

Australian Government

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts

Growing Regions Program – Round 1

Co-funding requirements

The Growing Regions Program requires project proponents to contribute toward the cost of their projects. However, we recognise there are a number of project circumstances where applicants may have limited access to contribute a minimum of 50 per cent co-funding towards their projects. The program guidelines for Round 1 included three co-funding groups to ensure eligible applicants delivering projects under these circumstances could access reduced co-funding requirements and deliver projects targeted towards the objectives and intended outcomes of each specific round.

Round 1 co-funding methodology

The table below summarises the information provided in section 3 of the guidelines for the three co-funding groups, and outlines the methodology used to determine co-funding eligibility specific to the objectives and intended outcomes for Round 1:

| Co-funding group | Project circumstance | Total Australian Government funding towards eligible project costs | Methodology used and evidence required to support the request for co-funding |
|---------------------|---|--|---|
| Group 1 | Projects run by First Nations Community Controlled Organisations. | Up to 90% | Meet organisational definition as defined in glossary within program guidelines. |
| | Projects located in 'very remote' locations per ABS Remoteness Structure. | | Program mapping tool identifies project address as 'very remote' per ABS 2016 Remoteness Structure, which identified 72 LGAs classified as 'very remote'. |
| | Projects located in areas impacted by natural disaster where the specific project site was directly impacted by the disaster. | | Project location identified as impacted on Department of Home Affairs website. |
| Group 2 | Projects located in 'remote' locations per the ABS Remoteness Structure. | Up to 70% | Mapping tool identifies project address as 'remote' per the ABS 2016 Remoteness Structure, which identified 52 LGAs classified as 'remote'. |

| | Projects run by 22 identified 'low rate-based' councils, determined using the ratio of Financial Assistance Grant to Net Rate Income. | | Council is one of 22 councils listed in section 3 of the guidelines. Low rate-based councils, determined using the ratio of Financial Assistance Grant to Net Rate Income. The higher the ratio the more reliant a council is on a Financial Assistant Grant. The Financial Assistance Grant to Net Rate Income ratio used to identify eligible low rate-based councils was the median ratio across remote and very remote LGAs of 106.2%. This threshold was then applied to each council eligible for the program (those located outside of GCCSAs) which identified 22 additional councils – 20 outer regional and 2 inner regional LGAs. |
|---------|---|---|---|
| Group 3 | All remaining projects. | Up to 50 per cent of eligible project costs | Application demonstrates eligibility for up to 50% Commonwealth co- funding. |