



# Organisation questionnaire response

**Privacy Setting:** I agree for my response to be published with my name and position.

<b>What organisation do you represent?</b>  (required)	The Climate and Health Alliance
<b>What is your name?</b>  (required)	Philip Cornish
<b>What is your position at the organisation?</b>  (required)	Interim CEO
<b>Please rank the proposed options in order of preference.</b>  (optional)	Option A - 3rd, Option B - 2nd, Option C - 1st
<b>Briefly, what are your reasons for your choice?</b>  (optional, 3000 character limit)	As representatives of Australian Health workers and organisations we strongly support options C and B as a start to reducing the emissions of the ageing vehicle fleet in this country. More lower emission and zero emission vehicles are needed. Australian healthcare also is strongly predisposed to support a rapid decrease in emissions as pollution may cause over 11,000 premature adult deaths per year. Vehicle emissions also increases cardiovascular and respiratory hospital admissions in the order of thousands and is thought to cause 66,000 active asthma cases per year. There are other health ill affects. And then there is the greatest impending health risk to the ageing population of this country, increasing climate change which will bring many more health issues. The Climate and Health Alliance would strongly endorse the implementation of a reform that would mean better air quality more quickly, especially for our very young, at risk and older Australians.
<b>Do you support the Government's preferred option (Option B)?</b>  (optional)	NULL
<b>Do you have any feedback on the analysis approach and key assumptions used?</b>  (optional, 3000 character limit)	NULL
<b>Briefly, describe how the NVES might impact your organisation</b>  (optional, 3000 character limit)	Australian healthcare already is dealing with a number of growing challenges at great cost to the Australian community. A rapid decrease in emissions would help ease some of that burden. Reducing pollution and reducing contributions to climate changes will aid productivity in the nation as less hours will be lost to ill health. Reduced costs will



	save all Australians ultimately by decreasing the amount we pay on fuel. Australian health is better when there are less economic burdens on the population. Reducing supply chain vulnerability will reduce some of the risks with price changes at the bowser.
<b>Who should the regulated entity be?</b>  (optional, 3000 character limit)	NULL