



Organisation questionnaire response

Privacy Setting: I agree for my response to be published with my name and position.

What organisation do you represent? (required)	St. Kilda Repair Cafe
What is your name? (required)	John Hillel
What is your position at the organisation? (required)	Coordinator
Please rank the proposed options in order of preference. (optional)	Option A - 0th, Option B - 1st, Option C - 2nd
Briefly, what are your reasons for your choice? (optional, 3000 character limit)	This initiative is long overdue. Encouraging lower emissions whilst allowing for special use vehicles where absolutely necessary is essential if we are going to meet our obligations for energy and emissions transition. Option B seems a reasonable compromise. This kind of transition cannot happen without people being made to be aware that we can't just expect to 'party on' polluting as usual as though no change will be required. It is good the government is willing to be a bit brave in proposing this option; it will likely lose votes (the opposition will see to that with a scare campaign) but it is the minimum necessary. I would pick option 'C' if I thought the public would tolerate it, but option B is going to hard enough to get through as it is.
Do you support the Government's preferred option (Option B)? (optional)	Yes
Do you have any feedback on the analysis approach and key assumptions used? (optional, 3000 character limit)	NULL
Briefly, describe how the NVES might impact your organisation (optional, 3000 character limit)	NULL
Who should the regulated entity be?	NULL



(optional, 3000 character limit)