AFFECTS VISION/ Evesight	181 442 1002	27 56% 15%	9 45% 5%	36 53% 20%	145 43% 80%	53 42% 29%	90 43% 50%	74 44% 41%	86 49% 48%	18 32% 10%	3 50% 2%	105 44% 58%	76 442 422	82 423 453	90 48% 50%	8 35% 4%	79 41% 44%	101 47% 56%	135 48% 75%	46 37% 25%	24 38% 13%	24 44% 13%	133 463 735
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ SENSE OF BALANCE/ LOSE CONTROL/DIZZY	41 10% 100%	9 194 224	1 5% 2%	10 15% 24%	31 9% 76%	10 8% 24%	20 9% 49%	17 101 413	19 11 % 46%	4 7% 10%	1 173 23	27 11% 66%	14 82 342	17 99 419	21 11% 51%	3 13% 7%	16 8% 39%	25 122 61%	29 10\$ 71\$	12 10% 29%	7 112 172	2 12 59	32 11% 78%
AFFECT ANTICIPATION	2 0% 100%			Ű	2 1% 100%		2 1% 100%	1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%				2 1% 100%	2 19 100#			2 1२ 100१			2 23 100%			2 13 100%
MAKES YOU SICK	7 2 % 100%	1 2% 14%		1 19 148	6 2% 86%	4 32 571	2 12 292	2 13 293	3 21 431	2 4\$ 29\$		ક કર 861	। । २ । ४ २) 15 14 ቅ	5 3भ 71भ		2 1¥ 29¥	5 21 711	6 22 86%	1 1% 14%	4 61 579		3 19 439
OTHER	21 5% 100%	3 6% 14%	2 101 104	5 7१ 24%	16 5% 76%	9 7 % 43%	7 39. 338	4 29, 199,	13 75 625	4 75 195		14 65 679	7 4 भ. 3 3 भ	6 34 29%	15 89 719	ĺ	.8 4३ 38६	13 6 e 6 29		2 22 10%	7 11* 33%	1 24 54	13 49 62%
NET AFFECT ON SENSE RESPONDENTS	200 49% 100%	18 36% 9%	6 301 31	24 35% 12%	176 52% 88%	59 47% 30%	113 542 572	89 52% 45%	81 463 413	27 47% 14%	3 50% 2%	105 44% 53%	95 55'r 48 i	106 544 544	84 45 t 42 t	8 35% 4%	104 541 524	95 14* 46+	144 51% 72%	56 451 281	44 691 221	22 41× 11×	134 46% 67%

TABLE 12 (CONT.) BANNER

BY Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	INC	TO A LIC		AGE	OF RES	PONDENT	3	SEX	ĸ		YEAR		STAT	TE .	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCH	юL
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE I	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	A	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		125					7203									-181			-
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68. 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 300% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE.	RCIEVED	TO EF	ect																		
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	158 39% 100%	13 27% 8%	8 40% 5%	21 31% 13%	137 40% 87%	44 35% 28%	90 43% 57%	72 423 468	61 35% 39%	23 40% 15%	2 33% 1%		71 41% 45%	85 43% 54%	67 36% 42%	5 22% 3%	84 44% 53%	74 342 478	105 37% 66%	53 42% 34%	22 34% 14%	23 431 151;	113 39% 72%
OTHER COMMENTS	8 21 1001	1 2% 13%		1 1% 13%	7 2% 88%	4 3% 50%	3 1\$ 38\$	3 2% 38%	3 2% 38%	2 43 253	R	5 2% 63%	3 2% 38%	4 2% 50%	4 2% 50%		3 21 381	5 2% 63%	5 2% 63%	3 2% 38%	1 21 131	1 2% 13%	6 2% 75%
NO INFORMATION	150 37% 100%	12 25% 8%	8 40% 5%	20 29% 13%	130 38% 87%	40 32 % 27 %	87 41% 58%	69 41% 46%	58 33% 39%	21 37% 14%	2 33% 1%	82 35% 55%	68 403 453		63 34% 42%	5 22% 3%	81 42% 54%	69 32% 46%	100 35% 67%	50 40% 33%	21 33% 14%	22 412 158	107 37% 71%
NO RESPONSE	21 5% 100%	3 6% 14%	2 10% 10%	5 7% 24%	16 5% 76%	13 10% 62%	3 13 143	2 1% 10%	11 6% 52%	8 14% 38%		12 5% 57%	9 58 438	2 1* 10*	17 9% 81%	2 9% 10%		19 9% 90%	20 7% 95%	1 13, 53,	20 31% 95%		1 0% 5%

.

Л

•

TABLE 13 BANNER "BY" Q5-WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL AFFECTS DRIVING SKILLS

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	то (AGE	OF RESI	PONDENTS		SE	,)	=====	YEAR]	STA	re	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	OF SCH	DOL D
		L	CENCED		UNLICE	A LICE		15-YRS 1	16-YRS 1	17-YRS	 18	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	V 1C	SA	CITY	соля	λ	е <u>.</u> В	
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO				PLUS	_	_				L			-TRY			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 1001 834	125 100% 31%	211 100\$ 52\$	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 1003 313	64 1003 1632	54 100% 13%	291 100 3 713
Q5-WAYS IN WHICH AL	OHOL A	FECTS DI	RIVING	SKILLS																			
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	333 81% 100%	43 90% 13%	16 80% 5%	59 87% 18%	274 80% 82%	105 84% 32%	164 785 495	138 81% 41%	148 84% 44%	42 74% 13%	5 83% 2%	210 89% 63%	123 72% 37%	150 77% 45%	160 86% 48%	20 87% 6%	148 778 448	184 86% 55%	230 81 % 69%	103 822 312	44 69% 13%	48 891 143	241 834 721
SLOWS REFLEXES	117 29% 100%	16 33% 14%	7 35% 6%	23 34% 20%	94 28% 80%	40 32% 34%	51 24% 44%	49 29% 42%	48 27% 41%	18 32% 15%	2 33\$ 2\$	69 29% 59%	48 282 412	48 24% 41%	58 31% 50%	11 48% 9%	43 221 371	74 34% 63%	77 27% 66%	40 32% 34%	8 131 71	17 31% 15%	92 32% 79%
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	145 35% 100%	21 44% 14%	8 40% 6%	29 433 202	116 343 803	47 38% 32%	67 32% 46%	63 37% 43%	66 38% 46%	14 25% 10%	2 33% 1%	100 42% 69%	45 26% 31%	66 343 462	68 36% 47%	9 39% 6%	56 29% 39%	88 413 613	93 33% 64%	52 42% 36%	15 23% 10%	26 481 181	104 36% 72%
AFFECTS VISION/ Evesight	181 44% 100%	27 56% 15%	9 45% 5%	36 53% 20%	145 433 803	53 42% 29%	90 43% 50%	74 44% 41%	86 492 482	18 32% 10%	3 50% 2%	105 442 583	76 449 429	82 42% 45%	90 482 502	8 35% 4%	79 412 441	101 47% 56%	135 48% 75%	46 37% 25%	24 38% 13%	24 44% 13*	133 463 733
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ SENSE OF BALANCE/ LOSE CONTROL/DIZZY	41 10% 100%	9 19% 22%	1 51 21	10 15% 24%	31 9% 76%	10 8% 24%	20 9% 49%	17 10% 41%	19 11% 46%	4 71 101	1 173 23	27 11% 66%]4 84 349	17 9% 41%	21 119: 519:	3 1318 716	16 8% 39%	25 12% 61%	29 10% 71%	12 10% 29%	7 112 179	2 4% 5%	32 11% 78%
AFFECT ANTICIPATION	2 0% 100%				2 1% 100%		2 1% 100%	1 1% 50%	1 1% 50%				2 13 1003	2 14 1004			2 1% 1007			2 2% 100%			2 1% 100%
MAKES YOU SICK	7 23 1002	1 2% 14%		1 1% 14%	6 22 863	4 39. 578:	2 13 293	2 11 291	3 2% 43%	2 4% 29%		6 31 867	1 1 է 1 4 է	1 }4 144	5 31 11		2 19 291	5 29 718	6 21 869	1 18 148	4 61 579		3 18 438
OTHER	21 5% 100%	3 61 141	2 101 101	5 7% 24%	16 5 % 76%	9 71 432	7 3% 33%	4 2% 19%	13 7% 62%	4 75 195		14 61 67१	7 49. 334.	6 34 294	15 8% 71%		8 4 र 3 स र	13 67 623:	19 71 901	2 23, 10%	7 114 334) २४ ५२	13 4૧ 62%
NET AFFECT ON SENSES Respondents	200 491 1002	18 381 92	6 301 31	24 353 123	176 52% 88%	59 47३ 30३	113 548 578	89 52% 45%	81 46% 41%	27 47% 14%	3 50% 2%	105 449 531	95. 55.* 48.*	10t 5 d t 5 d t	84 45¥ 42×	8 35३ 4३	104 549 52+	95 449 481	144 512 721	56 45% 28%	44 691 224	22 41+ 11*	134 46% 675

.

PAGE 41

TABLE 13 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q5-WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL AFFECTS DRIVING SKILLS

	[]	INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	то (AGE	OP RESI	PONDENT	3	SE			YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	νι
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NCE	15-YRS 1	6-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SĂ	CITY	COUN	λ	B	с
	10177	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-ACED	165	Ĩ				FLUS								_	-TRY			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100x 52x	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 1005 425	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 1003 63	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100 1 13 8	291 100% 71%
Q5-WAYS IN WHICH AL	OHOL A	FECTS D	RIVINO	SKILLS									j										
AFFECTS Concentration/ Become distracted	114 28% 100%	12 25% 11%	3 15% 3%	15 22% 13%		36 29% 32%	61 29% 54%	50 29% 44%	48 27% 42%	14 25% 12%	2 33% 2%	51 22% 45%	63 37* 55*	61 31% 54%	46 25% 40%	6 26% 5%	54 28% 47%	60, 28% 53%	84 30% 74%	30 241 261	29 45% 25%	15 28% 13%	70 24% 61%
MAKES YOU TIRED/ DROWSY/SLEEPY	103 25 % 100%	7 15% 7%	2 10% 2%	9 13% 9%	94 288 918	26 21% 25%	65 31% 63%		44 25% 43%	13 23% 13%	1 17% 1%	63 27% 61%	40 232 392	61 31% 59%	39 212 388	2 93 23	69 36% 67%	33 15% 32%	79 28% 77%	24 19* 23*	32 50% 31%	10 19% 10%	61 21% 59%
DIORIENTATION/NOT WITH IT/DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR DOING	14 3% 100%		1 5% 7%	1 1% 7%	1	7 6% 50%	6 3% 43%	8 5% 57%	4 2% 29%	2 4% 14%		6 3% 43%	8 5% 57%	7 418 5016	7 43 503		7 4% 50%	7 3% 50%	6 2% 43%	8 618 5716	1 22 7%		13 4% 93%
OTHER	11 3% 100%	1 23 91		1 1% 9%	10 3% 91%	5 4% 45%	5 2% 45%	2%;	5 3% 45%	2 43 183		6 3% 55%	5 3% 45%	5 3% 45%	6 3% 55%		4 2% 36%	7 3% 64%	6 2%; 55%;	5 4* 45*		1 2% 9%	10 3% 91%
NET AFFECTS ON MIND Respondents	146 36% 100%	15 31% 10%	13 65% 9%	28 411 19%	118 35% 81%	41 33% 28%	77 36% 53%	56 33% 38%	63 36% 43%	25 44% 17%	2 33% 1%	91 38% 62%	55 32% 38%	67 34% 46%	64 34% 44%	13 57% 9%	70 36≹ 487	76 35% 52%	93 33% 64%	53 421 361	19 302 132	20 37% 14%	107 37% 73%
YOU BECOME DVERCONFIDENT/ FALSE SENSE OF CONFIDENCE	15 4% 100%		2 10% 13%	2 3% 13%	13 4% 87%	3 2% 20%	10 5% 67%	6 43 403	6 33 403	3 5% 20%		11 5% 73%	4 22 278	10 5% 67%	4 2% 279	1 4 ૧૮ 7 ૧	8 4% 53%	7 3% 47%	8 3% 53%	7 6% 47%	4 6¥ 27\$	3 6 % 20%	0 3% 53%
AFFECTS JUDGMENT/ "LEAR THINKING/ "AN"T MAKE SENSIBLE	84 21\$ 100\$	10 21% 12%	9 45%)1%	19 28% 23%	65 191: 771	26 211 311	39 18% 46%	27 16 % 32%	40 233 483	15 26% 18%	2 33% 2%	59 25% 70%	25) 15* 30*t	36 189 439	39 218 464	9 391 114	37 197 449	47 221 561	52 181 621	32 26* 38%	11 172 137	12 221 149	61 21% 73%
REDUCES AWARENESS/ Secome Unaware of Other Drivers	36 9% 100%	2 4% 6%	2 109 69	4 6% 11%	32 9% 89%	7 62 192	25 129 699	17 10% 47%	15 9% 42%	4 75 115		17 72 472	19 119 53%	19 104 534	14 7% 39%	2 9¥ 69	22 11% 61%	14 73 399	24 8% 67%	12 10% 33%	5 8 t 14 t	5 9३) 4३	26 91 721
TAKE MORE RISKS	6 1% 100%		<u> </u>		6 2% 1007	2 29 339	4 2% 677	4 2% 67%	1 1% 17%	1 2% 17%		2 11 331	4 2¥ 672	3 2* 50+	3 29 50%		4 29 671	2 19 331	3 1% 50%	3 2% 50%		1 25 175	5 2% 83%

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

Л

•

TABLE 13 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q5-WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL AFFECTS DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	то		AGE	OF RES	PONDENTS		SEX	(YEAR		STAT	'E	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	xor
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LIC		15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	18 Plus	MALE	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE - R	P OR Full	TOTAL					·														
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%		20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q5-WAYS IN WHICH AL	OHOL A	FECTS DI	RIVING	SKILLS																			
LACK OF ABILITY TO Recognise Dangerous Situations	2 0% 100%				2 1% 100%		2 1 % 100%	1 1 % 50%	1 1% 50%		:	1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	2 1% 100%			2 1% 100%			2 2% 100%			2 1% 100%
SHOWING OFF/ACT Silly	9 2% 100%	1 2% 11%		1 1* 11*	8 2% 89%	2 2% 22%	6 31 671;	7 43 783		2 4% 22%		5 2% 56%	4 2% 44%	6 3% 67%	1 1% 11%	2 9% 22%	2 1% 22%	7 3% 781	8 3% 89%	1 12 119		1 2% 11%	8 3% 89%
OTHER	8 21 1001	2 4% 25%	1 5% 13%	3 4* 38*	1 1	4 3\$ 50\$	1 0% 13%	3 2% 38%	4 2% 50%	1 2% 13%		5 2% 63%	3 2% 38%	1 19 13%	6 3% 75%		2 1% 25%	6 3% 75%	6 2% 75%	2 21 251			8 33 1003
NET AFFECTS THE DRI RESPONDENTS	VING 91 223 1003	13 27% 14%	1 5% 1%	14 21% 15%	77 23% 85%	24 19% 26%	53 25% 58%	37 22 % 41 %	37 21% 41%	15 26% 16%	2 33% 2%	43 18% 47%	48 28% 53%	41 21% 45%	45 249 498	5 22% 5%	49 25% 54%	42 20% 46%	67 24% 74%	24 198 261	13 20% 14%	6 11% 7%	72 25\$ 79\$
SLOPPY/CARELESS DIRIVING	15 41 1001	2 43 135		2 3% 13%	13 42 872	6 5% 40%	7 3% 47%	7 43 473	5 3% 33%	2 43 133	1 175 75	4 2% 27%	11 6% 73%	29 279	8 41 531	3 132 202	8 4२ 53२	7 3% 47%	11 4% 73%	4 31 271		2 4% 13%	13 41 871
DRIVE TOO FAST/ Speeding	33 8¥ 100\$	7 15% 21%		7 10% 21%	26 81 791	6 5% 18%	20 9% 61%	11 64 334	15 9% 45%	6 113 183	1 17% 3%	20 8% 61%	13 8% 39%	14 7% 42%	16 ७६ 48२	3 13% 9%	18 9¥ 55¥	15 7%; 45%;	25 91 76%	8 6% 24%	5 8¥ 15%	1 2% 3%	27 93 823
CAN'T CONTROL CAR PROPERLY/LOSS CONTROL OF THE CAR	24 6% 100%	2 4% 8%		2 3% 8%	22 61 921	8 69 338	14 71 58%	12 7% 50%	7 49 291	5 916 2116		10 4% 42%	14 8% 58%	11 69 469	13 7¥ 54¥		14 7a 58∓	10 52 424	17 69 719	7 6¥ 29%	2 31 81	3 6% 13%	19 72 79%
MAKES YOU A DANGER To others/cause Accident	19 5% 100%	2 49 119		2 3% 11%	17 5% 89%	7 62 372	10 5% 53%		12 74 631	2 4% 11%		5 2% 26%	14 8% 74%	7 4% 37%	11 62 587	1 4% 5*	9 5 ર 4 7 ર	10 52 533	13 5 શ 68 શ	6 59 329	2 3% 11%		17 64 891
OTHER	17 41 100%	4 81 249	1 5% 6%	5 7% 29%	12 4% 71%	4 31 249	8 4% 47%	7 4% 41%	6 31 351	3 51 181	1 17% 6%	10 4% 59%	7 4% 41%	9 53 539	7 45 413	1 44 63	10 5 594	7 37 413	14 59 829	3 21 18¥	6 91 351	2 4% 12%	9 3% 53%

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

TABLE 13 (CONT.) BANNER ABY: OS_DAVO 18 UNICH BICOMOL SPREATO DETUING OFFICE

RESPONDENTS

NO INFORMATION

NO RESPONSE

.

.

16

43

16

43

12

31

100%

100%

100%

3

61

3

61

19%

19%

13 43

61%

13

43

613

- 91

31

75%

3

4%

з

43

19%

з

4%

25%

3

15%

251

.

19%

6

51

6

51

4

31

334

381

38×

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	TO		AGE	OF RESI	PONDENTS	š	SE	ĸ		YEAR		STAT	E	LOCAT		
	TOTAL		P OR FULL		UNLICE -NCED			15-YRS (L6-YR9	17 - YRS	18 Plus		FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	100%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	100%	1001	211 100% 52%		176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	100%	172 100% 42%	100%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 1005 315	ſ

5

3%

5

3%

- 4

2%

33%

31%

31%

7

3%

7

5

23

423

3%

44%

443

7

43

7

48

4

2%

33%

44%

442

4

4

71

25%

4

71

33%

71

251

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

7

43

7

43

3

.

21

25%

44%

443

7

43

7

43

7

4%

58%

44%

443

8

48

8

48

5

3%

42%

50%

50%

1

43

63

1

43

6%

7

43

7

443

5

3%

42%

42

448

9

9

4%

7

32

58%

56%

48

561

9

43

9

43

56%

9

43

75%

56%

291

71%

12

43

1 Z

43

-6

21

50%

75 1

75%

100%

TYPE OF SCHOOL

в

54

1001

13%

2

42

2

43

5

9%

42%

13%

13%

A.

64

100%

16%

2

2

31

1

21

8%

.

•

13%

32

13%

5

4\$

5

43

5

48

42%

31%

31%

11

41

11

42

69%

7

21

58%

69%

BY Q60-THE PRESENT RULES MAKE GETTING & DRIVERS LICENCE

TOO HARD FOR YOUNG PEOPLE

BANNER

		INCID	ENCE OF		ING	TO				PONDENT		SE:	×		YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	οι
	TOTAL	_	ICENCED		UNLICE			15-YRS :			18 PLUS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY		8	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL				 															i
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100\$ 52\$	170 100%	176 100x 43x	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 1003 423	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100 1 61	193 100% 47%	215 100 % 53 %	284 100% 69%	125 100x 31x	64 100% 16%	54 1003 138	291 1003 713
Q64-THE PRESENT RUL Too hard for young P		GETTING	A DRIV	ins li	INCE .																		
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	23 6% 100%	8 17% 35%	2 10% 9%	10 153 435	13 48 578	10 8% 43%	3 18 138	5 3% 22%	13 7% 57%	4 7% 17%	1 17% 4%	17 7% 74%	6 31 261	2 13 93	18 10% 78%	3 13% 13%	2 15 95	21 10% 91%	16 6¥ 70¥	7 6% 30%		1 22 42	22 83 963
AGREE (2)	73 18% 100%	7 15% 10%	4 20% 5%	11 16% 15%	62 183 853	35 28% 18%	26 12 % 36 %	20 12\$ 27\$	35 20 x 48x	16 281 221	2 33% 3%	46 19% 63%	27 163 373	23 12% 32%	48 26% 66%	2 9* 3*	26 13% 36%	47 22% 64%	53 19% 73%	20 163 273	14 223 193	4 72 52	55 193 753
CNN'T DECIDE (3)	87 21% 100%	5 10% 6%	2 10% 2%	7 10\$ 8\$	80- 23% 92%	21 17% 24%	57 27% 66%	42 25% 48%	36 20 4 41 4	8 142 92	1 17% 1%	46 19% 53%	41 24% 47%	55 28% 63%	30 16% 34%	1 4% 1%	54 28% 62%	33 15% 38%	57 20% 66%	30 24 % 34 %	16 25% 18%	14 263 163	57 20% 66%
DISAGREE (4)	200 493 1005	23 48% 32%	11 55% 6%	34 50% 17%	166 49% 83%	56 45% 28%	108 51% 54%	94 55% 47%	79 45 x 40x	26 46% 13%	1 17% 1%	114 48% 57%	86 50% 43%	101 52% 51%	83 44% 42%	14 61 % 7 %	93 48% 47%	106 49% 53%	143 50% 72%	57 46% 29%	31 481 161	33 61% 17%	136 473 683
STRONGLY DISAGREE {5}	26 63 1003	5 10% 19%	1 52 42	6 98 232	20. 63 773	3 2% 12%	17 8% 65%	9 5% 35%	13 71 501	3 5% 12%	1 17% 4%	14 6% 54%	12 7% 46%	15 8% 58%	8 43 313	3 13% 12%	18 9% 69%	8 43 313	15 5% 58%	11 93 423	3 5% 12%	2 43 85	21 73 613
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	3,3 1.0	3.2 1.3	3.3 1.2	3,2 1,3	3.3 1.0	3.1 1.1	3.5 0.9	3.5 0.9	3.3	3.1 1.1	2.8 1.5	3.3 1.1	3.4 1.0	3.5 0.8	3.1	3.5	3.5 0.9	3.2 1.1	3.3 1.0	3.4 1.0	3.4 0.9	3.6 0.8	3.3

PAGE 4

.

,

BANNER

Д

,

1

.

BY OGT-HAVE A DRIVERS LICENCE IS A PRIVILEOR YOU HAVE TO EARM EVEN AFTER YOU HAVE PASSED THE DRIVING TEST

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

1			ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO A LIC		AGE		PONDENT	3	SEJ	<		YEAR		STAT	ſE	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	хL
	TOTAL		ICENCED P OR		UNLICE	YES		15-YRS	_		18 Plus	MALE I	CHALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ		с ~
RESPONDENTS	409 1008	-R 48 100%	20	68 100%	341 100%	125 100 x	211 100%	170 1001	176	57 100%	6	237 100 %	172 100 1	196 100 %	187	23 100 3	193 100%	215 100%	284	125	64	54	291
Q61-HAVE A DRIVERS Even After You Have	100%	12% 15 a pr	5%	17¥ YOU H	83\$	31%	52%	42%	432	14%	100%	58%	423	48%	100% 46%	63	47%	538	100% 69%	313	100%	100% 13%	100% 71%
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	56 16% 100%	16 33% 24%	3 15% 5%	19 281 291	47 14% 71%	18 14% 27%	28 134 424	21 123 323	33 19\$ 50\$	10 18% 15%	2 33% 3%	33 148 508	33 19% 50%	29 15% 44%	29 16% 44%	71 30% 11%	33 173 505	33 15% 50%	45 16% 68%	21 171 323	13 20% 20%	4 72 62	49 17% 74%
AGREE (2)	249 61% 100%	20 423 83	9 45% 4%	29 43% 12%	220 65% 88%	76 61% 31%	140 66% 56%	119 70% 48%	97 55% 39%	30 53% 12%	3 50% 1%	142 608 578	107 62% 43%	127 65% 51%	107 57% 43%	13 57% 5%	117 613 473	132 61% 53%	170 60% 68%	79 63% 32%	32 50% 13%	39 723 163	178 61% 71%
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	51 12% 100%	7 15% 14%	5 25% 10%	12 18% 24%	39 11% 76%	21 17% 41%	18 9% 35%	15 9% 29%	24 145 475	11 19% 22%	1; 17% 2%	34 143 673	17 10% 33%	17 9 % 33%	31 17% 61%	3 13% 6%	18 9% 35%	32 15% 63%	40 14% 78%	11 91 221	10 16 % 20%	5 9% 10%	36 122 712
DISAGREE (4)	32 8% 100%	5 10% 16%	2 10% 6%	7 10% 22%	25 71 781	9 73 283	16 8% 50%	9 51 281	17 108 538	6 11% 19%	•	20 8% 63%	12 71 381	15 8% 47%	17 9 % 53 %	ļ	17 9% 53%	15 73 473	23 8% 72%	9 7% 28%	7 113 228	3 6% 9%	22 81 691
STRONGLY DISAGREE	7 2% 100%		1 5% 14%	1 1% 14%	6 23 863	1 13 143	5 2% 71%	3 22 432	4 23 574			4 2% 57%	3 2% 43%	4 23 573	3 22 432	. i	5 3% 71%	2 1% 29%	3 18 438	4 32 572	1 2% 14%	1 28 143	5 23 713
NO INFORMATION	1¥ 100\$				4 13 1003		4 2\$ 100\$	3 21 751	1 1\$ 25\$			4 2% 100%		4 2% 100%			3 2% 75%	1 0% 25%	3 1% 75%	1 1% 25%	1 23 25%	2 43 505	1 0% 25%
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	2.2 0.9	2.0 1.0	2.5 1.1	2.1	2.2 0.8	2.2 0.8	2.2 0.8	2.1 0.8	2.2 0.9	2.2	1.8 0.8	2.2	2.1 0.8	2.2 0.8	2.2	1.8 0.7	2.2	2.2 0.8	2.2 0.8	2.2 0.9	2.2 1.0	2.2 0.7	2.2 0.9

BANNER

PAGE 47

"BY" Q6g-IT TAKES A YEAR OR TWO OF DRIVING TO BE ABLE TO

. .

RECOGNISE DANGEROUS DRIVING SITUATIONS OR TO MAKE SAFE JUDGEMENTS

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

			ENCE OF		CING	TO C		AGZ	OF RES	PONDENTS	;	SE)			YEAR		STAT	re j	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	×ι
	TOTAL		ICENCED) 	UNLICE -NCED	YES		15-YRS		17-YRS	18 Plus	MALE 1	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ		C
		LEARNE ~R	POR FULL	TOTAL			·																
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	100%	20 100% 5%	68 100 x 173	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q6g-1T TAKES A YEAR Recoonise Dangerous						JUDQEMEI	178				ĺ		ļ										
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	42 10% 100%	4 8% 10%	3 15% 7%	7 10% 17%	35 10% 83%	11 9% 26%	22 103 523	16 9% 38%	16 9% 38%	9 163 213	1 17\$ 2\$	28 12% 67%	14 81 331	23 12% 55%	13 71 312	6 26% 14%	23 12% 55%	18 8% 43%	30 11% 71%	12 108 298	8 13 % 19%	8 15% 19%	26 9% 62%
AGREE (2)	182 443 1005	18 38% 10%	4 20% 2%	22 32% 12%		56 45% 31%	104 492 573	87 51% 48%	69 39 % 38%	23 40% 13%	3 50% 2%	102 43% 56%	80 47% 44%	95 48% 52%	76 413 423	9 391 51	88 463 483	94 443 523	128 45% 70%	54 43% 30%	31 48% 17%	30 56% 16%	121 42% 66%
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	62 15% 100%	15%	3 15% 5%	10 15% 16%		14 11% 23%	37 18% 60%	26 15% 42%	31 18\$ 50\$	4 72 63	1 17% 2%	30 13% 48%	32 19% 52%	33 172 532	29 161 471		30 16% 68%	32. 15% 52%	39 14% 63%	23 18% 37%	5 8% 8%	6 11% 10%	51 18% 82%
DISAGREE (4)	99 24% 100%	10 21% 10%	5 40x 8x	18 26% 18%	24%	37 302 372	42 20% 42%	32 19% 32%	48 27% 48%	18 32% 18%	1 17% 1%	59 25% 60%	40 23% 40%	38 19¥ 38¥	53 28% 54%	7 30% 7%	46 24% 46%	53 25% 54%	72 25% 73%	27 22% 27%	17 27% 17%	9 17% 9%	73 25 % 74%
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	23 61 1001		2 10% 9%	10 15% 43%		7 62 302	6 33 263	9 5% 39%	11 6% 48%	3 5% 13%		17 78 743	6 3% 26%	7 43 303	15 8% 65%	1 42 42	6 3% 26%	17 8% 74%	14 5% 61%	9 72 392	3 5% 13%	1 2% 4%	19 71 831
NO INFORMATION	1 01 1001	1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%					1 15 1005			1 01 1001			1 1 % 100 %			1 03 1003	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	2.7 1.1	3.0 1.3	3.1	3.0	2.6 1.1	2.8	2.6 1.0	2.6 1.1	2.0	2.7 1.2	2.3 1.0	2.7 1.2	2.7 1.0	2.5	2.9	2.5 1.3	2.6	2.8 1.1	2.7 1.1	2.7 1.1	2,6 1,1	2.4	2.8 1.1

BANNER

BY G6h-AS LONG AS I HAVE ONLY HAVE THREE DRINKS AN HOUR I CAN DRIVE SAFELY AND BE UNDER THE LIMIT

•

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

(ENCE OF			то	1	Age	OF RES	PONDENT	s	SE)			YEAR	<u> </u>	STA	r <u>e</u>	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	XOL
	TOTAL		ICENCED	,	UNLICE -NCED			15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YK9	18 Plus	MALE P	EHALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	<u>-</u>	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL																			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 1001 121	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 1001 521	170 1001 421	176 1008 438	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 1001 421	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 1001 311	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 1003 715
Q6h-AS LONG AS I HA Drive safely and be				жқ	HOUR I	САН										ļ				1			
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	6 18 1008	1 28 171	1 5% 17%	2 34 334	4 13 673	3 2% 50%	1 0% 17%	2 18 338	3 2% 50%		1 17% 17%	4 2% 67%	2 1% 33%	2 1% 33%	4 2% 67%		2 13 335	4 23 673	6 2% 100%		2 38 338		4 18 675
AGREE {2}	31 85 1005	3 6% 10%	4 20% 13%	7 104 234	24 ?* 77*	13 10% 42%	11 5% 35%	11 6% 35%	14 83 455	6 11% 19%		26 11% 84%	5 3% 16%	11 63 353	20 11% 65%		7 4% 23%	24 113 773	23 81 741	8 63 263	4 63 133	8 15% 26%	19 73 613
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	58 148 1008	5 10% 9%	1 5% 2%	6 91. 101	52 15\$ 90\$	19 15% 33%	32 15% 55%	30 18% 52%	19 11% 33%	8 14% 14%	1 17% 2%	34 14% 59%	24 14% 41%	30 15% 52%	26 143 453	1 41 21	23 12% 40%	35 163 603	42 15% 72%	16 13 28	5 8% 9%	7 13% 12%	46 16% 79%
DISAGREE (4)	175 433 1005	16 33% 9%	8 40% 5%	24 358 148	151 44% 86%	54 43% 31%	94 45% 54%	75 44% 43%	76 432 432	22 39% 13%	2 33% 1%	91 38% 52%	84 492 482	90 46% 51%	76 413 433	8 35% 5%	91 47% 52%	83 391 471	114 40% 65%	61 49% 35%	31 48% 18%	29 54% 17%	115 40% 66%
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	137 33% 100%	22 46% 16%	6 30% 4%	28 413 203	109 323 803	36 29% 26%	72 343 535	51 30% 37%	63 36% 46%	21 37% 15%	2 335 15	80 34% 58%	57. 333 423	62 328 458	60 32% 44%	14 61 % 10%	69 36% 50%	68 323 503	97 34 % 71%	40 32% 29%	21 33% 15%	10 192 72	106 36 4 77 4
NO INFORMATION	2 0% 100%	1 23 503		1 1% 50%	I 0% 50%		1 0% 50%	1 1% 50%	1 11 501			2 1% 100%		1 12 502	1 13 503		1 1% 50%	1 0% 50%	2 11 1001		1 2% 50%		1 0% 50%
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	4.0 1.0	4.2 1.0	3.7 1.3	4.0 1.1	4.0 0.9	3.9 1.0	4.1 0.9	4.0	4.0 1.0	4.0 1.0	3.7 1.5	3.9 1.0	4.1 0.8	4.0 0.9	3.9	4.6 0.6	4.1 0.8	3.9 1,0	4.0 1.0	4.1 0.8	4_0 1.0	3.8	4.0 1.0

Λ.

,

ł.

BANNER

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

		n – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	ENCE OF			TO (1			PONDENTS		SE:	()		YEAR		STAT	τε	LOCAT	.ON	TYPE	OF SCH	ωι
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	A LICE		15-YRS	16-YRS		18	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	<u></u> Sл	CITY	COUN	<u></u>		
	10172	LEARNE	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO				PLUS									-TRY			
RESPONDENTS	409 1003 1003	48 100% 12%	20 1004 54	68 1003 171	341 1001 831	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100 % 1%	237 1001 581	172 1001 421	196 100% 48%	187 1003 463	23 100 % 6%	193 1001 471	215 1003 533	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 1001 711
Q61-DRINKING COFFEE GET RID OF ALCOHOL F	OR MAX	NG MYSE	LF VONL TREAM	T VILL	ONICEL																		
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	9 2\$ 100\$	1 2% 11%		1 15 115	8 21 891	5 43 563	3 1% 33%	1 1% 11%	3 24 334	5 91 561		4 23 443	5 3% 56%	2 13 223	7 43 765		3 28 338	6. 3% 67%	7 21 78%	2 2 % 2 2 %	1 23 113	1 21 111	7 2% 78%
AGREE (2)	6 13 1003		1 5% 17%	1 13 173	5 1% 83%	1 1% 17%	4 2% 67%	2 1% 33%	1 13 178	3 52 502		5 24 834	1 1% 17%	3 23 503	3 28 508	ĺ	4 25 675	2 1% 33%	5 21 831	1 11 171	1 2% 17%	1 21 171	4 1% 67%
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	42 10% 100%	1 2% 2%		1 1% 2%	41 123 983	8 6% 19%	32 15% 76%	22 13% 52%	17 103 403	3 5% 7%		24 10% 57%	18 103 433	31 16% 74%	11 6% 26%		32 175 765	10 5% 24%	27 10% 64%	15 123 363	7 113 178	2 43 53	33) 11% 79%
DISAGREE (4)	170 42% 100%	19 40% 11%	10 50% 6%	29 43% 17%	141 41% 83%	41 33% 24%	99) 47% 58%	76 45% 45%	73 41% 43%	18 32% 11%	3 50% 2%	90 38% 53%	80 47% 47%	87 44% 51%	72 39% 42%	9 39% 5%	84 443 493	86 40% 51%	116 411 681	54 43% 32%	23 364 143	29 541 171	118 41% 69%
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	181 443 1003	27 56% 15%	9 45% 5%	36 53% 20%	145 438 808	70 56% 39%	72 343 403	69 413 383	81 46% 45%	28 49% 15%	3) 50% 2%	113 48% 62%	68 40% 38%	72 37% 40%	94 50% 52%	14 61% 8%	69 36% 38%	111 523 613	129 45% 71%	52 423 293	32 50% 18%	21 39% 12%	128 44% 71%
NO INFORMATION	1 0% 100%			ì	1 0% 100%		1 0% 100%		1 1% 100%			1 0% 100%		1 1% 100%			1 1% 100%			1 15 1905			1 03 1003
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	4.2. 0.9	4.5 0.7	4.3 0.7	4.4 0.7	4.2 0.9	4.4 0.9	4.1 0.8	4.2 0.8	4.3 0.8	4.1 1.3	4.5 0.5	4.3	4.2 0.9	4.1 0.8	4.3	4.6 0.5	4.1 0.9	4.4 0.8	4.3 0.9	4. 2 О.В	4.3 0.9	4.3	4.2 0.9

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 BANNER *BY* Q61-YOUNG DRIVERS SHOULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT HIGHT

. .

.

PAGE !

1

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

	\square			LICENC	.ING	TO C A LIC		AGE	OF RESI			SE7			YEAR		STAI	2	LOCATI	ON	τγρε	OF SCH	юL
l	TOTAL	L	ICENCED	,	UNLICE	YES		15-YRS 1		-	18 Plus	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	8	c
ſ		LEARNE -R	FULL	TOTAL																			-
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	4B 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100x 31x	211 1008 528	170 1001 421	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100x 1x	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 1001 481	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100* 53*	384 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 1001 161	54 100% 13%	291 1003 713
Q6j-YOUNG DRIVERS S	OULD N	T DRIVE	LATE A	T HIGH														ľ					
AGREE STRONOLY (1)	11 33 1003	4 8% 36%	2 10% 18%	6 92 558	5 11 451	3 2% 27%	2 13 182	1 1% 9%	5 3% 45%	3 5% 27%	2 33% 18%	7 3% 64%	4 23 363	2 11 181	6 31; 551;	2 91 181	4 2% 36%	7 3\$ 64\$	9 38 828	2 23 183	3 51 271	1 21 91	7 22 642
AGREE (2)	34 81 1005	4 51 124	1 5% 3%	5 7% 15%	29 91 851	9 75 265	20 93 593	17 10% 50%	10 63 295	7 12% 21%		17 71 501	17 10% 50%	18 91 531	14 7% 41%	2 9% 6%	15 8% 44%	19 91 561	26 91 761	8 63 243	8 13% 24%	6 11% 18%	20 7% 59%
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	67 16% 100%	5 10% 7%	2 10% 3%	7 10% 10%	60 18% 90%	14 11% 21%	46 223 693	29 17% 43%	29 168 438	9 16% 13%		31 13% 46%	36 21% 54%	41 212 613	23 12% 34%	2 93 35	39 20% 58%	28 138 428	42 15% 63%	25 20% 37%	15 23% 22%	6 11% 9%	46 163 693
DISAGREE (4)	191 47% 100%	16 33% 8%	3 15% 2%	19 28% 10%	172 50% 90%	62 501 321	105 503 553	88 52% 46%	74 422 392	26 463 143	3 50% 2%	110 46% 58%	81 47% 42%	104 53% 54%	78 42% 41%	9 39% 5%	99 51% 52%	92 435 485	133 47% 70%	58 463 303	28 443 153	32 59% 17%	131 453 693
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	106 26% 100%	19 40x 18x	12 60% 11%	31 46% 29%	75 223 713	37 30% 35%	38 183 363	35 21* 33*	58 33% 55%	12 21% 11%	1 171 18	72 30% 68%	34 20% 32%	31 16% 29%	66 35% 62%	8 35% 8%	36 198 348	69 32% 65%	74 26\$ 70\$	32 26% 30%	10 161 91	9 17% 8%	87 30% 82%
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	3.8 1.0	3.9 1.3	4.1	3.9 1.3	3.8 0.9	4.0 1.0	3.7 0.9	3.8 0.9	4.0 1.0	3.6 1.1	3.2 1.7	3.9 1.0	37 10	3.7 0.9	4.0 1.0	3.8 1.3	3.6	3.9	3.8 1.0	3.9 0.9	3.5	3.8	3.9 1.0

.

Л

•

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

BANNER "BY" Q64-YOUNG PEOPLE ARE BETTER DRIVERS THAN OTHER PROFLE LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		INCID		LICEN		TO C			OF RESP		3	SEJ			YEAR		STAT	Έ	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCH	юl
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED			15-YRS :			16 PLUS	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY		B	c
		LEARNE -R	PULL	TOTAL										l									
RESPONDENTS	409 1003 1003	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 1003 523	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100 % 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 1001 311	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q6a-YOUNG PEOPLE AR	BETTE	DRIVER	S THAN	OTHER	COPLE			1]		j					
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	4 15 1005				4 13 1005	2 2\$ 50\$	2 11 501	2 18 50%	1 14 25%	1 28 258		4 2% 100%		1 13 253	3 2% 75%	ļ	3 2% 75%	1 03 253	2 1% 50%	2 21 501		1 2% 25%	3 1* 75*
AGREE (2)	30 7\$ 100\$	6 13% 20%	2 101 71	8 12% 27%	22 6\$ 73\$	10 8% 33%	12 63 403	8 5% 27%	16 9 % 53%	5 9% 17%	1 17% 3%	19 8% 63%	11 61 37%	11 6% 37%	18 10% 60%	1 4% 3%	12 6% 40%	17 8% 57%	19 71 631	11 91 371	2 3% 7%	5 9 1 171	23. 8% 77%
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	121 30% 100%	12 25% 10%	7 35% 6%	19 28% 16%	102 303 843	35 288 298	67 32% 55%	53 31% 44%	51 29% 42%	17 30% 14%		67 28% 55%	54 31% 45%	60 31 % 50%	54 29% 45%	5 22% 4%	57 30% 47%	64 30% 53%	84 30% 69%	37 30% 31%	21 33% 17%	15 28% 12%	85 29% 70%
DISAGREE (4)	205 50% 100%	24 50% 12%	7 35% 3%	31 463 153	174 51% 85%	60 48% 29%	110 52% 54%	92 54% 45%	83 47% 40%	27 47% 13%	3 50% 1%	118 50% 58%	87 51% 42%	104 53% 51%	85 45% 41%	15 653 73	99 51% 48%	106 493 525	146 51% 71%	59 47% 29%	33 52% 16%	27 50% 13%	145 50% 71%
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	45 11% 100%	4 8% 9%	2 10% 4%	6 9% 13%	39 11% 87%	18 14% 40%	20 9% 44%	15 9% 33%	24 14 % 53%	4 72 92	2 332 43	26 11% 58%	19 113 423	20 10% 44%	24 13% 53%	1 43 231	22 11% 49%	23 11% 51%	30 119 671	15 12% 33%	8 13 1 183	5 9% 11%	32 11% 71%
NO INFORMATION	4 1% 100%	2 43 503	2 10% 50%	4 63 1002					1 13 255	3 5% 75%	(3 1% 75%	1 1% 25%		3 2% 75%	1 43 253	1	4 2% 100%	3 1% 75%	1 1% 25%		1 2% 25%	3 1% 75%
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	3.6 0.8	3.6 0.8	3.5	3.5 Q.8	3.7 Q.8	3.7 0.9	3.6 0.8	3.6 6.0	3.6 0.9	3.5 0.8	4_0 1_1	3.6 0.9	З.7 О.В	3.7 0.8	3.6	3.7	3.6 0.8	3.6 0.8	3.7	3.6 0.9	3.7 0.7	3.6	3.6 0.8

.

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

BANNER "BY" Q6D-ITS QUITE OX TO DRINK A FEW BEERS AND THEN DRIVE Level of Ag

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

			ENCE OF		CING	TO C A LICI		AGE	OF RES	PONDENTS		SE	κ		YEAR		STAT	ſE	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	XOL
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE	YES			16-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLU9	HALE	TEMALE	10	11	12	Vic	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	\$	c
		LEARNE -R	FULL	TOTAL										l L									:
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%		125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 1005 15	237 100\$ 58\$	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 1003 163	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q65-ITS QUITE OF TO	DRINK	PEN BE	ERS AND	1420	RIVE)		
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	5 1% 100%				5 1% 100%	3 2% 60%	2 13 403	2 1% 40%	2 1% 40%	1 23, 203		4 2% 80%	1 13 205		4 23 803	ļ	1 1% 20%	3 18 608	5 2% 100%	u t	1 21 201	1 23 203	3 1% 60%
AGREE (2)	44 11% 100%	7 15% 16%	5 25% 11%	12 18% 27%	32) 9\$ 73\$	19 15% 43%	13 61 301	16 9% 36%	23 13% 52%	4 78 98	1 17% 2%	34 14\$ 77\$	10 6% 23%	11 6 % 25%	32 173 733	1 4\$ 2\$	9 5% 20%	35 16% 80%	30 11% 68%	14 11% 32%	3 51 71	4 7% 9%	37 13% 84%
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	24 6% 100%	2 41 81		2 3% 8%	22 6% 92%	10 8% 42%	12 63 503	15 9% 63%	7 43 293	2 41 81		16 7% 67%	8 51 331		11 63 463		10 5% 42%	14 71 581	14 5% 58%	10 8% 42%	1 23 43	5 91 211	18 61 751
DISACREE (4)	137 331 1001	9 198 78	3 15% 2%	12 181 91	125 37% 91%	43 34% 31%	79 372 582	55 32x 40x	57 323 423	24 425 185	1 17% 1%	73 31% 53%	64 37% 47%		61 33% 45%	10 433 71	64 33% 47%	73 34% 53%	94 33% 69%	43 34% 31%	13 20 % 9%	26 48% 19%	98 34% 72%
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	197 48% 100%	30 63% 15%	10 50% 5%	40 59% 20%	157 46% 80%	50 40% 25%	105 50% 53%	82 483 423	87 493 443	24 42% 12%	4 672 22	108 46% 55%	89 52% 45%	543	- 77 418 398	12 521 61	109 56% 55%	88 41% 45%	140 495 715	57 46% 29%	46 72 x 23 x	17 311 91	134 463 683
NO INFORMATION	2 0% 190%		2 10% 100%	2 31 1001						2 4% 100%	ĺ	2 1% 100%			2 1% 100%	1		2 1% 100%	01 501	1% 1% 50%		1 2% 50%	1 0% 50%
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	4.2 1.0	4.3	4.0 1.3	4.2	4.2 1.0	3.9 1.1	4.3 0.9	4.2 1.0	4.2 1.1	4.2	4.3 1.2	4.1 1.1	4.3 0.9	4.3 0.9	3.9 1.2	4,4 0,7	4.4 Q.8	4.0	4.2 1.0	4.2 1.0	4.6 0.9	4.0 1.0	4.1

.

BANNER

PAGE 4

BY Q6C-DRIVING WITH A CAR FULL OF FRIENDS MAKES IT DIFFICULT For a new driver since he or she can be too easily distracted

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

	j=	INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	TO		AGE	OF RES	PONDENTS	_	SEI		r- 	YEAR		 STλ1		LOCAT		TYDE	OF SCHO	
			ICENCED		UNLICE	ALIC	ENCE	15-YRS			18	MALE I		10	11	 1 2	VIC	 SA	CITY	COUN			<u></u>
	TOTAL		P OR		-NCED	YES	ю	10-110	10 163	1/~183	PLUS		FERMUE	10	11		VIC	201	C111	-TRY	X		·. C
		- R	FULL	TOLVE									[0		l					ļ
																î	<u></u>	 					
RESPONDENTS	409 100%	48 100%	20 100%	68 100%	341 1001	125 100¥	211 100%	170 100%	176 100%	57 100%	6. 100%	237 100%	172	196 100%	187 1003	23 1001	193 100%	215 100%	284 100%	125	64 100%	54 100%	291 100%
	100%	124	5%	17%	83%	31\$	52%	42%	43%	14%	12	58%	42%	483	461	61	47\$	53%	69%	31%	16%	13%	711
Q6C-DRIVING WITH A C FOR A NEW DRIVER SIN								1								ľ							ļ
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	125	20	5	25	100	32	65	54	53	15	з	67	56	66	52	5	62	63	90	35	22	14	89
	313 1005	423	25% 4%	37% 20%	29% 80%	26% 26%	31% 52%	32%	30x 42x	26%	50x 2%	28% 54%	343	341	283 423	223	32% 50%	29 1 50 1	32	281 281	343 183	26% 11%	311 711
AGREE (2)	204	17	6	23	181	69	110	93	82	27			87			1	-						
	50x 100x	35% 8%	30% 3%	34%	53% 89%	55×	52% 54%	55%	471	473	332	117 49%	51 \$	102 52%	89 481	13 57%	97 50%	106 49%	135	69 5533	25 39%	32 591	147 51%
			-	-				46%	40%	13%	18	57%	43%	50%	44%	6%	48%	523	66%	34%	12%	16%	72*
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	21 5%	2	1 5%	3 43	18 5%	6 51;	12 6%	6 4¥	8 5%	7		15 6%	31	9 5%s	11 61	1	14 78	7 31	16 61	5 4 X	5 8%	3 61.	13
	100%	10%	5%	14%	86%	291	57%	29%	381	334	1	71%	292	43%	523	53	671	331	76%	24%	24%	143	62%
DISAGREE (4)	34 8%	6 13%	4 2016	10 15%	24 71	13 10%	11 53	9 5%	21 12%	4 73	L.	25 11%	9 58	9 5%	22 123	2) 9%	10 5%	24 113	27 101	7 61.	8 13%	4	22i 6%
	100%	18%	124	29%	71%	38%	321	26%	623	121		74%	26 %	26%	65%	61	29%	718	79	21%	24%	7¥ 12¥	65%
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	23 6%	3 6%	3 15\$	6 93	17 58	5 4x	1 Z 6 %	7	12	3	1	11	12	9	12	2	10	13	15	8	4		19
()	1001	131	134	26%	743	22	52%	4% 30%	71. 521.	5% 13%	17% 4%	51 481	7% 52%	5% 39%	6% 52%	93 93	5% 43%	61 571	51 651	6% 35%	63 173		71 631
NO INFORMATION	Z	1	2	1	1	Ì	1	L		1		z		1	1	Ű,		z	1	1		1	
	03 1003		5% 50%	1 % 50%	0% 50%		0% 50%	1% 50%		2% 50%		1% 100%]	11	1 % 50%			13	0% 50%	1% 50%		21) 501)	0% 50%
MEANS	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.1	z.0	1.9	-	, , 	3 0			-1			
STD. DEVIATION	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.9	2.2 1.2	2.3 1.2	2.0 1.0	2 1 1.1	2.1 1.1	2.1 1.1	2.2 1.2	1.9 0.8	2.1

.

4

.

BANNER

PAGE 4

A.

•

1

BY Q6d-DRIVING AT NIGHT IS REALLY NO HORE OF A PROBLEM THAN DRIVING DURING THE DAY

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			ENCE OF	LICENC		тос		-	07 2231	-		96	ĸ]		YEAR		STAT	ε	LOCAT	(ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	OL
	TOTAL		ICENCED			A LICE		15-YR9			18 Plus	HALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SN	CITY	COUN -TRY	X	1	. C
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL		123]										
RESPONDENTS	409 1003 1003	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 1003 833	125 1003 313	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	5 100% 1%	237 1003 583	172 1003 423	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	100%	125 100% 31%	64 1003 163	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q6d-DRIVING AT NIGH Driving During the S		LLY NO	HORE OF	A PRO	лан ти	Jer	l																ľ
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	14 3% 100%	4 83 295	2 102 142	6 93 433	8 2% 57%	5 42 362	3 14 215	3 2% 21%	10 6% 71%	1 2% 7%		9 43 643	5 3% 36%	3 23 213	10 5% 71%		2 1% 14%	11 5% 79%	8 31 571	6 5% 43%	1 25 75	2 43 143	11 42 792
AGREE {2}	93 23 % 100%	17 35% 18%	13 65% 14%	30 44% 32%	63 19% 68%	30 24% 32%	33 16% 35%	31 18% 33%	44 25% 47%	15 263 163	3 50% 3%	52 22% 56%	41 24% 44%	28 14% 30%	56 30% 60%	9 392 102	26 13% 28%	67 31% 72%	68 24 % 73 %	25 208 278	6 98 68	18 334 194	69 243 743
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	46 11% 100%	3 68 78		3 42 78	43 13% 93%	19 15% 41%	24 113 523	21 122 463	20 11% 43%	5 9% 11%		20 8% 43%	26 153 573	20 10% 43%	24 131 521	2 91 41	20 10% 43%	26 121 571	34 12% 74%	12 10% 26%		3 6% 7%	42 143 913
DISACREE (4)	212 52% 100%	21 44% 10%	4 203 28	25 378 128	187 55% 88%	57 46% 27%	125 59% 59%	97 573 463	84 483 403	28 49% 13%	3 50% -1%	127 54% 60%	85 492 403	122 62% 58%	79 42% 37%	10 43% 5%	115 60% 54%	97 45% 46%		72 58% 34%		29 54% 14%	143 493 673
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	44 11% 100%	3 63 73	1 5% 2%	4 63 91	40 12% 91%	14 11% 32%	26 12% 59%	18 11% 41%	10 10% 41%	0 141 161		29 12% 65%	15 93 343	23 12% 52%	18 101 411	2 98 58	30 16% 68%	14 71 321	12%	10 8% 23%		2 43 53	26 91 593
MEANS STD, DEVIATION	3.4 1.1	3.0 1.2	2.5 1.1	2.9 1.2	3.6 1.0	3.4 1.1	3.7 0.9	3.6 1.0	3.3 1,1	3.5 1.1	3.0 1.1	3.5 I.1	3.4 1.0	3.7 0.9	3.2 1.1	3.2 1.1	3.8 0.9	3.2 1.1	1	3.4 1.1	4.0 0.9	3.2 1.1	3.4 1.1

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

TABLE 24

v

•

•

BANNER *BY* Q64-	YOUNG PEOPLE ARE BETTER	DRIVERS THAN OTHER PE	EOPLE
		LEVEL C	OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

Į	<u>[]</u>	INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TOG		AGE	OF RESP	ONDENTS		SEX	,)		YEAR		STAT	с ј	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	×ol
9	TOTAL		LCENCED		UNLICE			15-YRS 1	6-YR\$ 1	7-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE F	ENALE	10	31	1 2	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	λ	8	c
		LEARNE -R			ACED	YE9					PL03												
RESPONDENTS	409	48	20	68	341	125	211	170	176	57	6	237	172	196	187	23	193	512	284	1 2 5	64	- <u></u>	291
Q64-YOUNG PEOPLE AR	BETTE	DRIVERS	S THAN	OTHER	ZOPLE								Į										Ì
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	3.6 0.8	3.6 0.8	3.5 0.9	3.5 0.8		3.7 0.9	3.6 0.8	3.6 0.8	3.6 0.9	3.5 0.8	4.0 1.1	3.6 0.9	3.7 0.8	3.7 0.8	3,6 0,9	3.7 0.6	3.6 0.8	3.6 0.8	3.7 0.8	3.6 0.9	3.7 0.7	3.6 0.9	3.6 0.8
Q6P-ILS GAILE OK LO	DRINK	FEU BRI	ERS AND	THEN	RIVE						ł		8			8				Ĭ			Į
HEANS STD. DEVIATION	4.2 1.0	4.3 1.1	€.0 1.3	4.2		3.9 1.1	4.3 0.9	4.2 1.0	4.2 1.1	4.2 1.0	4.3 1.2	4.1 1.1	4.3 0.9	4.3 0.9	3.9 1.2	4.4 0.7	4.4 0.8	4.0 1.1	4.2 1.0	4.2 1.0	4.6 0.9	4.0 1.0	4.1 1.1
Q6c-DRIVING WITH A	AR FUL	OF FRIE	LNDS NA	kes 17	DIFFIC	LT					ļ		Į.			ß			1	h			
NEANS STD. DEVIATION	2.1 1.1	2.1 1.2	2.7 1.5	2.2 1,3		2.1 1.0	2.0 1.0		2.2 1.2	2.2 1.1	2.0 1,5	2.1 1.1	2.0 1.1		2.2 1.2	2.3 1.2	2.0 1.0	2.1 1.1	2.1 1.1	2.1 1.1	2,2 1,2	1.9 0,8	2.1. 1.1
Q6d-DRIVING AT NICH	IS RE	LLY NO N	lore of	A PRO	BLEM TH	N					ľ		ļ					ł		1			
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	3.4 1.1	3.0 1.2	2.5 1.1	2.9 1.2		3.4 1.1	3.7 0.9		3.3 1.1	3.5 1.1	3.0 1.1	3.5 1.1	3.4 1.0		3.2 1.1	3.2 1.1	3.8 0.9	3.2 1.1	3.4 1.1	3.4 1.1	4.0 0.9	3.2 1.1	3.4 1.1
Q6-THE PRESENT RUL	S HAXE	DRITTAG	A DRIV	urg li	CENCE						ľ		ļ			1				l			
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	3.3 1.0	3.2 1.3	3.3 1.2	3.2 - 1.3			3.5 0.9	3.5 0.9	3.3 1.1	3.1 1.1	2.8 1.5	3.3 1.1	3.4 1.0).5 0.8	3.1 1.1	3.5 1.2	3.5 0.9	3.2 1.1	3.3 1.0	3.4 1.0	3.4 0.9	3.6 0.8	3.3 1.1
Q61-HAVE A DRIVERS	ICENCE	IS A PRI	IVILECE	хол Н	VE TO	NRA	1													l			
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	2.2 0.9	2.0 1.0	2.5 1.1	2.1 1.0		2.2 9.8	2.2 0.6	2.1 0.8	2.2 0.9	2.2 0.9	1.8 0.8	2.2 0.9	2.1 0.8	2.2 0.8	2.2 0.9	1.8 0.7	2.2 0.9	2.2 0.8	2.2 Q.8	2.2 0.9	2.2 1.0	2.2 0.7	2.2 0.9
Q6g-1T TAKES & YEAR	OR THO	OF DRIV	ING TO	BE N <u>P</u> L	то		1				ļ		Ì										
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	2.7 1.1	R	3.1 1.3	3.0 1.3		2.0 1.1	2.6 1.0	2.6 1.1	2.8 1.1	2.7 1.2	2.3 1.0	2.7 1.2	27 10		2.9 1.1	2.5 1.3	2.6 1.1	2.8 1.1	2.7 1.1	2.7 1.1	2.6 1.1	2.4 1.0	2.8 1.1
Q6h-AS LONG AS I HA	E ONLY	HAVE TH	R te dri	NKS AN	HOUR I	CAN										ļ	1	ļ					ļ
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	4.0 1.0		3.7 1.3	4.0 1.1	• •	3.9 1.0	4.1 0.9	4.0 0.9	4.0 1.0	4.0 1.0	3.7 1.5	3.9 1.0	4.1 0.8	4.0 0.9	3.9 1.0	4 .6 0,6	\$.1 0.8	3.9 1.0	-	4.1 0.8	4.0 1.0	3.8 0.9	4.0 1.0
Q61-DRINKING COFFEE	OR MAK	NG MYSEI	LF VONI	T WILL	OUICKL					_						l							l

.

PAGE 5

-

1

.

TABLE 24 (CONT.) BANNER

*BY" Q61-DRINKING COFFEE OR MAKING MYSELF VONIT WILL QUICKLY GET RID OF ALCOHOL FROM THE BLOODSTREAM

	INCIDE						AGE	OF RESP	ONDERT:			·		YEAR		STAT	2	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	IOL
TOTAL		P OR FULL	TOTAL	UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO				18 Plus		EMALE	10	11	12	Vic	SA	СІТҮ	COUN - TRY	λ	B	с
409	48	20						176	57	6	237	172	196	187	23	193	215	284	125	64	54	291
			I AIFT	OAICKT								ľ							i			
		4.3 0.7	4.4 0.7			4.1 0.8	4.2 0.8	4.3 Q.8	4.1 1.3					4.3 0.9	4.6 0,5	4.1 0.9	4.4 0.8	4.3 0.9	4.2 0.8	4.3 0.9	4.3 0.8	4.2 0.9
OULD NO	T DRIVE	LATE A	T NICH			į																
		4.1	3.9 1.3			3.7 0.9	3.8 0.9	4.0 1.0	3.6 1.1	3.2 1.7	3.9 1.0	3.7 1.0	3.7 0.9	4.0 1.0	3.8 1.3	3.8 0.9	3.9 1.0	3.8 1.0	3.9 0.9	3.5 1.1	3.8 0.9	3.9 1.0
	409 OR MAK ROM TH 4.2 0.9 OULD NO 3.8	409 48 OR MAKING MYBEL ROM THE BLOODST 4.2 4.5 0.9 0.7 OULD NOT DRIVE 3.8 3.9	LICENCED TOTAL LEARNE P OR -R FULL 409 48 20 OR MAKING MYBELF VOMI ROM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.5 4.3 0.9 0.7 0.7 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE A 3.8 3.9 4.1	LICENCED TOTAL LEARNE P OR TOTAL -R FULL 409 48 20 68 OR MAKING MYSELF VOMIT WILL RON THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.5 4.3 4.4 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGH 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9	LICENCED UNLICE TOTAL LEARNE P OR TOTAL -R FULL 409 48 20 66 341 OR MAKING MYSELF VOHIT WILL COULCEL ROM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.5 4.3 4.4 4.2 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.9 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGH 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9 3.8	LICENCED UNLICE TOTAL LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES 409 48 20 68 341 125 409 48 20 68 341 125 OR MAKING MYBELF VONIT WILL QUICKL RCM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.4 4.2 4.5 4.3 4.4 4.2 4.4 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGHT 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9 3.8 4.0	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE TOTAL LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 409 48 20 66 341 125 211 00 48 20 66 341 125 211 00 HAKING HYBELF VOMIT WILL-OUICKLY OUICKLY NO NO 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.8 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT WIGH 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.7	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE TOTAL -NCED -NCED YES NO 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 00 MAKING MYBELF VONIT WILL QUICKL	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE TOTAL -NCED -NCED YES NO 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 00 HAKING MYSELF VOHIT WILL QUICKL	LICENCED UNLICE A LICEMCE TOTAL LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 00 HAKING MYBELF VONIT WILL QUICKLY QUICKLY 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.8 1.3 QULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGHT 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE TOTAL LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 PLUS 409 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 00 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 00 HARLING MYBELF VOHIT WILL-OUICKLY 0000578EAM 4.2 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.8 1.3 0.5 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGHT 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6 3.2	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE F TOTAL -R FULL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE F 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 00 MAKING MYSELF VONIT WILL QUICKL RCM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.5 4.3 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.8 1.3 0.5 0.9 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGH 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6 3.2 3.9	A LICENCE VIRLICE A LICENCE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE TOTAL -NCED -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 PLUS MALE FEMALE 409 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 409 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 00 HARKING MYBELF VOHIT WILL QUICKLY QUICKLY 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.6 1.3 0.5 0.9 0.9 QUID NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGH 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6 3.2 3.9 3.7	LICENCED UNLICE X LICENCE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 PLUS MALE FEMALE 10 107AL -R FULL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 PLUS MALE FEMALE 10 409 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 00 HARKING MYBELF VOHIT WILL-OUICKLI RCM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.8 1.3 0.5 0.9 0.9 0.8 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NICHT 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6 3.2 3.9 3.7 3.7	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE TOTAL LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 409 48 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 00 48 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 00 HARKING MYBELF VOHIT WILL-OUICKL HALE 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.8 1.3 0.5 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.9 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGHT 3.8 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6 3.2 3.9 3.7 3.7 4.0	LICENCED UNLICE YES 15-YES 16-YES 17-YES 18 PLUS MALE FEHALE 10 11 12 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 0R HARING HYSELF VOHIT WILL RON THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.6 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.8 1.3 0.5 0.9 0.9 0.5 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT BIGH 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6 3.2 3.9 3.7 3.7 4.0 3.8	LICENCED UNLICE LICENCED UNLICE LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YE3 NO 15-YR5 16-YR5 17-YR5 18 PLUS MALE FEHALE 10 11 12 VIC 409 48 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 193 08 HAKING MYBELF VOHIT WILL GOUICKLT ROM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.6 4.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.6 1.3 0.5 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.9 OULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGH 3.8 3.9 4.1 3.7 3.8 4.0 3.6 3.2 3.9 3.7 3.7 4.0 3.8 3.8	A LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCED UNLICE Hale Female 10 11 12 Vic SA LEARNE P OR TOTAL -R CED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 Vic SA 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 193 215 OR HARING MYBELF VOHIT WILL RCM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY 409 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 193 215 284 OR MAKING MYBELF VOHIT WILL EKON THE BLOODSTREAM 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.6 4.1 4.4 4.3 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	LICENCED UNLICE X LICENCE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY COUNT LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY COUNT 409 48 20 68 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 193 215 284 125 0A MAKING MYBELF VONIT WILL ROM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.3 4.6 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.2 4.5 4.3 4.4 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.3 4.6 4.1 4.3 4.2 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.9	LICENCED UNLICE A LICENCE 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY COUNT A LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY COUNT A 409 48 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 193 215 284 125 64 OR HARING HYBELF VOHIT WILL OUICKLT OUICKLT FROM THE BLOODSTREAM 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.4	A LICENCE LICENCED VNLICE LEARNE P OR TOTAL -NCED YES NO 15-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS 18 MALE FEMALE 10 11 12 VIC SA CITY COUNT A B 409 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 193 215 284 125 64 54 409 40 20 66 341 125 211 170 176 57 6 237 172 196 187 23 193 215 284 125 64 54 00 HARE BLOODSTREAM 4.2 4.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.6 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.3 0.5 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.9

-

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN		TO C		AGE	OF RES	PONDENT	·]	SE	ĸ		YEAR		STAT	r	LOCATI	ON		OF SCHO	OL
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE			15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	18 PLUS	MALE	PEHALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	Α.	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL													<u> </u>						÷
RESPONDENTS	409 1001 1001	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 1001 171	341 100\$ 83\$	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 1001 421	196 100% 48%	187 1003 463	23 100% 6%	193 100 % 47%	215 100% 53%	284 1003 693	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291; 100% 71%
Q7-RESPONDENT AGE																							
15-YRS	170 42% 100%				170 50% 100%	32 26% 19%	137 65% 81%	170 100% 100%				94 408 558	76 44% 45%	137 70% 81%	32 17% 19%		94 493 553	75 35% 44%	103 36% 61%	67 54% 39%	22 34% 13%	32 59% 19%	116 40% 68%
16-YRS	176 43% 100%	34 71% 19%	10 50% 6%	44 65% 25%	132 393 753	67 54% 38%	62 293 353		176 100% 100%			104 44% 59%	72 42% 41%	52 27% 30%	116 623 663	6 263 33	72 37 % 41%	104 48% 59%	127 45% 72%	49 391 281	25 39% 14%	19 35% 11%	132 451 751
17-YRS	57 14% 100%	11 23% 19%	9 45% 16%	20 29% 35%		25 20% 44%	12 6% 21%			57 100% 100%		37 16% 65%	20 12% 35%	7 4% 12%	36 19% 63%	14 61% 25%	24 12% 42%	33 15% 58%	48 17% 84%	9 7% 16%	16 25% 28%	3 61 51	38 133 673
18-YRS	1 1003	2 41 501		2 31 501	2 13 503	1 1\$ 25\$					4 67% 100%	2 13 505	2 11 501		2 13 503	2 91 501	2 1% 50%	2 13 503	1 100 100	ĺ			4 12 1002
19-YR5	2 01 1001	1 24 503	1 5* 50*	2 3\$ 100\$							2 33% 100%		2 1% 100%		1 1% 50%	1 4% 50%	1 12 502	1 0% 50%	2 11 1001		2 2% 50%		1 0% 50%

Л

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN		TO A LIC	-	AGE	OF RESI	PONDENTS		SE			YEAR		STAT	ſE	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	OF SCH	00L
	TOTAL		P OR FULL	TOTAL	UNLICE -NCED		Ю	15-YR9)	6-YRS	.7-YR5	18 Plus	MALE		10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	A	B	c
RESPONDENTS	409 1003 1003	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 1001 431	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 1005 585	172 100\$ 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q8-RESPONDENT SEX											ŀ									1			
HALE	237 581 1001	30 63% 13%	16 80% 7%	46 68% 19%	191 56% 81%	70 56% 30%	119 56% 50%	94 558 408	104 59% 44%	37 65% 16%	2. 33% 1%	237 100% 100%	ľ	112 57% 47%	120 64% 51%	4 175 25	115 603 893	121 56% 51%	157 55% 66%	80 64% 34%	36 56% 15%	41 76% 17%	160 55% 68%
FEMALE	172 423 1003	18 38 % 10 %	4 20 % 2%	22 32% 13%	150 44% 87%		92 44% 53%	76 453 443	72 41% 42%	20 35% 12%	4 673 23		172 1001 1001	84 433 493	67 36% 39%	19 83% 11%	78 40% 45%	94 44% 55%	127 45% 74%	45 362 262	28 44% 16%	13 24% 8%	131 453 763

TABLE 27	BANNER "BY" GIO-YEAR OF SCHOOL
----------	--------------------------------

		-		LICENC	1	to c l lici		NOZ		PONDENTS	3	SE	K		YEAR		STAT	E	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCHO	OL I
(TOTAL	J	ICENCED	· —	UNLICE			15-YRS 1			18 Plus	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	λ	B	c
		LEARNE -R		TOTAL								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											·
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 1005 175	341	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 1008 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 1001 421	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215. 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
Q10-YEAR OF SCHOOL														1									
10	196 483 1003				196 57% 100%	15 12% 8%	178 84% 91%	137 81% 70%	52 30% 27%	7 12% 4%		112 47% 57%	84 498 438	196 100% 100%			151 781 771	45 21% 23%	105 37% 54%	91 733 463	39 61% 20%	39 724 204	118 41% 60%
11	187 461 1001	39 81% 21%	15 75% 8%	54 79% 29%	391	103 82% 55%	28 133 151	32 191 171	116 663 623	36 631 191	3 50% 2%	120 51% 64%	67 393 363		187 100% 100%		33 17% 18%	153 711 821	153 54% 82%	34 27% 18%	22 343 123	15 28% 8%	150 528 808)
12	23 6% 100%	9 19% 39%	4 20% 17%	13 198 578	10 31 431	6 53 263	4 28 178		6 38 268	14 25% 61%	3 50% 13%	4 23 173	19 11 % 83%		•	23 100% 100%	8 43 358	15 7% 65%	23 8% 100%		2 3% 9%		21 7% 91%
OTHER	1 0% 100%		1 51 1001	1 15 1005				1	1 1% 100%				1 13 1003					1 01 1001	1 0% 100%				1 0% 100%
NO INFORMATION	2 01 1001				2 11 1001	1 15 505	1 0% 50%	1 14 504	1 15 505			1 01 501	1 1% 50%			I	1 1% 50%	1 01 501	2 13 1003		1 23 503		1 08 50%

Ą.

TABLE 28 BANNER *BY* WAVE

•

.

			ENCE OF			TO C	JET		OF RES	PONDENTS	3	SE	K		YEAR		STAT	re j	LOCAT	NOI		OF SCH	-
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE					17-YR1	18 Plus	MALE	PEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SX	CITY	COUN	λ	8	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL		120														-TRY			
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100x 1x	237 100% 58%	172 1003 423	196 100% 48%	167 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	100%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100\$ 16\$	54 100% 13%	291 1003 713
WAVE						E												į					
PRE RESEARCH	408 1001 1001	48 1003 123	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	340 100% 83%	124 99% 30%	211 100% 52%	169 99% 41%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	236 100% 58%	172 1003 423	196 100% 48%	186 991 463	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	283 100 % 69%	125 1005 315	54 100% 16%	53 98% 13%	291 1003 713
NO RESPONSE	1 03 1003				1 01 1001	1 13 1003		1 1% 100%				1 0% 100%			1 1% 100%				1 0% 100%			1 2\$ 1001	

•

TABLE 29 BANNER *BY* STATE

.

-

. /

			INCE OF			TO G A LICE	ET	λGE		ONDENTS		SD	:		YEAR		STAT	Е	LOCAT		TYPE	OF SCH	
			P OR FULL	TOTAL	UNLICE -NCED		жо	15-YRS 1	6-YRS 1		18 Plus	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	•	ß	c
RESPONDENTS	409 1003 1003	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 1003 173	341 1001 831	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 1003 433	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 1001 581	172 1003 423	100%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	100%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 1001 311	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
STATE																				ł			
VIC	193 471 1001	6 13% 3%		6 91 31	187 55% 97%	23 185 125	161 763 833	94 55% 49%	72 41% 37%	24 42% 12%	3 50% 2%	115 493 603	78 45% 40%	151 77% 78%	33 18% 17%	8 35% 4%	193 100% 100%		102 36% 53%	91 73% 47%	45 70% 23%	25 46% 13%	123 421 641
SA	215 53% 100%	42 88% 20%	20 100% 9%	62 913 293	153 45% 71%	101 81% 47%	50 24% 23%	75 44% 35%	104 598 488	33 58 % 15%	3 50% 1%	121 51% 56%	94 553 443	23%	153 821 715	15 65% 7%		215 100% 100%	181 64% 84%	34 271 161	19 30% 9%	28 523 133	168 58% 78%
NO RESPONSE	1 01 1001		.		2 12 2003	2 23 2003		2 11 2001				2 1% 200%			2 1% 200%				2 11 2001			2 43 2003	

,

TABLE 30 BANNER *BY* AREA

.

		INCID	ENCE OF		DNIS	то (PONDENT	3	SEJ	·		YEAR		STAT	Έ	LOCAT			OF SCHO	OL
	TOTAL		P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	A LICI YES	Ю	15-YR9		17-YR9	18 Plus	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	V1C	3A	CITY	COUN - TRY		8	c
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 1001 173	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 100% 1%	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	196 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100% 53%	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 1002 712
AREA																ļ				i			
CITY	284 69% 100%	37 77% 13%	12 60% 4%	49 72% 17%	235 69% 83%	105 84% 37%	127 601 451	103 61% 36%	127 723 454	48 84% 17%	6. 100% 2%	157 66% 55%	127 74% 45%	105 54% 37%	153 828 543	23 1001 81	102 53% 36%	181 84 % 64%	284 100% 100%		64 100% 23%	29 54% 10%	191 66% 67%
COUNTRY	125 31% 100%	11 23% 9%	8 40% 6%	19 28% 15%	106 31% 85%	20 16% 16%	84 40% 67%	39% 54%	49 283 395	9 16% 7%		80 342 642	45 26% 36%	91 46% 73%	34 18% 27%		91 473 733	34 16% 27%		125 100% 100%		25 463 203	100 341 803

PAGE 56

.

.

		1	ENCE OF	LICENC		TO 0	-	λge		PONDENT	•	SE)	<u>د ا</u>		YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ON	TYPE	OF SCHO	
			P OR FULL	TOTAL	UNLICE -NCED		жо			7-YR5	18 Plus	HALE I	DALE	10	11	12	VIC	Sλ	CITY	COUN -TRY	Å		0
RESPONDENTS	409 100% 100%	48 100% 12%	20 100% 5%	68 100% 17%	341 100% 83%	125 100% 31%	211 100% 52%	170 100% 42%	176 100% 43%	57 100% 14%	6 1003 13	237 100% 58%	172 100% 42%	195 100% 48%	187 100% 46%	23 100% 6%	193 100% 47%	215 100¥ 53¥	284 100% 69%	125 100% 31%	64 100% 16%	54 100% 13%	291 100% 71%
TYPE OF SCHOOL		1											l,			8		6					
λ	64 163 1005	6 131 91	2 10% 3%	8 12% 13%	56 16% 88%	18 14% 28%	37 18% 58%	22 13% 34%	25. 14% 39%	16 28% 25%	1 17% 2%	36 15% 56%	28 163 443	39 20% 61%	22 12% 34%	2 9% 3%	45 23% 70%	19 98 308	64 23% 100%		64 100 % 100%		
ъ	54 138 1008	2 43 43	2 10% 4%	63 73	50 15% 93%	13 10% 24%	37 181 691	32 194 594	19 11% 35%	3 5% 6%		41 17% 76%	13 8% 24%	39 20% 72%	15 8% 28%		25 13% 46%	28 134 524	29 10 % 54%	25 20% 46%		54 100% 100%	
с	291 712 1002	40 83 % 14 %	16 80% 5%	56 82% 19%	235 69% 81%	94 75% 32%	137 65% 47%	116 68% 40%	132 75% 45%	38 67% 13%	5 83% 2%	160 68% 55%	131 76% 45%	118 60% 41%	150 80% 52%	21 91 % 7%	123 64% 42%	168 78% 58%	191 67 % 66%	100 80\$ 34\$			291 100% 100%

.

1

•

The Tabulations

÷

.

Stage Two

.

TABLE 1	BANNER *BY* Q1-INCIDENCE OF HOLDING & DRIVERS LICENCE

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C A LICE		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SEJ	·		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	ION	TYPE OF SCI	
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED			15-YRS 1	L6-YRS	17-YRS	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	1 2	VIC	ŝa	CITY	COUN -TRY		
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	100%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%	29 1001 291	54 100% 54%	100%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%		43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%		75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 % 65 %		99 100% 99%
Q1-INCIDENCE OF HOL	ING A	RIVERS	LICENCE														1				
YES	16 16% 100%		7 100% 44%	16 100% 100%	í ľ				9 29% 56%	7 64% 44%	10 18% 63%	6 14% 38%	1 2% 6%	13 33% 81%	2 67% 13%		15 20% 94%		8 12% 50%		16 16 % 100%
NO	84 84% 100%	l			84 100 % 100%	29 100% 35%	54 100% 64%	100%	22 713 263	4 36% 5%		37 86% 44%	55 98% 65%	27 68% 32%	1 33% 1%	24 96% 29%	60 80% 71%	27 77¥ 32%	57) 88* 68*	100%	83 84% 99%

PAGE 1

•

.

1

			CIDENCE		AGE RESPON		SE	×		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	ION	TYPE
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED	<u> </u>	16-YRS		MALE	PEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SN	CITY	COUN - TRY	SCHOOL
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	<u> </u>			:								c
RESPONDENTS	16 100% 100%	100%	7 100 % 44%	16 100% 100%	1001	7 100% 44%	10 100% 63%	6 100% 38%	-	13 100% 81%	2 100% 13%	1 100% 6%	15 100% 94%	8 100% 50%	8 100% 50%	
24-TYPE OF LICENCE	HELD															
.EARNERS	9 56% 100%			9 56% 100%		3 43% 33%	5 50% 56%	4 672 443	1 100% 11%	8 62% 89%		1 100% 11%	8 53% 89%		5 63% 56%	
P'	7 44% 100%		7 100% 100%	7 44% 100%		4 573 573	5 50% 71%	2 33% 29%		5 38% 71%	2 100% 29%		7 47 % 100%	4 50% 57%) 38% 43%	

•

Ę.

1

TABLE 3 BANNER *BY* Q2b-WHEN OBTAINED A LICENCE FILTERS: HAVE A DRIVERS LICENCE

			CIDENCE		AGE (SEJ			YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATI	ION	TYPE
	TOTAL		ICENCED		16-YRS		MALE I	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN - TRY	SCHOOL
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL												
RESPONDENTS	16 100% 100%	100\$	7 100% 44%	16 100% 100%	100%	7 100\$ 44\$	10 100% 63%	6 100% 38%	1 100% 6%	13 100% 81%	2 100% 13%	1 100% 6%	15 1002 943	8 100% 50%	8 100% 50%	16 100 % 100%
Q2b-WHEN OBTAINED A	LICENC.															
1988 OR BEFORE	1 6% 100%			1 63 1003		1 14% 100%	1 10% 100%	× ×		1 8% 100%			1 71 1001		1 13% 100%	
MARCH- APRIL 1989	2 13% 100%		1 14% 50%	2 13% 100%	111	1 143 503	2 201 1001		í	1 8% 50%	1 50% 50%		2 13 % 100%	2 25% 300%		2 13% 100%
MAY-JUNE 1989	4 25% 100%	3 33% 75%	1 14% 25%	4 25% 100%			1 10% 25%	3 50% 75%		4 31% 100%	:		4 27% 100%	1 13% 25%	3 38% 75%	25%
JULY-AUGUST 1989	7 443 1003		3 43% 43%	7 443 1003	442	3 432 432	5 50% 71%	2 33% 29%	1 100% 14%	6 46% 86%		1 100% 14%	6 403 863	5 63% 71%	2 25% 29%	
OTHER	1 6% 100%		1 14% 100%	1 6% 100%		1 143 1003		1 17% 100%			1 50% 100%		1 7% 100%		1 13 % 100%	1 6% 100%
NO RESPONSE	1 6% 100%		1 14* 100*	1 6% 100%		1 14% 100%	1 10% 100%			1 8% 100%			1 73 1003		1 13% 100%	1 6% 100%

.

PAGE 3

• •

-

TABLE 4 BANNER *BY* Q2C-TAUGHT TO DRIVE BY Filters: Have a drivers licence

.

			CIDENCE		AGE (RESPON		SE	K		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT		TYPE
	TOTAL		ICENCED		16-YRS		MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	SCHOOL
·		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL												c
respondents	16 100% 100%	9 100% 56%	7 100% 44%	16 100% 100%	1001	7 100% 44%	10 100% 63%	6 100% 38%		13 100% 81%	2 100% 13%	1 100% 6%	15 100% 94%	8 100% 50%	8 100% 50%	16 100% 100%
2c-TRUCHT TO DRIVE	ву															
'ARENTS	8 50% 100%	7 78% 88%	1 14% 13%	8 50% 100%	67%;	2 29% 25%	5 50% 63%	3 50% 38%		7 54% 88%			8 53% 100%	3 38% 38%	5 63% 63%	8 50% 100%
RIVING SCHOOL/	9 561 1003	5 56% 56%	4 57% 44%	9 56% 100%	561	4 578 443	7 70% 78%	2 33% 22%		7 541 781	2 100% 22%		9 60% 100%	3 38% 33%	6 753 673	
AT SCHOOL/TEACHER	1 6% 100%		1 14% 100%	1 6\$ 100%		1 14% 100%	1 10% 100%			1 8% 100%			1 7\$ 100\$		1 13% 100%	
JTHER	2 13% 100%	2 22% 100%		2 13% 100%		1 142 502	1 10% 50%	1 17% 50%		2 15% 100%		1 100% 50%	1 7% 50%	2 25% 100%		2 13% 100%
VO RESPONSE	1 6% 100%		1 143 1003	1 6% 100%	11%			1 17% 100%		1 8% 100%			1 7% 100%	1 13% 100%		1 6 % 100%

PAGE 4

2

.

4

•

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	то		AGE OF	RESPOND	ENTS	SE	ĸ		YEAR		STA	ге	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	1
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS 1	7-YR9	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	OF SCH B	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL															-181		с.
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	100%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%		54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100 % 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 1002 992
Q3-INTENTION TO OBT	IN LIC	NCE IN	THE NEX	т 6 но	тня					l					1		Ì				l
YES	30 30% 100%		1 14% 3%	1 6% 3%		100%		9 16% 30%	19 61% 63%	2 18% 7%	20 35% 67%	10 23% 33%		23 58% 77%	1 33% 3%	2 814 716	28 371 931	15 43% 50%	15 23% 50%		30 30% 100%
NO	55 55% 100%		1 14% 2%	1 6% 2%	54 64% 98%		54 100% 98%	83%	5 16% 9%	2 183 43	27 47% 49%	28 65% 51%	48 86% 87%	6 15% 11%		21 84% 38%	34 45% 62%		42 65% 76%	1 100% 2%	54 55% 98%
NO INFORMATION	1 11 1001				1 1% 100%			1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 2% 100%			1 4% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 13 1003
NO RESPONSE	14 143 100%	9 100% 64%	5 71 36	14 88% 100%			<u>. </u>	,	7 23 % 50%	7 64% 50%	9 16% 64%	5 12% 36%	1 21 736	11 28% 79%	2 67% 14%	1 4૧૪ 7૧૮	13 17% 93%		7 11% 50%		14 14% 100%

-

•.

BANNER

BY Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCIDE	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C A LIC		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	τE	LOCAT	TON	TYPE OF SCI	
	TOTAL	LI	CENCEL		UNLICE	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YR9 1	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SX	CITY	COUN -TRY	B	 c
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL																_	_
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100 % 11 %	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	100%	65 100% 65%	100%	99 100% 99%
Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RIS Drivers	то за	E DRIVIN	g For	NEWLY	ICENCE																
LACK OF EXPERIENCE/ Situations not Encountered before	13 13% 100%				13 15% 100%	3 10% 23%	10 19% 77%	10 17% 77%	3 10% 23%		7 125 543	6 14% 46%	9 16% 69%	3 8% 23%		5 201 381	8 11% 62%		12 18% 92%		13 13% 100%
PANICKING/BEING Nervous/lack of Confidence	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%	1 3% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%		2 41 1001		1 2૧ 50૧	1 3% 50%			2 3\$ 100\$	1 312 5016	1 2% 50%		2 2% 100%
OTHER EXPERIENCE Comments	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 2% 100%	1 29 1009				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
LACK OF CONCENTRATION/ BECOMING DISTRACTED/CARELESS	6 6% 100%	1 11% 17%	1 14% 17%	2 13 33	4 5% 67%	3 10% 50%	1 2% 17%	4 72 673		2 18% 33%	4 7% 67%	2 5% 33%	2 49 338	3 8% 50%	1 33% 17%		6 83 1003	14%	1 2% 17%		6 6% 100%
OVERCONFIDENCE	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%	1 3% 100%			1 35 1005		1 23 1005			1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
MAINTAINING Concentration/ Staying Alert/ Keeping eyes on	3 3% 100%	1 11 % 33%		1 6% 33%	2 2% 67%	1 3% 33%	1 2% 33%	1 2% 33%	1 3% 33%	1 91 331		3 7% 100%		3 8% 100%		1 4% 33%	2 3% 67%	-	1 23 333		3 3% 100%
LACK OF JUDGEMENT	3 3% 100%	1 11 % 33%		1 6 % 33%	2 2% 67%	2 7% 67%		1 2\$ 33\$	2 6% 67%			3 7% 100%) 24 334	2 59 679			3 42 100%	3 91 1001			3 3* 100*
TIREDNESS/LONG DISTANCE DRIVING	1 1\$ 100\$				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 21 1001			1 25 1003		1 2a 100a			1 4१ 100१			1 2% 100%		1 14 1001
OTHER DRIVING RISK Comments	1 13 1003				1 12 1001	1 31 1001			1 31 1001		1 21 1001			1 1001			1 1 भ 100 ¥	1 34 1001			1 14 1005

TABLE 6 (CONT.) BANNER

BY Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED

DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C A LICE	-	AGE OF	RESPON	DENT9	SE.	x		YEAR]	STA	ГЕ	LOCAT	10N	TYP	-
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS (6-YRS	.7-YR9	MALE	TEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	B	
:		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL]				
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100 % 9%	7 100¥ 7¥	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100 % 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100 % 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100¥ 35¥	65 100 % 65 %	1 100% 1%	99 1001 991
Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SN	E DRIVI	NG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED										Į						
NET SPEED COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	10 10% 100%		3 43¥ 30¥	3 19% 30%	7 8% 70%	2 7% 20%	5 9\$ 50\$i	4 7% 40%	3 10% 30%	3 27* 30*	7 12 % 70%	3 7* 30*	5 98 50%	3 8% 30%	2 67% 20%	3 12 % 30%	7 9% 70%	2 69 209	8 12% 80%		10 109 1009
DRIVING TOO FAST/ Speeding	7 73 1005		2 294 295	2 13% 29%	5 61 713	2 75 295	3 6* 43*	3 51 431	2 6% 29%	2 18% 29%	4 73 573	3 75 435	3 59; 43%	2 5% 29%	2 67% 29%	1 43 143	3 88 86%	। उभ् 14भ	6 9 1 86 5		71 71 1001
DRIVING TOO SLOW	1 1% 100%		1 14% 100%	1 6% 100%						1 9% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%	1 3.ء 1			1 1 100
OTHER SPEED Comments	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%		2 4% 100%	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%		2 4% 100%		2 4% 100%			2 8% 100%	Ì		2 3% 100%		21 1001
NET ENVIRONMENTAL CO RESPONDENTS	HHENTS 10 10% 100%	2 22 % 20 %		2 13% 20%	8 10% 80%	2 7% 20%	6 11\$ 60\$	4 7% 40%	6 19% 60%		5 91 501	5 12% 50%	5 91 501	5 13% 50%		2 8% 20%	8 11% 807	1 3% 10%	9 14% 90%		10 10 100
OTHER DRIVERS/ CARELESS DRIVERS/ Impatient drivers	8 83 1005	2 22% 25%		2 13% 25%	6 7 1 758	2 71 251	4 78 508		4 13% 50%	ļ	5 9 % 63%	3 7% 38%	4 7% 50%	4 10१ 50१		1 4१ 13*	7 91 881	1 3+ 124	7 11% 88%		ہ 81 1001
NICHT DRIVING	2 21 100%			ĺ	2 2% 100%		2 43 1003		2 6% 100%			2 51 1001	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%		1 41 504	ן דר 50 י		2 33 100%		21 21 1005
NET PEER PRESSURE CO RESPONDENTS	MMENTS 14 14 1002	1 114 74		1 63. 73	13 15% 93%	4 142 292	9 172 642	8 144 574	4 139 299	2 18% 14%	9 161 641	5 12* 36*	9 167 643	5 139 364		2 89 149	12 163 664	54 - 54 - 56 -	9 147 641	1 100¥ 7¥	135

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

٠,

TABLE 6 (CONT.) BANNER

٠.

BY Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

.

		INCID	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO O A LIC		AGE OI	RESPON	DENTS	92	x		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	ION	TYPI OF SCI	
	TOTAL	L:	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YR9	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	B	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL]
RESPONDENTS	100 100 % 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100 % 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100 % 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
24.1-FIRST MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	E DRIVII	ig for	NEWLY	ICENCED					ĺ											
FRIENDS IN CAR/ Back Seat/Cause Distractions	4 41 1005				4 5% 100%	3 10% 75%	1 23 253	1 2% 25%	3 10% 75%		3 5% 75%	1 2% 25%	1 2% 25%	3 81 751			4 5% 100%	2 6 3 50%	2 3% 50%	1	4 4* 100*
SHOWING OFF/ACTING SMART/COOL	5 5% 100%			ĺ	5 6% 100%	1 3% 20%	4 71 801	4 73 803	1 3% 20%		2 43 403	3 7% 60%	5 9% 100%			1 4% 20%	4 5\$ 80\$	2 6% 40%	3 5% 60%		5 5% 100%
PEER GROUP PRESSURE	5 5% 100%	1 11% 20%		1 6%) 20%	4 5% 80%		4 71 801	3 5% 60%		2 18% 40%	4 7% 80%	1 2% 20%	3 5* 60*	2 58 408		1 4% 20%	4 53 803	1 3% 20%	4 6% 80%	1 1001 201	4 43 80%
NET NET ALCOHOL/DRUC RESPONDENTS	S COMM 25 25% 190%	NTS 223 83	1 143 43	3 193. 123	22 26% 88%	7 24% 28%	15 28% 60%	17 29% 68%	7 23% 26%	1 91 41	14 25% 56%	11 26% 44%	18 32% 72%	7 181 289		10 40% 40%	15 20% 60%	8 23% 32%	17 26% 68%		25 25% 100%
ALCOHOL	13 13% 100%	1 11% 8%	1 14% 8%	2 13% 15%	11 13% 85%	4 14% 31%	7 13% 54%	8 14% 62%	4 13% 31%	1 91 81	7 12% 54%	6 14% 46%	8 149 622	5 133 385		6 24% 46%	7 98 548	3 9% 23%	10 15% 77%		13 13% 100%
DRIVING AND Drinking	12 123 1003	1 112 82		1 6% 8%	11 134 928	3 104 254	8 15% 67%	9 16% 75%	3 10% 25%		7 121 581	5 12% 42%	10 181 831	2 58 175	; 	4 162 332	8 11% 67%	5 149 42%	7 11\$ 58%		12 121 100%
TAKING/SMOKING JRUGS	1 1% 100%				1 18 100%	1 3% 100%			1 \$* \$001		1 2\$ 100%			ן 3* 100א			1 1% 100%] 3१ 100%	i		1 1% 100%
VET CAR COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%		2 41 1001	2 31 1001			1 21 501	1 2% 50%	2 49 1008			1 4१ 50१	1 1% 50%) 34 504	1 2≩ 50≵		2 21 1001

TABLE 6 (CONT.) BANNER

.

BY Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCIDENCE OF LICENCING				TO GET		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SEJ	x	YEAR			STAT	E	LOCATION		TYPE	
	TOTAL	LICENCED		DUNLICE		YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALZ	10	11	1 12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	OF SCH	.001
		LEARNE -R	F OR Full	TOTAL	-NCED		10			_							-		-181	в	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 1003 843	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100 % 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q4.1-FIRST MAIN RIS Drivers	ICENCE																ĺ				
BEING AWARE OF Other Cars on the Raod	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%		2 4% 100%	2 31 1001		l) 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	2 4% 100%] 4% 50%	1 1% 50%) 3% 50%	1 2% 50%		2 2% 100%
net other comments Respondents	9 9% 100%	1 11% 11%	2 29% 22%	3 19% 33%	6 7% 67%	3 10% 33%	2 41 221	4 7% 44%	3 10% 33%	2 18% 22%	6 11% 67%	3 7% 33%	3 51 331	6 15% 67%		1 4% 11%	8 11% 89%	5 14% 56%	4 62 442		9 9% 100%
OTHER CONNENTS	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%		1 13 1003
NO INFORMATION	6 83 1003	1 113 133	2 29% 25%	3 19% 38%	5 63 633	3 10% 38%	1 2% 13%	3 5% 38%	3 10% 38%	2 18% 25%	6 31% 75%	2 5% 25%	2 4% 25%	6 15% 75%		1 42 13%	7 9% 88%	5 14% 63%	3 5% 38%		9 81 1001
NET EXPERIENCE COMMENTS	19 19 % 100%	-		1 6% 5%	18 21% 95%	6 21 % 32%	12 22% 63%		6 19% 32%		9 16% 47%	10 23% 53%	12 21% 63%	6 15% 32%		5 20% 26%	14 19% 74%	5 14% 26%	14 222 742		19 19% 100%
NET DRIVING RISK Comments	12 128 1008	2 221 171	1 14% 8%	3 19% 25%	9 11 % 75%	6 21 50	3 6% 25%	6 10% 50%	3 10% 25%	3 27% 25%	7 121 581	5 12% 42%	3 57 251	8 201 674	1 332 89	2 81 171	10 13% 83%	8 239 679	4 61 331	4	12 12≹ 100%

.

...

BANNER *BY* Q4.2-Second Main Risk to safe driving for Newly Licenced Drivers

		INCIDE	ENCE OF	NCE OF LICENCING		TO C		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SĽ	(YEAR		STAT	TE 31	LOCATION		TYPE OF SCI	
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	YES	NO		16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE I	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SY	CITY	COUN - TRY	B	100L
	IOIAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		100															Č.
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	100%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	100%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	1 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100x 99\$
Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RI Drivers	SK TO S	FE DRIV.	ING FOR	NEWLY	LICENCE	D															
LACK OF EXPERIENCE/ Situations Not Encountered before	9 92 1002		1 14% 11%	3 19% 33%		3 10% 33%	3 6% 33%		4 13% 44%		7 12% 78%	2 53 223	5 9% 56%	4 10% 44%		1 43 115	8 11% 89%	2 6% 22%	7 11% 78%		9 9% 100%
PANICKING/BEING NERVOUS/LACK OF CONFIDENCE	4 43 1005				4 5% 100%	1 31 251;	3 6% 75%	5%	1 34 254		4 75 1005		3 5% 75%	1 3% 25%			4 53 1003		4 6% 100%		4 43 1003
OTHER EXPERIENCÉ Comments	4 4% 100%				4 5% 100%	2 7% 50%	2 4% 50%	5%	1 3% 25%			4 9% 100%	2 4% 50%	2 5% 50%		1 42 252	3 4% 75%	2 6% 50%	? 3\$ 50\$		4 48 1008
LACK OF Concentration/ Becoming Distracted/careless	3 31 1001				3 43 1005	2 71 671	1 2% 33%	31	1 3% 33%		1 2% 33%	2 5% 67%	2 41 671	1 3% 33%			3 41 100%	1 3% 33%	2 3% 67%		3 3% 100%
OVERCONF 1 DENCE	2 2% 100%	ſ			2 2% 100%	1 31 501	1 2% 50%	31,			2 43 1003		1 2% 50%	1 31 504			2 34 1001	2 6\$ 100*	ľ		2 2% 100%
MAINTRINING Concentration/ Staying Alert/ Keeping eyes on	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%	। उध् १८०४			1 38 100%		1 2% 100%		1 2% 100%				1 1\$ 100¥	1 3१ 100१			1 1* 1002
LACK OF JUDGEMENT	1 11 1001	-	1 143 1003	1 6% 100%						1 9% 100%	1 23 1001				1 331 1001		1 1 भ 1 00 भे) 3% 100%			1 1% 100%
OTHER DRIVING RISK Comments	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 29 1001	1 21 1001				1 2% 100%	1 24 1004				1 1 स 100 भ	1 3¥ 100†			1 1% 100%
NET SPEED COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	6 61 1001	2 221 331	<u> </u>	2 13 1 333		75 331	2 4 % 3 3 %	31	4 138 678		4 71 671	2 54 339	2 41 .339	4 104 679) Fi Nas	4 د ا لا ا	2 6 1 3 3 1	ا 63 671		6 6* 1002

Л

TABLE 7 (CONT.) BANNER

BY Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCIE	ENCE OF	LICEN	TING	TO GET A LICENCE		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	LOCATION		
	TOTAL	L	LICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES		15-YRS	5-YRS 16-YRS 17-YRS		MALE FEMALE		10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN - TRY	OF SCH	
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																-	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100\$ 40\$	3 100 % 3%	25 100 % 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS																					
DRIVING TOO FAST/ Speeding	6 6% 100%	1		2 131 331		2 7% 33%	2 4% 33%		138 678		4 7% 67%	2 51 331	2 4% 33%	4 10% 67%		2 8% 33%	1 5%। 67%।	2 63 333	4 6% 67%		6 6% 100%
NET ENVIRONMENTAL C RESPONDENTS	OMMENTS 10 10% 100%	2 22 %		2 13% 20%	10%	2 72 202	6 113 608	92	3 10% 30%	2 185 205	5 9% 50%	5 12 % 50 %	4 72 403	6 15% 60%		1 49 102	9 12% 90%	4 11% 40%	6 92 608		10 10% 100%
WET WEATHER /FOG	1 11 1001				1 1% 100%		1 2\$ 100\$	1 23 1003			1 2% 100%		1 2% 100%				1 13 1003		1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
OTHER DRIVERS/ CARELESS DRIVERS/ Impatient drivers	4 4% 100%			2 13% 50%	2 23 503		2 43 503	1 2% 25%	1 3% 25%	2 18% 50%	2 43 503	2 53 50%	1 29 259	3 8% 75%		1 4% 25%	3 43 753	1 3% 25%	3 5% 75%		4 41 1003
NIGHT DRIVING	4 43 1003				4 5\$ 100\$	1 3% 25%	3. 6% 75%	58	1 33 253		2 41: 501:	2 5% 50%	2 43 501	2 5% 50%			4 5\$ 100\$	3 9% 75%	1 2% 25%		4% 100%
OTHER ENVIRONMENT Comments	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%	1 31 1001			1 31 1001			1 2% 100%		1 3* 100%			1 1\$ 100\$		1 24 2004		1 11 1001
NET PEER PRESSURE C Respondents	0HR1ENTS 21 21% 100%] 149 58	1 63 53	20 24% 95%	4 142 192	16 30% 76%	24%	6 191 291	1 9% 5%	10 181 483	11 269 529	15 279 719	5) 3भ 24 रे		9 36શ 43શ	12 16% 57%	6 171 295	15 231 714		21 213 100%
FRIENDS IN CAR/ BACK SEAT/CAUSE Distractions	6 63 1009				6 73 1002	1 3% 17%	5 9% 83%	9%	1 31 171		2 41 332	4 9% 67%	4 73 675	1 34 174		1 49 179	5 7% 83%	2 64 335	4 1 1 1 2 1		6 6 i 100 r

÷.

PAGE	12
------	----

LOCATION

COUN -TRY

65

100%

65\$

2

3%

331

11 17\$

100%

CITY

35

100%

35%

4

11%

67%

TYPE OF SCHOOL

B

1

11

100%

Ċ

99

100%

99%

6

6%

100**%** 11

11%

100%

•

η.

	BANNER Q4.2-SE DRIVERS	econd ma.	IN RISK	TO SA	FE DRIVI	NG FOR I	NEWLY L	ICENCED.		YDSK -	OCTOBER	1989						
		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	TE	Γ
			ICENCED		UNLICE	A LIC	ENCE	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	<u> </u>
	TOTAL				-NCED	YES	NO								1			
		LEARNE -R	F OR FULL	TOTAL														
RESPONDENTS	100 100 % 100%	100%	7 100 1 7 1	16 100% 16%	100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%		43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	
24.2-SECOND MAIN RI DRIVERS	к то з	FE DRIV	ING FOR	NEWLY	LICENC	Þ									ſ			
SHOWING OFF/ACTING	6		1	1	5	2	3	2	3	1	5	1	Э	3		1	5	
SMART/COOL	64 1004		14% 17%	6% 17%		7% 33%	6% 50%	3%	10% 50%	9% 17%	9% 83%	2% 17%	5% 50%	8% 50%		49 175	7% 83%	
PEER GROUP PRESSURE	11 11% 100%				11 13% 100%	1 3% 9%	10 19% 91%		3 10% 27%		5 9% 45%	6 143 553	9 16 % 82%	1 3% 9%		9 36% 82%	2 3% 18%	
NET NET ALCOHOL/DRU			_	_											_	_		
RESPONDENTS	25 25% 100%	115	2 29% 8%	3 19% 12%	26%	6 21% 24%	16 30% 64%		6 193 243	5 45% 20%		12 26% 46%	15 271 601	8 20% 32%	2 67% 8%		18 24% 72%	
ALCOHOL	8	1	1	2	6	1	5	3	2	3	6	2	5	2	1	5	3	

7 24 18 1 100% 20% 28% 248 28% 72% 48 96% 7 1 1 8% 27 1 11% 145 13% 7% 31 91 5% 6% 11% 5% 91 5% 338 201 42 3% 100% 7% 11% 100% 13% 13% 25% 75% 13% 631 38% 25% 38\$ 75% 25% 63% 25% 132 639 38% 13* 88\$ 13% 88% DRIVING AND -14 1 13 - 3 10 8 5 1 9 - 4 1 6 9 1 13 6 -14 8 DRINKING 143 14\$ 61 15% 19% 10% 16% 13% 91 11% 193 16% 13% 42 17% 14% 17% 12% 100% 7% 71 93% 21% 71% 29% 7% 64% 43\$ 57% 64% 36% 79 938 43% 57% 100% TAKING/SMOKING -5 1 2 2 2 З Э 4 1 5 1 1 1 4 1 4 DRUGS 51 6% 142 21 31 61 91 71 42 8\$ 3.34 51 29 49 3% 62 5% 100% 100% 20% 40% 201 801 401 40% 60% 20% 60% 209 201 801 20% 80% 100% NET CAR COMMENTS RESPONDENTS 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 21 2% 31 2% 29 3% 2% 23 29 38 3%| 38 Z٩ 100% 100% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 501 100% 1001 100% BEING AWARE OF 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 STHER CARS ON THE 11 12 39 3% 21 31 1% 1% 21 RAOD 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1001 100% 100% 100%

TABLE 7 (CONT.) BANNER

-

BY Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO A LIC		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	re	LOCAT	NOI	TYPE OF SC	
	TOTAL		CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТУ	COUN - TRY	B	.00L
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		123	.~~												- 1 8 1	<i>D</i>	Ĩ
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%		7 100% 7%	16 100\$ 16\$	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100 % 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 % 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q4.2-SECOND MAIN RI DRIVERS	к то \$	FE DRIV.	ING FOR	NEWLY	LICENC	D															
NOT WEARING SEATBELT	1 18 100%				1 11 1001		1 2% 100%	1 21 1001				1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%		1 11 1001
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	11 119 1009		2 29% 18%	4) 253 363	7 83 643	4 14 % 36%	2 4% 18%	6 10% 55%	3 10% 27%	2 18% 18%	8 14% 73%	3 7% 27%	4 7% 36%	7 18% 64%		4 16% 36%	7 98 648	6 17% 55%	5 8% 45%		11 11% 100%
NOT OBEYING ROAD Rules Signs	1 1% 100%				1 11 1001		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 4% 100%			1 23 1003		1 1% 100%
NO INFORMATION	10 10% 100%	2 22% 20%	2 29% 20%	4 25% 40%	6 73; 601;	4 14% 40%	1 2% 10%		3 10% 30%	2 18% 20%	8 14 % 80%	2 51 201	3 5\$ 30\$	7 18% 70%		3 12% 30%	7 914 7016	6 17% 60%	4 61 403		10 10% 100%
NET EXPERIENCE Comments	18 18% 100%		2 29% 11%	4 25% 22%	14 17% 78%	6 21 % 33%	8 15% 44%	19%	6 19% 33%	1 9% 6%	12 21% 67%	6 14% 33%	10 18% 56%	7 184 394	1 3 ઉ સ ઇ સ	2 8% 11%	16 21% 89%	5 141 281	13 20% 72%		18 18 1 1001
NET DRIVING RISK Comments	7 7% 100%		<u> </u>		7 8% 100%	4 14% 57%	3 6% 43%	91	2 6\$ 29\$		4 71 571	3 71 131	5 9% 71%	2 5¥ 29%			7 94 1009	5 14% 71%	2 3% 29%		7 7% 100%

PAGE 13

TABLE 8

.

BANNER

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

1

		INCID	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO (A LIC)		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STAT	ſE	LOCAT	ION	TYPI	
	TOTAL	L:	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YR9 1	17-YRS	HALE	FEMALE	10	11	1 2	A1C	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	рг 507 ————————————————————————————————————	1001 c
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100 1 91	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 % 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100 % 99%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	e drivi	NG FOR	NEVLY						ĺ											
LACK OF EXPERIENCE/ Situations Not Encountered before	11 11% 100%	1 11% 9%		1 63 93	10 12% 91%		10 193 913		2 6% 18%		7 12% 64%	4 9\$ 363	10 18% 91%) 31 91		6 24% 55%	5 7% 45%	2 6% 18%	9 14% 82%		11 11* 100*
PANICKING/BEING NERVOUS/LACK OF CONFIDENCE	6 6% 100%	1 11% 17%		1 6% 17%	5 6% 83%		5 9% 83%	71	2 6% 33%		3 5% 50%	3 71 501	4 7% 67%	2 51 331		3 12% 50%	3 41 501		6 93 1003		6 61 1001
OTHER EXPERIENCE Comments	2 2% 100%				2 23 1003	1 3% 50%	1 2% 50%	21	1 3% 50%			2 5% 100%	1 2% 50%) 31: 501:		1 45 50%	1 1% 50%	1 3 સ્ 50 ર	1 2% 50%		2 21 1001
LACK OF CONCENTRATION/ BECOMING DISTRACTED/CARELESS	3 31 1001		1 14% 33%	1 64 334	2 2% 67%	1 3% 33%	1 2% 33%	2 %	1 3% 33%	1 9% 33%	2 4% 67%	1 2% 33%	1 29 33	2 51 671			3 43 1001	2 61 671	1 2% 33%		3 3% 100%
OVERCONFIDENCE	4 43 1003	1 11% 25%	1 14% 25%	2 13% 50%	2 2% 50%	2 7\$ 50\$		1 23 253	2 6% 50%	1 93 253	4 72 1002	(1 28 258	3 89 751			4 52 1002	2 6 হ 50 হ	2) 38, 50%		4 4% 100%
MAINTAINING Concentration/ Staying Alert/ Keeping eyes on	2 21 1001	1 11% 50%		1 6% 50%	1 12 502		1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%			2 5% 100%	1 2૧ 5૦૧	1 3%1 50%1			2 32 1003		2 3% 100%		21 236 100%
TIREDNESS/LONG DISTANCE DRIVING	2 23 1003			ł	2 21 1001		2 43 1003	2 38 1008				2 51 1001	2 4% 100%) 41 501	1 1% 50%		2 31 1001		2 2% 1001
NET SPEED COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	4 43 1003				4. 5% 100%	1 3% 25%	3 6% 75%	4 71 1001			1 2% 25%	3 7% 75%	4 72 3001			2 8୩ ୨୦୩	2 31 501		4 6'e 100 i		4 41 100%
DRIVING TOO FASI. SPEEDING	4 1002		<u> </u>		4 59 1002	1 31 251	3 61 751	71 1001			1 2% 25%	3 7% 75%	4 72 1004	<u></u>		2 8¥ 501	2 31 501		4 €₹ 100∓		4 41 1002

PAGE 14

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

TABLE 8 (CONT.) BANNER

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED

DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO A		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	Sε	x		YEAR		STAT	ΓE	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	
	TOTAL		ICENCED)	UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO.		16-YRS :	7-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	OF SCH	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL															- 1 6 1	0	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%		7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	100%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 1009 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 1003 653	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	PE DRIVI	NG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCED													1			
NET ENVIRONMENTAL CA Respondents	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 11%		1 6% 10%	9 11% 90%	3 10% 30%	6 11% 60%	101	4 13% 40%	:	6 112 602	4 9% 40%	6 11% 60%	3 8% 30%	ŝ	1 4% 10%	9. 12% 90%	4 11\$ 407	6 93 603		10 10% 100%
OTHER DRIVERS/ CARELESS DRIVERS/ Impatient Drivers	3 3 100	1			3 4% 100%	3 10% 100%			3 10% 100%		2 43 673	1 2% 33%		3 8% 100%			3 4% 100%	:	3 5% 100%		3 3% 100%
NIGHT DRIVING	5 53 1003	11*		1 6% 20%	4 5% 80%		4 73 805	4 71 801	1 33 203		2 48 401	3 7% 60%	5 92 100%				5 7% 100%	4 11% 80%	1 21 201		5 5% 100%
OTHER ENVIRONMENT Comments	2 23 1003				2 23 1003		2 43 1003	3%			2 43 1003		1 2% 50%			1 4% 50%	1 1 % 50%		2 31 1001		2 21 1003
NET PEER PRESSURE CO RESPONDENTS	0101ENTS 17 173 1003	¥ İİ			17 20% 100%	6 21% 35%	11 20% 65%	213	5 16% 29%		12 21% 71%	5 124 298	11 20% 65%	6 15% 35%		6 24% 35%	11 15% 65%	5]45 293	12 18% 71%	1	17 17% 100%
FRIENDS IN CAR/ BACK SEAT/CAUSE DISTRACTIONS	6 6 100	ł			6 7% 100%	2 7% 33%	4 78 678	79	2 6% 33%		4 7% 67%	2 51 331	3 કર્ 50ર્મ	3 8% 50%			6 8% 1004	2 64 334	4 63 679		6 કર્ય 100≆
SHOWING OFF/ACTING SMART/COOL	4 41 1001	H			4 5% 100%	2 7% 50%	2 43 502	7%			3 53 753	1 21 251	२ 4१ 50१	2 5% 50%		1 41 259	3 4 ६ 75 १	2 64 504	2 3% 50%		4 43 1003
PEER GROUP PRESSURE	7% 7% 100%				7 83 1001	2 7\$ 29\$	5 9% 71%	71	3 10% 43%		5 9% 71%	2 5% 29%	6 11 % 86%	1 3%, 14%		5 207 719	2 3¥ 291] 39]49	6 93 862		7 72 1002

L.

.

TABLE 8 (CONT.) BANNER

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED

•

DRIVERS

	<u> </u>	INCIDE	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO (AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE.	x		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	אסו	ТҮРІ	
1	TOTAL		CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NCE	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN	OF SCI	100L
		LEARNE -R	FOR	TOTAL	-MCED														-TRY	В	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 1003 843	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	100%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100 1 39	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100 % 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100 % 99%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers '	TO SA	E DRIVIN	G FOR :	NEVLY	ICENCED		1														
NET NET ALCOHOL/DRU Respondents	S COMM 12 12% 100%		1 14% 8%	3 19% 25%	9 11% 75%	5 17% 42%	4 7* 33*	7%;	5 16% 42%	3 27% 25%	6 11 % 50%	6 143 503	5 9% 42%	5 13% 42%	2 672 17%	1 4% 8%	11 15% 92%	4 11% 33%	8 128 678		12 12 % 100%
ALCOHOL	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%	2 7% 100%			1 3\$ 50\$	1 91 501	1 25 505	1 2% 50%		1 31, 501,	1 33% 50%		2 3% 100%	1 31 501	। 2१ 50३		2 23 1003
DRIVING AND Drinking	6 6% 100%				6 7% 100%	2 73 333	4 71 671		2 6\$ 33\$	l	3 5% 50%	3 71 501	4 79 675	2 5 % 338			6 8% 100%	3 9% 50%	3 5% 50%		6 63 1003
TAKING/SMOKING DRUGS	6 6% 100%	2 22% 33%	1 14% 17%	3 19% 50%	3 4% 50%	2 72 332	1 2% 17%		3 10% 50%	2 18% 33%	3 5% 50%	3 7% 50%	2 4% 33%	3 81 501	1 33% 172	1 4% 17%	5 73 833	2 6% 33%	4 62 671		6 6% 100%
NET CAR COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	1 12 1002				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%		ļ		1 2% 100%	1 21 1001				1 1% 100%		1 22 100%		1 1 1002
NOT WEARING Seatbelt	1 13 1003				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 21 1001	1 2भ 100४				1 1% 100%	1	1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	26 261 1001	2 22% 8%	4 57% 15%	6 38% 23%	20 24% 77%	10 34% 38%	9 17% 35%	12 21% 46%	8 26% 31%	6 55% 23%	16 281 621	10 23% 38%	9 169 359	ो र 40भ 62 र	1 3 ઉપ 4 ૧૨	4 16% 15%	22 29% 85%	15 434 584	11 174 424	1 1004 44	25 25 t 96 t
NOT OBEYING ROAD RULES SIGNS	1 12 1003		<u> </u>		1 1% 100%		1 23 100%	1 2% 100%				1 2 ६ 100१	1 23 1003				1 19 100%		1 24 1004		1 11 1004

.

.

· •.

TABLE 8 (CONT.) BANNER

..

BY Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RISK TO SAFE DRIVING FOR NEWLY LICENCED DRIVERS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO A LIC	-	AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	ON	TYP OF SC	
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	HO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN - TRY	B	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL				ł]				
RESPONDENTS	100 1001 1001	9 100 x 9 x	7 1001 73	16 100% 16%	100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%		31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%		43 100% 43%	56 1001 56%	40 100% 40%	3 1002 32		75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q4.3-THIRD MAIN RIS Drivers	TO SA	E DRIVI	NG FOR	NEWLY	ICENCE	, }				ļ					ļ						
OTHER COMMENTS	4 43 1003			I	5% 100%	3 10% 75%	1 2% 25%		1 3% 25%		1 2% 25%	3 7% 75%	2 4१ 50%	2 5% 50%			4 5% 100%	2 6¥ 50%	2 318 5014		4 43 1008
NO INFORMATION	21 21% 100%	22%	4 573 193	6 383 293	184	7 24% 33%	7 13% 33%		7 235 335	6 55% 29%		6 143 293	6 11% 29%	14 35% 67%	1 333 55		17 23% 81%	13 379 62%	8 121: 381:	1 100% 5%	20 20% 95%
NET EXPERIENCE Comments	19 193 1003	22%		2 13% 11%		1 3% 5%	16 30% 84%	24%	5 16% 26%		10 18% 53%	9 21* 47*	15 272 792	4 10% 21%		10 40% 53%	9 123 478	3 9% 16%	16 25% 84%	I	19 19% 100%
NET DRIVING RISK Comments	11 11% 100%	22%	2 29% 18%	4 25% 36%		3 10% 27%	4 7 % 36 %		4 13 % 36%	2 18% 18%		5 123 453	5 9 % 45%	6 15% 55%		1 4% 9%	10 13% 91%	4 1]% 36%	7 11 % 64 %		11 11% 100%

V

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

TABLE 9 BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING.FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

		INCID	ENCE OF	ING	TO		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE		<u></u>	YEAR	<u> </u>	STAT	τε	LOCAT		ТҮРЕ		
			ICENCED		UNLICE	A LIC	{	15-YR9 1	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	1 2	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN	OF SCH	:00L
	TOTAL	LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	ю												-TRY	8	С
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	100%	7 100 % 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 % 65 %	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	IVING, FO	R A NEW	LY LIC	NCED DI	IVER				i											l
LACK OF EXPERIENCE/ Situations not Encountered before	32 32 100	333 333 93	1 14% 3%	4 25% 13%	28 331 881	5 17% 16%	23 43% 72%	41%	8 26% 25%		20 35% 63%	12 283 383	24 432 754	7 18% 22%		12 48% 38%	20 27% 63%	5 14¥ 162	27 42* 84*		32 322 100%
PANICKING/BEING NERVOUS/LACK OF CONFIDENCE	12 12% 100%	1 11% 8%		1 6% 8%	11 13% 92%	2 75 175	9 17% 75%	14%	4 13% 33%	ľ	9 16% 75%	3 7% 25%	8 14% 67%	4 10% 33%		3 12% 25%	9 12% 75%	1 39 82	11 17% 92%		12 12% 100%
OTHER EXPERIENCE Comments	5 5% 100%	i			5 6\$ 100\$	2 7% 40%	3 6% 60%	7%	1 3% 20%			5 12% 100%	3 5% 60%	2 5% 40%		2 8% 40%	3 43 603	2 6ર 40ર	3 53 603		5 5% 100%
LACK OF CONCENTRATION/ BECOMING DISTRACTED/CARELESS	12 12% 100%	1 11% 8%	2 29% 17%	3 19 % 25%	9 11% 75%	6 21% 50%	3 6% 25%	12%	2 6% 17%	3 27% 25%	7 12% 58%	5 123 423	5 93 423	6 15% 50%	1 33% 8%		12 16% 100%	8 2 3 भ 67 भ	4 6% 33%		12 12% 100%
OVERCONFIDENCE	7 71 1001	1 11% 14%	1 14 % 14 %	2 138 295	5 6% 71%	4 14 % 57%	1 2% 14%		3 10% 43%	1 9% 14%	7 12% 100%		2 4% 29%	5 13% 71%	ļ		7 9१ 100%	4 11年 57年	3 5% 43%		7 7% 100%
MAINTAINING Concentration/ Staying Alert/ Keeping Eyes on	6 6% 100%			2 13% 33%	4 5% 67%	2 7\$ 33\$	2 43 333	3%	3 10% 50%	1 9% 17%	1 2% 17%	5 12% 83%	2 4* 33*	4 10% 67%		1 4% 17%	5 7% 83%	<u>3</u> કુગ્ર 501	3 51 50%		6 6% 100%
LACK OF JUDGEMENT	4 42 1002	1 114 251	1 14% 25%	2 13¥ 50\$	2 2% 50%	2 7% 50%		1 2% 25%	2 61 501	1 9% 25%] 21 251	3 79 758	1 29 259	2 5% 50%	1 33% 25%		4 5 स 1 00 म	4 111 1007			4 4% 1003
TIREDNESS/LONG Distance driving	3 3 100				3 4% 100%		3; 6% 100%	3 5% 100%		:	1 29 33%	2 51 67%	3 51 1001		ļ	2 81 675	1 1% 33%		3 5% 100%		3. 32 1002
OTHER DRIVING RISK Comments	2 2* 100*				2 2% 100%	1 3% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%		1 2* 50%	1 29 50%	1 2% 50%) 39 502			2 3२ 100१	2 6* 100*			2 24 1007.

PAGE 18

	YDSK - OCTOBER 1989
TABLE 9 (CONT.)	BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING, FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

	[]	INCIDENCE OF LICENCING				GET	AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	к		YEAR	<u>,</u>	STAT	TE	LOCAT	10N	TYP		
	TOTAL	LI	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LIC	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YR5	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN	ſ	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-11020														-TRY	В	с
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	64 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	100%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 1002 432	56 100% 56%	40 100\$ 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100 % 75%	35 100x 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
04-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING, FOR	A NEW	LY LIC	NCED DR	IVER						ļ									
NET SPIED COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	20 203 1005	2 22% 10%	3 43% 15%	5 313 25%	15 18% 75%	5 173 253	10 19% 50%	17%	7 23 % 35%	3 27% 15%	12 21% 60%	8 192 402) 1 209 55%	7 18% 35%	2 67 % 10%	7 28% 35%	13 17% 65%	4 11% 20%	16 25% 80%		20 20% 100%
DRIVING TOO FAST/ SPEEDING	17 171 1001	2 221 121	2 291 121	4 25% 24%	13 15% 76%	5 17 % 29%	8 15% 47%	16%	6 19 % 35%	2 18% 12%	9 16% 53%	8 193 473	9 16% 53%	6 15% 35%	2 67% 12%	5 20% 29%	12 16% 71%	3 9 % 18%	14 222 822		17 17% 100%
DRIVING TOO SLOW	1 11 1001		1 14% 100%	1 63 1003			5			1 93 1003	1 2% 100%			1 3% 100%			1 11 1001	1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%
other speed Comments	2 21 1001			:	2 23 1003		2 4% 100%	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%	ľ	2 4% 100%		2 41 1005			2 8% 100%			2 39 100%		2 2% 100%
NET ENVIRONMENTAL CO Respondents	MMENTS 24 24% 100%	4 44% 17%		4 25% 17%	20 24% 83%	5 17% 21%	15 26% 63%	12 21% 50%	10 32% 42%	2 18% 8%	13 23% 54%	11 261 463	12 21\$ 50\$	11 282 463		3 12 % 13%	21 28% 88%	9 26% 38%	15 232 638		24 24६ 100३
WET WEATHER /FOG	1 11 1001				1 1% 100%		, 1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 29, 10 01		1 21 100#				1 13 100%		1 2भ 100%		ן א 100 נ
OTHER DRIVERS/ CARELESS DRIVERS/ IMPATIENT DRIVERS	12 123 1003	3 33% 25%		3 19% 25%	9 11% 75%	4 143 331	5 9% 42%	4 7 % 33%	6 19% 50%	2 18% 17%	8 149 679	4 92 332	4 72 339	н 20% 675		ા 4 ૧૨ ઇ ૧	11 15% 92%	2 64 174	10 15 स स अंध		12) 12% 1004
NIGHT DRIVING	11 113 1003	1 114 94] 6% 9%	10 121 911	1 31 91	9 175 825	7 12% 64%	4 13 % 368		4 74 364	7 16% 8 4%	8 145 731	3 84 274		1 49 99	10 13% 91%	7 204 649	4 6३ 36३		11 114 100%
OTHER ENVIRONMENT COMMENTS	3 33 1003				3 41 1009	1 3% 33%	2 43 678	2 3% 67%	1 98 833		2 47 677	1 28 338	1 24 334	1 3 * 1 1 4		1 4* 33%	2 3% 67%		3 51 1001		3 3 र 1 100 •

PAGE 19

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

-

TABLE 9 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING, FOR A NEWLY LIGENCED DRIVER

í		INCIDE	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	70 (AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	(YEAR		STA	те	LOCAT	ION	TYPI	
:	TOTAL	L1	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LIC	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	OF SCI	
	101112	LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-ACED														- 1 / 1		
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100 % 16 %	84 100% 84%	29 100 % 29%	54 100 % 54 %	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100\$ 43\$	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100 % 75 %	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
04-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING, PO	A NEW	LY LICE	NCED P	IVER							ŀ							t I	ľ
NET PEER PRESSURE CO Respondents	HPMENTS 49 49% 100%	1 11% 2%	1 14% 2%	2 13% 4%	47 56\$ 96\$	12 41% 24%	35 65% 71%	33 57% 67%	13 42% 27%	3 27% 6%	28 49% 57%	21 49% 43%		15 18% 31%		16 64% 33%	33 44% 67%	15 43% 31%	34 52% 69%	1 100% 2%	48 483 988
FRIENDS IN CAR/ Back seat/cause Distractions	16 16% 100%	1			16 193 1005	6 21\$ 38\$	10 19% 63%	10 17% 63%	6 19 % 38%		9 16% 56%	7 16% 44%		7 18% 44%		1 4% 6%	15 203 943	6 17% 38%	10 15% 63%		16 16% 100%
SHOWING OFF/ACTING SMART/COOL	15 15% 100%		1 14% 7%	1 6% 7%	14 175 935	5 17% 33%	9 17% 60%	10 17% 67%	4 13% 27%	1 92 72	10 18% 67%	5 12 33	10 18* 67*	5 131 331		3 12 % 20%	12 16% 80%	8 231 531	7 11% 47%		15 15 % 100 %
PEER GROUP PRESSURE	23 23% 100%	1 11% 4%		1 6% 4%	22 26% 96%	3 10% 13%	19 35% 83%	15 26% 65%	6 19% 26%	2 18% 9%	14 25% 61%	9 21% 39%	18 32% 78%	4 30% 17%		15 60% 65%	8 11% 35%	2 6% 9%	21 32% 91%	1 100% 4%	22 22% 96%
NET MET ALCOHOL/DRU	S COHH.	NTS																			
RESPONDENTS	54 54% 100%	3 332 68	3 43% 6%	6 38% 11%	48 57% 89%	14 48% 26%	34 63% 63%	33 575 615	15 48% 28%	6 55% 11%	29 51% 54%	25 58% 46%		16 40≹ 30%	2 67% 4%	16 64 % 30%	38 51 % 70%	18 514 334	36 55% 67%	1 1001 29	53 54% 98%
ALCOHOL	23 23% 100%	2 22% 9%	2 29% 9%	4 25% 17%	19 231 831	7 24% 30%	12 22% 52%	11 191 481	7 23% 30%	5 45% 22%	14 25% 61%	9 21% 39%	234	8 20% 35%	2 67% 9%	11 44% 48%	12 16% 52%	5 143 223	18 281 783	1 1001 49	22 22% 96%
DRIVING AND Drinking	32 32 % 100%	1 11% 3%	1 14% 3%	2 13% 6%	30 36% 94%	8 28% 25%	22 41% 69%	22 38% 69%	9 291 281	1 9% 3%	16 28% 50%	16 37% 50%	23 41¥ 72%	9 23% 28%		5 20% 16%	27 36% 84%	14 40% 44%	18 28% 56%		32 32% 100%
TAKING/SHOKING DRUGS	12 12% 100%	2 225 175	1 14% 8%	3 199 259	9 11 1 75 1	7 242 - 582	2 4% 17%	3 53 253	6 198 508	3 27% 25%	6 11% 50%	6 145 50%	3 51 251	7 18* 58*	2 67% 17%	2 6% 17%	10 13% 83%	4 119 339	8 123 673		12 12% 100%
NET CAR COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	5 5 100				5 68 100 %	1 31 201	4 73 803	4 73 803	1 3% 20%		2 41 401	3 7% 60%	4 75 803] Зя 20а		1 49 209	51 801	1 3* 204	4 61 801		5 51 1001

.

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 TABLE 9 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q4-TOTAL RISKS FOR SAFE DRIVING,FOR A NEWLY LICENCED DRIVER

	·	INCIDE	INCIDENCE OF LICEN		ING	TO C		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	×		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT	тои	түр	
	TOTAL	LI	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES		15-YR9	16-YR9	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	OF SCI	1001
		LEARNE - R	P OR Full	TOTAL	-NCED	163													- 1 1 1	D	C C
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100%; 57%;	43 100% 43%	56 1001 561	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100 1 751	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 1002 12	99 100% 99%
04-TOTAL RISKS FOR	AFE DR	VING. FOR	A NEW	LY LIC	NCED D	IVER				ļ											
BEING AWARE OF Other Cars on the Raod	3 3\$ 100%			ľ	3 4% 100%	1 3% 33%	2 43 673	2 3% 67%	1 3% 33%		2 43 678	1 2% 33%	2 43 671	1 3\$ 33\$		1 4% 33%	2 31 671	1 39 33%	2 3% 67%		3 3% 100%
NOT WEARING Seatbelt	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%		2 4% 100%	2 3% 100%				2 5% 100%	2 43 1002				2 3% 100%		2 3% 100%		2 21 1001
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	14 143 1003	1 11% 7%	2 295 143	3 19% 21%	11 13% 79%	6 21% 43%	4 78 298	9 163 643	4 13% 29%	1 9% 7%	7 12% 50%	7 16% 50%	7 13% 50%	7 18% 50%		2 8% 14%	12 16% 86%	6 17ક્ષ 43થ	8 121 571		14 14% 100%
NOT OBEYING ROAD Rules signs	2 28 100%				2 2% 100%		2 43 1003	2 3% 100%				2 5% 100%	2 4% 100%			1 4% 50%	1 13 503		2 3% 100%		2 2% 100%
OTHER COMMENTS	5 5\$ 100\$				5 6% 100%	3 10% 60%	2 43 403	4 73 803	1 31 201		1 2% 20%	4 91 801	3 54 604	2 5% 40%		ļ	5 7% 100%	2 6% 40%	3 5% 60%		5 5% 100%
NO INFORMATION	7 73 1003	1 11% 14%	2 29% 29%	3 19% 43%	4 5% 57%	3 10% 43%		3 5% 43%	3 10% 43%	1 9% 14%	6 11% 86%	1 2% 14%	2 49 293	5 132 712		1 4% 14%	6 8% 86%	4 11% 57%	3 51 432		7 7% 100%
NET EXPERIENCE Comments	39 39% 100%	3 33% 8%	2 29% 5%	5 31% 13%	34 40% 87%	8 28% 21%	26 48% 67%	27 472 692	11 35% 28%	1 9% 3%	22 391 561	17 403 443	26 461 674	1) 28% 28%	38 59 1	12 48% 31%	27 36% 69%	9 264 231	30 46३ 77१		39 39% 100%
NET DRIVING RISK Comments	26 26% 100%	4 449 158	3 431 121	7 442 272	19 23% 73%	10 342 384	9 17% 35%	13 22% 50%	8 26% 31%	5 451 192	14 25% 54%	12 28% 46%	11 204 424	14 357 543	1 339 49	3 12% 12%	23 31% 88%	13 371 501	13 201 501		26 264 1004

PAGE 21

TABLE 10

.

BANNER

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

BY Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

ŀ

	[]	INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	то		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x	<u> </u>	YEAR		STAT	re	LOCAT		түр	E]
	TOTAL		CENCED		UNLICE	A LIC		15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	AIC	SA	C179	רטטס	OF SCI	100L
	.0112	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO				·								-TRY	В	С
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 1001 71	16 100% 16%	84 1003 843	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100 % 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 3 65 3	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PEI	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT					l									ĺ		Ì
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	61 61% 100%	4 44% 7%	5 71% 8%	9 56% 15%	52 62% 85%	21 72% 34%	31 57% 51%	32 55% 52%	21 68% 34%	8 73¥ 13¥	37 65% 61%	24 56% 39%	33 598 542	24 60% 39%	3 100% 5%	17 68% 28%	44) 59% 72%	23 66% 38%	38 58% 62%	1 100% 2%	60 61% 98%
SLOWS REFLEXES	12 12% 100%	1 11% 8%		1 6% 8%	11 13% 92%	3 10% 25%	8 15% 67%	7 12% 58%	3 10% 25%	2 18% 17%	6 11% 50%	6 14% 50%	7 13% 58%	4 10% 33%	1 33% 8%	4 16% 33%	8 113 673	3 91 251	9 143 753		12) 12% 100%
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	21 21% 100%	2 22% 10%	2 29% 10%	4 25% 19%	17 20% 81%	8 28% 38%	9 17% 43%	10 17% 48%	8 26% 36%	3 27% 14%	14 25% 67%	7 16% 33%	13 238 624	6 15% 29%	2 67 3 103	5 20% 24%	16 21% 76%	11 311 528	10 15% 48%		21 21% 100%
AFFECTS VISION/ EYESIGHT	11 11 100		1 14% 9%	1 6% 9%	10 12% 91%	2 7% 16%	8 15% 73%	8 14% 73%	2 6% 18%	1 93 93	6 11% 55%	5 123 453	9 16% 82%	2 51 183	-	5 20\$ 45%	6 8% 55%	1 39. 99.	10 15% 91%		11 11% 100%
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ Sense of Balance/ Lose Control/Dizzy	16 16% 100%	1 11% 6%	2 291 131	3 191 191	13 15% 81%	8 28% 50%	5 9% 31%	6 10% 38%	8 26% 50%	2 18% 13%	10 18% 63%	6 14 % 38%	4 7% 25%	12 30% 75%		2 8% 13%	14 194 88%	8 23% 50%	8 12% 50%	1 100% 6%	15 15± 94%
OTHER	1 11 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%				ļ) 4३ १७०६			1 28 1005		1 1% 100%
NET AFFECT ON BENSE RESPONDENTS	26. 26% 100%	-	2 293 83	5 31% 19%	21 25% 81%	4 14% 15%	17 31% 65%	17 292 653	8 76% 31%	1 9% 4%	13 23% 50%	13 30% 50%	16 293 624	10 254 384		5 201 19३	21 284 814	5 261 354	17 26% 65%	1	26 261 1001
AFFECTS CONCENTRATION/ BECOME DISTRACTED	11 11% 100%	2 22% 18%	2 29% 18%	4 25% 36%	7 8% 64%	2 7% 18%	5 97 457	5 91 451	5 16% 45%	1 9% 9%	4 71 361	7 16% 64%	4 75 367	7 18+ 644			11 154 1004	3 94 274	8 123 733		11 11% 100 €

.

PAGE 22

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

TABLE 10 (CONT.) BANNER *By* Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOMOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	TO C		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	ĸ		YEAR		STAT	re	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	- 16
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN -TRY	OF SCI	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL								Ĵ								-	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100 2 9 2	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100 % 29 %	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN WI Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT																
MAKES YOU TIRED/ DROUSY/SLEEPY	15 151 1001	11%		1 6% 7%	14 17% 93%	2 7% 13%	12 22\$ 80\$	12 21 % 80%	3 10% 20%	i	9 16% 60%	6 143 403	12 21% 80%	3 8% 20%		5 20% 33૧	10 13% 67%	6 17% 40%	9 142 603		15 15% 100%
NET AFFECTS ON MIND Respondents	8 81 1001	1 11% 13%		1 6% 13%	7 82 883	2 71 251	5 9¥ 63%	5 9¥ 63¥	2 6% 25%	1 9% 13%	3 5% 38%	5 12% 63%	5 91 631	3 8% 38%		1 4% 13%	7 9% 88%	1 3% 13u	7 113 883		8. 8% 100%
AFFECTS JUDGMENT/ Clear Thinking/ Can't make sengible	6 6% 100%	115		1 6% 17%	5 6% 83%	1 3% 17%	4 7 2 67 2	4 7%; 67%;	1 3% 17%	1 9% 17%	3 5% 50%	3 7\$ 50\$	4 7% 67%	2 59 33		1 4\$ 17શ	5 7 % 83%	1 32 172	5 8% 83%		6 6% 100%
REDUCES AWARENESS/ BECOME UNAWARE OF OTHER DRIVERS	1 1 % 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2 % 100%	1 2% 100%				1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 1% 100%	I	1 2% 100%		1 12 1001
other	1 13 1003				1 13 1008	1 3% 100%			1 3% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 3१ 100४			1 11 100%		1 2% 100%		1 18 100%
N ET AFFE CTS THE DRI R E spondents	VING 3 3 100 3	1 11% 33%		1 6% 33%	2 2% 67%		1 21 335	2 31 671		1 9% 33%	2 4% 67%	1 2\$ 33\$	ો 2થ 33થ	2 51 679		2 8વ હં7મ	। 1 म्ह 3 3 फ्र	2 64 6.74	2 22 338		3 3% 100%
SLOPPY/CARELESS DIRIVING	1]% 100%	1 111 1001		1 43 2001				1		1 93 1003		1 23 1003		+001 لاد: 1		ן 4 א 100 א		ا بلا 100ء			1 1 % 100%
DRIVE TOO FAST/ SPEEDING	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 21 1001			1 2% 100%			1 34 1004			ן גע 2004	1 3+ 1001			1 12 1003

.

PAGE 23

-

.

:

.

Ł

TABLE 10 (CONT.) BANNER *By* Q5.1-Main Ways in Which Alcohol Percieved to effect DRIVING SKILLS

	[INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C	-	AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SEX	·]		YEAR		STAT	ſE	LOCATI	ON	TYPE OF SCH	1
	TOTAL	L.	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YR9	17-YRS	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	B	c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																	
Responden78	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	59 100% 58%	31 100 % 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 % 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q5.1-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE	RCI EVED	TO EF	ECT																
CAN'T CONTROL CAR PROPERLY/LOSS CONTROL OF THE CAR	1 1\$ 100\$				1 1% 100%			1 2% 100%			1 25 1005	ļ	1 2\$ 100\$			1 4% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	2 2% 100%				2 23 1003	2 75 1005		2 3% 100%			2 43 1005		1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%			2 31 1001		2 3\$ 100\$		2 2% 100%
NO INFORMATION	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%	2 75 1005		2 3% 100%			2 4% 100%		1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%			2 3% 100%		2 31 1005		2 2% 100%

.

PAGE 24

TABLE 11

BANNER

BY Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

l								ACE of	BERROW	ENTO		<u>.</u>		NCDD	<u></u>	STA.		10017		TYPE	<u></u>
			ENCE OF	ī	┍╼═══╌┥╏	TO O A LICE			RESPON		3E7			YEAR				LOCAT		OF SCH	
	TOTAL		CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YR9	17-YRS	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	В	с С
		LEARNE - R	P OR Full	TOTAL																	ł
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 1001 91	7 100 % 7 %	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100\$ 31\$	11 100x 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100x 43x	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40⊭	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving Skills	AICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT																
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	44 44% 100%		2 29% 5%	8 50% 18%	36 43% 82%	16 55% 36%	20 37\$ 45\$		17 55% 39%	2 18% 5%	25 44% 57%	19 44% 43%	24 43% 55%	20 501 451		10 40% 23%	34 453 77%	17 49% 39%	27 42% 61%		44 443 1003
SLOWS REFLEXES	12 123 1003	1 11% 8%	1 14% 8%	2 13% 17%	10 12 % 83%	6 21% 50%	4 7% 33%	6 10% 50%	5 16% 42%	1 9% 8%	4 7% 33%	8 19\$ 67\$	6 11% 50%	6 15% 50%		5 20% 42%	7 9% 58%	99	9 14% 75%		12 12% 100%
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	4 4 100	1 11% 25%		1 6% 25%	3 43 75%	1 3% 25%	2 43 503	2 3% 50%	2 6% 50%		3 5% 75%	1 2\$ 25%	2 4% 50%	2 5% 50%	ľ	2 8% 50%	2 3% 50%		3 5% 75%		4 43 1003
AFFECTS VISION/ EYESIGHT	19 192 1002	2 22% 11%	1 14% 5%	3 19% 16%	16 19% 84%	8 28% 47%	8 15% 42%		8 26% 42%		11 19% 58%	6 19% 42%	10 18% 53%	9 239 479		1 4% 5%	18 24% 95%		9 143 473		19 19% 100%
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ SENSE OF BALANCE/ LOSE CONTROL/DIZZY	83 83 1003			2 13% 25%	6 7%; 75%;	1 3% 13%	5 9 % 63%	5 9 % 63%	2 6% 25%	1 9% 13%	6 11% 75%	2 5% 25%	5 91 631	3 81 384		1 416 1312	7 9% 88%	3 93 383	5 8થ 63શ		8 8% 100%
OTHER	1 1% 100%				1 18 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 29 1004			1 41 1001			1 21 100%		1 1% 100%
NET AFFECT ON SENSE RESPONDENTS	21 213 1003	22%	1 14% 5%	3 19% 14%	18 21% 86%	5 17% 24%	13 24% 62%		8 26\$ 38\$	2 18% 10%	11 19% 52%	10 23% 48%	32 214 574	₽ 20≉ 38≠	1 334 5%	5 209 249	16 21% 76%	7 205 334	14 22% 67%	1	21 21% 100%
AFFECTS CONCENTRATION/ RECOME DISTRACTED	7 73 1003		<u> </u>		7 8% 100%	3 108 438	4 7% 57%		3 10% 43%		4 73 573	3 7% 43%	ા ક્ર 4 ડેવ	4 103 57a) 44 144	6 ¥8 \$68	4 114 574	3 59 434		7 7६ 100६

•

PAGE 25

TABLE 11 (CONT.) BANNER

BY Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	to e	-	AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	ŤE	LOCAT	108	ТҮР	<u> </u>
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE	A LICI		15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12		SA	<u> </u>	COUN	OFSC	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO										I		-TRY	II .	c
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	100%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%	100%	54 100% 54%	1001	31 100 % 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	100%	99 100 1 993
Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF.	ECT																
MAKES YOU TIRED/ DROWSY/SLEEPY	12 121 1001		1 14% 8%	3 19% 25%		1 3% 8%	8 15% 67%	10%	4 13% 33%	2 18% 17%	6 11 % 50%	6 14% 50%	8 141 671	3 8% 25%	1 33% 8%	4 16% 33%	8 11% 67%	2 6% 17%	10. 15% 83%		12 12 100
DIORIENTATION/NOT WITH IT/DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR DOING	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%	1 32 508	1 2% 50%		1 3% 50%		1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%			2 3% 100%	1 3* 50%	1 2% 50%		2 2% 100%
NET AFFECTS ON MIND Respondents	23 23% 100%		3 431 131	3 192 132	20 24% 87%	4 14% 17%	15 28% 65%	241	5 16% 22%	4 36% 17%	13 23% 57%	10 23% 43%	14 25% 61%	6 15% 26%	2 67% 9%	8 321: 351:	15 20% 65%	5 148 22 3	18 28% 78%		22 22% 96%
YOU BECOME OVERCONFIDENT/ FALSE SENSE OF CONFIDENCE	2 2% 100%		1 14% 50%	1 63 503	1 1% 50%	1 3% 50%			2 6% 100%		2 41 1001			2 5% 100%			2 3% 100%	1 32 50%	1 2% 50%		2 2 100
AFFECTS JUDGMENT/ Clear Thinking/ Can't Make Sensible	12 12% 100%		1 143 83	1 6% 8%	11 13% 92%	3 10% 25%	8 15% 67%	7 12% 58%	3 10% 25%	2 181 171	7 121 581	5 12% 42%	7 13% 58%	2 51 179	2 67% 17%	5 20% 42%	7 93, 588	3 91 251	9 141 75%		12 129 100%
REDUCES AWARENESS/ BECOME UNAWARE OF OTHER DRIVERS	3 3% 100%			ļ	3 4% 100%		2 4% 67%	3 5% 100%			2 43 672	1 2% 33%	3 5% 100%			3 12% 100%			3 5% 100%		3 3% 100%
SHOWING OFF/ACT SILLY	2 2% 100%				2 21 1001		2 42 1008	2 31 1001				2 5% 100%	2 4% 1001				2 3+ 100+		2 3% 100%	,	2 2 શ 1 00 %
OTHER	4 4 100		1 14% 25%	1 6% 25%	3 43 753		3 68 758	2 31 501		2 18 % 50%	2 41 509	2 5% 50%	2 4१ 501	2 5% 50%	ŀ		4 54 1004	1 37 259	3 5% 75%	1 100a 25a	3 ગેર 75 ર

PAGE 26

TABLE 11 (CONT.) BANNER

.

BY Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

	[]	INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	TING	то	GET	AGE OF	RESPON	ENTS	SE	π		YEAR	<u> </u>	STAT	re	LOCAT	ION	TYPE	ļ
		L	ICENCED		UNLICE	A LIC			16-YRS 1	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN	OF SCH	<u></u>
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FVLL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	ю						 						-TRY	B	c
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100 1 161		29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100x 43x	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%		65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q5.2-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	COHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT															l	l
NET AFFECTS THE DRI Respondents	VING 4 1001			1 6% 25%	3 4% 75%	1 3% 25%	2 43 503			1 91 251	2 43 505	2 5% 50%	1 23 253	3 818 7512		1 48 25%	3 43 753				4 4% 100%
SLOPPY/CARELESS DIRIVING	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%			1 3% 100%		1	1 1* 100*	1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%
DRIVE TOO FAST/ SPEEDING	1 13 1003			1 6% 100%						1 91 1001		1 2 % 100%		1 3% 100%		1 43 1003		1 3% 100%			1 1* 100*
OTHER	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%	1 3% 50%	1 2% 50%	2 31 1001			1 2% 50%	1 2%; 50%;	1 2% 50%	1 316 5016			2 3% 100%	2 6% 100%			2 2% 100%
NET OTHER COMMENTS RESPONDENTS	8 8% 100%		1 142 132	1 6% 13%	7 8% 88%	3 10% 38%	4 71 50%	5 9% 63%	1 3% 13%	2 18% 25%	6 11% 75%	2 5% 25%	5 94 635	3 8% 38%		1 4 % 1 3 %	7 9% 88%		6) 99. 75%		8 8% 100%
NO INFORMATION	8 81 1001		1 14% 13%	1 6* 13*	7 8% 88%	3 10% 38%	4 7% 50%	5 9% 63%	1 3% 13%	2 18% 25%	6 11% 75%	2 5% 25%	5 92 633	3 89 381		1 41: 131	7 9% 88%		6 91(751		8 B¥ 100±

TABLE 12 (CONT.) BANNER

•

BY Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT

.

DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO A LIC	-	AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	TE .	LOCAT		TYPE OF SCH	
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS 3	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SV	CITY	COUN - TRY	B	.00L
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL																	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100 % 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100 % 99 %
Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	COHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT																
AFFECTS CONCENTRATION/ BECOME DISTRACTED	11 11% 100%	2 221 181	1 142 92	3 19 1 27 1	8 10% 73%	4 14 % 36 %	4 73 363	6 10% 55%	3 10% 27%	2 181 181	7 12% 64%	4 9% 36%	5 9% 45%	5 13% 45%	1 33% 9%		11 15% 100%	6 178 558	5 82 45%	I	11 11% 100%
MAKES YOU TIRED/ DROWSY/SLEEPY	6 6% 100%				6 73 1003	3 10% 50%	3 6% 50%	4 73 673	2 6% 33%		5 9% 83%	1 2% 17%	3 51 50%	3 8 % 50%		1 4% 17%	5 78 838		3 5% 50%		6 6% 100%
DIORIENTATION/NOT WITH IT/DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR DOING	2 2 100%				2 2% 100%		2 43 1003	2 3% 100%			1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	2 4% 100%				2 3% 100%		2 3% 100%	1	2 2 % 100%
Net Affects on Mind Respondents	16 16% 100%	1 11% 6%	1 14% 6%	2 13% 13%	14 17% 88%	2 7% 13%	12 223 753	22%	3 10% 19%		7 128 448	9 21 % 56%	12 21% 75%	4 10% 25%		4 16% 25%	12 16% 75%	4 11% 25%	12 191 75%		16 16% 100%
YOU BECOME OVERCONFIDENT/ FALSE SENSE OF CONFIDENCE	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%		2 4% 100%	2 3% 100%				2 5% 100%	2 41 1001			2 8\$ 100\$	6		2 3% 1007.		2 2% 100%
AFFECTS JUDGMENT/ Clear Thinking/ Can't Make Sensible	7 73 1008			1 6% 14%	6 713 8656	1 3% 14%	5 9% 71%		2 6% 29%		3 5% 43%	4 9% 57%	4 ? ૧. 57 ૧	3 ઇર્ચ 43 ક્ષ	e.	1 42 143	3 88 861	ु २४ 4 ३४	4 69 571		7 7% 100%
REDUCES AWARENESS/ BECOME UNAWARE OF OTHER DRIVERS	2 29 1003	Į	1 14% 50%	1 69 509	1 1% 50%		1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 3भ 50२		2 41 100%		1 21 507	1 3* 504		1 49 502	1 19 509		2 31 1004		2 2¥ 100%
TAKE HORE RISKS	3 3%) 100%				3 4% 100%	1 3% 33%	2 42 672	3 53 1001			1 29 333	2 51 67%	3 54 1004				3 49 100%		3- 5+ 100+		3 3१ 100३
SHOWING OFF/ACT SILLY	1 19 100%		<u> </u>		1 13 100%	 =	1 2% 100%	1 21 1001			1 2% 100%) २२ १००४				1 14 1004	21 1 Co 4			۱ ۱/۹ ۱/۵۵۰

:

TABIF 12

BANNER *BY* Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC	ING	то с	· II	AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE)	٢		YEAR		STAT	ε	LOCATI	NO	TYPE OF SCH	
	TOTAL	L	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICE	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE J	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	B	c
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	PACED	12-															
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100 % 9 %	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100 % 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100\$ 31\$	11 100 2 11 3	57 100% 57%	43 100\$ 43\$	56 2003 56%	40 1003 403	3 100\$ 3\$	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
25,3-MAIN WAYS IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	COHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT																
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	34 34% 100%	4 44% 12%	1 14% 3%	5 31% 15%	29 35% 85%	10 34% 29%	19 35% 56%	18 31% 53%	14 45% 41%	2 18% 6%	20 35% 59%	14 33% 41%	17 30% 50%	16 402 472		10 40% 29%	24 32% 71%	9 26% 26%	25 38% 74%	1 100% 3%	33 33% 97%
SLOWS REFLEXES	7 71 1001	1 11% 14%		1 6% 14%	6 7% 86%	1 3\$ 14\$	5 91 711	5 9% 71%	2 6% 29%		2 4% 29%	5 123 713	4 71 571	3 81 431		2 8% 29%	5 7% 71%	3 92 433	4 6% 57%		7 7% 100%
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	8 81 1001	11		1 6% 13%	7 8% 86%	1 3% 13%	6 11% 75%		2 6% 25%	1 9% 13%	7 12% 88%	1 2% 13%	4 71 501	3 89 389		1 4% 13%	7 9% 88%		6 9% 75%	1 100% 13%	7 71 881
AFFECTS VISION/ Eyesight	7 75 1005	2 22% 29%	1 14% 14%	3 19% 43%	4 5% 57%	2 75 295	2 42 292		4 13% 57%	1 91 141	3 5% 43%	4 9% 57%	2 41 291	5 13% 71%		2 8% 29%	5 7% 71%	1 3% 14%	6 9 4 86%		7 7% 100%
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ Sense of Balance/ Lose Control/Dizzy	10 10% 100%	R			10 12% 100%	5 17% 50%	5 9% 50%	9%	5 16% 50%	:	7 123 703	3 7% 30%	6 11% 60%	4 102 403		4 165 405	6 8% 60%	3 9% 30%	7 11% 70%		10 101 1001
AFFECT ANTICIPATION	1 13 1003			1	1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	ſ		l	1 2% 100%		1 24 1004			1 41 1001			1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
MAKES YOU SICK	1 12 1003	8		l	1 1% 100%	1 33 1001			1 3% 100%	()		1 2% 100%		1 34 1004			1 1¥ 100६		1 2* 100%		عد 100 د 18 ع 1
NET AFFECT ON SENSE Respondents	19 191 1001	22%) 142 59	3 191 161	16 19¥ 84¥	7 241 371	9 17% 472	12 211 631	5 16% 26%	2 18% 11%	13 232 681	6 14% 32%	10 181 531	8 201 424) 333 51	1 42 51	18 249 959	9 261 471	10 15% 53%	,	19 19% 1007

•

PAGE 28

• •

, Л

]		INCIDE	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	то		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE			YEAR]	STAT	ε	LOCAT		TYP	
	TOTAL	L	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	A LICI YES	NCE	15-YRS	16-YRS :	17-YRS	MALE 1	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	OF SCI B	100L
		LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	143											-			B	
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100 % 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100 % 58%	31 1005 315	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100 % 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q5-WAYS IN WHICH AL	OHOL A	FECTS D	RIVING	SXILLS																	
NET AFFECTS BODY Respondents	88 88% 100%	8 89 % 9%	6 86% 7%	14 883 163	74 88% 84%	27 93% 31%	47 87% 53%	49 843 563	30 97% 34%	9 82% 10%	50 88% 57%	38 88% 43%	49 881 561	35 88% 40%	3 100% 3%	23 92% 26%	65 87% 74%	30 86% 34%	58 89% 66%	1 100% 1%	87 88% 99%
SLOWS REFLEXES	31 31% 100%	3 33% 10%	1 14% 3%	4 25% 13%	27 32% 87%	10 34\$ 32\$	17 31% 55%	18 31 % 58%	10 32% 32%	3 27% 10%	12 21% 39%	19 443 613	17 301 55%	13 33% 42%	1 33% 3%	11 44% 35%	20 27% 65%	9 26% 29%	22 34% 71%		31 31% 100%
SLOWS REACTION TIME/RESPONSE SLOWER	33 33% 100%	44% 44% 12%	2 29% 6%	6 38% 18%	27 32% 82%	10 34% 30%	17 31% 52%	17 29% 52%	12 39% 36%	4 36% 12%	24 42% 73%	9 21% 27%	19 341 58%	11 28% 33%	2 672 63	8 32% 24%	25 33% 76%	14 40% 42%	19 29% 58%	1 100% 3%	32 32% 97%
AFFECTS VISION/ EYESIGHT	37 37% 100%	4 442 112	3 43% 8%	7 44% 19%	30 36% 81%	12 41% 32%	18 33% 49%	21 36% 57%	14 45% 38%	2 18% 5%	20 35% 54%	17 40% 46%	21 38% 57%	16 40% 43%		8 32% 22%	29 39% 78%	12 34% 32%	25 38% 68%		37 37% 100%
AFFECTS CO-ORDINATION/ SENSE OF BALANCE/ LOSE CONTROL/DIZZY	32 32% 100%	2 22% 6%	2 29% 6%	4 25% 13%	28 33% 88%	13 45% 41%	15 28% 47%	16 28% 50%	13 425 415	3 27% 9%	21 37% 66%	11 26% 34%	15 27६ 47६	17 43% 53%		7 28% 22%	25 33% 78%	12 349 389	20 31% 63%	1 100% 3%	31 31% 97%
AFFECT ANTICIPATION	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 2भ 100भ			1 41 100%			1 2% 100%		1 1 % 100%
MAKES YOU SICK	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%	1 3% 100%			1 31 1001			1 2% 100%		1 34 100%			1 1 %. 1 00%		1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
OTHER	2 2% 100%				2 22 100%		2 4% 100%	2 3% 100%			2 43 100%) 21 501			2 82 1001			2. 3% 100%		2 2% 100%
NET AFFECT ON SENSE RESPONDENTS	57 57% 100%	6 67 3 11 3	3 439 59	9 56% 16%	48 57% 84%	15 529 269	33 61% 58%	34 592 609	19 61% 33%	4 36% 7%	32 561 561	25 581 445	34 (]. 507	22 55¥ 394	1 دور بر	11 449 199	46 614 814	21 60+ 374	36 55¥ 63¥		57 58¥ 100≠

TABLE 12 (CONT.) BANNER

BY Q5.3-MAIN WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL PERCIEVED TO EFFECT DRIVING SKILLS

ĺ		INCID		LICENC	ING	το (λ Lici		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	ĸ		YEAR		STAT	TE	LOCAT	тон	TYPI OF SCI	
	TOTAL	L	CENCED	1	UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YR9	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	8	
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL											Ĭ						
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100 % 54 %	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100\$ 43\$	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
Q5.3-MAIN WAYB IN W Driving skills	ICH AL	OHOL PE	RCIEVED	TO EF	ECT																
OTHER	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 2% 100%	1 22 100%		i		1 13 1003		1 22 100%		1 13 1003
NET AFFECTS THE DRIV RESPONDENTS	ING 5 5% 100%	1 11% 20%		1 6\$ 20\$	4 5\$ 80\$	2 7% 40%	2 4% 40%	3 5% 60%	2 61 401		1 2% 20%	4 93 803	2 42 402	3 8% 60%			5 7¥ 100%	4 11% 60%	1 2% 20%		5 51 1001
SLOPPY/CARELESS Diriving	1 1% 100%	1 11% 100%		1 6% 100%					1 3% 100%			1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%				1 1% 100%	1 31 1009			1 1% 100%
DRIVE TOO FAST/ Speeding	3 31 1001				3 41 1001	2 7% 67%	1 2% 33%	2 31 671	1 34 334			3 7* 100*	1 22 334	2 58 672		l	3 43 1003	2 69 679	1 24 332		3 3% 100%
CAN'T CONTROL CAR PROPERLY/LOSS CONTROL OF THE CAR	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%			1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%	1 37 1007			1 1% 100%
NET OTHER CONTINUES RESPONDENTS	25 25% 100%	1 11% 4%	4 57% 16%	5 31% 20%	20 24\$ 80\$	В 28% 32%	11 20% 44%	11 19% 44%	7 234 289	7 64% - 28%	16 284 644	9 21% 36%	14 25% 569	9 239 361	2 67% 8%	9 36% 36%	16 21% 64%	9 26 t 36 t	16 259 649		25 25% 100%
NO INFORMATION	25 25% 100%	1 11% 4%	4 572 162	5 31% 20%	20 2415 803	8 28% 32%	11 20% 44%	11 198 448	7 234 284	7 643 283	16 28% 64%	9 21% 36%	14 259 569	9 23% 36%	2 67 โ 8 โ	9 361 361	16 21% 64%	9 269 364	16 253) 643		25 25% 100%
NO RESPONSE	1] ३ 100३				1 13 1003		1 2% 100%	1 21 1001				1 28 1008	1 25 1001			1 41 100%			1 27 100 <i>1</i>		1 1% 100%

.

PAGE 30

:

1

ſ		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN		то с		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SĽ	ι I		YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT		TYPI OF SCI	
	TOTAL	L	CENCED	,	UNLICE -NCED	A LICI		15-YR9	16-YR9 1	17-YR9	MALE		10	11	12	VIC	SA	СІТҮ	COUN - TRY	B	100L
i	101742	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL										1							_	
TSPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100\$ 9\$	7 100% 7%	16 100x 16x	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100 % 99 %
54-YOUNG PEOPLE AR	BETTE	DRIVER	S THAN	OTHER	COPLE																
TREE STRONGLY (1)	2 21 1001				2 2% 100%	1 3% 50%	1 28 508	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%		2 4% 100%	i	1 2% 50%	1 38 508	i	1 4\$ 50\$	1 1\$ 50\$		2 31 1001		2 21 1001
JREE (2)	4 43 1003	i	1 14% 25%	1 6% 25%	3 43 753	2 7%; 50%;	1 2% 25%	3 5% 75%	.1 38 258		2 4% 50%	2 5% 50%	1 2% 25%	3 8% 75%			4 53 1005	3 9% 75%	1 2% 25%		4 43 1003
W'T DECIDE (3)	20 20% 100%	4 443 203		4 25\$ 20\$	16 19% 80%	4 14% 20%	12 223 603	11 193 553	6 194 304	3 27% 15%	12 21% 60%	8 19% 40%	11 20% 55%	9 23% 45%		3 12% 15%	17 23% 85%	8 23% 40%	12 181 601	1 100% 5%	19 19% 95%
ISAGREE (4)	59 593 1003	4 443 73	5 71% 8%	9 56% 15%		17 59% 29%	92 593 543	35 608 598	19 61% 32%	5 45% 8%	30 53% 51%	29 67% 49%	35 63% 59%	22 55% 37%	2 67% 3%	15 60% 25%	44 593 753		42 65% 71%		59 60% 100%
TRONGLY DISAGREE	14 14% 100%	1 11% 7%	1 14% 7%	2 13% 14%	143	4 14% 29%	8 15% 57%	6 143 573	4 13 % 29 %	2 18% 14%	11 19% 79%	3 7% 21%		5 13% 36%		6 24% 43%	8 11% 57%	7 20% 50%	7 11 4 50 4		14 143 1003
> INFORMATION	1 12 1002				1 1% 100%	1 31 1001				1 9\$ 100\$		1 2% 100%			1 33% 100%		1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
IANS (D. DEVIATION	3.8 0.6	3.7 0.7	3.9 0.9	3.8 0.8	3.8 0.8	3.8 0.9	3.8 0.8	3.8 0.8	3.8 0.8	3.9 0.7	3.8 0.9	3.6	3.9 0.7	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0 0.9	3.8 0.8		3.8 0.8

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989 BANNER *BY* Q6a-YOUNG PEOPLE ARE BETTER DRIVERS THAN OTHER PEOPLE LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

ABLE 14

TABLE 15

.

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

BANNER *BY* Q6b-ITS QUITE OK TO DRINK & FEW BEERS AND THEN DRIVE

.

			ENCE OF	11000		TO			RESPON	DYNTS	SE			YEAR	<u> </u>	STAT	<u> </u>	LOCATI		TYPE	
						A LIC	ENCE			_										OF SCH	
	TOTAL				UNLICE -NCED	YE9	NO NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	HALE	THALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	8	 c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL]]				
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	1001	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	100%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 1003 993
Q65-ITS QUITE OK TO	DRINK	PEW BE	ERS AND	THEN	RIVE														ļ		
AGREE STRONGLY (1)	2. 2% 100%				2 21 1001	1 3%, 50%,	1 2% 50%		1 3% 50%	:	1 2% 50%	1 25 505		1 32 502			2 3% 100%		2 3% 100%		2 2 100 x
AGREE (2)	4 43 1005				4 5% 100%	1 3% 25%	3 6% 75%		1 3% 25%		3 5% 75%	1 23 253		2 5% 50%			4 5% 100%	2 6% 50%	2 3% 50%		4 43 1001
CAN'T DECIDE (3)	5 5% 100%	2 22% 40%		2 13% 40%			2 43 403	1 2% 20%	3 10% 60%	1 9% 20%	2 43 403	3 73 603	- 43	3 8% 60%		2 8% 40%	3 43 603	1 3% 20%	4 63 803		5 5% 100%
DISAGREE (4)	37 37% 100%	2 221 51	1 143 38	3 192 82		62%	15 28% 41%	23 40% 62%	12 39% 32%	2 183 53	24 423 653	13 304 354	38%	15 38% 41%	1 332 32	7 28% 19%	30 40% 81%	13 37% 35%	24 37% 65%		37 37% 100%
STRONGLY DISAGREE (5)	52 528 1008	5 56% 10%	6 863 128	11 69% 21%	49x		33 61% 63%	30 52% 58%	14 45% 27%	8 73% 15%	27 47% 52%	25 58% 48%	54%	19 48% 37%	2 67% 4%	16 64% 31%	36 48% 69%	19 54% 37%	33 51% 63%	1 100% 2%	51 52% 98%
MEANS STD. DEVIATION	4.3 0.9	4.3 0.9	4.9 0.4	4.6			4.4 0.9	4.3 0,9	4.2 1.0	4.6 0.7	4.3 0.9	4.4 0.9	4.4 0.9	4.2 1.0	4.7 0.6	4.6 0.7	4.3 1.0	4.4 0.8	4,3 0,9	5.0	4.3 0.9

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

PAGE 31

99 100**%** 991

2 2% 100% 4 43 100% 5 5% 100%

37 373 100%

51 52% 98% 4.3

0.9

-

TABLE	13 (CONT.)	BANNER *BY* Q5-W	YS IN WHICH ALCOHOL	AFFECTS DRIVING SKILLS
-------	------------	------------------	---------------------	------------------------

		INCIDE	NCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C		ACE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	те	LOCAT	ION	TYP	
	TOTAL	LI	CENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	1 Z	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	OF SCI B	100L
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL															- 1 1 1		
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	100%	99 100% 99%
Q5-WAYS IN WHICH ALC	OHOL A	FECTS DR	IVING	SKILLS																	
OTHER	6 6% 100%		1 14% 17%	1 63 173	5 6% 83%	1 3% 17%	4 75 675	3 5% 50%	1 3% 17%	2 18% 33%	2 4% 33%	4 92 673	3 5% 50%	3 8% 50%			6 81 1001	1 3% 17%	5 8% 83%		5 5% 83%
NET AFFECTS THE DRIV Respondents	8	2		2	6	2	3	5	2	1	Э	5	4	4		z	6	6	2		8
	8% 100%	221 251		13% 25%	71 751	7% 25%	6% 38%	9% 63%	6% 25%	9% 13%	5%; 38%;	12% 63%	71 501	10% 50%		8% 25%	8% 75%	17% 75%	3% 25%		8 * 100 *
SLOPPY/CARELESS Diriving	3 3% 100%			2 13% 67%	1 1% 33%		1 2% 33%	1 2% 33%	1 31 331	1 95 335	1 2% 33%	2 5% 67%	1 21 331	2 51 671		1 4ૠ ૩૩૧	2 3% 67%	3 99 1008			3 3% 100%
DRIVE TOO FAST/ Speeding	5 5% 100%	1 11% 20%		1 6 % 20%	4 5% 80%	2 73 403	2 4% 40%		1 3% 20%	1 9% 20%	1 2% 20%	4 9% 80%	1 2१ 20१	4 10¥ 80%	l	1 41 201	4 5% 80%	4 11% 80%	1 2% 20%		5 5% 100%
CAN'T CONTROL CAR Properly/loss Control of the Car	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%		1 2% 50%	2 3% 100%			2 4% 100%		1 21. 501:	1 39 50%		1 4 % 509	1 1% 50%	1 3¥ 50¥	1 2% 50%		2 2% 100%
OTHER	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%	1 3% 50%	1 2% 50%	2 3% 100%			1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%) 2% 50%	1 3भ 504			2 31 100%	2 6% 100%			2 2% 100%
NET OTHER COMMENTS										ł											6
NO RESFONSE	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%	2 7% 100%		2 3% 100%			2 43 100%		1 29 501	ן 3א 50א			2 3 श्व 100 %		2 3% 100%	ri 🛛	2 2% 100%
	المعسيا	<u> </u>		<u>4</u>	<u>il</u>			L		ł		<u></u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

TABLE 13 (CONT.) BANNER *BY* Q5-WAYS IN WHICH ALCOHOL AFFECTS DRIVING SKILLS

F

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	TO A LIC	GET	NGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STAT	re	LOCAT		TYPE OF SCH	
		L	ICENCED		UNLICE	<u> </u>			16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN		
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO							-					~ TRY	B	с
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100\$ 43\$	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100\$ 25\$	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100 \$ 99 \$
Q5-WAYS IN WHICH AL	OHOL A	FECTS D	RIVINO	SKILLS		ļ				į	L	ł			ļ	l	ļ	•	H		Į.
AFFECTS CONCENTRATION/ BECOME DISTRACTED	26 28% 100%	3 33% 11%	3 43% 11%	6 38% 21%	22 26% 79%	9 31% 32%	13 24% 46%	15 26% 54%	10 32% 36%	3 27% 11%	14 25% 50%	14 33% 50%	12 21% 43%	15 381 541	1 33% 4%	1 42 43	27 36% 96%	13 37% 46%	15 23% 54%		28 28% 100%
MAKES YOU TIRED/ DROWSY/SLEEPY	33 33% 100%	3 33% 9%	1 14% 3%	4 251 121	29 35% 68%	6 21% 18%	23 431 701	22 38% 67%	9 29% 27%	2 18% 6%	20 35% 61%	13 30% 39%	23 41% 70%	9 23% 27%	1 33% 3%	10 40% 30%	23 31% 70%	11 314 331	22 34% 67%		33 33% 100%
DIORIENTATION/NOT WITH IT/DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR DOING	4 41 1001				4 51 1001	1 3% 25%	3 6% 75%	3 5% 75%	1 3% 25%		2 4% 50%	2 5% 50%	3 5% 75%	1 3% 25%	:		4 5% 100%	1 3Կ 25 %	3 51 751		4 41 100*
NET AFFECTS ON MIND Respondents	42 42% 100%	2 22% 5%	3 43% 7%	5 31% 12%	37 44% 88%	8 28% 19%	28 523 673	28 48% 67%	9 29% 21%	5 45% 12%	20 35% 48%	22 51% 52%	27 48% 64%	12 30% 29%	2 67% 5%	10 40% 24%	32 433 763	10 29% 24%	32 49% 76%	1 100% 2%	41. 41% 98%
YOU BECOME OVERCONFIDENT/ FALSE SENSE OF CONFIDENCE	4 4\$ 100\$		1 143 253	1 6% 25%	3 41 751	1 3% 25%	2 4% 50%	2 3% 50%	2 63 501		2 41 501	2 5% 50%	2 4% 50%	2 5% 50%	1	2 ୫୩ ୨୦୩	2 31 5013) 32 253	3 576 7518		4 4% 100%
AFFECTS JUDGMENT/ Clear Thinking/ Can't Make Sensible	25 255 100 3	2 22% 8%	1 14% 4%	3 193 125	22 26% 88%	5 17 1 20 1	17 31% 68%	16 28% 64%	6 19% 24%	3 27% 12%	13 234 524	1 Z 28% 48%	15 27% 60%	7 181 281	2 67 इ. 8 फ	7 28ม 28ม	18 249 729	7 20% 284	18 28% 72%		25) 259 100%
REDUCES AWARENESS/ Become Unaware of Other Drivers	6 61 1001		1 14% 17%	1 6% 17%	5 6% 83%		4 7% 672	5 9% 83%	1 3% 17%		4 72 679	2 5% 33%	5 9% 83%	1 3લ્) 7લ્		4 169 (19	2 39 399		6 91 १००१		6 62 1004
TAKE MORE RISKS	3 31 1001				3 4% 100%	1 3% 33%	2 48 678	3 5% 100%			1 2¥ 339	2 51 671	3 5% 100%		ľ		3 49 100¥		3 5% 100%		3 38 100%
SHOWING OFF/ACT Silly	3 34 100 1				3 43 1008		3 68 1002	3 5% 100%			1 29 334	2 5३ 671	3 59 1007				3 4 # 100	1]a 231	२ 		3 3% 1004

٦Г

.BLE 16

BANNER

BY Q6c-DRIVING WITH A CAR FULL OF FRIENDS MAKES IT DIFFICULT

FOR A NEW DRIVER SINCE HE OR SHE CAN BE TOO EASILY DISTRACTED

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

		INCID	INCE OF	LICENC	ING	TO C A LIC		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	92)	ر		YEAR		STA	re	LOCAT	LON	TYPI OF SC	
	TOTAL		CENCED		UNLICE		NO		16-YR9	17-YRS	MALE	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY	01 BC.	 c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																	
SFONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100%	29 1003 293	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	100%	40 1005 405	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	100%	99 100% 99%
C-DRIVING WITH A C										ľ		i									
REE STRONGLY (1)	46 46% 100%	3 33% 7%	2 29% 4%	5 31% 11%	41 49% 89%	10 34% 22%	31 57% 67%	30 52% 65%	15 48% 33%	1 9% 2%	21 37% 46%	25 58% 54%	29 52% 63%	16 40% 35%		15 60% 33%	31 41% 67%		34 52% 74%		46 46% 100%
REE (2)	39 39% 100%	4 44% 10%	3 43% 8%	7 443 183		14 481 361	18 334 464	20 34% 51%	12 39 % 31%	7 643 183	23 40% 59%	16 37% 41%		14 351 361	3 100% B%	6 24 % 15%	33 44% 85%		21 32% 54%		39 39% 100%
N'T DECIDE (3)	2 2% 100%	1 113 503		1 6% 50%	1 1% 50%		1 2% 50%	1 23 503		1 93 503	1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 31 501		2 81 100%	i	1 3% 50%	1 21 501		2 2% 100%
SAGREE (4)	5 51 1001	1			5 63 1003	1 31 201	3 6% 60%	3 5% 60%	1 3% 20%	1 9% 20%	5 9% 100%		2 43 403	3 84 604		1 4% 20%	4 5% 80%	2 63 403	3 5% 60%		4 41 801
'RONGLY DISAGREE -}	8 8% 100%	1 11% 13%	2 29% 25%	3 19% 38%	5 64 631	4 143 503	1 2% 13%	4 73 505	3 10% 38%	1 9% 13%	7 12% 88%	1 2% 13%	2 43 255	6 15% 75%		1 4% 13%	7 9% 88%	2 61 251	6 9% 75%		8 81 1001
ANS D. DEVIATION	1.9	2.1 1.3	2.6	2.3 1.4	1.8 1.1	2.1 1.3	1.6 0.9	1.8 1.2	1.9 1.2	2.5 1.1	2.2 1.4	1.5	1.7 1.0	2.2	Z.O	1.7	2.0	2.0 1.1	1.9 1.2		1.9 1.2

PAGE 33

.

.

.8

BY Q64-DRIVING AT NIGHT IS REALLY NO MORE OF A PROBLEM THAN DRIVING DURING THE DAY

BANNER

.

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

ĺ			ENCE OF			TO G		AGE OF	RESPON	ENTS	BE	۲		YEAR		STAT	re	LOCAT		TYPI OF SCI	
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED		NO	15-YRS	16-YRS :	L7-YRS	MALE	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SN	CITY	COUN - TRY	в	c
		LEARNE - R	P OR Full	TOTAL							<u> </u>										
SPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 1003 113	57 100% 57%	43 1003 433	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100\$ 3\$	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%		99 100% 99%
d-DRIVING AT NICH VING DURING THE D		LLY NO I	HORE OF	A PRO	LEM TH	LNr L															
REE STRONGLY (1)	4 43 1003		1 143 253	1 64 254		1 3% 25%	2 43 503	1 2% 25%	2 63 503	1 91 251	3 5% 75%	1 2% 25%		2 58 508		2 8% 50%	2 34 504	1 3% 25%	3 5% 75%		3 3% 75%
;REE (2)	15 153 1003	1 11% 7%	1 14% 7%	2 13% 13%	15%	8 28% 53%	5 9% 33%	6 10% 40%	6 19% 40%	3 27% 20%	6 11% 40%	9 21% 60%	7* 27*	9 23% 60%	2 67% 13%	3 12% 20%	12 16% 80%		12 18% 60%		15 15% 100%
N'T DECIDE (3)	6 6% 100%	•			6 7% 100%	5 17 % 83%	1 24 174	2 31 331	3 104 504	1 9\$ 17\$	3 5% 50%	3 73 503	2 43 333	4 10% 67%			6 8% 100%	4 113 673	2 34 334		6 6% 100%
:SACREE (4)	50 50% 100%	7 78% 14%	2 29% 4%	9 56% 18%		9 31% 18%	31 57% 62%	34 592 683	12 39% 24%	4 36% 8%	29 51% 58%	21 49% 42%	34 61% 68%	15 38% 30%	1 335 25	14 56% 28%	36 48% 72%	57%	30 462 602		50 51% 100%
RONGLY DISAGREE	25 25% 100%	1 11% 4%	3 43% 12%	4 25% 16%	21 25% 84%	6 21% 24%	15 28% 60%	15 26% 60%	8 26% 32%	2 185 85	16 28% 64%	9 21% 36%	14 25% 56%	10 25% 40%	i	6 24 % 24%	19 25% 76%	20%	18 28% 72%		25 25% 100%
CANS ID. DEVIATION	3.8 1.1	3.9 0.8	3.7 1.6	3.8	3.8 1.1	3.4 1.2	4.0 1.0	4.0 0.9	3.6 1.3	3.3 1.3	3.9 1.1	3.7 1.1	4.0 1.0	3.5	2.7 1.2	3.8 1.2	3.8 1.1	3.8 1.0	3.7	1.0	3.8 1.1

.BLE 18

BY Q6e-THE PRESENT BULES MAKE GETTING & DRIVERS LICENCE

TOO HARD FOR YOUNG PEOPLE

BANNER

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

ĺ			ENCE OF		ING .	TO C			RESPON		912	,		YEAR		STAT	ε	LOCAT	10N	TYPE	
		L	ICENCED		UNLICE	A LIC		15-YRS	16-YRS		MALE I	'EHALE	10	11	12	Vic	SA	СІТҮ	COUN - TRY	OF SCH B	
	TOTAL	LEARNE - R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	YES	NO												-181	,	
BPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 1003 293	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%		75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 % 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
O HARD FOR YOUNG B		GETTING	V DEIA	ENS LI	ENCE																ľ
REE STRONGLY (1)	7 73 1003	2 22% 29%		2 13\$ 29\$		2 78 298	3 6% 43%	2 34 298	4 132 578	1 9% 14%	3 5% 43%	4 9% 57%	4 71 571	3 8% 43%		3 12% 43%	4 5* 57*	4 11% 57%	3 5% 43%		7 73 1003
:REE (2)	16 16% 100%	1 11% 6%		1 6% 6%	15 18% 94%	9 31% 56%	6 11% 38%	11 19% 69%	5 16% 31%		8 14% 50%	8 193 503	8 141 501	8 20% 50%		2 8% 13%	14 193 883		6 98 388		16 163 1003
N'T DECIDE (3)	18 18% 100%	2 22% 11%	1 14% 6%	3 19% 17%		5 17% 26%	9 17% 50%	10 17% 56%	6 19% 33%	2 18% 11%	14 25% 78%	4 98 228	10 18% 56%	8 20\$ 44\$		3 125 175	15 20% 83%		13 20% 72%		18 16% 100%
SAGREE (4)	49 493 1003	3 33% 6%	5 71% 10%	8 50% 16%	41 493 843	11 38% 22%	30 56% 61%	31 53% 63%	12 39% 24%	6; 55% 12%	27 47% 55%	22 51% 45%	30 54% 61%	16 40% 33%	3 100% 6%	14 56% 29%	35 47% 71%	14 40% 29%	35 54% 71%		49 493 1003
RONGLY DISAGREE -)	9 93 1003	1 11% 11%	1 142 112	2 138 228	7 83 783	2 7% 22%	5 98 56 t	4 72 443	4 13% 44%	1 93 113	4 78 443	5 12% 56%	4 73 443	4 10% 44%		3 12% 33%	6 8% 67%	2 61 221	7 11% 78%		9 9% 100%
, RESPONSE	1 1% 100%				1 1% 100%		1 2% 100%			1 9% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 3\$ 100\$			1 1* 100*		1 2% 100%	1 100% 100%	
D. DEVIATION	3.4 1.1	3.0 1.4	4.0 0,6	3.4 1.2	3.4 1.1	3.1 1.1	3.5 1.0		3.2 1.3	Э.6 1.1	3.4 1.0	3.4 1.2	3.4 1.1	3.3 1.1	4.0	3.5 1.2	3.3 1.1	3.0 1.2	3.6		3.4 1.1

PAGE 35

1

BANNER

BY Q61-HAVE A DRIVERS LICENCE IS A PRIVILEGE YOU HAVE TO EARN EVEN AFTER YOU HAVE PASSED THE DRIVING TEST

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

.

		INCID	ENCE OF		ING	TO C A LICE		AGE OF	RESPOND	ENTS	SEX	:		YEAR		STAT	ΓE	LOCATI		TYPE OF SCI	
	TOTAL		CENCED	E		YES		15-YRS 1	16-YR8 1	.7-YRS	MALE P	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	в	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-1000	123															
SPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 1001 71	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
IL-HAVE & DRIVERS I IEN AFTER YOU HAVE					VE TO	ARN															
REE STRONGLY (1)	20 20% 100%	1 11% 5%		1 6% 5%	19 23% 95%	3 10% 15%	16 30% 80%	15 26% 75%	4 13% 20%	1 9% 5%	10 18% 50%	10 23% 50%	14 25% 70%	5 13% 25%		6 24% 30%	14 19% 70%	3 9% 15%	17 26% 85%		20 20% 100%
;REE (2)	48 483 1003	4 443 83	4 57% 8%	8 50% 17%		16 55% 33%	23 43% 68%		10 58% 38%	2 18% 4%	28 493 583	20 47% 42%	25 45% 52%	21 53% 44%	2 67% 4%	11 44% 23%	37 49% 77%		34 52% 71%		48 48% 100%
W'T DECIDE (3)	24 24% 100%	2 22% 8%	3 43% 13%	5 31% 21%		7 24% 29%	12 22% 50%	11 19% 46%	8 26% 33%	5 45% 21%	14 25% 58%	10 23% 42%	14 25% 58%	9 23% 38%	1 338 48	5 201 211	19 25% 79%		11 17% 46%	1 100% 4%	23 23% 96%
SAGREE (4)	6 63 1003	1 11% 17%		1 6% 17%	5 61 831	3 10% 50%	2 43 338	3 5% 50%	1 3% 17%	2 18% 33%	4 72 672	2 51 331	2 41 331	4 10% 67%		1 4% 17%	5 7% 83%		2 3% 33%		6 6% 100%
'RONGLY DISAGREE	1 1% 100%				1 13 1003		1 2% 100%	1 2% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 2% 100%			1 41 1001			1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
) INFORMATION	1 1% 100%	1 11% 100%		1 6% 100%						1 93 1003		1 2% 100%		1 3% 100%		1 4% 100%		1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%
ANS D. DEVIATION	2.2 0.9	2.4 0.9	2.4	2.4 0.7	2.2 0.9	2.3 0.8	2.1 0.9	2.1 0.9	2.2	2.8 0.9	2.3 0.9	2.1 0.8	2.1 0.9	2.3	2.3 0.6	2.2 1.0	2.2 0.8		2.0 0.8	3.Q	2.2 0.9

•

BANNER

BY Q69-IT TAKES A YEAR OR TWO OF DRIVING TO BE ABLE TO

RECOGNISE DANGEROUS DRIVING SITUATIONS OR TO MAKE SAFE JUDGEMENTS

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

Ĩ		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO C		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SEJ	(YEAR		STAT	rE	LOCAT	ION	ТҮР	
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES	NO	15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YR9	MALE I	EHALE	10	11	1 2	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY	OF SCI	HOOL
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL																		
SPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%		29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 1003 113	57	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100 1 35 1	65 100% 65%		99 1001 991
-g-IT TAKES & YEAR COGNISE DANGEROUS						JUDGEMEN	TS														
REE STRONGLY (1)	27 273 1003	443 153	3 43% 11%	7 44% 26%		4 14% 15%	16 303 593	284	7 231 261	4 36% 15%		10 23% 37%	16 29% 59%	9 231 331	1, 332, 43,	9 36% 33%	18 241 671	7 20% 26%	20 31% 74%		27 271 1001
:REE (2)	45 45% 100%	3 33% 7%	2 29% 4%	5 31% 11%	48%	19 66% 42%	20 373 443	26 45% 58%	15 48k 33r	4 36% 9%	23 40% 51%	22 51% 49%	24 43% 53%	20 50% 44%	1 33% 2%	9 36% 20%	36 48% 80%	14 402 312	31 48% 69%	100%	44 443 985
N'T DECIDE (3)	13 13 100		1 14% 8%	1 6% 8%	12 14% 92%	2 7% 15%	10 19% 77%	11 19% 85%	2 6% 15%		5 9% 38%	8 19% 62%	10 18% 77%	3 8% 23%		4 16% 31%	9 123 693	6 17% 46%	7 11% 54%		13 13% 100%
SAGREE (4)	14 14% 100%	1 11% 7%	1 14% 7%	2 13% 14%	12 14% 86%	4 14% 29%	8 153 573	5 9 1 361	6 19% 43%	3 27% 21%	11 19% 79%	3 7% 21%	6 11% 43%	7 18% 50%	1 33% 7%	3 12% 21%	11 15% 79%	7 20% 50%	7 11% 50%		14 143 100%
'RONGLY DISAGREE }	1 11 1001	1 11% 100%		1 6% 100%					1 3\$ 100\$		1 21 1001			1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%	1 3% 100%			1 13 1005
ANS D. DEVIATION	2.2 1.0	2.1 1.5	2.0	2.1 1.3	2.2 1.0	2.2 0.9	2.2 1.0	2.1 0.9	2.3	2.2 1.3	2.2	2.1 0.8	2,1 0.9	2.3	2.3 1.5	2.0 1.0	2.2	2.5 1.1	2.0 0.9		2.2 1.0

BANNER

. •

BY Q6h-A9 LONG AS I HAVE ONLY HAVE THREE DRINKS AN HOUR I CAN DRIVE SAFELY AND BE UNDER THE LIMIT

1.5751	OF	AGREEMENT	VITE	THE	STATEMENT
LEAEL	UF.	AVREENERI	4110	175	SIVICUENT

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO (A LIC	-	AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	922	,		YEAR		STAT	re	LOCAT	אס	TYPE OF SCH	
·	TOTAL	٤	ICENCED		UNLICZ -NCED		NO		16-YRS	17-YR3	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SX	СІТУ	COUN - TRY		
		LEARNE -R		TOTAL																	
SPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 1005 435		40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100 % 75 %		65 1001 651	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
in-As long as I ha (Ive Bafely and Be				nxs an	HOUR I	CAN															
IREE STRONGLY (1)	2 2% 100%				2 2% 100%		2 4 % 100%	:	1 3% 50%	1 94 504	1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 25 505	1 3% 50%		1 4% 50%	1 1% 50%		2 3% 100%		1 1% 50%
;REE (2)	4 43 1003				4 5% 100%	1 3% 25%	3) 6% 75%	3 5% 75%] 3% 25%		3 5% 75%	1 2% 25%	3 5% 75%	1 3% 25%		1 4% 25%) 41 751		3 5% 75%		4 43 1003
W'T DECIDE (3)	14 143 1003	2 223 143		2 138 148	12 143 863	5 17¥ 36¥	7 138 508	148	4 13¥ 29%	2 181 141	7 12% 50%	7 163 503	6 11% 43%	8 208 578	l		14 193 1003		6 9% 43%	í de la companya de la compa	14 14% 100%
(SAGREE (4)	41 41% 100%	3 338 78	2 29% 5%	5 31% 12%		12 41% 29%	23 43% 56%	478	11 35% 27%	3 27% 7%	24 42x 59*	17 40% 41%	27 48% 66%	12 30% 29%	2 67% 5%	11 44% 27%	30 40% 73%	13 37% 32%	28 43% 68%		41 41 100
FRONGLY DIBAGREE	39 39% 100%	4 443 103	5 71% 13%	9 56% 23%	36%	11 38% 28%	19 35% 49%	20 34% 51%	14 45¥ 36¥	5 45% 13%	22 39% 56%	17 403 443	19 34% 49%	18 453 463	1 33% 3%	12 48¥ 31¥	27 36% 69%	37%;	26 40% 67%		39 39% 100%
CANS (D. DEVIATION	4.1 0.9	4.2 0.8	4.7 0.5	4.4	4.0	4.1 0.8	4.0 1.0	4.1 0.8	4.2	4.0 1.3	4.1 0.9	4.1 0.9	4.1 0.9	4 1 1 0	4.3 0.6	4.3 1.0	4.1 0.9		4.1 1.0	1.0	4.1 0.9

•

BANNER

BY Q61-PRINKING COFFEE OR MAKING MYBELF VOMIT WILL QUICKLY GET RID OF ALCOHOL FROM THE BLOODSTREAM

	<u> </u>		ENCE OF		ING	TO C A LIC		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	35	x		YEAR		STA	ne.	LOCAT	ION	TYPI OF SCI	-
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED		-		16-YR9		MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	AR	CITY	COUN +TRY		c
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL																	
:Spondent9	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 1001 751	35 100% 35%	65 1003 653	1 100% 1%	99 1003 993
G-DRINKING COFFEE				T WILL	QUICKL								1		ł						
REE STRONGLY (1)	1 1% 100%				1 11 1001	1 3% 100%	:		1 3% 100%		1 2% 100%		1 2% 100%			1 43 1003			1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%
IREE (2)	2 2% 100%				2 23 1003	1 3% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 2% 50%	1 3% 50%		1 2% 50%	1 28 508		1 38 508		1 4% 50%	1 1% 50%) 3% 50%	1 23 503		2 23 1003
W'T DECIDE (3)	6 6% 100%				6 71 1001	1 3% 17%	5 93 833	3 51 501	1 3% 17%	2 18% 33%	2 44 334	4 93 673	3 5% 50%	3 8* 50*			6 8% 100%	1 3% 17%	5 61 831		5 5%, 83%
(SAGREE (4)	29 29% 100%	4 44% 14%		4 25% 14%	25 30% 86%	8 28% 28%	16 30% 55%	19 33% 66%	7 233 243	3 27% 10%	13 23% 45%	16 37% 55%	29%	12 30% 41%	1 33% 3%		22 29% 76%	31%	18 28% 62%	H	29 29% 100%
RONGLY DISAGREE	62 62% 100%	5 56% 8%	7 100% 11%	12 75% 19%	60%	10 62% 29%	32 593 523	35 60% 56%	21 68% 34%	6 55% 10%	40 70% 65%	22 51% 35%		24 60% 39%	2 67% 3%	16 643 263	46 61% 74%	633	40 62% 65%		62 63% 100%
CANS ID. DEVIATION	4.5 0.8	4.6 0.5	5.0	4.6 0.4	4.4 0.9	4.4 1.0	4.5 0.7	4.5 0.7	4.5 1.0	4,4 0,8	4.6 0.8	4_4 0.8	4.5 0.8	4.5 0,8	4_7 D.6	4.4	4.5		4.5	3.0	4.5 0.8

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

BLE 23

BANNER *BY* Q6j-YOUNG DRIVERS SHOULD NOT DRIVE LATE AT NIGHT

.

.

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

.

.

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	1	TO N LIC		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SĒ	x		YEAR		STAT	ſE	LOCAT	101	TYPI OF SCI	
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	YES		15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN		<u> </u>
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		125													-TRY	В	
SPONDENTS	100 1003 1003	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
J-YOUNG DRIVERS S	OULD N	T DRIVE	LATE A	T N1GH							I.										
REE STRONGLY (1)	4 43 1003	1 11% 25%		1 6% 25%		1 3% 25%	2 43 503	2 3% 50%	2 6% 50%		2 43 503	2 5% 50%	2 4% 50%	2 5% 50%		1 43 253	3 43 758	1 3% 25%	3 5% 75%		4 42 1002
REE (2)	17 173 1003	1 11% 6%	1 14% 6%	2 13% 12%	181	5 17% 29%	10 19% 59%	171	4 13% 24%	3 273 183	10 18% 59%	7 16% 41%	10 18% 59%	7 18% 41%		4 16% 24%	13 17 % 76%	7 20% 41%	10 15% 59%		17 17% 100%
N'T DECIDE (3)	17 17% 100%	2 22% 12%		2 13% 12%		4 14% 24%	10 19% 59%	13 22% 76%	4 13% 24%		11 19% 65%	6 14% 35%	12 21% 71%	5 13% 29%		5 20% 29%	12 16% 71%	8 23% 47%	9 14% 53%		17 171 1001
.SAGREE (4)	40 403 1003	3 33% 8%	3 43% 8%	6 38% 15%	401	13 45% 33%	21 39% 53%	23 40% 58%	13 42% 33%	4 36% 10%	16 321 451	22 51% 55%	23 41% 58%	15 381 381	2 671 51	8 32% 20%	32 433 803	11 31% 28%	29 45% 73%		40 40% 100%
'RONGLY DISAGREE	22 22% 100%	2 223 93	3 43% 14%	5 31\$ 23\$	20%	6 21% 27%	11 20% 50%	10 17% 45%	8 263 368	4 36% 18%	16 28% 73%	6 14% 27%	9 16% 41%	11 28% 50%	1 33% 5%	7 28% 32%	15 20% 68%	8 23% 36%	14 223 643	1 100% 5%	21 21% 95%
IANS ID. DEVIATION	3.6 1.1	3.4	4.1	3.8		3.6	3.5 1.1	3.5 1.1	3.7 1.2	3.8 1.3	3.6 1.2	3.5 1.1	3.5 1.1	3.7 1.2	4.3 0.6	3.6 1.2	3.6 1.1	3.5 1.1	3.6 1.1	5.0	3.6 1.1

PAGE 40

...

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

ABLE 24

BANNER *BY* Q6a-YOUNG PEOPLE ARE BETTER DRIVERS THAN OTHER PEOPLE Level of Agreement with the Statement

:	<u> </u>	INCID	INCIDENCE OF LICENCING			TO GET		AGE OF	RESPON	ENTS	SEJ	()	<u>_</u>	YEAR		STAT	E	LOCATION		TYPE	
	TOTAL	L	LICENCED		UNLICE -NCED	•		15-YRS	16-YR8 17-YR9		HALE FEMALE		10	11	11 1Z		VIC SA		COUN -TRY	OF SCH	
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL		ILJ	NO												-1.11	В	
SPONDENTS	100	9	7	16	84	29	54	58	31	11	57	43	56	40	3	25	75	35	65	1	99
is-YOUNG PEOPLE AR	BETTE	DRIVER	THAN	OTHER	EOPLE																
CANS D. DEVIATION	3.8 0.8	3.7 0.7	3.9 0.9	3.8 0.6	3.6 0.6	3,8 0,9	3.8 0.8	3,8 0,8	3.8 0.8	3.9 0.7	3,8 0,9	3.8 0.6	1	3.7 0.9	4.0	4.0 0.9	3.7 0.8		3.8 0.8	3.0	3.8 0.6
D-ITS QUITE OK TO	DRINK .	FEW BEI	CRS AND	тная	RIVE																
CANS "D. DEVIATION	4.3 0.9	4.3 0.9	4.9 0.4	4.6 0.7	4.3 0.9	4.1 0.9	4.4 0.9	4.3 0.9	4.2 1.0	4.6 0.7	4.3 0.9	4.4 0.9	4.4 0.9	4.2 1.0	4.7 0.6	4.6 0.7	4.3 1.0		4.3 0.9		4.3 0.9
ic-DRIVING WITH A	AR FUL	OF FRI	INDS HA	KES IT	DIFFIC	LT.				ſ											
ANS D. DEVIATION	1.9 1.2		2.6 1.7	2.3 1.4	1.8 1.1	2.1 1.3	1.6 0.9	1.8 1.2	1.9 1.2	2.5 1.1	2.2 1.4	1.5 0.8	1.7 1.0	2.2 1.4	2.0	1.7 1.1	2.0 1.2		1.9 1.2	4.0	1.9 1.2
3d-DRIVING AT NICH	13 R.	ו סא ענג	IORE OF	A PRO	LEM TH																
ANS D. DEVIATION	3.8 1.1	3.9 0.8	3.7 1.6	Э.8 1.2		3.4 1.2	4.0 1.0		3.6 1.3	3.3 1.3	3.9 1.1	3.7 1.1		3.5 1.2	2.7 1.2	3.8 1.2	3.8 1.1		3.7 1.2	1.0	3.8 1.1
SA-THE PRESENT RUL	9 HAKE	GETTING	A DELV	ERS LI	ENCE																
ANS D. DEVIATION	3.4 1.1	3.0 1.4	4.0 0.6	3.4 1.2		3.1 1.1	3.5 1.0		3.2 1.3	3.6 1.1	3.4 1.0	3.4 1.2	3.4 1.1	3.3 1.1	4.0	3.5 1.2	3.3 1.1		3.6 1.0		3.4 1.1
ST-HAVE A DRIVERS																					
CANS (D. DEVIATION	2.2 0.9	2.4 0.9	2.4 0.5	2.4 0.7		2.3 0.8	2.1 0.9	2.1 0.9	2.2 0.7	2.6 0.9	2.3 0.9	2.1 0.8	2.1 0.9	2.3 0.8	2.3 0.6	2.2 1.0	2.2 0.8		2.0 0.8		2.2 0.9
ig-IT TAKES A YEAR	ON TWO	OF DRIV	LNG TO	BE ADL	10																
LANS ID. DEVIATION	2.2 1.0	2.1 1.5	2.0 1.2	2.1 1.3		2.2 0.9	2.2 1.0		2.3	2.2 1.3	2.2 1.1	2.1 0.8		2.3 1.1	2.3 1.5	2.0 1.0	2.2 1.0		2.0 0.9		2.2 1.0
Sh-AS LONG AS I HA	E OHLY	HAVE THI	te dri:	NKS. AH	HOUR I	CAN															
CANS TD. DEVIATION	4.1 0.9	4.2 0.8	4.7 0.5	4 4 0 7	4.0 1.0	4.1 0.8	4.0 1.0		4.2 1.0	4.0 1.3	4.1 0.9	4.1 0.9	4.1	4.1 1.0	4.3	4.3 1.0	4.1 0.9		4.1 1.0		4.1
i-DRINKING COFFEE	OR MAK	NG HYSEI	VONI	T WILL	QUICKL																ų. j
													L	<u></u>]			L		L	

,

BLE 24 (CONT.) BANNER

.

BY Q61-DRINKING COFFEE OR MAKING MYSELF VONIT WILL QUICKLY GET RID OF ALCOHOL FROM THE BLOODSTREAM

.

			ENCE OF			τo			RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		3 TA1	E	LOCAT	ION	түре	-
		LICENCED		- ···	UNLICE	A LICENCE				17-YR9	MALE	PENALE	10	11	12	VIC	5 λ	CITY	COUN		
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL			жо											1	-TRY	B	c
SPONDENTS	100		7	16			54		31	11	57	43	56	40	3	25	75	35	65	1	99
1-DRINKING COFFEE T RID OF ALCOHOL 1				T WILL	ONICKÉ													1			
ANS D. DEVIATION	4.5 0.6		5.0	4.8			4.5		4.5	4.4		4.4		4.5	4.7	4.4	4.5		4.5		4.5
				0.4		1.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.8		0.8
J-YOUNG DRIVERS S	IOULD NO	T DRIVE	LATE A	T NICH											i						1
CANS D. DEVIATION	3.6 1.1	3.4	4.1 1.1	3,8 1,2	3.6 1.1		3.5 1.1		3.7 1,2	3.6 1.3	3.6 1.2	3.5 1,1		3.7 1.2	4.3 0.6	3.6 1.2	3.6 1.1	•	3.6 1.1	5.0	3.6 1.1

÷

,

		INCID	NCIDENCE OF LICENO		ING .	TO GET A LICENCE		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SL			YEAR		STA	TE	LOCAT		TYPE OF SCHOOL	
	TOTAL		ICENCED		UNLICE			15-YR8	16- YRS 1	17-YR8	MALE I	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN		
		LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	L	-ACLD	128	NO										-TRY	8	c		
SPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 100% 29%	54- 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100x 43x	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%		65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
-RESPONDENT AGE												ĺ									
5-YRS	58 58% 100%				58 693 1003	9 31% 15%	48 892 832	58 100% 100%			31 54% 53%	27 63% 47%		8 20% 14%		19 76% 33%	39 52% 67%	16 46% 28%	42 653 723		58 593 1003
.6-YRS	31 31% 100%	67% 19%	3 43% 10%	9 563 293	22 263 713	18 62% 58%	4 73 138		31 100% 100%		20 35% 65%	11 26% 35%	7 13% 23%	24 60% 77%		5 20% 16%	26 35% 84%	13 37% 42%	18 28% 58%		31 31% 100%
7-YRS	11 11% 100%	3 33% 27%	4 57% 36%	7 442 643	4 5¥. 363	2 7% 18%	2 43 183			11 100% 100%	6 11% 55%	5 12% 45%		8 20% 73%	3 100% 27%		10 13% 91%	6 17% 55%	5 8% 45%	1 100% 9%	10 10% 91%

BLE 26

.

			INCE OF	LICEN	CING	TO GET		AGE OF RESPONDENTS		SEX		YEAR			STATE		LOCATION		TYPE OF SCHOOL		
	TOTAL	L)	ICENCED		UNLICE		NO		16-YRS		HALE	EMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SA	CITY	COUN -TRY		
		LEARNE P OR TOTAL -R FULL	-																		
SPONDENTS	100 1003 1003	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 1003 433	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%		75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
I-RESPONDENT SEX											1	i				1					·
ΨE	57 578 1008	5 56% 9%	5 71% 9%	10 631 181	563	19 66 % 33%	27 508 478	31 53% 54%	20 65% 35%	6 55% 11%	57 100% 100%		29 52% 51%	26 65% 46%	1 331; 21;		43. 57% 75%	22 63% 39%	35 54% 61%	1 100% 2%	56 57% 98%
HALE	43 43% 100%	4 443 93	2 29% 5%	6 38% 14%	н -	10 34% 23%	27 50% 63%	27 47% 63%	11 35% 26%	5 45x 12x		43 1002 1002	27 48% 63%	14 35% 33%	2 671 51		32 433 743	13 37% 30%	30 46% 70%		43 43 100

.

1

.

.

.

X

١

_					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	INCIDENCE OF LICENCING	TO GET	AGE OF RESPONDENTS	SEX	YEAR	STATE	LOCATION
TOTAL	LEARNE P OR TOTAL -R FULL			HALE FEMALE	10 11 1	2 VIC SA	CITY COUN- TRY
100	X				56 40	25 76	

			FULL					_							1						
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100\$ 7\$	16 1003 163	84 100%	29 100% 29%	54 1008 548	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 1002 992
210-YEAR OF SCHOOL																	l				
10	56 563 1005			1 63 23	55 65% 98%	6 21% 11%	48 89% 86%	49 843 883	7 234 134		29 51% 52%	27 632 482	56 100% 100%			23 92% 41%	33 443 593	11 31% 20%	45 69% 80%		56 57% 100%
11	40 40% 100%	89%	5 71% 13%	13 81% 33%	27 32% 68%	22 76% 55%	5 9% 13%	8 143 203	24 77% 60%	8 73% 20%	26 46% 65%	14 33% 35%		40 100% 100%		1 4% 3%	39 52% 98%	23 66% 58%	17 26% 43%	1 100% 3%	39 39% 98%
12	3 31 1001		2 29% 67%	2 13% 67%	1 13 333	1 3% 33%		I		3 27% 100%	1 2% 33%	2 5% 67%]		3 1001 1001		3 43 1001	1 3% 33%	2 3% 67%		3 38 1008
NO RESPONSE	1 18 1008				1 13 1003		1 2% 100%	1 21 1001			1 2% 100%					1 4% 100%			1 2% 100%		1 1% 100%

۰.

TYPE

8

OF SCHOOL

÷.

.

.

۱.

1

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC		to (AGE OF	RESPON	ENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STAT		LOCAT	LO M	TYPE	
	TOTAL		ICENCED	,	UNLICE	YES		15-YRS	16-YRS	7-¥R9		PEMALE	10	11	12		57	CITY	COUN -TRY	OF SCI	
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL			NO NO												-141		Ľ
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%		7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100%	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 56%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100%	43 100x 43x	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100 % 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
JAVE Pre Research	1 13 1003				1. 1% 100%		1 25 1005			1 93 1003	1 2% 100%			1 3\$ 100\$			1 13 1003		1 23 1003		
POST RESEARCH	99 992 1002	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 1003 163	998	29 100% 29%	53 982 542	58 100% 59%	31 1001 311	10 91% 10%		43 1005 435	56 100% 57%	39 98 % 39 %	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	74 99% 75%	35 100% 35%	64 98 % 65%		99 100% 100%

4

٦,

YDSK - OCTOBER 1989

.

.

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICENC		TO		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	L I		YEAR		STAT	(LOCATI	м	TYPE OF SCH	_
i						A LICE YES		15-YRS	L6-YRS	7-YRS	MALE	TEMALE	10	11	12	A1C	SA	CITY	COUN - TRY		
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR FULL	TOTAL	-NCED	128	0H													• •••	ر ب
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	1001	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%	29 1003 293	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 100% 43%	56 100% 56%	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
STATE					· 1																
41C	25 25% 100%	11%		1 63 43	24 293 963	2 7% 8%	21 39% 84%	19 33\$ 76\$	5 16% 20%	1 93 43	14 25% 56%	11 26% 44%	23 413 923	1 3% 4%		25 100% 100%		1 3% 4%	24 37% 96%		25 25% 100%
3A	75 75% 100%	89%	7 1002 92	15 943 203	60 71% 80%	93\$	33 61% 44%		26 841 351	10 91% 13%	43 75% 57%	32 743 433	33 59% 44%	39 98% 52%	3 100% 4%		75 100% 100%	34 978 45%	41 63% 55%	1 100% 1%	74 75% 99%

.

_

TABLE 30 BANNER *BY* AREA

•

-

•

.

•

.

PAGE 48	P	AGE	46	ı.
---------	---	-----	----	----

л.

•

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	CING	ъ		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	re 🔤	LOCAT	-	TYP	
	TOTAL		ICENCED)	UNLICE -NCED			15-YR8	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	Sλ	CITY	COUN -TRY	OF SCI	
	TOTAL	LEARNE -R	P OR Full			163									:				-181	B	, c
ESPONDENTS	100 1003 1005	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100\$ 843	29 100% 29%	54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57 100% 57%	43 1001 431	56	40 100% 40%	3 100% 3%	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%		65 1001 651	1 100% 1%	99 100% 99%
\REA																		:			
21 TY	35 35% 100%	4 44% 11%	4 57% 11%	8 50% 23%	32%	15 52% 43%	12 22% 34%	16 28% 46%	13 428 378	6 55% 17%	22 39% 63%	13 30% 37%	201 ^j	23 58% 66%	1 33% 3%		34 45% 97%	35 100% 100%			35 35% 100%
COUNTRY	65 65% 100%	5 56% 8%	3 432 52	6 50% 12%	57 68% 88%	48%	42 78% 65%	42 72% 65%	18 58% 28%	5 45% 8%	35 61% 54%	30 70% 46%	80%	17 43% 26%	2 67% 3%		41 55% 63%		65 100% 100%	1 100% 2%	64 653 983

		INCID	ENCE OF	LICEN	ING	TO C A LICI		AGE OF	RESPON	DENTS	SE	x		YEAR		STA	tε	LOCAT	H	Түрі	-
	TOTAL	L	ICENCED					15-YRS	16-YRS	17-YRS	MALE	FEMALE	10	11	12	VIC	SX			OF \$CI	1001
		LEARNE -R	P OR Full	TOTAL			NU.												-TRY	B	с
RESPONDENTS	100 100% 100%	1001	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%	84 100% 84%		54 100% 54%	58 100% 58%	31 100% 31%	11 100% 11%	57	43 100% 43%	56 100%	40 100% 40%	3 100 3	25 100% 25%	75 100% 75%	35 100% 35%	65 100% 65%		99 100% 99%
TYPE OF SCHOOL	4			'																	ľ
8	1 13 1005				1 13 100%		1 2% 100%			1 92 1002	1 2% 100%			1 3% 100%			1 1% 100%		1 21 1001	1 100% 100%	
с	99 99% 100%	9 100% 9%	7 100% 7%	16 100% 16%		29 100% 29%	53 98% 54%	58 1003 593	31 100% 31%	10 91% 10%	56 98% 57%	43 100% 43%	1001	39 98% 39%	3 100% 3%		74 99% 75%	100%	64 981 651		99 100% 100%

.

:

Appendix B The Field Documents

.

.

..

. .

	Young Drivers' Survival Kit Schools Enrolment	
Nort	A te 102/247 Pacific Highway th Sydney N.S.W. 2060) 959-5399	J084 May 1989
Name	of School:	
Addr		<u> </u>
<u>Stat</u>	te: Phone:	
Cont	act Name:	
Date	e of Contact Attempt(s):	
Call	-back date:	
Call	-back_date:	
ing Offi Driv whic our scho rece	research company. We have been commissioned by the research company. We have been commissioned by the ce of Road Safety to undertake an evaluation of the rers' Survival Kit, a kit of two videos and support the is aimed at young drivers and learner drivers. records, the Young Drivers' Survival Kit was sent folly sometime during the past yearAre you the p wived it, or was it someone else in your school?	ne Federal ne Young t materials According to to (you/your
Reco	ord comments if relevant	
<u>i)</u>	CONTACT PERSON RECEIVING YDSK AND REPEAT INTRODUC IF PERSON DOES NOT KNOW ABOUT YDSK, ASK FOR ANY C CONTACT WHO MIGHT KNOW OR FOR PRINCIPAL IF NOBODY AWARE OF YDSK, CLOSE IF CONTACT MADE WITH TEACHER AWARE OF YDSK, RECOU	DTHER
	RE-INTRODUCE YOURSELF AND TOPIC Check role of person contacted: Are you the Print are you teaching at that school? First Second This Contact Contact C	ird
	Principal 1 1 1	L
	Teacher	2

Specify:_____ 3

-

•

З

iii) Have you used the Young Drivers' Survival Kit materials yet? <u>IF YRS. ASK:</u> When did you use the materials?

Yes: When:_____

ж

ASK iv) Not used yet

IF PERSON HAS USED KIT, GO TO ENROLMENT

IF NOT USED 111) ASK:

iv) Has anyone else at your school used the Young Drivers' Survival Kit? IF YES, ASK FOR NAME OF PERSON WHO HAS USED. CONTACT THAT PERSON AND START ENROLMENT ALL OVER AGAIN FROM INTRODUCTION

ENROLMENT

As mentioned, AXIA has been asked to conduct an evaluation of the Young Drivers' Survival Kit...To do this, we are required to visit a number of schools in New South Wales and Victoria, and speak to the teachers who have used the kit and also, to some of the pupils who saw the videos.

At each school, we would need to:

- 1. spend 30-45 minutes with you (the teacher using the materials) discussing the value of the material and any improvements which you consider appropriate
- 2. undertake two group discussions, each group with 5-7 young people who saw the material. Each group would take in the vicinity of 1 hour
- 3. have all those young people who saw the material, fill in a short self-completion questionnaire

We would like to visit your school for half a day, sometime within the next (...) preferably on (...). We would need you or someone there to organise things so that we could:

- 1. Distribute and collect the self-completion questionnaires
- 2. undertake the two group discussions
- 3. spend time with you (the teacher)

DISCUSS BEST POSSIBLE DAY/TIME: RECORD ALL COMMENTS AND CONFIRMED TIME BELOW.

AXIA Suite 102. 247 Pacific Highway North Sydney NSW 2060

_

Ref J084

.

Dear Student.

We are interested in your opinions about driving and about road safety. Please take a moment to read this questionnaire and answer the questions for us. Once you have finished, please hand it back to your teacher, or to the researcher who is visiting your school.

Q.1. Do you have a drivers licence? (4) Answer Q.2 (0.5 1.	-	o or three driving s		ys in which	alcohol
<u>Skip to 0.3</u> (No 2							
0.2a) What type of licence do you hold? (5)		3.					••••••
Learners		Q.6	Please lo	xok at the	statemen	ts listed b	elow and
Full licence 3			one. The		the nu∎b	disagree ⊭ er above th r feel.	
b) When did you get this licence?			Here is	an exampl	e:		
Write in the month and year:	(6)					cle to scho	ol".
c) Who taught you to drive?			I 	2 l Agree	3 Can't Decide	L Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Write in:	(7)		In this e		hë person	• Strongly D to school.	isagreed
3.3 If you do MOT have a licence, do you intend to get one within the next six months? (8) Yes		a)	Now, do yo		r disagree	e words.	
3.4 We are interested in knowing what people think about when it comes to driving. For instance, what do you think are the three main risks to safe driving, for a newly litenced driver? Ple	ase		1 	2 Agree		4 Disagree	<u>_</u>
explain as fully as you can.		þ1	"It's quit	e OK to di	rink a few	beers and	then drive"
	(9)		l Strongly	2 J Agree	3 l Can't	4 Disagree	5 Strongly
		c)	Agree	ith a car	Decide	riends make	Disagree
	(10)			for a n∈w	driver si	nce he or s	
			1 	2	3 l	4 	5
).	(11)		Strongly Agree	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	1						

1	2	the day" 3	4	5 (18)		1 Strongly	2 Agree	3 Can't	4 1 Disagree	5 <u> </u>	(24
Strongly Agree	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		Agree		Decide		Disagree	
"The prese too hard f			ng a driver	s licence	Q.7	How old a	re you?	15	.	(25) 1	
1 (2	3	4	5 (19)				16	5	2	
Strongly Agree	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree					-	7 3	-	
			a privilege sed the dri)		
1	2	3	4	5				20		6	
Strongly Agree	Agree	Can't Decide	Disagree		Q.8	And are y	ou	 Ma	ale	(26) 1	-
recognise (dangerous	driving s	iving to be situations on and other	or to make				Fo	m ale	2	
driving ab.	ility"				Q.9	What is t	he name of	your scho	xol?		-
1	2 	3 Can't	4 1 Disagree	5 (21) Strongly		Please wr	ite in:			((27
Strongly	Agree				9						
Strongly Agree	-	Decide	- drinks an	Disagree	Q.10	What clas	s or year	are you in	1?		
Agree	s l hav∈ d	Decide	:drinks an Pli∎it"	-	Q.10	What clas		are you in	1? 		_
Agree "As lone as	s l hav∈ d	Decide		-	 ThanJ	Please wr	ite in: much for c		this questi put in too		-
Agree "As long as drive safe 1 Strongly Agree "Drinking	s 1 have o ly and be 2 i Agree coffee or	Decide only three under the 3 Can't Decide making my	e li∎it" 4 	bour I can 5 (22) Strongly Disagree	 Thani Coulc	Please wr	ite in: much for c	completing s now, and	this questi	lay's date	-
Agree "As long as drive safe 1 Strongly Agree "Drinking	s 1 have o ly and be 2 i Agree coffee or	Decide only three under the 3 Can't Decide making my	e limit" 4 Disagree Vself vomit fom the bloc 4	bour I can 5 (22) Strongly Disagree	Thani Could S <u>ign</u> a	Please wr you very you pleas	ite in: much for c e sign thi	completing s now, and	this questi 1 put in too	lay's date	- -

.

•

...

1. (1)#1 1. (1)#1 1. (29)

Young Drivers	eachers Diary ' <u>Survival Rit Evaluation</u>
AXIA Suite JO2 247 Pacific Highway North Svdney (02) 959-5399	Ref: J0684 July 1989
Dear Teacher As you try the Young Drivers' Survival kit materials for enormously in our analysis of the research results.	us, would you also please fill in this diary? This will help
Hany thanks, Jeany Rush Principal 12/8/89	Q.2a) What level are the students in. who take driver education/road safety with you? řear 10 1
	- Year II 2
Name of School:	Year 12 3
Location: Melbourne 1	b) How many other teachers are there at your school, who teach road safety/driver education?
Geelong 2	One other 1
Bendigo 3	T⊯o others 2
Adelaide 4	Three others 3
Type of School: Government 1	Four or more others 4
Private 2	Q.3 Please describe the class(es) or group(s) with whom you used the Young Drivers' Survival Kit for this evaluation? That is, write in the Year/class.
Technical 1 Non-technical 2	the number of students involved and whether they were boys or girls or a mixed class, whether they were an elective or core Driver Education Class or whether you used it with a Social Science, English, Health or any other subject
Number of pupils at school:	
0.1 Is road safety/driver education taught	
As a separate subject 1	
As a core subject 2	0.4 Which components of the YDSK did you use?
As part of a theme 3	'Th∈ Big Gig' video 1
As an elective	'Road Worrier' video
Other: 5	The pamphlet "Why you should/ shouldn't Drink and Drive 3
	The Teachers' Notes

 Please record exactly how you use the YDSK on each and every occasion you use itRecord the date and time of use. the class you used it with (elective/core/integrated and with what subject) and the way in which you used it. Having written down everything you can think of with regards the way you used it, please write down your impressions of the way in which the students responded to the materials, and then, what you personally thought of the materials and their usefulness to you. 	AXIA
F <u>irst use</u>	Second use
Date:	Date:
No. of Students involved: BoysGirls:	No. of Students involved: Boys:Girls:
Class used with:	Class used with:
Components lised:	Components Used:
Manner in which I used the YDSK materials this day:	Manger in which I used the YDSK materials this day;
My impressions of the way in which the students respondened to the material I used today:	My impressions of the way in which the students respondened to the material I used today:
My comments on the materials I used today and their usefulness to me in giving the lesson:	My comments on the materials I used today and their usefulness to me in giving the lesson:

Third use	Fourth use
Date:	Date:
No. of Students Involved: Boys:Girls:	No. of Students Involved: Boys:Girls:
Class used with:	Class used with:
Components Used:	Components Used:
lanner in which I used the YDSK materials this day:	Manner in which I used the YDSK materials this day:
ty impressions of the way in which the students	My impressions of the way in which the students
respondened to the material I used today:	respondened to the material I used today:
·	
fy comments on the materials I used today and their sefulness to me in giving the lesson:	My comments on the materials I used today and their usefulness to me in giving the lesson:

.....

...

Stage One

Introduction

Tape recorder, say what they like, not all at once, etc

All Classes 1. Who has a driver's licence?

- 2. Who taught them to drive? What experiences did they have of the learning process?
- 3. What is involved in actually getting a licence? How do they feel about it... do they feel confident when a licence first held?
- 4. Should conditions for getting a licence be stricter? That is, how does what they learn at driving school compare to what it is like learning to drive safely on the road?

Should learning drivers have to undertake more lessons or should there be any other conditions or training for pre-licence drivers?

- 5. How do they feel about holding a driver's licence? What does it mean to them? (socially/with family, friends)
- 6. Do they feel any increased responsibility in having a licence? (passengers/other drivers/vehicles on the road etc)
- 7. What do they see as the three main risks of driving?
 as a novive driver
 after a year or so
- 3. What would they see as the most problematic times/places to drive?
- 9. What experiences have they had of problem situations/accidents?
- 10. What skills would those with licences say they are still learning?

11. Specifically:

- What are the major factors in road crashes, in their opinion?
 How can road crashes be prevented?
- What are the major effects of alcohol on drivers? what do they know about levels of drink/skill impaired/how long alcohol stays in the blood stream/whether coffee, food, exercise, vomiting makes any difference?
- What skills are needed for night driving? What are the problems in night driving? What shoudl they be aware of for themselves?
- In night driving? What should they be aware of for themselves?
 Are there any problems of having their friends in the car? What do they think is "peer pressure"?

Group A schools only

- 12. What safe driving lessons have they had at school?
- 13. Specifically, do they recall seeing any videos or movies on safe driving? What do they remember?

14. Road Worrier

- what was the Road Worrier all about?
- who were the characters?
- describe the incidents shown
- what driver skills are being talked about?
 - what do they see as driving skills? How soon will they have these?
 - driver anticipation? How long does it take to have this?
 - driver judgement? How long does this take?
- overall, what did they think of the video?
- was it interesting, boring, well made, bad, exciting, etc?
- did it make its points well, badlv etc?
- was Neil like anyone they knew?
 - would they say that seeing Road Worrier had made them aware of something about driving that they were not otherwise aware of? What is that?

15. The Big Gig

- what was The Big Gig all about?
- who were the characters?
- describe the incidents shown.
- what driver skills are being talked about?
 - what did it say about late night driving?
 - about drink driving?
 - about driving with a car load of friends?
- overall, what did they think of the video?
- was it interesting, boring, well made, bad, exciting, etc?
- did it make its points well, badly etc?
 - was the band and its music. appropriate for them?
- would they say that seeing The Big Gig had made them aware of something about driving that they were not otherwise aware of? What is that?
- 16. Overall, of what value had the video(s) been to them, in being aware of safe driving practices??
- 17. Anything else to sav about education or education materials for young drivers?

Topic Guide

Stage Two

Students'Discussions

Introduction Tape Recorder, say what they like, not all at once etc

- Who attended the first group discussions, earlier in August? 1.
- 2. What if anything had they done, with regard driver education or road safety, between then and now?
- 3. If VIDEOS mentioned, ask the following..
- 4 . Road Worrier
 - what was the Road Worrier all about?
 - who were the characters?
 - describe the incidents shown
 - what driver skills are being talked about?
 - what do they see as driving skills? How soon will they have these?
 - driver auticipation? How long does it take to have this?
 - driver judgement? How long does this take?
 - overall, what did they think of the video?
 - was it interesting, boring, well made, bad, exciting, etc?
 - did it make its points well, badly etc?
 - was Neil like anyone they knew?
 - would they say that seeing Road Worrier had made them aware of something about driving that they were not otherwise aware of? What is that?
- 5. The Big Gig
 - what was The Big Gig all about?
 - who were the characters?
 - describe the incidents phown.
 - what driver skills are being tailed about?
 - what did it say about late night driving? about drink driving?

 - about driving with a car load of friends?
 - overall, what did they think of the video?
 - was it interesting, buring, well made, bad, eventing, even did it make its points well, badly etc? was the band and its mosic, appropriate for them? would they day that dewing The Big Gig had made them dware
 - of something about driving that they bere not otherwise aware of? What is that?
- 6. Overall, of what value had the video(σ) been to them, in being aware of safe driving practices??
- 1. Should learning drivers have to undertake more lessons or should there be any other conditions or training for pre-licence drivers?
- 5. Do they feel any ioncreased responsibility in having a licnece? (passengers/other drivers/vehicles on the road, etc)

- 7. What do they see as the three main risks of driving
 - as a novice driver
 - after a year or so
- 8. What would they see as the most problematic times/places to drive? And why?
- 9. Specifically: (BUT these things may have been covered in the discussion on the two videos...)
- What are the major factors in road crashes, in their opinion?
 How can road crashes be prevented?
- What are the major effects of alcohol on drivers? what do they know about levels of drink/skill impaired/how long alcohol stays in the blood stream/whether coffee,food, exercise, vomiting makes any difference?
- What skills are needed for night driving? What are the problems in night driving? What should they be aware of for themselves?
- Are there any problems of having their friends in the car? What do they think is "peer pressure"?
- 10. Anything else to say about education or education materials for young drivers?

Topic Guide

Stage One

Teacher Interviews

Ref: J084

Introduction

Tape recorder

1. Name and size of school

. .

- 2. Whether driver education/road safety an elective or core subject?
- 3. How many teachers involved there?
- How many kids involved? Describe the way in which driver education 4. taught at their school/by them
- 5. Any comments on this. could the system be improved and how, why?
- 6. What has been done with the groups of students AXIA is talking to?
- 7. Why have the current driver education activities been utilised?
- 8. What materials are involved from: Federal Office of Road Safetv? a) State Road Traffic Authority? Ь)
- 9. Where are there any major gaps or lacks in driver education materials for school students who are pre or learner drivers?
- 10. Have they seen the YDSK materials?
- What comments do they have to make about the YDSK materials: 11 What are the good points, the bad points in regard to content/ execution or style of presentation?
 - the 'Road Worrier' video?
 - -
 - the 'Big Gig' video? the Teachers' Notes? and other resources in the kit?
- 12. In what way can all this material be improved?

Start with overall concepts involved.

then...Road Worrier? Way it presents concepts, etc.

then...Big Gig? as above

- 13. If used. either/both
 - how did they use it/them. with what students
 - how useful was it. at the time?
 - what were their students' reactions to it?
 - will they continue to use? why/why not?
 - _ how will they use it?
- 14. If not using, any (other) reasons why not?
- 15. Will they use in the future? why/why not?

Topic Guide

Stage Two

Teacher Interviews

Ref:J084

Introduction

Tape recorder

Recapitulate

- 1. Name and size of school
- 2. Whether driver education/road safety an elective or core subject?
- 3. How many teachers involved there?
- 4. How many kids involved? Briefly describe the way in which driver education taught at their school/by them
- 5. What has been done with the groups of students ANIA is talking to, since our last visit?
- 6. Did they use the YDSK materials?

If Not: why not (probe fully)

If Used

7. What comments do they have to make about the YDSK materials: What are the good points, the bad points in regard to content/ execution or style of presentation?

the 'Road Worrier' video?
- content?
- style of execution/presentation

believability of Road Worrier

the 'big Gig' video? content? - style of execution, presentation believability of Feed Sorther

the Teachers' Notes? and other resources in the hit?

12. In what way can all this material be improved?

Start with overall concepts involved,

then...Road Worrier? Way it presents concepts, etc.

then...Big Gig? as above

.3. If used, either/both

how did they use it/them, with what students how useful was it, at the time? what were there students? coartions to it? will they continue to use? why/why not? how will they use it?

- 4. If not using, any (other) reasons why not?
- 5. Will they use in the future? why/why not?

Ref: J084 August, 1989

YDSK Code Frames

Question	1 (Extension)
3	No information
Question	2a) (Extension)
4	No information
Question	2b)
1	.1988 or before
2	Jan-Feb 1989
3	March-April 1989
4	May-June 1989
5	July-August 1989
6	No information
7	Other
Question	2c)
1	Parents
2	Brother/Sister
3	Boyfriend/girlfriend
4	Driving school/instructor
5	At school/Teacher

- 6 Royal Automobile Assoc.
- 7 Self

÷

- · 8 Other
 - 9 Don't know
 - 10 No information

Question 3 (Extension)

- 3 Don't know
- 4 No information

Question	4	
Not Experience		
1	Lack of experience/situations not encountered	
	before	
2	Taking risks/doing stupid things/lack of	
	concern for others	
3	Panicking/being nervous/lack of confidence	
4	Other experience comments	
5	Lack of concentration/becoming distracted	
	/careless	
6	Overconfidence	
7	Maintaining concentration/staying alert/keeping	
	eyes on road	
8	Lack of judgement	
9	Tiredness/long distance driving	
10	Other driving risk comments	

Please note that codes 1/3/4/8 should be analysed under the net heading of Net Experience comments. Codes 2/5/6/7/9/10 should be analysed under the net heading of Net Driving risks comments.

> Net Speed Comments 11 Driving too fast/speeding 12 Driving too slow 13 Being overcautious 14 15 16 Other speed comments Net Environmental Comments 17 Wet weather/fog 18 Pedestrians 19 Other drivers/careless drivers/impatient drivers 20 Night driving 21 Heavy traffic 22 Bikes 23 Other environment comments

Net Peer Pressure Comments Friends in car/back seat/cause distractions 24 Radio blaring/music loud 25 Showing off/acting smart/cool 26 27 Peer group pressure 28 29 Other peer group pressure comments Net Alcohol/Drugs Comments 30 Alcohol Drinking and driving 31 32 Taking/smoking drugs Drunk drivers 33 34 35 36 Other alcohol/drugs comments Net Car Comments 37 Not regularly checking car/Having car serviced/unroadworthy car Powerful/fast cars 38 Being aware of other cars on the road 39 Not wearing seatbelt 40 41 42 Other car comments Net Other Comments 43 Ignoring safety precautions 44 Not obeying road rules signs 45 Other comments No information 46 47 Don't know

Question 5

Net Effects on the body 1 Slows reflexes 2 Slows reaction time/response slower 3 Affects vision/eyesight 4 Affects co-ordination/sense of balance/lose control/dizzy 5 Affect anticipation 6 Makes you sick 7 8 Other Net Effect on Senses Affects concentration/become distracted 9 10 Makes you tired/drowsy/sleepy Disorientation/not with it/don't know what 11 you're doing 12 13 14 15 Other Net Effects on Mind You become overconfident/false sense of 16 confidence 17 Affects judgement/clear thinking/can't make sensible decisions 18 Reduces awareness/become unaware of other drivers 19 Take more risks 20 Lack of ability to recognise dangerous situations 21 Showing off/act silly 22 23 24 Other

```
Net Effects the Driving
     25
          Sloppy/careless driving
     26
         Drive too fast/speeding
     27 Can't control car properly/lose control of car
     28
         Makes you a danger to others/cause accident
     29
     30
     31
         Other
    Net Other Comments
     33
     34 .
     35
        Other comments
     36 No information
     37 Don't know
Question 6 a)-j) (Extension)
    6 No information
Question 7 (Extension)
    7
        No information
Question 8 (Extension)
        No information
    8
Question 10 (coded in col 27)
    1
         Year 10
    2
         Year 11
         Year 12
     3
    4
         Other
    5
        No information
```

Please note that we need a code for research wave. We have not left a column number for the research wave (there are two waves) so suggest that we make it column 28 at the end of the questionnaire and the codes are as follows:

code 1 = pre research
code 2 = post research

Also note the following additions:

B 2 C 3 ? 4

Appendix C The Brief

. . - ----

.

.

.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS

Our Reference. Your Reference: Contact:

> AXIA Suite 202 247 Princes Highway <u>NORTH SYDNEY</u> NSW 2063

Dear Sirs,

CONSULTANCY COMMISSION - EVALUATION OF YOUNG DRIVERS SURVIVAL KIT

The Department of Transport and Communications wishes to invite your company to submit a proposal for the above Consultancy project, in naccordance with the attached specification.

Any resultant contract would be in accordance with the Department's "General Conditions of Contract for Consultancy Services", a copy of which is also attached.

Should you wish to submit a proposal for this project, please forward two (2) copies in the envelope provided to the Tender Box by 2.00PM Wednesday 29 March 1989.

Enquiries regarding the content of the specification may be directed to Mr Tim Ward on telephone number (062) 687185. Should you have any queries concerning the <u>submisison</u> of your proposal, please contact the undersigned on telephone number (062) 642599.

Yours Sincerely,

pelinda - mo

Belinda Yeo for Secretary Department of Transport and Communications

8 March 1989



FEDERAL OFFICE OF ROAD SAFETY

PROJECT SPECIFICATION

EVALUATION OF YOUNG DRIVER SURVIVAL KIT

BACKGROUND

Young drivers in Australia are over-involved in road crashes. The age group 16-25 years represents 15% of the population, yet 37% of all road fatalities. Over 1 000 young people are killed in road crashes each year and a further 11 000 admitted to hospital. Of those killed 80% are male.

Young drivers are most at risk when they've had their licence for one or two years. At this time they become over-confident about their driving skills and are more likely to put themselves into situations they are unable to handle. Typical crashes involving young drivers occur late at night with a car full of friends and an alcohol affected driver.

The Young Driver Survival Kit (YDSK) was developed in response to these facts about young drivers. It was completed in July 1988 and distributed, on request, to secondary schools and driver training organisations in all States and Territories. The <u>aim</u> of the material is to increase awareness of the major factors associated with the involvement of young drivers in road crashes. The <u>target group</u> is pre-licence and novice drivers (15-19 years). The kit consists of: two videos ('Road Worrier' and 'The Big Gig'); Teachers notes (2 copies); and two copies of the pamphlet 'Why you should/shouldn't drink and drive'. Approximately two thousand kits have been distributed to date.

The `Road Worrier' video uses light comedy to provide young drivers with a realistic perspective of the skills and time involved in becoming a safe driver. The issue of over-confidence is also addressed. The `Big Gig' video provides information to young drivers about alcohol, late night driving and peer group pressure. Humour, science fiction and rock music are used to convey the messages.

The Teachers' Notes provide the teacher with background information about young people and driving, outline the key teaching points of the videos and provide ideas for teaching strategies and activities which could be used to introduce, reinforce and extend the messages contained in the videos.

Both videos were trialled at the conceptual and script development stages before shooting, and again prior to the final edit stage. In addition 'Road Worrier' has been evaluated in its final form. These evaluations were conducted in small groups in a non-school situation.

OBJECTIVE

To determine how teachers are using the kit with their students and to asses whether the material has met its aim of increasing awareness of the major factors associated with the involvement of young drivers in road crashes.

METHODOLOGY

Suggestions for suitable methodologies are to be provided by the consultant for consideration as part of the tendering process. It is expected that this would include information about sampling technique, proposed method of collecting information and possible outcomes of the project. A list of recipients of the material is available.

OUTPUT

The consultant is required to provide a comprehensive report of the project, including a detailed analysis of the results, conclusions and recommendations. 100 copies of the final report will be required.

TIMING

The evaluation is to be conducted in schools during the second term of the year, with the final report received by FORS by the end of June 1989.

BUDGET

The consultant is required to provide full details of the costing of the proposal, including provision for two return airfares to Canberra for project briefings) Travel should be identified as a separate component.

PROPOSAL

The consultant should submit a research proposal including aims, sampling technique, methodology, expected outcomes, timing and costing.

A copy of the YDSK is enclosed with this corespondence.Further information can be obtained from Kerry Webber on (062) 687 427.