



Organisation questionnaire response

Privacy Setting: I agree for my response to be published with my name and position.

What organisation do you represent? (required)	Groundswell bass coast
What is your name? (required)	Bernie McComb
What is your position at the organisation? (required)	Web admin
Please rank the proposed options in order of preference. (optional)	Option A - 2nd, Option B - 3rd, Option C - 1st
Briefly, what are your reasons for your choice? (optional, 3000 character limit)	It's distressing that Australia lags so far behind rest of world, except for Russia. Not so optimistic you will do what's necessary in this 2 Party preferred(blighted) world. You can make compromises with all kinds of things, especially economics. But you can't do deals with Laws of Physics. Now that Jap and Korea advise that, to maintain business for "our coal and LNG" they plan to capture emissions, then return them to Australia, to accept responsibility for sequester in depleted oil field in Timor Sea. How low can you go?
Do you support the Government's preferred option (Option B)? (optional)	No
Do you have any feedback on the analysis approach and key assumptions used? (optional, 3000 character limit)	Totally support Climate Council, cancel asset write off and FBT exemption for utes, no super or off cycle credits, credit forward 3 years max, ban high GWP refrigerants, no twin trajectory scam, open, transparent and accountable reporting by owners each vehicle brand.
Briefly, describe how the NVES might impact your organisation (optional, 3000 character limit)	Savings vehicle running cost, improved air quality.
Who should the regulated entity be? (optional, 3000 character limit)	Owners of Brands