

Dear e- Safety Commissioner,

(I dont mind if you publish this.)

Firstly I support repeated intention to cause harm to anyone. This is a standard definition of abuse prominent in every government Code of Conduct. Definition of harm should be limited at preserving the essential nature of 'being human' as defined by the UN Human rights and especially the right of 'life liberty and security of person.'

Also intrinsic in them is the right to self detemination. Such 'safety' definitions by the Safety Commission should have as the Siracusa Principles supplied a caveat on the ICCPR Cov of which Aust is a signatory, should be proportional and NOT subject to widened definitions set by any foreign entitites but by Australians. That is to say that human rights considerations should be treated with the utmost respect and not tampered with just because a minority suffers.

These are considerations of the common good for Australians as a sovereign people. I am against adopting or setting of world wide standards that bypasses our parliament and the say of the people. Fundamental to the common good or safety are set by us the community, not government or lobbied interests or global elites, who seek to gain control over or gain through the publics use of the internet.

E- Safety I would have thought should have more to do with protecting individual's information and preventing hacking for distribution outside of personal consent. Such collection and use which bypasses consent should be at the top of the list of harm. Consent is fundamental to what is to be 'human' and this should be at **the core of your standards.**

Also fundamental to the value and equality of each human is the right of free speech.. to say what ones conscience declares and the right to act morally by supplying factual scientifically variafiable information to any topic for the benefit of each individual to be as well as informed as they can be to make self determined decisions. It is NOT one persons or one group's prerogative to make those for the Australian Community, NOR should they be given the right to decide what is 'safe' or the 'common good'.

To this degree it is right that the govt should respond to complaints and seek justice for those involved. However applying general rules to everyone from complaints from a vocal minority is to argue the general from the particular and is fallacious logic and offensive to the majority. Hearing from the majority is another fundamental principle to our Australian democracy and should be treated as such.

So here Ive stated some fundamental principles for what it is to be human, that these include the UN Declaration of Human rights. I've also expressed the import of any determination of safety be based on protecting privacy, and security of each person...something the internet imposes upon. I believe it is right to protect children from sexual and coercive abuse in all its forms. I believe explicit images are ones own to keep and not have stolen or used to blackmail etc. I wish to support the e Safety Commission its its role there.

I would have thought that implicit in the e-Safety Commission's duty of Care to protect the sovereignty of each individual and our nation to exercise their consent, their conscience and freedom of speech in order to make strong our democratic way of life as the adoption of the best ideas sorted from online and open debate of issues. The Australian public is well endowed with large slabs of common sense and a nose for BS. I would appreciate if your Commission would limit itself to supporting that in order to build a stronger social base, so that there would be much less leaning and dependence on social media for information.

Our society would be much healthier in not depending on the internet as the source for information much less social cohesion. It is an isolating and anti social medium that does little to strengthen social and moral ties in our society.

It is my outraged opinion that the current Commissioner thinks she alone has the power to speak on behalf of us...she does not, she would do well not to initiate but consult and listen to what the people decide to say. We have had enough of lobby and powerful moneyed people thinking they can and should tell us what to do this is extremely offensive and an arrogant misuse of power. Commissioners are paid by the taxpayer and should represent them not laud it over them. I'm sorry she has lost my confidence should be replaced.

Yours Pasionately Australian
Anthony
Australian Citizen