Organisation questionnaire response

Privacy Setting: I agree for my response to be published with my name and position.

What	Beyond Zero Emissions
organisatio	
n do you	
represent?	
(
(required) What is	Payan Maaray
your	Rowan Moorey
name?	
name.	
(required)	
What is	Senior Researcher
your	
position at	
the	
organisatio	
n?	
(required)	
Please	Option A - 3rd, Option B - 2nd, Option C - 1st
rank the	
proposed	
options in	
order of	
preference	
•	
(optional)	
Briefly,	Beyond Zero Emissions (BZE) is an independent solution focussed think tank and we
what are	strongly endorse the Australian Government's work on advancing the rollout of
your	electric vehicles (EVs) and implementing a New Vehicle Efficiency Standard (NVES).
reasons for	Our 2022 Deploy report (https://www.bze.org.au/research/report/deploy)
your	highlighted the need for a strong and effective NVES, in that report, we noted: "An
choice?	ambitious EV deployment plan [strategy] is critical to reduce emissions, reduce
/ a matical and	freight and supply chain costs, and eliminate bowser bills for households and
(optional, 3000	industry - reducing our dependence on imported oil. Australia requires a nationwide
character	plan to deploy EVs across all vehicle types, with success in countries such as Norway offering a playbook to emulate."
limit)	one.ing a playbook to enfalte.
,	Option C is BZE's preferred option as it reduces emissions as fast as possible whilst
	also providing the largest benefits to Australians such as cutting the cost of living for
	Australians, providing the highest health benefits, boosting national energy security,
	and improving vehicle safety. Delivering an effective fuel efficiency standard for
	Australia's light vehicle fleet is an essential step to drive down carbon pollution.
	Option C is most closely aligned with a trajectory that puts Australia on the path to

reach net zero by 2050 - according to IEA (https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050) and CSIRO (https://aemo.com.au/-

/media/files/electricity/nem/planning_and_forecasting/inputs-assumptions-methodologies/2021/csiro-ev-forecast-report.pdf).

Option C aligns closely with the European vehicle standards, given Australian alignment with other European standards, its recommended penalties are also aligned. The European Union has established a penalty of \$197 per gram per kilometre (equivalent in Australian dollars) for exceeding the prescribed CO2 emissions per kilometre target (https://climate.ec.europa.eu/eu-action/transport/road-transport-reducing-co2-emissions-vehicles/co2-emission-performance-standards-cars-and-vans en).

https://www.infrastructure.gov.au/sites/default/files/migrated/vehicles/environme nt/forum/files/heavy-vehicle-emission-standards-for-cleaner-air.pdf The exclusion of supercredits and other exploitable gaps in regulations, as seen in both options B and C, represents a commendable approach. Such measures are essential for maintaining the integrity and efficacy of the regulatory framework. It is reasonable and justifiable to include SUVs within the passenger vehicle classification, as proposed in options C and B. Larger vehicles for utility or commercial purposes are appropriately addressed under the light commercial vehicle (LCV) category.

Do you support the Governme nt's preferred option (Option B)? The New European Drive Cycle (NEDC) emission model used in the consultation paper was adopted 50 years ago and is no longer 'new', it is therefore suboptimal for accurately measuring emissions. There is an increasing difference between the NEDC test results and actual on-road emissions, in 2021 there was 45% difference. (https://theconversation.com/australian-passenger-vehicle-emission-rates-are-50-higher-than-the-rest-of-the-world-and-its-getting-worse-222398). The European Union uses the fit-for-purpose Worldwide Harmonised Light-Vehicles Test Procedure (WLTP) emissions model which would be ideal for robust Australian analysis too (https://climate.ec.europa.eu/news-your-voice/news/collecting-real-world-data-co2-emissions-and-fuel-consumption-new-cars-and-vans-2021-03-05_en). If Australia wants to reduce its transport emissions to meet climate targets such as 43% by 2030 then it needs to be accurately tracking emissions.

(optional)

Do you have any feedback on the analysis approach and key assumptio ns used? NULL

3000 character limit)

(optional,

NULL
NULL
_