

It has become increasingly evident through the recent actions of the office and the eSafety Commissioner herself, that she is acting more as an activist and using her position and the office as a means of pursuing personal interests and bullying.

The actions of the eSafety Commissioner have been circulated widely on various platforms and Australia has become a "laughing stock" on the international stage.

The office, should in no way be involved in censorship of otherwise lawful material. People need to use their own judgment about what they do and don't want to view and realise that they cannot be protected from "everything".

A strong agreed definition of "hate" is required and must not be used to stifle discussion or speech about widely observable behaviour or hard facts. For example, discussing of widely observed actions or behaviour of any groups should not be considered hateful, or anti-something, or something-phobic. All protections should be applied equally, no matter who is the target audience and no group should be afforded more protection than any other.

If anything, the remit of the office and of the eSafety Commissioner should be severely curtailed. The current eSafety Commissioner should be terminated over her recent behaviour that has brought the office and indeed Australia into disrepute.