

Your ref: Our ref: Enquiries: Nicole Lucas-Smith (08) 65519463

Lisa Rauter PSM
First Assistant Secretary – Partnerships and Projects
Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development,
Communications and the Arts
Via email to: PMM@infrastructure.gov.au

Dear Ms Rauter

DRAFT NATIONAL URBAN POLICY - SUBMISSION

Thank you for providing the Western Australian Planning Commission with an opportunity to make a submission on the Draft National Urban Policy (Draft Policy). Congratulations are extended to the Commonwealth Government on reaching this milestone. The potential impact of the Draft Policy in the growth of cities and suburbs across the states and territories could be extensive.

The Draft Policy has been considered against the Western Australian Planning Framework, including policies and strategic land use and infrastructure plans. I am pleased to advise that, largely, there is alignment between the goals, objective and principles in the Draft Policy and our existing Framework.

The key issues highlighted in the review of the Draft Policy related to three key categories, including: vision statement, clarity and consistency, and implementation. Grouped under these themes a table has been attached documenting the key issues that we request are given further consideration prior to the finalisation of the Draft Policy.

We encourage on going collaboration with the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage, as you progress the finalisation of Draft National Urban Policy. Should you have any queries, please contact Nicole Lucas-Smith, Planning Director – Planning Projects on phone (08)65519463 or via email to Nicole.Lucas-Smith@dplh.wa.gov.au

David Caddy Chairman

28/06/2024

Attached – WAPC Submission on the Draft National Urban Policy

ATTACHMENT: WAPC Submission on Draft National Urban Policy

Category	Comment
Vision	Encourage the development of a clear and concise vision
Statement	statement. Also acknowledge that the statement will need to be
	high level to be able to address a range of urban city challenges
	across states and territories.
Urban Growth	Support a strategic systems approach to strategic land use and
	infrastructure planning.
Urban Growth	Goals, Objectives, Principles are broad to capture a range of
	growth scenarios in urban cities and suburbs, however, this does
111 0 4	open itself up to a range of interpretations.
Urban Growth	Encourage consideration of greater emphasis on employment opportunities
Urban Growth	Encourage exploration of potential spatial plans for urban cities with key infrastructure.
Clarity and	There are several terms within the Draft policy, which could
Consistency	benefit from a consistent definition across jurisdictions, especially
	if any monitoring or reporting is to occur against the terms. The
	terms include, but are not limited to:
	Affordable housing, Well-located housing, Resilience, Climate
	mitigation, Accessibility
Implementation	A clear implementation framework be established, with an
	indication of resourcing implications for states and territories
Implementation	It is unclear whether Appendix A – Principles is part of the implementation framework.
Implementation	The Principles outlined in Appendix A appear to be much more
	specific that the Draft Policy, which lends itself to elevating it to
	within the Policy goals – objectives sections.
Implementation	A number of references in the Principles, such as restricting
	development to the current urban footprint, raises a number of
	issues such as:
	Miles Conference in the conference in the Conference
	What timeframes is the policy applicable for?
	What are the implications of not adhering?
	What if there is a conflict with other agreements with states
	and territories (ie. National Planning Reform Blueprint and
	Housing Accord 1.2 million additional dwellings)?
	Suggest, that criteria is established, or additional words inserted
	within the documentation that provides for states and territories to
	make these decisions aligned with their legislative framework and
	strategic land use plans.
	An alternative to this, would be encouraging strategic plans to be
	kept contemporary, which is aligned with recently implemented
	Western Australian planning reforms which requires strategic
	plans to be regularly reviewed.

Implementation	A clear implementation framework needs to be developed for
	further collaboration with states and territories. The lack of clarity and potential implications cannot be adequately considered in the
	absence of an implementation framework.
Implementation	It is unclear how Draft Policy relates to other Commonwealth
	initiatives, including policies and funding. For example: National
	Adaptation Plan, Regional Vegetation Planning under the Nature Positive Policy.
Implementation	It is unclear what Draft State of the Cities is reporting on, and how
	this will be used as part of the implementation.
Implementation	When considering implementation, consideration should be given
	to how it will be transitioned, and how long the policy will be
	applicable, and/or it will be reviewed.
Implementation	Regulatory changes (and policies, including reviewed policies)
	usually require some level of economic assessment before being considered, is there an opportunity here to have regulatory
	change processes improved in states and territories by requiring
	regulatory impact statements to go beyond considering the
	economic impact, but also environmental and social impact. A
	potential model would be Better Regulation Victoria that provides
	independent advice to Government on improving Victoria's
	regulatory performance and its 'Victorian Guide to Regulation'
	publication that explains the Victorian Government's approach
	and requirements for impact analysis.