Norfolk Island Airport

16 October 2024

PFAS Ongoing Monitoring Plan – Year 3 Monitoring Report

Document Information

PFAS Ongoing Monitoring Plan – Year 3 Monitoring Report,

Norfolk Island Airport

Prepared by:

Senversa Pty Ltd
ABN: 89 132 231 380
Level 6, 15 William Street, Melbourne, VIC 3000
tel:+61 3 9606 0070
www.senversa.com.au

Prepared for:

Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communication and the Arts
111 Alinga Street
Canberra, ACT, 2601

Revision	Date	Author	Reviewed	Approved	Detail
0	2 October 2024	KH/MA	KR	KR	Draft
1	16 October 2024	KH/MA	KR	KR	Final

Project Manager: Michelle Agnew

Project Director: Katie Richardson

Disclaimer and Limitations:

This document is confidential and has been prepared by Senversa for use only by its client and for the specific purpose described in our proposal which is subject to limitations. No party other than Senversa's client may rely on this document without the prior written consent of Senversa, and no responsibility is accepted for any damages suffered by any third party arising from decisions or actions based on this document. Matters of possible interest to third parties may not have been specifically addressed for the purposes of preparing this document and the use of professional judgement for the purposes of Senversa's work means that matters may have existed that would have been assessed differently on behalf of third parties.

Senversa prepared this document in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of Senversa's profession practising in the same locality under similar circumstances at the time the services were performed.

Permission should be sought before any reference (written or otherwise) is made public that identifies any people, person, address or location named within or involved in the preparation of this report. Senversa requires that this document be considered only in its entirety and reserves the right to amend this report if further information becomes available. This document is issued subject to the technical principles, limitations and assumptions provided herein in **Section 8.0**.

Senversa acknowledges the traditional custodians of the land on which this work was created and pay our respect to Elders past and present.

Executive Summary

Senversa Pty Ltd (Senversa) has been engaged by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts (DITRDCA), to implement the third year of the Norfolk Island Airport perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) Ongoing Monitoring Plan (OMP). The draft PFAS OMP (Senversa, 2021d) establishes the ongoing monitoring actions required to assess the nature and extent of PFAS at the Norfolk Island Airport (the site) and surrounding catchments. The site location and surrounding catchments are indicated on **Figure 1.**

Scope and Objectives

This report details the results of the PFAS OMP Year 3 monitoring event, undertaken in June 2024. The monitoring event comprised:

- Sampling of tanks and taps on-site (Airport terminal, maintenance shed, fire station, and Airport Bore).
- Sampling of tanks and taps on council sites (works depot and public toilet taps).
- Sampling of surface water along Mission Creek and Watermill Creek and at the end of Cascade Creek and Headstone Creek.
- Sampling of private bores along Mission Creek.

The objectives of the PFAS OMP Year 3 event were to assess:

- Trends in PFAS concentrations in the environment.
- The effectiveness of the selected management options in managing current risks.
- Whether changing conditions exist which may result in changes in the risk profile (and therefore changes to the required management actions).

Summary of Results

- Targeted sampling of 36 surface water and groundwater locations was undertaken, with PFAS detected in 26 water samples. The detection of PFAS in many of the samples was anticipated given the targeted sampling design (primarily sampling areas where PFAS had previously been identified).
- Reported concentrations of PFAS in surface water generally decreased between May 2023 and June 2024, however remained relatively consistent with or slightly above concentrations reported in March 2021 and May 2022.
- The increase in PFAS concentrations from 2021 to 2023 is considered likely to have been primarily through the increased rainfall and subsequent increase in surface water flux transporting PFAS from source areas. This is supported by the decrease in PFAS concentrations from May 2023 to June 2024, given there was below average rainfall and a subsequent decrease in surface water flux. Exceptions were locations near source areas or with typically stagnant flow.
- PFAS concentrations in surface water generally decreased with increased distance from source areas.
- Reported concentrations of PFAS in groundwater generally increased between May 2023 and June 2024, however remained consistent with or lower than concentrations reported in January 2020.

- Reported PFAS concentrations were all below the adopted upper trigger values (UTVs)[1]. A number of point-of-use sample locations reported PFAS concentrations below the lower trigger values (LTVs)[2]. The locations with concentrations below the lower trigger values may be removed from the monitoring program following the initial PFAS OMP implementation period.
- Concentrations in water used for watering cattle in Mission Creek remain elevated over LTVs protective of this use, indicating that management is required (consistent with previous results). Concentrations in other creeks are below the UTVs protective of stock water, indicating that risks continue to be low and acceptable, and additional management of this pathway outside of Mission Creek is not required.
- Reported PFAS concentrations exceeded the human health drinking water quality guideline in 21 surface water and groundwater samples along Mission Creek, Watermill Creek and from groundwater bores. These surface water and groundwater locations are not known to be a source of water for human consumption.
- Senversa believes the selected management options are appropriate for the purpose of managing current risks, however management options for cattle access to PFAS Mission Creek require further consideration.

Additional and ongoing management controls carried forward from the PFAS OMP Year 3 monitoring report are outlined below:

Table 1.1: PFAS Risk Management Actions Summary

Risk Identification	Do Existing Management Measures Mitigate Risks?	Recommended Additional or Ongoing Controls
1. Home consumption or public consumption of cattle, chicken eggs or other animal products where the animal drinks water sourced from Mission Creek.	**Yes, though further measures warranted for long-term effective management** Advice provided to continue not using water for chicken watering, and to avoid home consumption of livestock products where cattle drinking water sourced from Mission Creek. Further assessment / management warranted to ensure ongoing effective mitigation of risks associated with the consumption of livestock products where cattle drink water sourced from Mission Creek.	The findings from this monitoring round confirm the previous recommendations for further management of the use of water from Mission Creek for watering cattle: • Further assessment and/or management of cattle access to PFAS impacted water sources requires further consideration, with PFAS concentrations during this monitoring event remaining consistent with those measured in 2022, and above those measured in earlier sampling (2020 and 2021), following which it was assessed that further assessment/management was warranted. • Alternatively, measures to manage human exposure (e.g. livestock product consumption advice) could be considered. For chickens at ID013, PFAS was <LOR in the currently used water supply (ID013_BORE). Continued monitoring of this water supply is required. Previous advice to livestock farmers and vegetable farmers remains unchanged and use of water from Mission Creek (e.g. ID013_SW01) for watering chickens should not be recommenced.

[1] Thresholds established to assess if additional management is required beyond that already underway or recommended.
[2] Thresholds established to assess if current management measures can be reduced.

Risk Identification	Do Existing Management Measures Mitigate Risks?	Recommended Additional or Ongoing Controls
2. Use of surface water or groundwater for any extractive use (other than livestock watering) from the Mission Creek Catchment.	**Yes** There are no current unacceptable exposures identified; and advice has been provided not to use water for drinking / domestic use.	Continued monitoring to establish that produce irrigation risks at ID013 and ID016 remain acceptable. Continued advice to not use water for drinking / domestic use required.
3. Use of groundwater from or nearby the Airport for any extractive use.	**Yes** There are no current extractive uses of water identified, with the exception of uses assessed to be associated with low and acceptable risks. The POET filtration system was in use at the Airport Bore at the time of the Monitoring Event and treated water understood to be utilised by NIRC for public toilets, wastewater treatment, and sewer lines. The truck fill point was locked at the time of the Monitoring Event and 'do not drink' signs were observed at public toilet taps.	The POET filtration system previously installed at the Fire Station has successfully been installed at the Airport Bore. NIRC advised that routine testing of the treated water is undertaken. While there is low exposure potential for the known uses of this water, continued testing of the treated water will be required to assess the ongoing effectiveness of the POET filtration system and whether further management is warranted. To demonstrate effective PFAS source management, the off-site transfer of PFAS via use of the Airport Bore water should be minimised to the extent practicable. This requires that the filtration system continues to be effective in removing PFAS (i.e. functioning optimally and removing PFAS in line with design specifications).
4. Drinking or washing water at public facilities formerly supplied by the Airport Bore including: the Fire Station, other on-Airport buildings, hospital, and council works depot.	**Further assessment recommended** Sampling undertaken at public facilities including the Airport terminal and council works depot indicate that replacement of PFAS impacted reticulated water systems has been successful in reducing PFAS concentrations to levels below the guidance values. This means it is possible to recommence use of the reticulated water supply at these facilities, as it is safe to use the water, including for sensitive uses such as drinking and eating. At the Fire Station, concentrations of regulated PFAS (PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA) are below the guideline values, however detections of other PFAS compounds require further assessment and/or management.	Continued controls are required such that PFAS impacted water (e.g., Airport Bore) is not used to supply drinking water while above HBGV. This includes the lock on the Douglas Drive fill point and signage at public bathroom taps. The source of PFAS detections at the Fire Station should be identified and an alternative drinking water supply provided until further assessment and monitoring indicates risks to be low and acceptable.
5. Use of surface water or groundwater for drinking water or domestic use from the Upper Watermill Creek Catchment.	**Yes** No current use of water for drinking water or domestic use identified, and advice has been provided not to use water for drinking / domestic use.	Continued ongoing monitoring of PFAS concentrations in Watermill Creek, with a view to revising advice if concentrations decrease below the guidance value in the future.
6. Exposures to freshwater aquatic ecosystems.	**Pending source management**	Continued ongoing monitoring of PFAS concentrations, with a view to future ecological risk revision if concentrations decrease below guideline values in the future.

Risk Identification	Do Existing Management Measures Mitigate Risks?	Recommended Additional or Ongoing Controls
7. Exposures to marine aquatic ecosystems and recreational marine water use in Emily Bay.	**Yes**	Continued ongoing monitoring of PFAS concentrations in Watermill Creek is warranted; provided no marked increase in PFAS concentrations / fluxes along Watermill Creek are observed, risks to the aquatic ecosystems in Emily Bay are assessed to remain low.

Contents

Tables in Text

Appendices

List of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AFF	Aqueous Film Forming Foam
ALS	Australian Laboratory Services
ANZG	Australian and New Zealand Guidelines
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
COC	Chain of custody
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DITRDCA	Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DQI	Department of Sustainability and Environment
DQO	Data Quality Objective
DSI	Detailed Site Investigation
EC	Electrical conductivity
ha	Hectare
HBGV	Health-Based Guidance Value
HEPA	Heads of Environment Protection Authority
HHERA	Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment
km	Kilometre
LOR	Limit of reporting
LTV	Long term trigger values
m	Metre
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	Milligrams per litre
mm	Millimetre
mV	Millivolts

Acronym	Definition
NATA	National Association of Testing Authorities
NEMP	National Environmental Management Plan
NEPC	National Environment Protection Council
NEPM	National Environment Protection Measure
NIRC	Norfolk Island Regional Council
NM	Not measured
OMP	Ongoing Management Plan
PFAS	Per- and Poly- Fluoroalkyl Substances
PFHxS	Perfluorohexane sulfonate
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	Perfluorooctane sulfonate
PMP	PFAS Management Plan
POET	Point of Entry Treatment
PSI	Preliminary Site Investigation
QAQC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
SAQP	Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan
µg/L	Micrograms per litre
µg/cm	Micro siemens per centimetre
UTV	Upper Trigger Values

1.0 Introduction and Objectives

Senversa Pty Ltd (Senversa) has been engaged by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts (DITRDCA), to implement the Norfolk Island Airport perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) Ongoing Monitoring Plan (OMP). The draft OMP (Senversa, 2021d) established the ongoing monitoring actions required to assess the nature and extent of PFAS at the Norfolk Island Airport (the site) and surrounding catchments to ensure suitable management actions can be employed. The site location and surrounding catchments are indicated on **Figure 1**.

This report details the results of the PFAS OMP Year 3 monitoring event, undertaken in June 2024.

1.1 Background

Norfolk Island Airport PFAS investigations were initiated after a CSIRO-led assessment of water resources identified elevated levels of PFAS in the Mission Creek water catchment in December 2019[3]. In January 2020, Senversa commenced a Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) which found that legacy aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF) containing PFAS was used on Norfolk Island from the early 1980s until 2015 to supress liquid fuel fires and for fire training activities, and confirmed the presence of PFAS in the Mission Creek catchment, together with some other areas of the island (at lower concentrations). These findings were confirmed in a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) and potentially unacceptable risks were quantitatively assessed in a Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (HHERA).

Based on the results of the DSI and the HHERA, risks were assessed as low and acceptable for many of the ways in which people might be exposed to PFAS in the environment, including drinking water. Due to the presence of PFAS in the environment, a PFAS Management Plan (PMP) was prepared to manage some uses of water. The strategy includes actions to manage PFAS sources on the Airport (aimed at reducing the migration of PFAS from the Airport over the longer term) and specific management actions to manage people's exposure to PFAS, including:

- Managing the use of water from Mission Creek for watering cattle or chickens.
- Continued management of water use for drinking water / domestic use more broadly.

The PFAS Ongoing Monitoring Plan (OMP) was developed to support the implementation of the PMP by assessing changing conditions on-island to determine if the current management actions remain appropriate to manage risks. A Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan (SAQP) was prepared to guide the field works proposed to be undertaken during completion of the PFAS OMP Year 3 monitoring event.

Key reports are listed below:

- Senversa, 2021a. Preliminary Site Investigation into Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport, revision 2, dated 3 February 2021.
- Senversa, 2021b. Detailed Site Investigation into Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport, revision 5, dated 12 November 2021.
- Senversa, 2021c. Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport, revision 3, dated 12 November 2021.
- Senversa 2021d. *Ongoing Monitoring Plan, Norfolk Island Airport,* revision 0, dated 24 November 2021.
- Senversa 2021e. *PFAS Management Plan, Norfolk Island Airport,* revision 1, dated 10 December 2021.

[3] Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (2020). *Norfolk Island Water Resource Assessment Hydrology Report. A summary report from the CSIRO Norfolk Island Water Resource Assessment, CSIRO, Australia.*

- Senversa, 2022a. *PFAS Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan – Year 1 Ongoing Monitoring*, revision 0, dated 3 May 2022.
- Senversa 2022b. *PFAS Ongoing Monitoring Plan - Year 1 Monitoring Report, Norfolk Island Airport*, revision 1, dated 14 October 2022.
- Senversa 2023. *PFAS Ongoing Monitoring Plan – Year 2 Monitoring Report, Norfolk Island Airport*, revision 1, dated 11 October 2023.

1.2 Objectives

The overall objective of the PFAS OMP is to establish the ongoing monitoring required to assess:

- Trends in PFAS concentrations in the environment.
- The effectiveness of the selected management options in managing current risks.
- Whether changing conditions exist which may result in changes in the risk profile (and therefore changes to the required management actions).

The objective of the Year 3 monitoring event was to meet the requirements of the PFAS OMP for the third annual monitoring event and to assess temporal variations in PFAS concentrations.

Information from the monitoring program will be used on an ongoing basis to identify whether the currently selected management actions should change. Future changes to the management actions could be:

- **Additional** required actions (for instance where additional water uses are identified, or if PFAS concentrations in the environment increase).
- **Reduced** required actions (for instance where lower PFAS concentrations in the environment mean that previously established management actions are no longer necessary to manage risks).

1.3 Scope of Works

To achieve the above objectives, Senversa completed the following scope of work:

- Water sampling of tanks and taps on-site (Airport terminal, maintenance shed, fire station, and Airport Bore).
- Sampling of tanks and taps on council sites (works depot and public toilet taps).Sampling of surface water along Mission Creek, Watermill Creek and the end of Cascade Creek and Headstone Creek.
- Sampling of private bores along Mission Creek.
- Preparation of this PFAS OMP Year 3 Monitoring Report.

In addition to the OMP scope, in response to a landowner request, Senversa sampled water sources on a private property in the north-east of the island, even though this property sits outside the area where PFAS impacts would be expected. These results are included in this OMP report as they provide additional, confirmatory data regarding the extent of PFAS impacts on Island.

2.0 Background

2.1 Airport Details

Site identifying details are summarised below.

Table 2.1: Airport Details

Item	Relevant Site Information
Site Location	The Airport location is indicated on **Figure 1**.
Site Area	~120 hectares (ha).
Site Use	The Airport layout is indicated on **Figure 2**. Key site features include: • Operational Airport with two runways. • Aircraft and Airport operational infrastructure in the northeast portion of the site, including terminals, storage and cargo facilities. • Maintenance facilities in the mid-eastern portion of the site. • Fire station in the mid-eastern portion of the site, south of the maintenance facilities. • Waste management centre and Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) facility in the northern portion of the site. • Wastewater treatment plant in the central northern portion of the site. • The fire training facility.
Zoning	• Site: light industry land use. • Surrounding land: rural and rural residential land use.

2.2 Environmental Setting

The environmental setting is summarised below in **Table 2.2**. Further environmental setting details are outlined in the DSI (Senversa, 2021b).

Table 2.2: Environmental Setting

Item	Relevant Site Information
Surrounding Land Uses	• **North**: Mission Creek is located to the immediate north-west of the site followed by St Barnabas Chapel, rural properties and Headstone Reserve. The Norfolk Island National Park is located approximately 2 kilometres (km) to the north of the site. • **East**: Northeast of the site is the township of Burnt Pine, consisting of mixed land use. The land to the immediate east consists of rural and rural residential land. • **South**: Rural residential properties, Point Ross and Bumboras Reserves followed by the South Pacific Ocean approximately 400 metres (m) from the most southern point of the site. • **West**: Rural residential properties, Rocky Point and 100 Acres Reserve followed by the South Pacific Ocean approximately 400 m from the most western point of the site.
Climate	Norfolk Island is classified as a sub-tropical climate which is primarily affected by high-pressure systems which fluctuate over the island annually. The mean maximum temperatures on the island range from 18°C in winter to 25°C in summer with a high average relative humidity of 73% to 81% (BoM, 2022). Norfolk Island's median annual rainfall is 1,280 mm with the highest rainfall between April to August and monthly means of approximately 120 to 143 mm. The driest month is typically November with an average rainfall of 73 mm (BoM, 2024). Rainfall on the island between 2016 and early 2020 was below average and little to no rain fell on the island between October 2019 and January 2020 (BoM, 2022). Below average rainfall was recorded from January to June 2024 preceding the Year 3 monitoring event.

Item	Relevant Site Information
Topography	The Airport site is generally flat, between 95 and 115 m above sea level (Geoscience Australia, 2020). The surrounding island undulates rapidly with several water catchment zones creating steep valleys and low-lying creeks.
On-site Drainage Networks	On-site stormwater in the north-east of site drains into a low-lying area on the boundary of the site into a stormwater drainage pipe which runs perpendicular to and under the road leading to the waste treatment centre. This stormwater drainage is understood to discharge into Mission Creek. Across the Airport in general, stormwater is expected to run towards the site boundary, away from the runways.
Hydrology	Creeks are largely ephemeral, flowing only during rainfall events. Water catchment zones are shown on **Figure 1**. Mission Creek, Headstone Creek, Watermill / Town Creek and Rocky Point Creek are considered down-gradient of the Airport, with the Mission Creek Catchment considered the most vulnerable to PFAS impacts migrating from the Airport due to historical fire training activities undertaken on that side of the Airport which used AFFF containing PFAS. All creeks discharge to the South Pacific Ocean.
Geology and Soils	Norfolk Island is the erosional remnant of Pliocene aged volcanic centres located on a north trending continental ridge between New Zealand and North Caledonia (Abell, R S & Falkland A C, 1991). The island consists of the former shield volcano (Mt Pitt) and horizontal basalt flows. The prominent soil type found at and surrounding the site is the Rooty Hill Clay.
Acid Sulphate Soils	Peaty acid sulfate soils are present in the lower landscape portion of the island, with the largest known area located in the lower portion of the Mission Creek Catchment.
Hydrogeology	The following hydrostratigraphic sequence is recorded (Abell, 1993): • Weathered volcanic mantle: Major aquifer on the island, porous but clayey. The upper water table on Norfolk sits within the weathered mantle. • Basaltic lavas: Heterogeneous water-bearing systems, dominated by water movement through fractures, joints and bedding. • Vertical movement of groundwater through fractures in the basalt likely form localised, semi-confined aquifers within tuff beds and fragmented layers. The heterogeneous nature of basaltic aquifers results in a complex groundwater flow regime. In general, groundwater flow follows, to a subdued degree, topographic features, discharging to surface water bodies and further towards the coastline.
Terrestrial Environments	Limited on-site flora and fauna are present due to the highly modified nature of the Airport environment. Prior to European settlement, Norfolk Island was dominated by subtropical rainforest and native flora of which over 30% is endemic (CSIRO, 2020). A large proportion of the island has been cleared for farmland used for grazing or cropping, with intact native communities being largely restricted to the 6.5 km^2 Norfolk Island National Park centred around Mount Bates and Mount Pitt.

2.3 Confirmed and Potential PFAS Source Areas

The PSI and DSI identified 17 confirmed or potential PFAS source areas, indicated on **Figure 2-1** below and appended **Figure 2**.

The identified sources included six potential PFAS primary source areas within the Airport. These are assessed as the most significant potential sources which may have contributed to the elevated PFAS concentrations identified within the Mission Creek catchment. All six sources were associated with the training, storage and maintenance of fire trucks that historically used PFAS containing AFFF.

Figure 2-1: PFAS Source Areas

2.4 Water Sources and Use

2.4.1 Groundwater Use

Council-provided survey data indicates that there are 228 active groundwater bores, 38 dry bores and 10 “contaminated” bores across the island (Senversa, 2021a). Other sources indicate approximately 450 bores exist across the island (Abell, 1993). It is understood that not all bores on the island are registered with the Norfolk Island Regional Council or surveyed for elevation or location. Groundwater is known to be extracted for stock watering (chickens and cows) on Norfolk Island, however there is no evidence to suggest that groundwater is extracted for recreational purposes (e.g., to fill a swimming pool).

On the Airport, there is one known groundwater well that was not in use at the time of the investigation. Immediately off-site, a second ‘Airport Bore’ has previously been used to pump water into a large concrete holding tank on-site adjacent to the current environmental office at the airport. This water was previously used across the Airport site and accessed by the public for off-site use via a fill point near the waste management centre access track just off Douglas Drive. During previous investigations, there was also anecdotal evidence of this bore being used to supply off-site public buildings in times of low rainfall including the hospital and council works depot (through use of a water carter). The Douglas Drive fill point was locked at the time of the Year 3 Monitoring Event.

A POET filter was observed connected to the Airport Bore concrete holding tank during the Year 3 Monitoring Event. It is noted that this POET was previously located at the Fire Station where it was used to filter water used for equipment flushing. Norfolk Island Regional Council (NIRC) advised the PFAS-impacted water from the Airport Bore concrete holding tank is treated through the POET filter and subsequently supplied to public toilets (through use of a water carter), wastewater treatment plant, and used for water blasting sewer lines and pump stations. It was also advised that the treated water is routinely tested and results from testing in April 2024 were provided. A preliminary review of the results confirmed the pre-treated water from the Airport Bore was within the same order of magnitude as the results reported by Senversa in June 2024. The sum of PFHxS and PFOS concentration reported in the treated water was approximately one order of magnitude lower than that reported in the pre-treated water. However, the treated water remained above the screening criteria for ecological protection, stockwatering and drinking water. This is further discussed in **Section5.3.4.**

It is understood that historical pipework connecting the Airport bore water to the Fire Station and Hospital has been capped. New rainwater tanks installed on the Airport have replaced the reliance on water from the Airport Bore and provide an alternate, unimpacted water source for the Airport terminal buildings and the fire station taps.

Long-term, it is understood that NIRC plan to continue the implementation of a rainwater tank program to reduce groundwater reliance.

2.4.2 Potable Drinking and Stock Water Use

Bore water is not widely consumed in times of high rainfall. In times of drought, when tank water is not readily available, bore water may be extracted for drinking water purposes (NIRC, 2018).

Water carting from groundwater bores was undertaken across the island both prior to and during January 2020, and in February 2020 a temporary desalination plant was commissioned by the Australian Government and Army on Norfolk Island to provide an alternate water supply.

Bore water is known to be extracted for stock watering (cows) on at least two properties in the Mission Creek catchment. Water extracted from Watermill Creek is also understood to be used for livestock watering (cattle and piggeries) between the Airport and the Duck Dam.

Residents have access to water from two public standpipes: one by the Watermill Dam (Duck Dam), which is sourced from a hillside spring; and a second adjacent Headstone Creek (Headstone Dam). This water is understood to be used for non-potable uses (potentially including stock watering).

Senversa and DITRDCA have met with the property owners in the Mission Creek catchment and provided advice on how to minimise PFAS risk for livestock (Senversa, 2021c).

2.4.3 Irrigated Water Use

Irrigation water is understood to not be used on-site, however grass on-site may be affected by rainfall runoff over impacted soils and over areas of historical AFFF use.

Additionally, water use during fire training and to flush out fire trucks is likely to have contributed to PFAS impacts and to surface runoff both where these activities occurred over areas of historical AFFF use, and also when the water used for these contained PFAS as it was sourced from the Airport Bore (the use of water from the Airport Bore for the fire station has now ceased). It is understood that flush outs of the fire trucks occurred up to three times a week and historically took place in the unsealed area to the south of the former fire station, with runoff towards Mission Creek. Flush outs undertaken at the current fire station would run off towards Watermill Creek. The fire station reportedly uses approximately 15,000 L per day (once every fortnight) for live fire training.

Large-scale annual training drills historically took place in the vacant land behind St Barnabas Chapel, located approximately 250 m northwest of the western extent of the east-west runway.

Irrigation water derived from bores is used across the island for small commercial and private residential gardens. CSIRO (2020) estimated approximately 10.8 ha of cultivated land is used for commercial food production, up to 75% of which may be irrigated. An additional 5 ha of land is estimated to be used for medium to large scale vegetable gardens. It is unknown to what extent these gardens are irrigated. The source of irrigation water is unknown however is expected to be predominantly bore water or pumped from surface water bodies, based on anecdotal evidence provided during the DSI investigation and sampling works.

It is understood that water is not widely used for irrigation of grassed paddocks (i.e., for livestock grazing) on the island.

3.0 Sampling and Analysis Approach

3.1 Monitoring Conducted

Sampling locations are indicated on **Figure 1**.

Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd (ALS) was the primary analytical laboratory and Envirolab was the secondary laboratory for all samples. Both laboratories are National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) accredited for the analyses conducted. Water samples were analysed for the extended PFAS suite of 28 analytes.

Laboratory results and field water quality observations were uploaded to the Esdat[4] database.

Table 3.1: PFAS OMP Year 3 Sample Location Summary

Sample Purpose	Sample Location	Number of Locations	Sample IDs	Sample Media
Creek Sampling	Mission Creek	12	WWII_DAM, MC_OMP01 to MC_OMP11	Surface water
	Watermill Creek	5	WC_OMP01 to WC_OMP05	
	Cascade Creek	1	COCKPIT_SW01	
	Headstone Creek	1	PWS_HEAD_DAM	
Irrigation Water	Mission Creek	2	ID013_BORE[3] ID016_BORE[2]	Point of use water
Stock Water	Mission Creek	2[1]	ID014_BORE ID015_BORE[2] *(MC_OMP08 to MC_OMP10[1])*	
Managed Water Supplies	On-Airport	4	AIRPORT_BORE[2] FRE_TAP1 FRE_TAP2 A_TAP1	
	Off-Airport	4	DEPOT_TAP DEPOT_TANK1 DEPOT_TANK2 DEPOT_TANK3	
	Public Toilet Taps	3	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS PWS_EB_TOILETS PWS_CAS_TOILETS	

[4] Environmental data management software.

Sample Purpose	Sample Location	Number of Locations	Sample IDs	Sample Media
Private Property request	Two Chimneys Road	2	ID026_BORE ID026_TAP	Groundwater Rainwater
Total Primary Samples		36		

Table notes:
1. There are 5 samples relevant for stock watering, however only two unique samples (ID014_BORE and ID015_BORE, used for cattle watering) not also collected for another purpose. MC_OMP08, MC_OMP09 and MC_OMP10 (creek locations with possible cattle access in the absence of management) are included in the total sample numbers for creek sampling.
2. Groundwater location.
3. ID013_BORE analysed in lieu of ID013_SW01, refer **Section 3.2**.

3.2 Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan

The SAQP details the data quality objectives (DQOs), data quality indicators (DQIs) and assessment methodology of the monitoring, included in **Appendix A**.

Deviations from the SAQP are summarised in the table below.

Table 3.2: Summary of deviations from the SAQP

Item	Relevant Site Information
Samples Not Collected	A_TAP4 sample located in the Airport maintenance shed had been disconnected since the Year 2 Monitoring Event and is no longer in use. No alternative tap water sample was identified.
Moved Sample Location	WC_OMP05 has previously been collected from the confluence of Watermill Creek and Emily Bay. As the Watermill Creek flow was limited during the time of sampling and did not connect with Emily Bay, sample WC_OMP05 was collected from under the Bay Street bridge.
Alternate Sample Location	As in the Year 2 Monitoring Event, ID013_BORE was sampled in lieu of ID013_SW01, as the property owner advised that the bore was now being used instead of the pumped creek water (SW01)for fruit tree and garden irrigation. ID013_BORE had previously been disused due to high salinity.

3.3 Decision Framework

3.3.1 Trigger Values

The analytical results collected as part of the monitoring program have been used to assess whether the currently selected management action should change. A decision framework has been developed to define the conditions under which further assessment of the appropriateness of the current management measures is required (Senversa, 2021c). This decision framework includes trigger values:

- **Upper Trigger Values (UTVs)** are defined for use where risks are currently assessed to be low and acceptable. Where concentrations previously found to be associated with low and acceptable risks increase to be above the UTVs, review of the risk profile is required to assess if additional management is required.
- **Lower Trigger Values (LTVs)** are defined for use where exposures currently require management. Where concentrations previously found to be associated with potentially elevated risks decrease to be below the LTVs, review of the risk profile is required to assess if management measures can be reduced.

Table 3.3: Upper Trigger Values

Sampling Medium	Upper Trigger Value (µg/L)			Rationale
	PFOS	PFHxS	PFHxS+PFOS	
Mission Creek Water Used for Irrigation	4.2	2.5	-	The risk to consumers of irrigated produce associated with the previously measured range in concentrations at ID013 (1.4 - 2.8 µg/L Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS); 1.4 - 1.5 µg/L Perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS)) is assessed to be low and acceptable. Given the conservatism in the assessment, small variations above the previously measured range are considered unlikely to alter the risk profile. Trigger values approximately 50% above the upper end of the assessed range are adopted as indicative of a requirement to assess whether the potential risks have increased, and if further management is required. The triggers can be applied at property ID016, where water is used for irrigation.
Surface Water from Other Creeks	0.5	1.3	-	Outside of Mission Creek, surface water concentrations of up to 0.29 µg/L PFOS and 0.85 µg/L PFHxS have previously been measured. The HHERA assessed risks to consumers of produce irrigated with this water and risks to consumers of livestock products where this water is used for stock watering, as low and acceptable. Given the conservatism in the assessment, small variations above the currently measured range are considered unlikely to alter the risk profile. Trigger values approximately 50% above the upper end of the assessed range are adopted as indicative of a requirement to assess whether the potential risks have increased, and if further management is required. It is noted that the adopted trigger values are more stringent than the majority of the conservative screening levels for stock water and irrigation pathways, and within 50% of the most stringent values. Given the conservatism in the HHERA screening levels, it is assessed that provided concentrations remain below the triggers, risks will remain low and acceptable, regardless of water usage for irrigation or stock watering.
Surface Water from Cascade Creek / Headstone Creek	-	-	0.07	PFAS concentrations previously measured in Cascade Creek and Headstone Creek were below the health-based guidance values (HBGV) for drinking water, and no management measures are currently in place for this water. The drinking water HBGV is selected as the UTV. If concentrations increase above this level, further assessment of the requirement for management of water use is required.

Table 3.4: Lower Trigger Levels

Sampling Medium	Lower Trigger Value (µg/L)			Rationale
	PFOS	PFHxS	PFHxS+PFOS	
Reticulated water supplies at public facilities **Surface water from Mission Creek** **Surface water from Watermill Creek** **Groundwater at Airport (Airport Bore)**	-	-	0.07	The drinking water HBGV has been selected as the LTV. Where concentrations are below this level, ongoing management of water use (including for sensitive use as drinking/domestic water) is unlikely to be required.

Sampling Medium	Lower Trigger Value (µg/L)			Rationale
	PFOS	PFHxS	PFHxS+PFOS	
Mission Creek water used for cattle stock watering prior to management	0.33	1.2	-	The conservative beef cattle stock watering screening levels adopted in the HHERA are adopted as the LTV; if concentrations remain consistently below these values, ongoing management is unlikely to be required.
Mission Creek water used for chicken stock watering prior to management	0.9	1.3	-	The conservative chicken stock watering screening levels adopted in the HHERA are adopted as the LTV; if concentrations remain consistently below these values, ongoing management is unlikely to be required.

3.3.2 Additional Screening Criteria

Results have also been screened against the criteria adopted in the DSI, sourced from the PFAS National Environmental Management Plan (NEMP) 2.0 (Heads of Environment Protection Authority [HEPA], 2020). Further information on the adopted criteria is provided in the DSI (Senversa, 2021b).

Table 3.5: Additional Screening Criteria

Land/Water Use	Adopted Screening Criteria		
	PFOA[1]	PFOS	PFHxS+PFOS
SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER			
Aquatic Ecosystems	19 µg/L (99% protection) 220 µg/L (95% protection) 632 µg/L (90% protection)	0.00023 µg/L (99% protection) 0.13 µg/L (95% protection) 2 µg/L (90% protection)	-
Primary and/or Secondary Contact Recreation	10 µg/L	-	2 µg/L
Aesthetic Enjoyment	To be assessed based on observations of odour and/or visual amenity impact (noting that aesthetic impacts have not been noted for PFAS impacted water during site investigations).		
Cultural And Spiritual Values (Indigenous and/or Non-Indigenous)	No specific guidelines available, considered that criteria for other land uses will also be protective of this use.		
Drinking (Potable) Water	0.56 µg/L	-	0.07 µg/L
Agriculture (Stock Watering)	0.56 µg/L	-	0.07 µg/L
Irrigation	Relevant screening levels for this land use are not available. Site-specific risk assessment and or direct sampling of irrigated produce (as undertaken in the DSI) is recommended for irrigated pastures and/or crops where PFAS are detected and water is used for irrigation.		

Land/Water Use	Adopted Screening Criteria		
	PFOA[1]	PFOS	PFHxS+PFOS
Aquaculture **Human Consumption of Fish, Crustacea and Molluscs**	As the Creeks on Norfolk Island are largely ephemeral with water flowing only during rainfall events, this land use is not considered relevant to this investigation. Furthermore, there was no evidence of human consumption of freshwater Fish, Crustacea, and Molluscs from water bodies or within the Mission Creek Catchment.		
Industrial and Commercial Use	No generic screening criteria for these uses are available, however, criteria for other land uses relevant to human and animal health (including potable water supply, primary contact recreation and stock watering) are considered relevant and will be considered in assessing impacts to this land use.		

Table Notes:
1. PFOA- perfluorooctanoic acid.

3.3.3 Decision Trees

The flowcharts ("decision trees") presented on the following pages detail the decision framework process for the different samples collected in the PFAS OMP.

Separate decision trees have been presented for each water use and actions to take in the case that either:

- **The UTVs are exceeded,** requiring an assessment of the requirement for additional management of risks currently assessed to be low and acceptable; or
- **The LTVs are not met,** requiring an assessment of whether current management is still required.

3.3.3.1 Decision Trees: Use of UTVs for Exposures Currently Assessed to be Low and Acceptable


Assessing requirement for additional management of water use (outside Mission Creek)
Water from creeks other than Mission Creek
This decision tree applies for all creek water from creeks other than Mission Creek:
Watermill Creek (WC_OMP01 to WC_OMP06)
Cascade Creek (Cockpit_SW01)
Headstone Creek (PWS_HEAD_DAM)
Assessing requirement for additional management of water used for irrigation (Mission Creek)
This decision tree applies for water from the Mission Creek catchment used for irrigation:
ID013_SW01; additionally ID013_BORE
ID016_BORE
Irrigation water from Mission Creek
Trend analysis (if sufficient data collected)
PFOS > 4.2 µg/L and/or PFHxS > 2.5 µg/L
No
Has an increasing trend in water
Yes
Yes
No
Review risk profile and assess requirement for managing irrigation
No further action required based on current monitoring results
PFOS+PFHxS > 0.07 µg/L
No
Trend analysis (if sufficient data collected)
Has an increasing trend in water concentrations been established?
Yes
Yes
No
Review risk profile and assess requirement for managing water use
No further action required based on current monitoring results

3.3.3.2 Decision Trees: Use of LTVs for Exposures Which Currently Require Management





4.0 Results

4.1 Surface and Groundwater Conditions

4.1.1 Rainfall

Rainfall conditions and the presence of surface water varied significantly between the PSI sampling in January 2020 and the Year 3 monitoring event in 2024. **Figure 4-1** below displays the total monthly rainfall between 2019 to 2024 and rainfall residual. Above average rainfall was recorded from January 2022 to May 2023, and both the Year 1 and Year 2 Monitoring Events were conducted during this time. Since the Year 2 Monitoring Event, a below average rainfall has been recorded from May 2023 to June 2024, prior to the Year 3 Monitoring Event.



Figure 4-1: Total Monthly Rainfall

4.1.2 Surface Water and Groundwater Field Observations

Water was present at all sampling locations during the monitoring event. Surface water and groundwater field observations are presented in **Appendix C** and summarised in **Table 4.1** below.

Table 4.1: Summary of Surface Water Field Observations

Sample location		Mission Creek[1]	Watermill Creek[2]	Headstone Creek[3]	Cascade Creek[4]	Ground-Water[5]	Public Taps and Tanks[6]
Dissolved Oxygen (DO) [mg/L]	Min	2.15	4.93	-	-	5.69	6.15
	Max	8.82	8.64	-	-	8.74	9.30
	Median	**6.41**	**5.91**	**6.40**	**9.06**	**6.79**	**8.04**
Electrical Conductivity (EC) [µS/cm]	Min	240	338	-	-	402	53
	Max	705	457	-	-	800	1128
	Median	**517**	**316**	**488**	**597**	**514**	**229**
pH	Min	4.71	5.95	-	-	4.59	5.42
	Max	6.68	6.38	-	-	5.99	8.62
	Median	**6.45**	**6.08**	**6.46**	**6.48**	**5.75**	**6.87**
Redox Potential [mV]	Min	-57.5	31.1	-	-	141.6	65.6
	Max	220.6	150.2	-	-	232.5	242.3
	Median	**46.2**	**105.6**	**153.4**	**30.1**	**183.3**	**142.4**

Sample location		Mission Creek[1]	Watermill Creek[2]	Headstone Creek[3]	Cascade Creek[4]	Ground-Water[5]	Public Taps and Tanks[6]
Temperature [°C]	Min	12.9	14.6	-	-	16.0	16.1
	Max	18.2	19.3	-	-	18.7	20.1
	Median	**15.4**	**15.6**	**17.6**	**15.4**	**18.4**	**17.8**

Table notes:
1. Mission Creek: MC_OMP01 to 11, WW11_DAM, ID014_BORE, ID015_BORE.
2. Watermill Creek: WC_OMP01 to 5.
3. Headstone Creek: PWS_HEAD_DAM.
4. Cascade Creek: Cockpit_SW01.
5. Groundwater: AIRPORT_BORE, ID013_BORE, ID016_BORE.
6. Public taps and tanks: A_TAP1, DEPOT_TANK1 to 3, DEPOT_TAP, FRE_TAP1, FRE_TAP2, PWS_HEAD_TOILETS, PWS_EB_TOILETS, PWS_CAS_TOILETS.

Recorded surface water field parameters were generally consistent with the previous monitoring event in May 2023. Surface water generally displayed aerobic conditions and an EC within the expected range for fresh water. The pH was neutral to slightly acidic and moderate to strongly oxidizing conditions were recorded, with the exception of Mission Creek, where moderately reducing to moderately oxidising conditions were recorded.

Groundwater parameters were generally consistent with the previous monitoring event in May 2023. Groundwater generally displayed aerobic conditions and low salinity (EC between 401 and 800 [µS/cm]). The pH was slightly acidic (4.59 to 5.99) and strongly oxidizing conditions were recorded. The parameters recorded at the public toilet taps were consistent with groundwater observations and align with advice that this water is supplied from the Airport Bore.

4.1.3 Surface Water and Groundwater Laboratory Results

Surface water and groundwater analytical results have been compared against the adopted upper and lower trigger values to assess whether the currently selected management actions should change. Results are presented in appended **Tables 1** to **6** and summarised in **Table 4.2** and

Table 4.3 below. Water concentrations are mapped on appended **Figures 3** and **4.**

Laboratory results have also been compared against the additional screening criteria, presented in **Table 7** (Year 3 Monitoring Event) and **Table 8** (all data). Laboratory certificates of analysis are provided in **Appendix D**.

During the Year 3 monitoring event, the pump supplying location ID013_SW01 was not in use and ID013_BORE was sampled in lieu. The ID013_SW01 upper and lower trigger values have been considered for ID013_BORE.

Additional samples ID026_BORE and ID026_TAP were beyond the scope of the OMP and subsequently do not have upper or lower trigger values and are not included in the tables below. The reported PFAS concentrations in both samples were below the laboratory limit of reporting (LOR).

Table 4.2: Water UTV Results

Sampling Medium	Upper Trigger Value (µg/L)			Number of Samples	Number of Detections	Number Above UTV
	PFOS	PFHxS	PFHxS+PFOS			
Mission Creek Water Used for Irrigation: ID013_BORE, ID016_BORE	4.2	2.5	-	2	PFOS: 0 PFHxS: 1 PFHxS+PFOS: 1	0
Surface Water from Other Creeks: WC_OMP01 to 05	0.5	1.3	-	5	PFOS: 5 PFHxS: 5 PFHxS+PFOS: 5	0
Surface Water from Cascade Creek / Headstone Creek: Cockpit_SW01, PWS_HEAD_DAM	-	-	0.07	2	PFOS: 0 PFHxS: 1 PFHxS+PFOS: 0	0

Table 4.3: Water LTV Results

Sampling Medium	Lower Trigger Value (µg/L)			Number of Samples	Number of Detections	Number Below LTV
	PFOS	PFHxS	PFHxS+PFOS			
Reticulated Water Supplies at Public Facilities: A_TAP1, FRE_TAP1, FRE_TAP2, DEPOT_TAP, DEPOT_TANK1 to 3, **Surface Water from Mission Creek:** MC_OMP01 to 11, WW11_DAM **Surface Water from Watermill Creek:** WC_OMP01 to 05 **Groundwater at Airport:** AIRPORT_BORE, **Public Use Water from Headstone Creek:** PWS_HEAD_DAM	-	-	0.07	26	PFOS: 20 PFHxS: 19 PFHxS+PFOS: 20	**8**
Mission Creek Water Used for Cattle Stock Watering Prior to Management: ID014_BORE, ID015_BORE, MC_OMP08 to 10.	0.33	1.2	-	5	PFOS: 5 PFHxS: 5 PFHxS+PFOS: 5	**1**
Mission Creek Water Used for Chicken Stock Watering Prior to Management: ID013_BORE	0.9	1.3	-	1	PFOS: 0 PFHxS: 0 PFHxS+PFOS: 0	**1**

4.2 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

The data quality assurance and quality control (QAQC) procedures adopted by Senversa provide a consistent approach to evaluation of whether the data quality objectives required by the project have been achieved. The process focuses on assessment of the useability of the data in terms of accuracy and reliability in forming conclusions on the condition of the element of the environment being investigated.

The methodology and results of the QAQC assessment are presented in **Appendix B.**

Of note, the reported results for sample FRE_TAP1 were inconsistent with previous years, however the reported concentrations were confirmed by re-analysis.

While a small number of results were outside specified acceptance criteria, these were not considered to significantly impact the quality or representativeness of the data, and the majority of results indicated that the precision and accuracy of the data was within acceptable limits. The results are therefore considered to be representative of chemical concentrations in the environmental media sampled at the time of sampling, and suitable to be used for their intended purpose in forming conclusions relating to the contamination status of water.

5.0 Findings

5.1 Nature and Extent of PFAS in Creek Water

5.1.1 Mission Creek

Surface water samples from the Mission Creek catchment showed the highest concentrations at locations closest to source zones at the Airport (World War II Dam and MC_OMP01) and generally decreased with distance from the Airport, consistent with the results from 2023 sampling. MC_OMP02 was the exception, which reported low levels of PFAS, as noted in previous monitoring events. PFAS concentrations along Mission Creek with distance from the Airport are shown on



Figure 5-1 below.



Figure 5-1: Surface Water PFAS Concentrations in Mission Creek

A significant drop in PFAS concentrations was reported in MC_OMP02, which was collected from the upper Mission Creek catchment just before the creek confluence, and therefore not directly downstream of WWII_DAM and MC_OMP01. This is consistent with the DSI results (MC_SW25) and the Year 1 and 2 monitoring results. As noted in these reports, these results indicate that the highest PFAS impacts are likely to be from the northern tributary (sampled by WWII_DAM and MC_OMP01) and hence from Airport sources in the northern portion of the Airport.

5.1.1.1 Mission Creek Temporal Trends

Mission Creek PFHxS+PFOS concentrations from 2020 to 2024 are plotted on **Figure 5-2** below.



Figure 5-2: Mission Creek PFHxS+PFOS Concentration Trends



Figure 5-3: Airport Bore & WWII_DAM PFHxS+PFOS Concentration Trends

The reported concentrations generally decreased from 2023 to 2024, with the exception of WWII_DAM, MC_OMP01, MC_OMP07 and MC_OMP09 concentrations, which marginally increased. Concentrations in 2024 were within the same order of magnitude as the 2023 results. Despite these marginal increases between 2023 and 2024, concentrations have generally decreased between 2022 and 2024 and may indicate reducing PFAS load in the source areas. It is noted that the observed concentration increases were located near source areas or in stagnant pooled water and it is likely that due to the low rainfall preceding the 2024 Monitoring Event, PFAS accumulated in these locations rather than flushing through the catchment.

The largest increase was observed at WW11_DAM where the concentration of PFHxS + PFOS increased from 20.5 to 24.6 µg/L, remaining above the adopted human health drinking water criterion of 0.07µg/L and recreational use criterion of 2 µg/L. It is noted that the PFHxS + PFOS concentration remains below the screening level developed in the HHERA to protect creek users (e.g. farmers or recreational users) who may come in contact with creek waters (70 µg/L). As such, the risk to creek users associated with this measured concentration remains low.

Concentrations reported from the Year 3 Monitoring Round in Mission Creek remained below the UTVs and above the LTVs, and no change to current management actions is required based on assessment against the trigger levels.

5.1.2 Watermill Creek

Within the Watermill Creek catchment, the highest PFAS concentration in surface water (WC_OMP01, PFHxS+PFOS: 0.83 µg/L) was identified downstream of the Airport site council maintenance depot, consistent with the previous monitoring event. This concentration remained above the adopted human health drinking water criterion of 0.07 µg/L, but below the criteria for recreational use (2 µg/L) and the protection of creek users (70 µg/L). PFAS concentrations decreased with distance from the Airport, as indicated on **Figure 5-4** below.



Figure 5-4: Surface Water PFAS Concentrations in Watermill Creek

5.1.2.1 Watermill Creek Temporal Trends

Watermill Creek PFHxS+PFOS concentrations from 2020 to 2024 are plotted on **Figure 5-5** below.



Figure 5-5: Watermill Creek PFHxS+PFOS Concentration Trends

Reported PFAS concentrations decreased in three Watermill Creek locations (WC_OMP02, WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM and WC_OMP05) and increased in two Watermill Creek locations (WC_OMP01 and WC_OMP03) between 2023 and 2024. All concentrations in 2024 were within the same order of magnitude as the 2023 results. As observed in the Mission Creek catchment, the highest concentration increase was observed in proximity to source areas and may be attributed to the reduced rainfall prior to the Year 3 Monitoring Event, discussed in **Section 4.1.1.**

Elevated PFAS concentrations were previously reported at the confluence of Watermill Creek and Emily Bay (WC_OMP05) in 2022 for PFHxS+PFOS (0.1 µg/L) and PFOS (0.04 µg/L) above the drinking water guideline value and the 99% ecological protection guideline value respectively. The reported concentrations in the Year 2 and Year 3 monitoring events were at least half those reported in 2022 and below the drinking water guideline.

While the 2023 PFOS concentration (0.02 µg/L) remained above the 99% ecological protection guideline value, dilution within the bay was measured in 2023 to sufficiently reduce concentrations to below the screening criteria.

The Year 3 Monitoring Event PFAS concentrations in the broader Watermill Creek remained below the UTVs (indicating that no additional management measures are required) and generally above the LTVs (indicating that current management measures (around the use of water for drinking / domestic use) should continue). Specifically, as concentrations remain below the UTVs this indicates that the risks from other water uses (including stock watering and produce irrigation) remain low and acceptable.

No change to current management actions is required based on assessment of the Year 3 monitoring results against these trigger values.

5.1.3 Cascade Creek and Headstone Creek

Cockpit_SW01 reported a low-level concentration of PFOS (0.02 µg/L) and PFHxS+PFOS (0.03 µg/L). These concentrations were higher than the 2023 results, when PFAS was not detected, however are consistent with results reported in 2020-2022. The reported concentrations were above the 99% ecological protection guideline value (0.00023 µg/L PFOS) but remained below the PFHxS+PFOS drinking water screening criterion (0.07 µg/L) and the recreational criterion (2 µg/L).

PSW_HEAD_DAM PFAS concentrations were reported below the LOR, sampled from the end of Headstone Creek. This is a reduction from low level PFOS previously reported in 2020 and is consistent with the Year 1 and 2 monitoring events in 2022 and 2023.

The Year 3 Monitoring Event PFAS concentrations reported in both Cascade and Headstone Creeks were below the UTVs and no change to current management actions is required based on assessment against these trigger values.

5.2 Nature and Extent of PFAS in Groundwater

The reported concentration of PFHxS+PFOS in groundwater collected from the Airport Bore in June 2024 was 10% higher than the concentration measured in May 2023. It is noted that the May 2023 concentration was the lowest recorded and the reported concentration in June 2024 was 13% lower than in May 2022 and indicating an overall decreasing trend as shown in **Figure 5-6**.

A summary of groundwater PFAS concentrations is shown in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1: Summary of PFAS Concentrations in Groundwater Between 2020 and 2024

	Jan 2020	Mar 2021	May 2022	May 2023	June 2024
PFOA (µg/L)					
AIRPORT_BORE	0.57	0.73	0.5	0.37	0.50
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
ID015_BORE[1]	0.02	0.01	<LOR	<LOR	0.02
ID016_BORE	NM	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR

	Jan 2020	Mar 2021	May 2022	May 2023	June 2024
PFOS (µg/L)					
AIRPORT_BORE	33.1	22.5*	16.9	13.1	14.5
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
ID015_BORE[1]	0.46	0.15	0.17	0.03	0.18
ID016_BORE	NM	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR
PFHxS+PFOS (µg/L)					
AIRPORT_BORE	44.5	34.7*	24.5	19.3	21.2
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
ID015_BORE[1]	1.09	0.45	0.36	0.06	0.66
ID016_BORE	NM	0.14	0.02	0.29	0.17

Table notes:
* Duplicate value adopted.
NM- Not measured.
1- May be groundwater or surface water.

Figure 5-6: Groundwater PFHxS+PFOS Concentration Trends

The concentration reduction seen between the 2022 and 2023 results was considered likely to have been primarily through 'flushing' driven by the high rainfall, and conversely the concentration increase observed in 2024 may be attributed to the reduced rainfall prior to the Year 3 Monitoring Event resulting in reduced fresh water inflow (as discussed in **Section 4.1.1**).

The DSI also considered it likely that Mission Creek acts as a gaining creek (groundwater predominantly discharges to the creek) in the upper sections near the Airport and then losing creek (groundwater is predominately recharged by the creek) in the middle to lower sections of the creek (in particular around Mission Pool). Located in the middle to lower section of the Mission Creek catchment, PFAS concentrations in ID015_BORE, would be expected to display an decrease in PFAS concentrations consistent with the decreases observed in Mission Creek surface water, relative to 2021 concentrations. The contrary increase in PFAS concentrations at ID015_BORE suggests there may be a delay in the groundwater recharge or limited creek connectivity, consistent with observations in 2023.

5.3 Nature and Extent of PFAS in Point of Use Water

5.3.1 Water Used for Irrigation

During the 2020 and 2021 monitoring events, PFAS was identified in a private bore ID013_SW01 (pumped from Mission Creek) used for fruit and vegetable produce irrigation. PFAS was also detected in private bore ID016_BORE during 2020 and 2021 monitoring, which is also used for fruit and vegetable irrigation. It is unclear whether ID016_BORE water is sourced from groundwater or Mission Creek surface water, however these sources are considered broadly analogous in this lower section of the creek.

Reported PFAS concentrations decreased in ID013_SW01 between Jan 2020 and March 2021 and increased back above January 2020 levels during the May 2022 monitoring event. In May 2023 and June 2024 the pump for ID013_SW01 was disused and no longer in use for irrigation, replaced by groundwater bore ID013_BORE. Consistent with the previous result in 2020 and 2023, the June 2024 PFAS concentrations in ID013_BORE were reported below the LOR.

Reported PFAS concentrations in ID016_BORE have fluctuated within one order of magnitude in each monitoring event between March 2021 and June 2024, reported below the drinking water screening criteria in 2022, and above in 2021, 2023 and 2024. In June 2024, the concentration of PFHxS+PFOS decreased relative to the May 2023 concentration. A summary of the reported concentrations is shown below in **Table 5.2**.

Table 5.2: Summary of PFAS Concentrations in Irrigation Water Between 2020 and 2024

Sample ID	Jan 2020	Mar 2021	May 2022	May 2023	June 2024
PFOA (µg/L)					
ID013_SW01	0.07	0.05	0.14	NM	NM
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
ID016_BORE	NM	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR
PFOS (µg/L)					
ID013_SW01	2.78	1.38	2.99	NM	NM
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
ID016_BORE	NM	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR

Sample ID	Jan 2020	Mar 2021	May 2022	May 2023	June 2024
PFHxS+PFOS (µg/L)					
ID013_SW01	4.5	2.84	5.33	NM	NM
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
ID016_BORE	NM	0.14	0.02	0.29	0.17

Table notes:
NM- Not measured.

The June 2024 reported PFAS concentrations in water used for irrigation were below the UTVs for irrigation pathways. No change to current management actions is required based on the current monitoring results.

Water from other creeks may also be used for irrigation. The concentrations in other creeks also remain below the UTVs protective of this use. As such, the risks are assessed to remain low and acceptable, and further management is not required.

5.3.2 Water Used for Chicken Watering

During the 2020 and 2021 monitoring events, PFAS was identified in a private bore ID013_SW01 (pumped from Mission Creek) used for the watering of chickens. During the May 2022 monitoring event, it was advised that water from Mission Creek is no longer used for chicken watering at this property. In May 2023, Senversa was informed that the pump at ID013_SW01 was no longer operational, and the water had not been used for chicken watering for some time.

As noted in **Section 5.3.1**, reported PFAS concentrations decreased in ID013_SW01 between Jan 2020 and March 2021 and increased back above January 2020 levels during the May 2022 monitoring event. From May 2023, ID013_BORE has been sampled as a replacement location for ID013_SW01. Reported PFAS concentrations in ID013_BORE were below the LOR in January 2020 and remained below LOR in May 2023 and June 2024. A comparison of the reported concentrations is shown below in **Table 5.3**.

Table 5.3: Comparison of PFAS Concentrations in Chicken Drinking Water Between 2020 and 2024

Sample ID	Jan 2020	Mar 2021	May 2022	May 2023	June 2024
PFOA (µg/L)					
ID013_SW01	0.07	0.05	0.14	NM	NM
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
PFOS (µg/L)					
ID013_SW01	2.78	1.38	2.99	NM	NM
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR
PFHxS+PFOS (µg/L)					
ID013_SW01	4.5	2.84	5.33	NM	NM
ID013_BORE	<LOR	NM	NM	<LOR	<LOR

Table notes:
NM- Not measured.

Concentrations in ID013_SW01 remained above the LTVs for chicken watering in May 2022, consistent with previous monitoring rounds, although it is noted that the concentrations had increased in 2022. ID013_BORE concentrations were reported below the LOR, and therefore based on the results from the 2024 sampling round, the water from ID013_BORE can be safely used for chicken drinking water. No change to the current management actions is required based on the current monitoring results.

The risk assessment completed as part of the HHERA (based on the previously measured concentrations) indicated that management measures are required to manage the potential exposures of consumers of chicken eggs where chickens drink the water from ID013_SW01. **The requirement for management remains unchanged based on the currently measured concentrations in water pumped from Mission Creek**.

As the landholder indicated that since May 2022 this water is no longer used for chicken watering, this pathway is currently managed via the use of rainwater and/or bore water. Continued management is required, as indicated in **Table 6.1.**

5.3.3 Cattle Used for Cattle Stock Watering

There are several properties in the Mission Creek catchment where water impacted by PFAS is known to be used, or is potentially used, for watering cattle. Additionally, there are properties in the Watermill / Town Creek catchment where surface water impacted by PFAS is potentially used for watering cattle and pigs.

Mission Creek catchment stock watering PFHxS+PFOS concentration trends are indicated on **Figure 5-7** below.



Figure 5-7: Mission Creek Catchment Stock Watering PFHxS+PFOS Concentration Trends

The June 2024 reported PFAS concentrations in water used for stock watering were above the LTVs, with the exception of ID015_BORE which was below the LTV for PFOS and for PFHxS. This is broadly consistent with previous monitoring rounds, where PFAS concentrations in Mission Creek water potentially used for cattle stock watering have generally exceeded the LTVs.

The risk assessment completed as part of the HHERA (based on the previously measured concentrations from 2021) indicated that management measures are required to manage the potential exposures of home consumers or public consumers of cattle products where the cattle have access to Mission Creek water for drinking. **The requirement for management remains unchanged based on the currently measured concentrations**, however the following are noted:

- Increased PFAS concentrations since 2021: Because management was assessed to be required based on the data from 2020 and 2021 monitoring, no UTVs have been adopted for water used for stock watering (i.e., because management is required, no UTV is needed because an increase in concentrations will not result in a change in the requirement for management). The continued measurement of concentrations above those measured in 2021 may indicate an increased PFAS exposure potential for consumers of cattle products, emphasising the requirement for management for both home consumers and public consumers.
- ID015_BORE: Concentrations were above the LTVs in 2020, but below the LTVs since 2021. Monitoring of this bore should continue; where concentrations are found to remain consistently below the LTVs, the requirement for continued management of the use of this water can be reviewed. There is considered to be insufficient data at this stage to remove the requirements for management of this water source.

In conclusion, management measures are required for a pathway of cattle stock watering where cattle have access to Mission Creek water. This conclusion is unchanged from the HHERA. Potential management approaches to be considered are detailed in **Section 6.2**.

Water from Watermill Creek is also understood to be used for stock water (cattle and potentially pigs). The HHERA assessed the risks from the use of water from Watermill Creek for stock water to be low and acceptable, and that management measures for this use were therefore not required. Concentrations remain below the UTVs protective of this use. As such, the risks are assessed to remain low and acceptable, and further management is not required.

5.3.4 Water Used for Drinking and Other Uses

As discussed in **Section 2.4.1**, water from the Airport Bore is pumped into a large concrete holding tank, previously utilised across the site and potentially accessed by the public for off-site use via a fill point on Douglas Drive. During the Year 3 Monitoring Event, NIRC advised the PFAS-impacted water from the Airport Bore concrete holding tank is now treated through a POET filter and subsequently supplied to public toilets (through use of a water carter), the wastewater treatment plant, and used for water blasting sewer lines and pump stations. NIRC advised that the POET-treated Airport Bore water is regularly tested for PFAS, however it is not known what threshold concentrations have been adopted for the identified water uses. It is understood that historical pipework connecting the Airport Bore water to the Fire Station and Hospital has been capped. The Douglas Drive fill point was locked during the monitoring event.

Rainwater tanks had been installed on-site which supply the Airport reservoir; an enclosed structure adjacent the Airport Bore concrete holding tank. It is understood that the reservoir water is currently used within the airport terminal. Rainwater tanks were also reported to be in use at the Fire Station, and council depot.

Known historical and current uses of the Airport Bore water and a comparison of January 2020 to June 2024 PFAS concentrations are presented below.

Table 5.4: Comparison of PFAS Concentrations in Drinking Water Between 2020 And 2024

Area / Sample Location	Historical Water Source	PFHxS+ PFOS		Current Water Source	PFHxS+ PFOS		Description
		Jan 2020 (µg/L)	May 2022 (µg/L)		May 2023 (µg/L)	June 2024 (µg/L)	
Airport Bore Tank (AIRPORT_BORE)	Airport Bore	44.5	24.5	Airport Bore	19.3	21.2	Historically used as a holding tank before water was pumped to the fire station and terminal. Now understood to be filtered by POET prior to use in public toilets, wastewater treatment plant, and sewer lines.
Airport Terminal Bathrooms (A_TAP1)	Airport Bore	0.02[1]	22	Rainwater Tanks/ Reservoir	0.06	0.02	Airport Bore water no longer connected. Currently connected to new Airport rainwater tanks and/or airport reservoir.
Current Fire Station kitchen (FRE_TAP1)	Airport Bore	8.63	<LOR	Rainwater Tanks	<LOR	<LOR	Fire station kitchen tap.
Fire Hydrants on Airport (FRE_TAP2)	Airport Bore	22.3	<LOR	Rainwater Tanks	<LOR	0.07	Fire station truck fill water tap.
Council Works Depot (DEPOT_TANK1 to 3)	Airport Bore	9.01	<LOR	Rainwater Tanks	<LOR	<LOR	-

Area / Sample Location	Historical Water Source	PFHxS+ PFOS		Current Water Source	PFHxS+ PFOS		Description
		Jan 2020 (µg/L)	May 2022 (µg/L)		May 2023 (µg/L)	June 2024 (µg/L)	
Council Works Depot Taps (DEPOT_TAP1)	Airport Bore	8.79	<LOR	Rainwater Tanks	<LOR	<LOR	Council works depot kitchen tap.
Public Bathroom Taps (PWS_CAS_TOILETS)	Airport Bore	32.3[1]	NM	Airport Bore via POET	NM	<LOR	Public bathroom tap.
Public Bathroom Taps (PWS_EB_TOILETS)	Airport Bore	<LOR[1]	NM	Airport Bore via POET	NM	<LOR	Public bathroom tap.
Public Bathroom Taps (PWS_HEAD_TOILETS)	Airport Bore	31.5[1]	NM	Airport Bore via POET	NM	1.04	Public bathroom tap.
Douglas Drive Fill Point (AIRPORT_TRUCKFILL)	Airport Bore	NM	21.3	Airport Bore, understood to be treated through POET since early 2024.	NM	NM	Airport Bore water was publicly accessible until 2020 and carted for various uses including supplying the public toilets across the island. While the tap was reported to be locked during the DSI, no lock was present during the May 2022 monitoring event. Lock was observed in May 2023 and June 2024. It is understood that this water is now treated via POET prior to the fill point, therefore while not measured, concentrations would be expected to be lower than in 2020-2022.

Table Notes: 1. March 2021. 2: NM: Not measured

PFAS concentrations were detected at the following locations indicative of a potential change to the water source indicated in **Table 5.4**:

- Fire Station truck fill tap FRE_TAP2. Previously understood to be supplied by rainwater tanks, however PFOS and PFHxS detected in 2024. Concentrations were below drinking water criteria and significantly lower than the concentrations reported in 2020.
- Fire Station kitchen tap FRE_TAP1. Previously understood to be supplied by rainwater tanks, however 8:2 FTS (0.44 µg/L) detected for the first time. PFOA was detected (0.08 µg/L) below the drinking water criterion (0.56 µg/L).

While the concentrations reported in the two Fire Station taps were below the health-based drinking water criteria for regulated PFAS (PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA), some other non-regulated PFAS have been identified, including 8:2 FTS. These other PFAS compounds are found in newer generation AFFF (e.g. Ansulite) the use of which has also ceased at the fire station (as of 2021, refer to Senversa 2021b). Given these identifications of other PFAS, and the need for a precautionary approach which considers all PFAS identified, it is necessary to understand how these PFAS have entered the water supply and undertake further assessment and/or secure an alternate water supply unimpacted by these PFAS.

There is limited potential human exposure to POET-treated Airport Bore water for the supply indicated by NIRC (public bathroom taps, wastewater treatment plant and sewer lines) given the current understanding of management measures:

- Both solids and liquids from the wastewater treatment plant are pumped through a pipeline to an outfall pipe at Headstone Cliff directly into the ocean.
- 'Do not drink' signs are installed at public bathroom taps across the island.

It is noted that while the concentration of PFAS in public bathroom taps had significantly reduced in 2024 relative to previous monitoring, PWS_HEAD_TOILETS PFOS+PFHxS concentrations remained elevated (approximately 15 ×) above the drinking water criterion. Signage should remain, however risks via current known uses (e.g. hand washing) at the current concentrations are assessed to be low given the low exposure potential and frequency.

On this basis, the human health risks associated with the ongoing use of this water for the known uses are assessed to be low, and these health risks are currently adequately managed.

However, while there is low risk associated with the known uses of this water, continued testing of the treated water is required to assess the ongoing effectiveness of the POET filtration system and whether further management is warranted. In addition to managing health risks, in order to demonstrate effective PFAS source management, the off-site transfer of PFAS via use of this water should be minimised to the extent practicable. This requires that the filtration system continues to be effective in removing PFAS (i.e. functioning optimally and removing PFAS in line with design specifications).

Furthermore, further assessment of risk would be required prior to utilisation of this treated water for other purposes.

With the exception of the Fire Station and public toilet tap samples above, the remaining June 2024 reported PFAS concentrations in the point of use taps and tanks were below the LTVs. Monitoring of these locations should continue; where concentrations are found to remain consistently below the LTVs, the requirement for continued management of the use of this water can be reviewed. There is considered to be insufficient data at this stage to remove the requirements for management of this water source.

6.0 Conceptual Site Model

6.1 Conceptual Site Model Summary

A conceptual summary of the linkages between the main PFAS Source Areas, pathways and identified receptors is provided below, with further information provided in the DSI report (Senversa, 2021b). No changes to the source areas, pathways or potential receptors were identified as a result of the Year 3 monitoring event.



Figure 6-1: PFAS Conceptual Site Model Flow Chart

6.2 Assessment of Management Actions

Following the identification of PFAS in groundwater in late 2019, DITRDCA have worked with NIRC to undertake a number of management actions aimed at reducing the potential for exposure to the identified PFAS within the on-island environment both on-Airport and off-Airport, focussing on managing the exposure to PFAS identified in water used (or potentially used) for drinking water or domestic water supply.

Use of Legacy AFFF for training ceased in 2015 and emergency use was anticipated to cease in early 2022. Legacy AFFF foams are no longer used, and a fluorine free foam has since been introduced. The fire trucks have been cleaned and tested for PFAS and are now reported free of Legacy AFFF. Source management options are currently being assessed. Additional management actions already in place and recommended as a result of the June 2024 monitoring results are summarised in **Table 6.1** below.

A future reduction in monitoring may be warranted at locations where the LTVs were not met. This will be determined following the Year 4 monitoring event.

Table 6.1: PFAS Management Actions

Risk Identification	Do Existing Management Measures Mitigate Risks?	Recommended Additional or Ongoing Controls
1. Home consumption or public consumption of cattle, chicken eggs or other animal products where the animal drinks water sourced from Mission Creek.	**Yes, though further measures warranted for long-term effective management** Advice provided to continue not using water for chicken watering, and to avoid home consumption of livestock products where cattle drinking water sourced from Mission Creek. Further assessment / management warranted to ensure ongoing effective mitigation of risks associated with the consumption of livestock products where cattle drink water sourced from Mission Creek.	The findings from this monitoring round confirm the previous recommendations for further management of the use of water from Mission Creek for watering cattle: • Further assessment and/or management of cattle access to PFAS impacted water sources requires further consideration, with PFAS concentrations during this monitoring event remaining consistent with those measured in 2022, and above those measured in earlier sampling (2020 and 2021), following which it was assessed that further assessment/management was warranted. • Alternatively, measures to manage human exposure (e.g. livestock product consumption advice) could be considered. For chickens at ID013, PFAS was <LOR in the currently used water supply (ID013_BORE). Continued monitoring of this water supply is required. Previous advice to livestock farmers and vegetable farmers remains unchanged and use of water from Mission Creek (e.g. ID013_SW01) for watering chickens should not be recommenced.
2. Use of surface water or groundwater water for any extractive use (other than livestock watering) from the Mission Creek Catchment.	**Yes** There are no current unacceptable exposures identified; and advice has been provided not to use water for drinking / domestic use.	Continued monitoring to establish that produce irrigation risks at ID013 and ID016 remain acceptable. Continued advice to not use water for drinking / domestic use required.

Risk Identification	Do Existing Management Measures Mitigate Risks?	Recommended Additional or Ongoing Controls
3. Use of groundwater from or nearby the Airport for any extractive use.	**Yes** There are no current extractive uses of water identified, with the exception of uses assessed to be associated with low and acceptable risks. The POET filtration system was in use at the Airport Bore at the time of the Monitoring Event and treated water utilised by NIRC for public toilets, wastewater treatment, and sewer lines. The truck fill point was locked at the time of the Monitoring Event and 'do not drink' signs were observed at public toilet taps.	The POET filtration system previously installed at the Fire Station has successfully been installed at the Airport Bore. NIRC advised that routine testing of the treated water is undertaken. While there is low exposure potential for the known uses of this water, continued testing of the treated water will be required to assess the ongoing effectiveness of the POET filtration system and whether further management is warranted. In order to demonstrate effective management, the off-site transfer of PFAS via use of this water should be minimised to the extent practicable, and this requires that the filtration system continues to be effective in removing PFAS (i.e. functioning optimally and removing PFAS in line with design specifications).
4. Drinking or washing water at public facilities formerly supplied by the Airport Bore including: the Fire Station, other on-Airport buildings, hospital, and council works depot.	**Further assessment recommended** Sampling undertaken at public facilities including the Airport terminal and council works depot indicate that replacement of PFAS impacted reticulated water systems has been successful in reducing PFAS concentrations to levels below the guidance values. This means it is possible to recommence use of the reticulated water supply at these facilities, as it is safe to use the water, including for sensitive uses such as drinking and eating. At the Fire Station, concentrations of regulated PFAS (PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA) are below the guideline values, however detections of other PFAS compounds require further assessment and/or management.	Continued controls are required such that PFAS impacted water (e.g., Airport Bore) is not used to supply drinking water while above HBGV. This includes the lock on the Douglas Drive fill point and signage at public bathroom taps. The source of PFAS detections at the Fire Station should be identified and an alternative drinking water supply provided until further assessment and monitoring indicates risks to be low and acceptable.
5. Use of surface water or groundwater for drinking water or domestic use from the Upper Watermill Creek Catchment.	**Yes** No current use of water for drinking water or domestic use identified, and advice has been provided not to use water for drinking / domestic use.	Continued ongoing monitoring of PFAS concentrations in Watermill Creek, with a view to revising advice if concentrations decrease below the guidance value in the future.
6. Exposures to freshwater aquatic ecosystems.	**Pending source management**	Continued ongoing monitoring of PFAS concentrations, with a view to future ecological risk revision if concentrations decrease below guideline values in the future.
7. Exposures to marine aquatic ecosystems and recreational marine water use in Emily Bay.	**Yes**	Continued ongoing monitoring of PFAS concentrations in Watermill Creek is warranted; provided no marked increase in PFAS concentrations / fluxes along Watermill Creek are observed, risks to the aquatic ecosystems in Emily Bay are assessed to remain low.

7.0 Conclusions

Senversa undertook the PFAS OMP Year 3 monitoring event from 24 to 27 June 2024 in general accordance with the SAQP. The following findings were made addressing the objectives outlined in **Section 1.2**:

Objective 1: Trends in PFAS concentrations in the environment

- Reported concentrations of PFAS in surface water generally decreased between May 2023 and June 2024, however remained relatively consistent or slightly above concentrations reported in January 2020 and March 2021.
- The increase in PFAS concentrations is considered likely to have been primarily through the increased rainfall and subsequent increase in surface water flux transporting PFAS from source areas. This is supported by the decrease in PFAS concentrations from May 2023 to June 2024, given there was below average rainfall and a subsequent decrease in surface water flux. Exceptions were locations near source areas or with typically stagnant flow.
- PFAS concentrations in surface water generally decreased with increased distance from source areas.
- Concentrations of PFAS in groundwater generally increased between May 2023 and June 2024, however remained consistent with or lower than concentrations reported in January 2020.

Objective 2: The Effectiveness of the Selected Management Options in Managing Current Risks

Reported PFAS concentrations were below the UTVs at all sample locations. A number of point of use sample locations reported PFAS concentrations below the LTVs, however it is proposed that these locations be sampled for the initial OMP implementation period to confirm variability.

Senversa believes the selected management options are appropriate for the purpose of managing current risks, however the following changes in management options could be considered either now or at the completion of the OMP implementation period:

- Stock watering: Further assessment and/or management of cattle access to PFAS impacted water sources requires further consideration, with PFAS concentrations during this monitoring event remaining consistent with those measured in 2022, and above those measured in earlier sampling (2020 and 2021), following which it was assessed that further assessment/management was warranted. Alternatively, measures to manage human exposure (e.g. livestock product consumption advice) could be considered.
- Airport Bore water use: The POET filtration system has been successfully installed on the Airport Bore and NIRC advised that routine testing of the treated water is undertaken. While there is low exposure potential for the known uses of this water, continued testing of the treated water will be required to assess the ongoing effectiveness of the POET filtration system and whether further management is warranted. The efficacy of the POET filter at reducing PFAS concentrations in the Airport Bore water and suitable concentration threshold limits will require assessment prior to utilisation of this treated water for other purposes.
- Public water use: Sampling undertaken at the Fire Station indicates that PFAS concentrations are below the HBGV for regulated PFAS ((PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA), however some other non-regulated PFAS have been identified, including 8:2 FTS. Given these identifications of other PFAS, and the need for a precautionary approach which considers all PFAS identified, it is necessary to understand how these PFAS have entered the water supply and undertake further assessment and/or secure an alternate water supply unimpacted by these PFAS.

A future reduction in monitoring may be warranted at locations where the LTVs were not met; this will be determined following the 2025 monitoring event.

Objective 3: Has the Change in Conditions Resulted in a Change in the Risk Profile

The change in PFAS concentrations has not resulted in a potentially unacceptable change to the risk profile and therefore no change in management controls is required. Following completion of the Year 4 sampling and confirmation of trends, the risk profile for receptors and the monitoring scope going forward will be re-assessed.

PFAS OMP Year 4 Monitoring Event – Next Steps

The next PFAS OMP monitoring event is scheduled for early 2025. No updates to the 2023 SAQP are recommended.

Prior to the next scheduled monitoring event, the draft Norfolk Island PFAS Management Plan should be assessed to aid in the decision-making process on the future management of PFAS on Norfolk Island. Future management options on Norfolk Island should conform with the draft PFAS Management Plan to align with best practices across the Island.

8.0 Principles and Limitations of Investigation

The following principles are an integral part of site contamination assessment practices and are intended to be referred to in resolving any ambiguity or exercising such discretion as is accorded the user or site assessor.

Area	Field Observations and Analytical Results
Elimination of Uncertainty	Some uncertainty is inherent in all site investigations. Furthermore, any sample, either surface or subsurface, taken for chemical testing may or may not be representative of a larger population or area. Professional judgment and interpretation are inherent in the process, and even when exercised in accordance with objective scientific principles, uncertainty is inevitable. Additional assessment beyond that which was reasonably undertaken may reduce the uncertainty.
Failure to Detect	Even when site investigation work is executed competently and in accordance with the appropriate Australian guidance, such as the National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure ('the NEPM'), it must be recognised that certain conditions present especially difficult target analyte detection problems. Such conditions may include, but are not limited to, complex geological settings, unusual or generally poorly understood behaviour and fate characteristics of certain substances, complex, discontinuous, random, or heterogeneous distributions of existing target analytes, physical impediments to investigation imposed by the location of services, structures and other man-made objects, and the inherent limitations of assessment technologies.
Limitations of Information	The effectiveness of any site investigation may be compromised by limitations or defects in the information used to define the objectives and scope of the investigation, including inability to obtain information concerning historic site uses or prior site assessment activities despite the efforts of the user and assessor to obtain such information. Information received during preparation of this report from third parties or anecdotal sources, such as the sources of PFAS identified, was not able to be independently verified by Defence records.
Chemical Analysis Error	Chemical testing methods have inherent uncertainties and limitations. Senversa routinely seeks to require the laboratory to report any potential or actual problems experienced, or non-routine events which may have occurred during the testing, so that such problems can be considered in evaluating the data.
Level of Assessment	The investigation herein should not be considered to be an exhaustive assessment of environmental conditions on a property. There is a point at which the effort of information obtained and the time required to obtain it outweigh the benefit of the information gained and, in the context of private transactions and contractual responsibilities, may become a material detriment to the orderly conduct of business. If the presence of target analytes is confirmed on a property, the extent of further assessment is a function of the degree of confidence required and the degree of uncertainty acceptable in relation to the objectives of the assessment.
Comparison with Subsequent Inquiry	The justification and adequacy of the investigation findings in light of the findings of a subsequent inquiry should be evaluated based on the reasonableness of judgments made at the time and under the circumstances in which they were made.
Data Useability	Investigation data generally only represent the site conditions at the time the data were generated. Therefore, the usability of data collected as part of this investigation may have a finite lifetime depending on the application and use being made of the data. In all respects, a future reader of this report should evaluate whether previously generated data are appropriate for any subsequent use beyond the original purpose for which they were collected, or are otherwise subject to lifetime limits imposed by other laws, regulations or regulatory policies.
Nature of Advice	The investigation works herein are intended to develop and present sound, scientifically valid data concerning actual site conditions. Senversa does not seek or purport to provide legal or business advice.

9.0 References

Abell, R S & Falkland A C, 1991. *The hydrogeology of Norfolk Island South Pacific Ocean.* Department of Primary Industries and Energy Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics, Bulletin 234.

Abell, R S, 1993. *Aquifer vulnerability on small volcanic islands in the southwest Pacific region – an example from Norfolk Island*. AGSO Journal of Australian Geology & Geophysics, 14 (2/3), pp 123-133.

Australian Government – Geoscience Australia, 2020 – Norfolk Island. Accessed 21 Februrary 2020 WWW: http://www.ga.gov.au/scientific-topics/national-location-information/dimensions/remote-offshore-territories/norfolk-island.

BoM, 2022. *Climate statistics for Australian locations: Summary Statistics Norfolk Island Aero*. Accessed 20 June 2022 WWW: http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/cdio/weatherData/av?p_nccObsCode=136&p_display_type=dailyDataFile&p_startYear=&p_c=&p_stn_num=200288.

CSIRO (2020) *Norfolk Island Water Resource Assessment Hydrology Report. A summary report from the CSIRO Norfolk Island Water Resource Assessment*, CSIRO, Australia.

HEPA (2020). PFAS National Environmental Management Plan (PFAS NEMP) 2.0.

NEPC, 2013. National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure 2013 (No. 1), Canberra, National Environment Protection Council.

NIRC, 2018. 2018 - 2023 *Norf'k Ailen Riigenl Kaunsl Enwairanment Straeteji* (Norfolk Island Environment Strategy). November 2018.

Senversa, 2020a. Preliminary Site Investigation into Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport.

Senversa, 2021a. Preliminary Site Investigation into Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport, revision 2, dated 3 February 2021.

Senversa, 2021b. Detailed Site Investigation into Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport, revision 5, dated 12 November 2021.

Senversa, 2021c. Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport, revision 3, dated 12 November 2021.

Senversa 2021d. Ongoing Monitoring Plan, Norfolk Island Airport, revision 0, dated 24 November 2021.

Senversa 2021e. PFAS Management Plan, Norfolk Island Airport, revision 1, dated 10 December 2021.

Senversa, 2022a. PFAS Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan – Year 1 Ongoing Monitoring, revision 1, dated 3 May 2022.

Senversa, 2022b. PFAS Ongoing Monitoring Plan – Year 1 Monitoring Report, Norfolk Island Airport revision 1, dated 14 October 2022.

Senversa, 2023. PFAS Ongoing Monitoring Plan – Year 2 Monitoring Report, Norfolk Island Airport revision 1, dated 11 October 2023.

Figures

senversa

Legend

- National Park
- Kingston and Arthurs Vale Historic Area (KAVHA)
- Mission Creek
- Town Creek / Watermill Creek
- Mountain Peak
- Pump
- Stock Water (cow)
- Stock Water (vegetables and chicken)
- Surface Water (Emily Bay)
- Surface Water and Sediment (Cascade and Headstone Creeks)
- Surface Water and Sediment (Mission Creek)
- Surface Water and Sediment (Watermill Creek)
- Tap Water
- Toilet Water
- Approximate Airport Boundary
- Waterways

Aerial Imagery: Esri World Imagery

Created:	G. Race	Date:	1/10/2024
Reviewed:	M. Agnew	Revision:	0
Approved:	M. Agnew	Scale:	1:30,000 (A3)
File:	C17776_034_F001_Ongoing_Monitoring_Plan_Locations		

0 250 500 1,000 1,500 Metres

Coordinate System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere

Figure No: 1

Title: Ongoing Monitoring Plan Locations

Project: OMP Year 3 Monitoring Report (2024)

Location: Norfolk International Airport

Client: Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communication

Path: S:\01_Jobs\1.NSW_Jobs\S17776_DITCRD_NORFOLK_DSI\C17776_034_Year 3 Sampling.aprx

Legend

PFAS Source Areas (Potential and Confirmed)
- Group 1 Source Area
- Group 2 Source Area

- Airport Bore
- WWII Dam
- Approximate Airport Boundary
- Waterways

Aerial Imagery (02/05/2019) : Nearmap

Created:	G. Race	Date:	16/08/2024
Reviewed:	M. Agnew	Revision:	0
Approved:	M. Agnew	Scale:	1:7,500 (A3)
File:	C17776_034_F002_Site Layout		

0 50 100 200 300 Metres

Coordinate System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere

Figure No: 2

Title: Site Layout and PFAS Source Areas

Project: OMP Year 3 Monitoring Report (2024)

Location: Norfolk International Airport

Client: Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communication

Path: S:\01_Jobs\1.NSW_Jobs\S17776_DITCRD_NORFOLK_DSI\C17776_034_Year 3 Sampling.aprx



Legend

Sum of PFHxS and PFOS (µg/L) 2024 Results
- < LOR
- >LOR - 0.07
- 0.07 - 0.7
- 0.7 - 2.0
- > 2.0
- Below LTV
- Approximate Airport Boundary
- Waterways

Approximate Extent of Surface Water Catchments
- Headstone Creek
- Mission Creek
- Mt Pitt / Broken Bridge Creek
- Town Creek / Watermill Creek

PFAS Source Areas (Potential and Confirmed)
- Group 1 Source Area
- Group 2 Source Area
- Group 3 Source Area
- Group 4 Source Area

Aerial Imagery : Esri World Imagery

Created:	G. Race	Date:	1/10/2024
Reviewed:	M. Agnew	Revision:	0
Approved:	M. Agnew	Scale:	1:25,000 (A3)
File:	C17776_034_F003_SW_Concentrations		

0 250 500 1,000 Metres

Coordinate System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere

Figure No: 3

Title: Surface Water Concentrations PFOS and PFHxS - Human Health Guidelines

Project: OMP Year 3 Monitoring Report (2024)

Location: Norfolk International Airport

Client: Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communication

Path: S:\01_Jobs\1.NSW_Jobs\S17776_DITCRD_NORFOLK_DSI\C17776_034_Year 3 Sampling.aprx



Legend

PFOS (µg/L) 2024 Results
- <LOR
- >LOR - 0.13
- 0.13 - 2.0
- >2.0
- Below LTV
- Approximate Airport Boundary
- Waterways

Approximate Extent of Surface Water Catchments
- Headstone Creek
- Mission Creek
- Mt Pitt / Broken Bridge Creek
- Town Creek / Watermill Creek

PFAS Source Areas (Potential and Confirmed)
- Group 1 Source Area
- Group 2 Source Area
- Group 3 Source Area
- Group 4 Source Area

Aerial Imagery : Esri World Imagery

Created:	G. Race	Date:	1/10/2024
Reviewed:	M. Agnew	Revision:	0
Approved:	M. Agnew	Scale:	1:25,000 (A3)
File:	C17776_034_F004_SW_Concentrations_PFOS		

0 250 500 1,000 Metres

Coordinate System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere

Figure No: 4

Title: Surface Water Concentrations PFOS - Ecological Guidelines

Project: OMP Year 3 Monitoring Report (2024)

Location: Norfolk International Airport

Client: Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communication

Path: S:\01_Jobs\1.NSW_Jobs\S17776_DITCRD_NORFOLK_DSI\C17776_034_Year 3 Sampling.aprx

Tables

Table 1 - Upper Trigger Value Mission Creek Irrigation
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

			Location Code	ID013_BORE	ID016_BORE
			Field ID	ID013_BORE	ID016_BORE
			Date	25/06/2024	27/06/2024
			Sample Type	Normal	Normal
			Lab Report No.	ES2421874	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL	Upper Trigger Value - Mission Creek		
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids					
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids					
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.03
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1		<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01	<0.01
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids					
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01	4.2[#1]	<0.01	<0.01
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.04
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01	2.5[#1]	<0.01	0.17
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.05
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01		<0.01	0.17
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides					
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
PFAS					
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01		<0.01	0.29

Comments
#1 Mission Creek water used for irrigation

Prepared by:
Checked by:

Table 2 - Upper Trigger Value Other Creeks
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

			Location Code	WC_OMP01	WC_OMP02	WC_OMP03	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP05
			Field ID	WC_OMP01	WC_OMP02	WC_OMP03	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP05
			Date	24/06/2024	24/06/2024	26/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024
			Sample Type	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
			Lab Report No.	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL	Upper Trigger Value - Other Creeks					
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids								
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01 *	<0.05	<0.05	<0.01 *	<0.01 *
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02 *	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02 *	<0.02 *
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids								
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02		0.09	0.03	0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02		0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids								
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01	0.5[#1]	0.29	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.02
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02		0.09	0.03	0.03	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01	1.3[#1]	0.54	0.16	0.16	0.08	0.02
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02		0.10	0.03	0.03	0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02		0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01		0.83	0.24	0.22	0.11	0.04
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides								
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
PFAS								
Sum of US EPA PFAS (PFOS + PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		0.18 *	-	-	0.02 *	0.01 *
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01		1.19	0.33	0.30	0.13	0.04

Comments
#1 Surface water from other creeks.
"*" denotes higher duplicate/triplicate result adopted

Table 3 - Upper Trigger Value Cascade and Headstone
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

			Location Code	Cockpit_SW01	PWS_HEAD_DAM
			Field ID	COCKPIT_SW01	PWS_HEAD_DAM
			Date	24/06/2024	25/06/2024
			Sample Type	Normal	Normal
			Lab Report No.	ES2421874	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL	Upper Trigger Value - Cascade and Headstone Creek		
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids					
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01 *	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02 *	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids					
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1		<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01	<0.01
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids					
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01 *	<0.01
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01		0.01	<0.01
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01	0.07[#1]	<0.01 *	<0.01
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides					
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02
PFAS					
Sum of US EPA PFAS (PFOS + PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01 *	-
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01		<0.01 *	<0.01

Comments
#1 Surface water from Cascade Creek / Headstone Creek
"*" denotes higher duplicate/triplicate result adopted

Table 4 - Lower Trigger Value Public Waters
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

Location Code				A_TAP1	AIRPORT_BORE	DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP2	WW11_DAM	MC_OMP01	MC_OMP02	MC_OMP03	MC_OMP04	MC_OMP05	MC_OMP06	MC_OMP07
Field ID				A_TAP1	AIRPORT_BORE	DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP2	WWII_DAM	MC_OMP01	MC_OMP02	MC_OMP03	MC_OMP04	MC_OMP05	MC_OMP06	MC_OMP07
Date				25/06/2024	25/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024	25/06/2024	25/06/2024	25/06/2024	25/06/2024	26/06/2024	26/06/2024	26/06/2024	26/06/2024	26/06/2024	26/06/2024
Sample Type				Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Lab Report No.				ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL	Lower Trigger Value - Public																
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids																			
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.01		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.44	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids																			
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.96	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	1.07	1.22	0.06	0.84	0.48	0.54	0.45	0.54
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.27	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.33	0.33	0.13	0.24	0.19	0.21	0.16	0.20
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.22	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.05	<0.02	0.24	0.24	<0.02	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.09
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1		<0.1	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	0.2	<0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01	0.50	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.08	<0.01	0.52	0.56	0.01	0.29	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.20
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids																			
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	0.05	<0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	<0.02	0.03
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01		0.02	14.5	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	16.7	15.9	0.08	8.65	5.45	6.12	5.10	7.62
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.97	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	1.40	1.49	0.05	1.04	0.42	0.51	0.40	0.46
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01	6.71	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.03	7.93	8.75	0.24	5.98	2.83	3.04	2.56	3.20
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.54	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.35	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.26
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.89	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	1.07	1.17	0.06	0.84	0.41	0.45	0.35	0.44
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	0.35	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.41	0.46	<0.02	0.34	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.18
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01	0.07[#1]	0.02	21.2	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.07	24.6	24.6	0.32	14.6	8.28	9.16	7.66	10.8
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides																			
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
PFAS																			
Sum of US EPA PFAS (PFOS + PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01		0.02	26.2	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.62	0.07	29.9	30.4	0.63	18.8	10.5	11.7	9.61	13.3

Comments
#1 Reticulated water supplies at public facilities (Risk ID 4)
Surface water from Mission Creek (Risk ID 2)
Surface water from Watermill Creek (Risk ID 5)
Groundwater at airport (airport bore) (Risk ID 3)
"*" denotes higher duplicate/triplicate result adopted

Table 4 - Lower Trigger Value Public Waters
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

			Location Code	MC_OMP08	MC_OMP09	MC_OMP10	MC_OMP11	PWS_HEAD_DAM	WC_OMP01	WC_OMP02	WC_OMP03	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP05
			Field ID	MC_OMP08	MC_OMP09	MC_OMP10	MC_OMP11	PWS_HEAD_DAM	WC_OMP01	WC_OMP02	WC_OMP03	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP05
			Date	26/06/2024	25/06/2024	25/06/2024	25/06/2024	25/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024	26/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024
			Sample Type	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
			Lab Report No.	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL	Lower Trigger Value - Public										
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids													
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.01		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.01 *	<0.05	<0.05	<0.01 *	<0.01 *
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02 *	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02 *	<0.02 *
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids													
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02		0.51	0.45	0.38	0.26	<0.02	0.09	0.03	0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02		0.17	0.16	0.13	0.09	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02		0.09	0.08	0.08	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1		0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		0.18	0.16	0.14	0.12	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids													
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02		0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01		5.90	4.86	3.64	2.96	<0.01	0.29	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.02
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02		0.46	0.44	0.38	0.28	<0.02	0.09	0.03	0.03	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01		2.91	2.89	2.40	2.15	<0.01	0.54	0.16	0.16	0.08	0.02
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02		0.20	0.20	<0.02	0.12	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02		0.43	0.37	0.34	0.28	<0.02	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02		0.17	0.15	0.13	0.11	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01	0.07[#1]	8.81	7.75	6.04	5.11	<0.01	0.83	0.24	0.22	0.11	0.04
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides													
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
PFAS													
Sum of US EPA PFAS (PFOS + PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		-	-	-	-	-	0.18 *	-	-	0.02 *	0.01 *
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01		11.2	9.76	7.62	6.43	<0.01	1.19	0.33	0.30	0.13	0.04

Comments

#1 Reticulated water supplies at public facilities (Risk ID 4)
Surface water from Mission Creek (Risk ID 2)
Surface water from Watermill Creek (Risk ID 5)
Groundwater at airport (airport bore) (Risk ID 3)

"*" denotes higher duplicate/triplicate result adopted

Table 5 - Lower Trigger Value Stock Watering
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

			Location Code	ID014_BORE	ID015_BORE	MC_OMP08	MC_OMP09	MC_OMP10
			Field ID	ID014_BORE	ID015_BORE	MC_OMP08	MC_OMP09	MC_OMP10
			Date	26/06/2024	27/06/2024	26/06/2024	25/06/2024	25/06/2024
			Sample Type	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
			Lab Report No.	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL	Lower Trigger Value - Stock Watering					
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids								
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids								
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02		0.20	0.08	0.51	0.45	0.38
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02		0.07	0.03	0.17	0.16	0.13
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02		0.04	<0.02	0.09	0.08	0.08
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1		<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		0.08	0.02	0.18	0.16	0.14
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids								
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01	0.33[#1]	2.02	0.18	5.90	4.86	3.64
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02		0.18	0.09	0.46	0.44	0.38
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01	1.2[#1]	1.25	0.48	2.91	2.89	2.40
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02		0.07	<0.02	0.20	0.20	<0.02
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02		0.19	0.09	0.43	0.37	0.34
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02		0.08	0.04	0.17	0.15	0.13
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01		3.27	0.66	8.81	7.75	6.04
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides								
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
PFAS								
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01		4.18	1.01	11.2	9.76	7.62

Comments
#1 Surface water - Mission Creek water (used to water stock on e.g. properties A, B, C prior to management) (Risk ID 1)

Table 6 - Lower Trigger Value ID013 Chicken Watering
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

			Location Code	ID013_BORE
			Field ID	ID013_BORE
			Date	25/06/2024
			Sample Type	Normal
			Lab Report No.	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL	Lower Trigger Value - ID013	
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids				
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids				
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1		<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01		<0.01
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids				
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01	0.9[#1]	<0.01
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01	1.3[#1]	<0.01
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01		<0.01
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides				
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05		<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02		<0.02
PFAS				
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01		<0.01

Comments
#1 Mission Creek water on property ID013 (used to water chickens prior to management) (Risk ID 1)

Table 7: Water Analytical Results - June 2024
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

				(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids				Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids											
				4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
				µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL				0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection																			**632**[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection																			220[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection																			19[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value																			0.56[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value																			10[#1]
Stock Watering																			*0.56*[#2]
Location Code	**Date**	**Field ID**	**Lab Report Number**																
A_TAP1	25/06/2024	A_TAP1	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
AIRPORT_BORE	25/06/2024	AIRPORT_BORE	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.96	<0.02	<0.02	0.27	<0.05	<0.05	0.22	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.50
Cockpit_SW01	24/06/2024	COCKPIT_SW01	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK1	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TANK1	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TANK2	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TANK3	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP1	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TAP1	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	25/06/2024	FRE_TAP1	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	0.44	<0.05	0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.08
FRE_TAP2	25/06/2024	FRE_TAP2	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_BORE	25/06/2024	ID013_BORE	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID014_BORE	26/06/2024	ID014_BORE	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	<0.05	<0.05	0.04	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.08
ID015_BORE	27/06/2024	ID015_BORE	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
ID016_BORE	27/06/2024	ID016_BORE	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID026_BORE	26/06/2024	ID026_BORE	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID026_TAP	26/06/2024	ID026_TAP	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
MC_OMP01	25/06/2024	MC_OMP01	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.22	<0.02	<0.02	0.33	<0.05	<0.05	0.24	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.56*
MC_OMP02	26/06/2024	MC_OMP02	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	0.13	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.01
MC_OMP03	26/06/2024	MC_OMP03	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.84	<0.02	<0.02	0.24	<0.05	<0.05	0.14	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.29
MC_OMP04	26/06/2024	MC_OMP04	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.48	<0.02	<0.02	0.19	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.17
MC_OMP05	26/06/2024	MC_OMP05	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.54	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	<0.05	<0.05	0.10	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.18
MC_OMP06	26/06/2024	MC_OMP06	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.45	<0.02	<0.02	0.16	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.18
MC_OMP07	26/06/2024	MC_OMP07	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.54	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.20
MC_OMP08	26/06/2024	MC_OMP08	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.51	<0.02	<0.02	0.17	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.18
MC_OMP09	25/06/2024	MC_OMP09	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.45	<0.02	<0.02	0.16	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.16
MC_OMP10	25/06/2024	MC_OMP10	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.38	<0.02	<0.02	0.13	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.14
MC_OMP11	25/06/2024	MC_OMP11	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.26	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.12
PWS_CAS_TOILETS	25/06/2024	PWS_CAS_TOILETS	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_EB_TOILETS	25/06/2024	PWS_EB_TOILETS	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	25/06/2024	PWS_HEAD_DAM	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	25/06/2024	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
WC_OMP01	24/06/2024	WC_OMP01	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
WC_OMP02	24/06/2024	WC_OMP02	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP03	26/06/2024	WC_OMP03	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	24/06/2024	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP05	24/06/2024	WC_OMP05	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WW11_DAM	25/06/2024	WWII_DAM	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.07	<0.02	<0.02	0.33	<0.05	<0.05	0.24	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.52

Comments
#1 PFAS National Environmental Management Plan Version 2.0', Heads of EPA Australia and New Zealand 2020
#2 NHMRC (2011) - Health
#3 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFOS)
#4 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFHxS)

Table 7: Water Analytical Results - June 2024
Year 3 Ongoing Monitoring Program
Norfolk Island
DITRDCA
C17776

				Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids									Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides							PFAS
				Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	Sum of PFAS
				µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL				0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection					**2**[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection					0.13[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection					0.00023[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value												0.07[#1]								
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value												2[#1]								
Stock Watering					*0.07*[#3]		*0.07*[#4]					*0.07*[#2]								
Location Code	**Date**	**Field ID**	**Lab Report Number**																	
A_TAP1	25/06/2024	A_TAP1	ES2421874	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.02
AIRPORT_BORE	25/06/2024	AIRPORT_BORE	ES2421874	0.05	***14.5***	0.97	*6.71*	0.54	<0.02	0.89	0.35	*21.2*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	26.2
Cockpit_SW01	24/06/2024	COCKPIT_SW01	ES2421874	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.03
DEPOT_TANK1	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TANK1	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TANK2	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TANK3	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP1	24/06/2024	DEPOT_TAP1	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	25/06/2024	FRE_TAP1	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.62
FRE_TAP2	25/06/2024	FRE_TAP2	ES2421874	<0.02	0.04	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.07*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.07
ID013_BORE	25/06/2024	ID013_BORE	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
ID014_BORE	26/06/2024	ID014_BORE	ES2421874	<0.02	***2.02***	0.18	*1.25*	0.07	<0.02	0.19	0.08	*3.27*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	4.18
ID015_BORE	27/06/2024	ID015_BORE	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.18***	0.09	*0.48*	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	0.04	*0.66*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.01
ID016_BORE	27/06/2024	ID016_BORE	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	0.04	*0.17*	<0.02	<0.02	0.05	<0.02	*0.17*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.29
ID026_BORE	26/06/2024	ID026_BORE	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
ID026_TAP	26/06/2024	ID026_TAP	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
MC_OMP01	25/06/2024	MC_OMP01	ES2421874	0.05	***15.9***	1.49	*8.75*	<0.02	<0.02	1.17	0.46	*24.6*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	30.4
MC_OMP02	26/06/2024	MC_OMP02	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.08***	0.05	*0.24*	<0.02	<0.02	0.06	<0.02	*0.32*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.63
MC_OMP03	26/06/2024	MC_OMP03	ES2421874	0.03	***8.65***	1.04	*5.98*	0.35	<0.02	0.84	0.34	*14.6*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	18.8
MC_OMP04	26/06/2024	MC_OMP04	ES2421874	0.02	***5.45***	0.42	*2.83*	0.17	<0.02	0.41	0.17	*8.28*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	10.5
MC_OMP05	26/06/2024	MC_OMP05	ES2421874	0.02	***6.12***	0.51	*3.04*	0.21	<0.02	0.45	0.18	*9.16*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.7
MC_OMP06	26/06/2024	MC_OMP06	ES2421874	<0.02	***5.10***	0.40	*2.56*	0.18	<0.02	0.35	0.15	*7.66*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.61
MC_OMP07	26/06/2024	MC_OMP07	ES2421874	0.03	***7.62***	0.46	*3.20*	0.26	<0.02	0.44	0.18	*10.8*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	13.3
MC_OMP08	26/06/2024	MC_OMP08	ES2421874	0.03	***5.90***	0.46	*2.91*	0.20	<0.02	0.43	0.17	*8.81*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.2
MC_OMP09	25/06/2024	MC_OMP09	ES2421874	<0.02	***4.86***	0.44	*2.89*	0.20	<0.02	0.37	0.15	*7.75*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.76
MC_OMP10	25/06/2024	MC_OMP10	ES2421874	<0.02	***3.64***	0.38	*2.40*	<0.02	<0.02	0.34	0.13	*6.04*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	7.62
MC_OMP11	25/06/2024	MC_OMP11	ES2421874	<0.02	***2.96***	0.28	*2.15*	0.12	<0.02	0.28	0.11	*5.11*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	6.43
PWS_CAS_TOILETS	25/06/2024	PWS_CAS_TOILETS	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_EB_TOILETS	25/06/2024	PWS_EB_TOILETS	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	25/06/2024	PWS_HEAD_DAM	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	25/06/2024	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.77***	0.04	*0.27*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	*1.04*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.17
WC_OMP01	24/06/2024	WC_OMP01	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.29***	0.09	*0.54*	<0.02	<0.02	0.10	0.03	*0.83*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.19
WC_OMP02	24/06/2024	WC_OMP02	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.08***	0.03	*0.16*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	*0.24*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.33
WC_OMP03	26/06/2024	WC_OMP03	ES2421874	<0.02	0.06	0.03	*0.16*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	*0.22*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.30
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	24/06/2024	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	ES2421874	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	*0.08*	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	*0.11*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.13
WC_OMP05	24/06/2024	WC_OMP05	ES2421874	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.04
WW11_DAM	25/06/2024	WWII_DAM	ES2421874	0.07	***16.7***	1.40	*7.93*	<0.02	<0.02	1.07	0.41	*24.6*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	29.9

Comments
#1 PFAS National Environmental Management Plan Version 2.0', Heads of EPA Australia
#2 NHMRC (2011) - Health
#3 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFOS)
#4 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFHxS)

Table 8: Historical Water Analytical Results
DITCRD, Norfolk, DSI
Norfolk Island,
DITCRD

					(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids				Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids											
					4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
					µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL					0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection																				**632**[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection																				220[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection																				19[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value																				0.56[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value																				10[#1]
Stock Watering																				*0.56* [#2]
Location Code	**Field ID**	**Date**	**Sample Type**	**Lab Report**																
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	9/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.90	<0.02	<0.02	0.23	<0.05	-	0.19	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.45
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
A_TAP4	A_TAP4	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
A_TAP4	A_TAP4	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.97	<0.02	<0.02	0.25	<0.05	-	0.21	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.49
A_TAP4	A_TAP4	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	9/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.32	<0.02	<0.02	0.30	<0.05	-	0.29	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.68*
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.96	<0.02	<0.02	0.24	<0.05	-	0.22	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.50
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.68	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	-	0.17	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.37
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.96	<0.02	<0.02	0.27	<0.05	<0.05	0.22	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.50
AIRPORT_BORE	PWS_AIRPORT_BORE	14/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.35	<0.02	<0.02	0.33	<0.05	-	0.28	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.57*
Cockpit_SW01	Cockpit_SW01	18/01/2020	Normal	ES2002808	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK1	21/01/2020	Normal	ES2002819	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK1	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	-	0.02	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK 1	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK1	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK2	21/01/2020	Normal	ES2002819	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.38	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	<0.05	-	0.07	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.17
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK2	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK 2	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK2	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TANK3	11/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TANK3	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK 3	DEPOT_TANK 3	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TANK3	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP	DEPOT_TAP	21/01/2020	Normal	ES2002819	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.37	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	<0.05	-	0.07	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.16
DEPOT_TAP	DEPOT_TAP	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP	DEPOT_TAP	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP1	DEPOT_TAP1	11/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP1	DEPOT_TAP1	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	20/01/2020	Normal	ES2002817	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.37	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	<0.05	-	0.07	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.16
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111256	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	0.44	<0.05	0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.08
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	20/01/2020	Normal	ES2002817	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.07	<0.02	<0.02	0.26	<0.05	-	0.21	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.44
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_BORE	ID013_BORE	22/01/2020	Normal	ES2002831	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_BORE	ID013_BORE	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317553	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_BORE	ID013_BORE	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_SW01	ID013_SW01	22/01/2020	Normal	ES2002831	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.14	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	-	0.03	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.07
ID013_SW01	ID013_SW01	11/03/2021	Normal	ES2111261	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.14	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	-	0.03	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.05
ID013_SW01	ID013_SW01	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.28	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	<0.05	-	0.07	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.14
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	23/01/2020	Normal	ES2002813	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.15	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	<0.05	-	0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.05
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111243	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.12	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.05	-	0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.04
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.30	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	<0.05	-	0.07	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.12
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317551	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.08	<0.05	-	0.04	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.08
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	<0.05	<0.05	0.04	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.08
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	23/01/2020	Normal	ES2002814	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111245	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.01
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317549	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	27/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111244	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317550	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	27/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID026_BORE	ID026_BORE	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
ID026_TAP	ID026_TAP	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WW11_DAM	PWS-WWII_DAM	13/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	2.68	<0.02	<0.02	0.65	<0.05	-	0.51	0.4	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*1.13*
WW11_DAM	WW11_DAM	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	2.21	<0.02	<0.02	0.55	<0.05	-	0.75	0.3	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*1.25*
WW11_DAM	WW11_DAM	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.71	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	<0.05	-	0.15	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.37
WW11_DAM	WWII_DAM	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.21	<0.02	<0.02	0.34	<0.05	-	0.29	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.62*

Table 8: Historical Water Analytical Results
DITCRD, Norfolk, DSI
Norfolk Island,
DITCRD

					(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids				Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids										
					4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
					µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL					0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection																				**632**[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection																				220[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection																				19[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value																				0.56[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value																				10[#1]
Stock Watering																				*0.56* [#2]
Location Code	**Field ID**	**Date**	**Sample Type**	**Lab Report**																
WW11_DAM	WWII_DAM	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.07	<0.02	<0.02	0.33	<0.05	<0.05	0.24	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.52
MC_OMP01	MC_DMP01	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.45	<0.02	<0.02	0.13	<0.05	-	0.10	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.31
MC_OMP01	MC_OMP01	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.32	<0.02	<0.02	0.36	<0.05	-	0.29	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.68*
MC_OMP01	MC_OMP01	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.22	<0.02	<0.02	0.33	<0.05	<0.05	0.24	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.56*
MC_OMP01	MC_SW21	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	2.05	<0.02	<0.02	0.46	<0.05	-	0.41	0.3	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.92*
MC_OMP02	MC_OMP02	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	0.12	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
MC_OMP02	MC_OMP02	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	<0.02	<0.02	0.24	<0.05	-	0.03	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
MC_OMP02	MC_OMP02	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	0.13	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.01
MC_OMP02	MC_SW24	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
MC_OMP03	MC_OMP03	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.81	<0.02	<0.02	0.25	<0.05	-	0.17	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.34
MC_OMP03	MC_OMP03	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.69	<0.02	<0.02	0.22	<0.05	-	0.16	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.31
MC_OMP03	MC_OMP03	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.84	<0.02	<0.02	0.24	<0.05	<0.05	0.14	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.29
MC_OMP03	MC_SW25	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	0.05	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
MC_OMP04	MC_OMP04	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.53	<0.02	<0.02	0.19	<0.05	-	0.12	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.22
MC_OMP04	MC_OMP04	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.54	<0.02	<0.02	0.23	<0.05	-	0.12	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.23
MC_OMP04	MC_OMP04	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.48	<0.02	<0.02	0.19	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.17
MC_OMP04	MC_SW28	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.70	<0.02	<0.02	0.17	<0.05	-	0.11	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.25
MC_OMP05	MC_OMP05	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.57	<0.02	<0.02	0.19	<0.05	-	0.12	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.24
MC_OMP05	MC_OMP05	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.51	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	<0.05	-	0.11	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.23
MC_OMP05	MC_OMP05	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.54	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	<0.05	<0.05	0.10	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.18
MC_OMP05	MC_SW27	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.64	<0.02	<0.02	0.16	<0.05	-	0.11	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.24
MC_OMP06	MC_OMP06	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.58	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	-	0.12	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.24
MC_OMP06	MC_OMP06	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.49	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	-	0.10	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.20
MC_OMP06	MC_OMP06	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.45	<0.02	<0.02	0.16	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.18
MC_OMP06	MC_SW26	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.70	<0.02	<0.02	0.17	<0.05	-	0.12	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.24
MC_OMP07	MC_OMP07	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.60	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	-	0.12	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.22
MC_OMP07	MC_OMP07	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.49	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	-	0.10	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.21
MC_OMP07	MC_OMP07	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.54	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.20
MC_OMP07	MC_SW11	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.67	<0.02	<0.02	0.17	<0.05	-	0.11	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.23
MC_OMP08	MC_OMP08	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.57	<0.02	<0.02	0.19	<0.05	-	0.11	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.21
MC_OMP08	MC_OMP08	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.49	<0.02	<0.02	0.20	<0.05	-	0.10	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.20
MC_OMP08	MC_OMP08	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.51	<0.02	<0.02	0.17	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.18
MC_OMP08	MC_SW13	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.52	<0.02	<0.02	0.13	<0.05	-	0.08	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.15
MC_OMP09	MC_OMP09	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.36	<0.02	<0.02	0.12	<0.05	-	0.07	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.13
MC_OMP09	MC_OMP09	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.39	<0.02	<0.02	0.15	<0.05	-	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.16
MC_OMP09	MC_OMP09	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.45	<0.02	<0.02	0.16	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.16
MC_OMP09	MC_SW04	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.40	<0.02	<0.02	0.14	<0.05	-	0.02	0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
MC_OMP10	MC_OMP10	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.49	<0.02	<0.02	0.15	<0.05	-	0.10	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.18
MC_OMP10	MC_OMP10	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.41	<0.02	<0.02	0.16	<0.05	-	0.09	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.17
MC_OMP10	MC_OMP10	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.38	<0.02	<0.02	0.13	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.14
MC_OMP11	MC_OMP11	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.36	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	<0.05	-	0.08	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.14
MC_OMP11	MC_OMP11	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.30	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	<0.05	-	0.06	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.11
MC_OMP11	MC_OMP11	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.26	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.12
PWS_CAS_TOILETS	PWS_HCAS_TOILETS	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.17	<0.02	<0.02	0.30	<0.05	-	0.16	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.55
PWS_CAS_TOILETS	PWS_CAS_TOILETS	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_EB_TOILETS	PWS_EB_TOILETS	15/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_EB_TOILETS	PWS_EB_TOILETS	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	14/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1.25	<0.02	<0.02	0.32	<0.05	-	0.16	0.2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.57*
PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
WC_OMP01	TC_SW06	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.12	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.01
WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.07	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.01
WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
WC_OMP02	TC_SW04	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.01
WC_OMP02	WC_OMP02	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP02	WC_OMP02	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP02	WC_OMP02	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP03	TC_SW02	16/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP03	TC_SW02	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP03	WC_OMP03	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP03	WC_OMP03	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP03	WC_OMP03	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	PWS_DUCK_DAM	14/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	PWS_DUCK_DAM	14/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01

Table 8: Historical Water Analytical Results
DITCRD, Norfolk, DSI
Norfolk Island,
DITCRD

	(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids				Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids											
	4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection																**632**[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection																220[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection																19[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value																0.56[#1]
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value																10[#1]
Stock Watering																*0.56* [#2]

Location Code	Field ID	Date	Sample Type	Lab Report													
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP05	TC_SW07	15/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP06	WC_OMP06	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	-	<0.02	<0.1	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01

Comments
#1 PFAS National Environmental Management Plan Version 2.0', Heads of EPA Australia and New Zealand 2020
#2 NHMRC (2011) - Health
#3 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFOS)
#4 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFHxS)

Table 8: Historical Water Analytical Results
DITCRD, Norfolk, DSI
Norfolk Island,
DITCRD

	Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids									Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides							PFAS
	Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	Sum of PFAS
	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection		**2**[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection		0.13[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection		0.00023[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value									0.07[#1]								
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value									2[#1]								
Stock Watering		*0.07*[#3]		*0.07*[#4]					*0.07*[#2]								

Location Code	Field ID	Date	Sample Type	Lab Report															
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	9/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	-	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.02	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.02
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***14.8***	0.94	*7.15*	0.51	<0.02	0.75	-	*22.0*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	26.0
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	0.06	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.06	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.06
A_TAP1	A_TAP1	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.02
A_TAP4	A_TAP4	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	***0.08***	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	*0.11*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.11
A_TAP4	A_TAP4	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***15.2***	1.06	*7.06*	0.56	<0.02	0.81	-	*22.3*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	26.8
A_TAP4	A_TAP4	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	9/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	-	***17.2***	1.35	*7.68*	0.69	<0.02	1.13	-	*24.9*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	30.8
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***16.8***	1.16	*7.67*	0.63	<0.02	0.88	-	*24.5*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	29.2
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***13.1***	0.83	*6.21*	0.46	<0.02	0.65	-	*19.3*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	22.8
AIRPORT_BORE	AIRPORT_BORE	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.05	***14.5***	0.97	*6.71*	0.54	<0.02	0.89	0.35	*21.2*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	26.2
AIRPORT_BORE	PWS_AIRPORT_BORE	14/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	-	***33.1***	1.62	*11.4*	0.92	<0.02	1.27	-	*44.5*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	51.0
Cockpit_SW01	Cockpit_SW01	18/01/2020	Normal	ES2002808	-	0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.04	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.04
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.03	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.03
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	0.01	<0.02	0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.02	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.02
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
Cockpit_SW01	COCKPIT_SW01	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.03
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK1	21/01/2020	Normal	ES2002819	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK1	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.20
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK 1	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK1	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK2	21/01/2020	Normal	ES2002819	-	***5.54***	0.45	*3.47*	0.24	<0.02	0.35	-	*9.01*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	10.8
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK2	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK 2	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK2	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TANK3	11/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TANK3	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK 3	DEPOT_TANK 3	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TANK3	DEPOT_TANK3	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP	DEPOT_TAP	21/01/2020	Normal	ES2002819	-	***5.46***	0.42	*3.33*	0.23	<0.02	0.34	-	*8.79*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	10.5
DEPOT_TAP	DEPOT_TAP	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP	DEPOT_TAP	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP1	DEPOT_TAP1	11/03/2021	Normal	ES2111278	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
DEPOT_TAP1	DEPOT_TAP1	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	20/01/2020	Normal	ES2002817	-	***5.49***	0.41	*3.14*	0.23	<0.02	0.31	-	*8.63*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	10.3
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111256	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP1	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.62
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	20/01/2020	Normal	ES2002817	-	***15.0***	1.31	*7.30*	0.74	<0.02	0.94	-	*22.3*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	27.5
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
FRE_TAP2	FRE_TAP2	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	0.04	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	*0.07*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.07
ID013_BORE	ID013_BORE	22/01/2020	Normal	ES2002831	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_BORE	ID013_BORE	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317553	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_BORE	ID013_BORE	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
ID013_SW01	ID013_SW01	22/01/2020	Normal	ES2002831	-	***2.78***	0.17	*1.72*	0.14	<0.02	0.14	-	*4.50*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	5.22
ID013_SW01	ID013_SW01	11/03/2021	Normal	ES2111261	-	***1.38***	0.18	*1.46*	0.07	<0.02	0.17	-	*2.84*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	3.51
ID013_SW01	ID013_SW01	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***2.99***	0.37	*2.34*	0.14	<0.02	0.32	-	*5.33*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	6.76
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	23/01/2020	Normal	ES2002813	-	***1.93***	0.17	*1.20*	0.08	<0.02	0.16	-	*3.13*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	3.80
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111243	-	***0.89***	0.14	*1.04*	0.05	<0.02	0.15	-	*1.93*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	2.47
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***2.79***	0.27	*2.43*	0.15	<0.02	0.29	-	*5.22*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	6.53
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317551	-	***2.37***	0.19	*1.36*	0.09	<0.02	0.15	-	*3.73*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	4.56
ID014_BORE	ID014_BORE	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***2.02***	0.18	*1.25*	0.07	<0.02	0.19	0.08	*3.27*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	4.18
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	23/01/2020	Normal	ES2002814	-	***0.46***	0.10	*0.63*	0.03	<0.02	0.11	-	*1.09*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.46
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111245	-	***0.15***	0.05	*0.30*	<0.02	<0.02	0.06	-	*0.45*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.61
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***0.17***	0.04	*0.19*	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	-	*0.36*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.47
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317549	-	0.03	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.06	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.06
ID015_BORE	ID015_BORE	27/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.18***	0.09	*0.48*	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	0.04	*0.66*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.01
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111244	-	<0.01	0.03	*0.14*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	-	*0.14*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.20
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.02	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.02
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317550	-	<0.01	0.06	*0.29*	<0.02	<0.02	0.08	-	*0.29*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.48
ID016_BORE	ID016_BORE	27/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	0.04	*0.17*	<0.02	<0.02	0.05	<0.02	*0.17*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.29
ID026_BORE	ID026_BORE	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
ID026_TAP	ID026_TAP	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
WW11_DAM	PWS-WWII_DAM	13/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	-	***44.6***	2.97	*22.6*	2.05	<0.02	2.30	-	*67.2*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	79.9
WW11_DAM	WW11_DAM	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	***21.0***	3.00	*13.6*	1.65	<0.02	2.42	-	*34.6*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	46.7
WW11_DAM	WW11_DAM	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***14.6***	0.87	*5.87*	0.53	<0.02	0.59	-	*20.5*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	24.0
WW11_DAM	WWII_DAM	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***25.5***	1.26	*9.53*	0.78	<0.02	0.94	-	*35.0*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	40.7

Table 8: Historical Water Analytical Results
DITCRD, Norfolk, DSI
Norfolk Island,
DITCRD

	Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids									Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides							PFAS
	Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	Sum of PFAS
	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection		**2**[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection		0.13[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection		0.00023[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value									0.07[#1]								
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value									2[#1]								
Stock Watering		*0.07*[#3]		*0.07*[#4]					*0.07*[#2]								

Location Code	Field ID	Date	Sample Type	Lab Report															
WW11_DAM	WWII_DAM	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.07	***16.7***	1.40	*7.93*	<0.02	<0.02	1.07	0.41	***24.6***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	29.9
MC_OMP01	MC_DMP01	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***19.1***	0.60	*4.73*	0.55	<0.02	0.40	-	***23.8***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	26.4
MC_OMP01	MC_OMP01	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***24.8***	1.42	*10.5*	0.75	<0.02	1.15	-	***35.3***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	41.5
MC_OMP01	MC_OMP01	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.05	***15.9***	1.49	*8.75*	<0.02	<0.02	1.17	0.46	***24.6***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	30.4
MC_OMP01	MC_SW21	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***24.0***	2.49	*15.9*	1.42	<0.02	1.69	-	***39.9***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	49.6
MC_OMP02	MC_OMP02	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	0.05	0.04	*0.17*	<0.02	<0.02	0.05	-	***0.22***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.49
MC_OMP02	MC_OMP02	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***0.10***	0.06	*0.32*	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	-	***0.42***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.03
MC_OMP02	MC_OMP02	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.08***	0.05	*0.24*	<0.02	<0.02	0.06	<0.02	***0.32***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.63
MC_OMP02	MC_SW24	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	0.03	0.03	*0.14*	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	-	***0.17***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.30
MC_OMP03	MC_OMP03	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***14.0***	0.83	*5.84*	0.43	<0.02	0.72	-	***19.8***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	23.5
MC_OMP03	MC_OMP03	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***11.4***	0.73	*5.68*	0.39	<0.02	0.70	-	***17.1***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	20.4
MC_OMP03	MC_OMP03	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.03	***8.65***	1.04	*5.98*	0.35	<0.02	0.84	0.34	***14.6***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	18.8
MC_OMP03	MC_SW25	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	0.05	0.04	*0.17*	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	-	***0.22***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.39
MC_OMP04	MC_OMP04	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***8.14***	0.50	*3.65*	0.25	<0.02	0.47	-	***11.8***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	14.2
MC_OMP04	MC_OMP04	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***7.37***	0.49	*3.78*	0.25	<0.02	0.50	-	***11.2***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	13.6
MC_OMP04	MC_OMP04	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.02	***5.45***	0.42	*2.83*	0.17	<0.02	0.41	0.17	***8.28***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	10.5
MC_OMP04	MC_SW28	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***5.52***	0.56	*4.07*	0.26	<0.02	0.50	-	***9.59***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	12.2
MC_OMP05	MC_OMP05	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***9.64***	0.50	*3.63*	0.26	<0.02	0.45	-	***13.3***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	15.7
MC_OMP05	MC_OMP05	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***7.53***	0.45	*3.54*	0.24	<0.02	0.46	-	***11.1***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	13.4
MC_OMP05	MC_OMP05	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.02	***6.12***	0.51	*3.04*	0.21	<0.02	0.45	0.18	***9.16***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.7
MC_OMP05	MC_SW27	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***5.85***	0.53	*4.08*	0.26	<0.02	0.60	-	***9.93***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	12.6
MC_OMP06	MC_OMP06	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***7.98***	0.56	*4.02*	0.28	<0.02	0.49	-	***12.0***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	14.6
MC_OMP06	MC_OMP06	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***6.23***	0.45	*3.22*	0.22	<0.02	0.40	-	***9.45***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.6
MC_OMP06	MC_OMP06	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***5.10***	0.40	*2.56*	0.18	<0.02	0.35	0.15	***7.66***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.61
MC_OMP06	MC_SW26	17/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***4.23***	0.64	*4.82*	0.28	<0.02	0.74	-	***9.05***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	12.0
MC_OMP07	MC_OMP07	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***8.39***	0.53	*3.72*	0.25	<0.02	0.49	-	***12.1***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	14.6
MC_OMP07	MC_OMP07	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***6.50***	0.43	*3.16*	0.24	<0.02	0.43	-	***9.66***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.9
MC_OMP07	MC_OMP07	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.03	***7.62***	0.46	*3.20*	0.26	<0.02	0.44	0.18	***10.8***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	13.3
MC_OMP07	MC_SW11	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***4.01***	0.58	*4.51*	0.24	<0.02	0.52	-	***8.52***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.1
MC_OMP08	MC_OMP08	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***7.87***	0.47	*3.66*	0.25	<0.02	0.48	-	***11.5***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	13.9
MC_OMP08	MC_OMP08	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***6.44***	0.42	*3.07*	0.23	<0.02	0.43	-	***9.51***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.7
MC_OMP08	MC_OMP08	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	0.03	***5.90***	0.46	*2.91*	0.20	<0.02	0.43	0.17	***8.81***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	11.2
MC_OMP08	MC_SW13	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***3.53***	0.45	*3.48*	0.17	<0.02	0.48	-	***7.01***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.09
MC_OMP09	MC_OMP09	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***3.80***	0.35	*2.66*	0.16	<0.02	0.37	-	***6.46***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	8.02
MC_OMP09	MC_OMP09	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***4.94***	0.34	*2.45*	0.17	<0.02	0.36	-	***7.39***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.04
MC_OMP09	MC_OMP09	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***4.86***	0.44	*2.89*	0.20	<0.02	0.37	0.15	***7.75***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.76
MC_OMP09	MC_SW04	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***0.38***	0.27	*0.88*	0.02	<0.02	0.52	-	***1.26***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	2.75
MC_OMP10	MC_OMP10	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***4.62***	0.41	*3.00*	0.19	<0.02	0.42	-	***7.62***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.56
MC_OMP10	MC_OMP10	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***5.32***	0.38	*2.79*	0.19	<0.02	0.33	-	***8.11***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	9.84
MC_OMP10	MC_OMP10	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***3.64***	0.38	*2.40*	<0.02	<0.02	0.34	0.13	***6.04***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	7.62
MC_OMP11	MC_OMP11	25/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***4.43***	0.33	*2.45*	0.15	<0.02	0.35	-	***6.88***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	8.40
MC_OMP11	MC_OMP11	18/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***3.29***	0.26	*1.93*	0.14	<0.02	0.27	-	***5.22***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	6.47
MC_OMP11	MC_OMP11	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***2.96***	0.28	*2.15*	0.12	<0.02	0.28	0.11	***5.11***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	6.43
PWS_CAS_TOILETS	PWS_HCAS_TOILETS	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	***20.8***	1.41	*11.5*	0.85	<0.02	1.26	-	***32.3***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	38.2
PWS_CAS_TOILETS	PWS_CAS_TOILETS	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_EB_TOILETS	PWS_EB_TOILETS	15/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_EB_TOILETS	PWS_EB_TOILETS	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	14/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	-	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.02	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.02
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	16/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	***21.2***	1.43	*10.3*	0.86	<0.02	1.38	-	***31.5***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	37.7
PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	25/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.77***	0.04	*0.27*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	***1.04***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.17
WC_OMP01	TC_SW06	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***0.29***	0.14	*0.85*	0.02	<0.02	0.11	-	***1.14***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.58
WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***0.21***	0.10	*0.54*	<0.02	<0.02	0.09	-	***0.75***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.06
WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***0.18***	0.09	*0.49*	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	-	***0.67***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.94
WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.29***	0.09	*0.54*	<0.02	<0.02	0.10	0.03	***0.83***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	1.19
WC_OMP02	TC_SW04	12/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***0.15***	0.06	*0.40*	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	-	***0.55***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.74
WC_OMP02	WC_OMP02	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***0.08***	0.03	*0.22*	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	-	***0.30***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.41
WC_OMP02	WC_OMP02	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	***0.08***	0.03	*0.19*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	-	***0.27***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.35
WC_OMP02	WC_OMP02	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	***0.08***	0.03	*0.16*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	***0.24***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.33
WC_OMP03	TC_SW02	16/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	-	0.03	<0.02	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	***0.09***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.09
WC_OMP03	TC_SW02	13/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	***0.07***	0.04	*0.23*	<0.02	<0.02	0.06	-	***0.30***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.43
WC_OMP03	WC_OMP03	26/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	***0.07***	0.04	*0.20*	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	-	***0.27***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.38
WC_OMP03	WC_OMP03	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	0.06	0.02	*0.15*	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	***0.21***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.23
WC_OMP03	WC_OMP03	26/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	0.06	0.03	*0.16*	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	***0.22***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.30
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	PWS_DUCK_DAM	14/01/2020	Normal	ES2002626	-	0.03	<0.02	*0.09*	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	***0.12***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.12
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	PWS_DUCK_DAM	14/03/2021	Normal	ES2111280	-	0.02	<0.02	*0.07*	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	***0.09***	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.09

Table 8: Historical Water Analytical Results
DITCRD, Norfolk, DSI
Norfolk Island,
DITCRD

	Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids									Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides							PFAS
	Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	Sum of PFAS
	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.01
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 90% species protection		**2**[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 95% species protection		0.13[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Ecological, 99% species protection		0.00023[#1]															
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Drinking water quality guideline value									0.07[#1]								
PFAS NEMP 2.0: Health, Recreational water quality guideline value									2[#1]								
Stock Watering		*0.07*[#3]		*0.07*[#4]					*0.07*[#2]								

Location Code	Field ID	Date	Sample Type	Lab Report														
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	0.03	<0.02	*0.10*	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	*0.13*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.13
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	0.05	<0.02	*0.07*	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	*0.12*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.12
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	*0.08*	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	*0.11*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.13
WC_OMP05	TC_SW07	15/03/2021	Normal	ES2111268	-	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	<0.01
WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05	24/05/2022	Normal	ES2218760-AC	-	0.04	<0.02	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	*0.10*	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.10
WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05	16/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.04	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.04
WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05	24/06/2024	Normal	ES2421874	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.04
WC_OMP06	WC_OMP06	17/05/2023	Normal	ES2317554	-	<0.01	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	0.03	<0.05	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.02	0.03

Comments
#1 PFAS National Environmental Management Plan Version 2.0', Heads of EPA Australia and New Zealan
#2 NHMRC (2011) - Health
#3 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFOS)
#4 NHMRC (2011) - Health (value for PFOS+PFHxS also applied to PFHxS)

Appendix A: SAQP

senversa

PFAS Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan – Year 1 Ongoing Monitoring

Norfolk Island Airport

3 May 2022

Document Information

PFAS Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan – Year 1 Ongoing Monitoring, Norfolk Island Airport

Prepared by:
Senversa Pty Ltd
ABN: 89 132 231 380
Level 6, 15 William Street, Melbourne, VIC 3000
tel: + 61 3 9606 0070
www.senversa.com.au

Prepared for:
Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications
GPO Box 594
Canberra ACT 2601

Revision	Date	Author	Reviewed	Approved	Detail
0	3 May 2022	Michelle Agnew	Christopher Sandiford	Christopher Sandiford	Draft for review

Project Manager: Christopher Sandiford

Project Director: Michael Rehfisch

Disclaimer and Limitations:

This document is confidential and has been prepared by Senversa for use only by its client and for the specific purpose described in our proposal which is subject to limitations. No party other than Senversa's client may rely on this document without the prior written consent of Senversa, and no responsibility is accepted for any damages suffered by any third party arising from decisions or actions based on this document. Matters of possible interest to third parties may not have been specifically addressed for the purposes of preparing this document and the use of professional judgement for the purposes of Senversa's work means that matters may have existed that would have been assessed differently on behalf of third parties.

Senversa prepared this document in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of Senversa's profession practising in the same locality under similar circumstances at the time the services were performed.

Permission should be sought before any reference (written or otherwise) is made public that identifies any people, person, address or location named within or involved in the preparation of this report. Senversa requires that this document be considered only in its entirety and reserves the right to amend this report if further information becomes available. This document is issued subject to technical principles, limitations and assumptions.

Senversa acknowledges the traditional custodians of the land on which this work was created and pay our respect to Elders past and present.

Contents

Tables in Text

Appendices

Glossary and Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
ADWG	Australian Drinking Water Guidelines
AFFF	Aqueous Film Forming Foam
ALS	ALS Environmental Ltd
AS	Australian Standard
ANZECC	Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council
ANZG	Australian and New Zealand Governments
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
CoC	Chain of Custody
CSM	Conceptual Site Model
DQO	Data Quality Objective
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DoH	Australian Government Department of Health
DSI	Detailed Site Investigation
EPA	Environment Protection Authority
EC	Electrical Conductivity
GME	Groundwater Monitoring Event
HBGV	Health Based Guidance Value
HEPA	Heads of EPA
HHERA	Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment
HHSV	Human Health Screening Values

Acronym	Definition
HSEP	Health, Safety and Environment Management Plan
ID	Identification
LOR	Limit of Reporting
LTV	Lower Threshold Value
NATA	National Association of Testing Authorities
NEPC	National Environment Protection Council
NEPM	National Environment Protection Measure
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NRMMC	Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council
OMP	Ongoing Monitoring Plan
PFAS	Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances
PFHxS	Perfluorohexane Sulfonic Acid
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic Acid
PFOS	Perfluorooctane Sulfonate
PS	PFAS Source Zone
PSI	Preliminary Site Investigation
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
RPD	Relative Percentage Difference

Acronym	Definition
SAQP	Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan
SPR	Source Pathway Receptor
SWL	Standing Water Level
SWMS	Safe Work Method Statement
TAT	Turnaround Time
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UTV	Upper Threshold Value
WHO	World Health Organisation

Unit of Measurement	Definition
L	Litres
Ha	Hectares
m AHD	Metres Australian Height Datum
m	Metres
mm	Millimetres
mV	Millivolts
km	Kilometres
m bgl	Metres below ground level
mg/L	Milligrams per litre
µg/L	Micrograms per litre
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram

1.0 Introduction and Objectives

Senversa has been engaged to undertake an investigation of the nature and extent of perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) at the Norfolk Island Airport (the site) and surrounding land. The site location and layout is shown in **Figure A1**.

In January 2020 Senversa commenced the Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) which found that legacy aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF) containing PFAS was used on Norfolk Island from the early 1980s until 2015 to supress liquid fuel fires and for fire training activities. These findings were confirmed in further sampling undertaken as a part of the Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) completed in October 2021, with potentially unacceptable risks identified in the DSI quantitatively assessed within the Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (HHERA) also completed in October 2021.

To manage some uses of water, Senversa prepared a PFAS Management Plan detailing the strategy for managing risks associated with PFAS impacts on the airport and across the island. This strategy includes an Ongoing PFAS Monitoring Plan (OMP). To guide the field works proposed to be undertaken during completion of the OMP Year 1 Sampling Event, Senversa has prepared this Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan (SAQP).

1.1 Objectives

1.1.1 OMP Objectives

The overall objective of the OMP is to establish the ongoing monitoring actions which are required to assess:

- Trends in PFAS concentrations in the environment.
- The effectiveness of the selected management options in managing current risks.
- Whether changing conditions exist which may result in changes in the risk profile (and therefore changes to the required management actions).

Information from the monitoring program will be used on an ongoing basis to identify whether the currently selected management action should change. Future changes to the management actions could be:

- **Additional** required actions (for instance where additional water uses are identified, or if PFAS concentrations in the environment increase).
- **Reduced** required actions (for instance where lower PFAS concentrations in the environment mean that previously established management actions are no longer necessary to manage risks).

1.1.2 SAQP Objectives

The objective of this SAQP is to detail the data collection tasks required to complete the proposed Year 1 Monitoring Event including the following:

- Describe the current understanding of the nature and extent of PFAS contamination at the site, based on sampling tasks completed on-site.
- Describe the rationale and data quality objectives for the proposed sampling program.
- Specify the proposed investigation locations and strategy.
- Outline the field methodologies for sample collection.
- Specify key analytical considerations.
- Specify the quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) program.
- Identify assessment criteria.

2.0 Background

2.1 Key Site Information

The following summary of general information for the site and surrounds is considered relevant to the development of this SAQP.

Table 2-1 Key Site Information Summary

Site Element	Relevant Information from Previous Investigations
Location and Size	Norfolk Island is situated in the Pacific Ocean, approximately 1,676 kilometres (km) from Sydney. The site is located in the south-western portion of Norfolk Island. The site occupies approximately 120 hectares (ha). See **Figure 1** for an overview of the site location and layout.
Land Uses	On-site The site is the Norfolk Island International Airport which comprises two runways and associated terminal buildings and carparks. The first runway of the airport was constructed on 25 December 1942 with the assistance of the United States Air Force to assist with war efforts. The airport contains 120 ha of land with 95 ha used for aviation purposes. Off-site The site is surrounded by rural properties and vegetated land to the north, south and west with the township of Burnt Pine to the north-east.
Geology and Soil Conditions	The site area is relatively flat with an elevation of 113 metres (m) above sea level. Soils are predominately derived from weathered Tertiary aged basaltic lava and tuff across the centre of the island, with Quaternary Aged alluvium and calcarenite present around much of the perimeter of the site extending inward from the coast for between 100 m and 500 m.
Hydrogeology	An upper aquifer is located across Norfolk Island in the base of porous alluvium and weathered basaltic rock. The groundwater moves towards sea level through a complex network of fractures and other interconnecting features in the volcanic bedrock (R.S Abell & A.C. Falkland, 1991). Groundwater is generally good quality and is suitable for domestic use. Groundwater type is classified as sodium chloride type with deuterium/oxygen correlation indicating direct groundwater infiltration. More than 450 bores (R.S Abell & A.C. Falkland, 1991) are known to be on the island.

2.2 Regulatory Framework

For the purposes of this investigation the following federal guidance has been adopted:

- National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure (NEPM), National Environmental Protection Council (NEPC) (2013).
- PFAS National Environmental Management Plan (NEMP) 2.0, Heads of EPAs (HEPA) Australia and New Zealand (2020).
- Health Based Guidance Values for PFAS For Use in Site Investigations in Australia. Australian Government Department of Health (DoH) (2017).
- Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality, Australian and New Zealand Governments (ANZG) (2018).

Senversa will adopt a QA/QC approach that is based on guidance from the following sources:

- Australian Standard (AS) 4482.1-2005 Guide to the investigation and sampling of sites with potentially contaminated soil, Part 1: Non-volatile and semi-volatile compounds.
- Schedule B (3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils, NEPM.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)- Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process EPA QA/G-4.
- USEPA - Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation EPA QA/G-8.

3.0 Identified PFAS Impacts

3.1 Norfolk Island Airport Description

Norfolk Island airport is an international airport with access to the airside portion of the airport strictly managed. Other uses of the site include the following:

- Fire Station.
- Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) weather station.
- Council offices.
- Freight forwarding office.
- Former drill ground.
- Waste depot.
- Wastewater treatment plant.

Low level concentrations of PFAS are present across all areas the airport, however concentrations of PFOS and perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFOS+PFHxS) appear to be highest at Source Area 4 (Current Drill Ground). This is where Legacy AFFF was used most recently for training in 2015 as shown on **Figure 3-1** below.



Figure 3-1: Surficial Soil Concentrations of PFOS+PFHxS

3.2 PFAS Impacted Surface Water Catchments

Surface water catchments outside of the airport with PFAS present that require management are Mission Creek and to a lesser degree in Watermill Creek Catchment.

3.2.1 Mission Creek Surface Water Catchment

Surface water samples from the Mission Creek catchment showed the highest concentrations at locations closest to PFAS source zones (PS) PS01 and PS02 at the airport (World War II Dam and MC_SW21). The pathway of PFAS from PS01 and PS02 into Mission Creek is considered to be both groundwater from source zones and surface water run off over PFAS-impacted soils on the airport through drainage lines, which is supported by the concentration in sediment sample MC_SD20.

PFAS concentrations consistently decreased further at each downstream location within Mission Creek (i.e., concentrations decreased with distance away from airport), with the exception of MC_SW25, which reported low levels of PFAS. The decrease in concentrations is shown in **Figure 3-2** below.



Figure 3-2: Surface Water PFAS Concentrations in Mission Creek

One surface water sample (MC_SW24) was collected from the upper Mission Creek catchment west of the waste depot (source zone PS03) on a separate Mission Creek tributary branch showed significantly lower PFAS concentrations than the tributary downgradient of PS01 and PS02.

A significant drop in PFAS concentrations was reported in MC_SW25, which is just after the confluence of two tributaries in the upper Mission Creek. There was limited evidence of surface water being further impacted down-gradient of PS04 with Mission Creek, adjacent to where Mission Creek sample MC_SW25 was collected.

It is noted MC_SW25 would be expected to receive run off from PS04 but may not receive flows from both tributaries of Mission Creek after the confluence at the exact sampling point. This is due to the creek bed being large and wide (creek bed and low-lying areas covered in substantial reed beds with moisture noted across most of the low-lying area). Additionally, the surface water sample (MC_SW25) may represent the water coming from upstream, rather than from PS04.

This indicates the highest PFAS impacts are likely to be from the northern tributary and hence from airport sources in the northern portion of the airport (PS01 and PS02).

3.2.2 Watermill Creek Catchment

Within the Watermill / Town Creek catchment, the highest PFAS concentration in surface water (TC_SW06 – PFOS+PFHxS: 1.14 micro grams per litre [µg/L]) was identified downstream of the Maintenance Depot (PS05). PFAS concentrations consistently decreased further at each downstream location before being below detection limits at the point of discharge into Emily Bay. The decrease in concentrations is shown in **Figure 3-2** below.



Figure 3-3: Surface Water PFAS Concentrations in Watermill / Town Creek Catchment

Two surface water samples (TC_SW05 and TC_SW13) were collected in the upper reaches of Watermill / Town Creek Catchment but were from different tributaries. TC_SW05 was below adopted criteria (95% levels for this catchment) and was collected on a different tributary to TC_SW06; location is in close proximity to the airport boundary, however it is not downgradient from any identified source zones. TC_SW13 was also collected from a separate upper reach away from the airport (circa 900 m from airport boundary) but is downgradient of source zone PS14 (perfumery). Concentrations of PFAS in TC_SW13 were above criteria, indicating PS14 is a potential source of PFAS

4.0 Investigation Strategy

4.1 Monitoring of surface water and sediment in creeks

4.1.1 Scope and rationale

More data is required to understand the range in concentrations in different creeks over time. Additionally, management actions on the airport may result in a decreasing trend in concentrations in creeks over time. In order to assess trends in PFAS concentrations in creeks on island, ongoing monitoring will be completed in a number of creeks, with the focus on Mission Creek and Watermill Creek which both receive run-off from the airport.

- The highest concentrations have been identified in Mission Creek and multiple sample locations are selected along Mission Creek in order to provide an ongoing understanding of the level and extent of PFAS impacts, and also to help assess which on-airport sources are contributing to the PFAS in Mission Creek. In the lower catchment of Mission Creek (i.e., further downstream) only sediment samples have been collected to-date as water was not present during sampling.
- Concentrations in Watermill Creek are much lower than in Mission Creek and decrease along the length of Watermill Creek (with the highest concentrations measured in the upper part of the catchment). Downstream of Watermill Dam (Duck Dam), concentrations are below the health-based guidance value (HBGV) for drinking water. Ongoing monitoring is required to confirm the extent of impacts within Watermill Creek over time.
- Samples will also be collected from Cascade Creek and Headstone Creek. Low concentrations (below the HBGV for drinking water) have been measured in these creeks to-date. Ongoing monitoring is required to assess changing conditions.

Where practicable, monitoring should be scheduled for times when water is likely to be present (i.e., after periods of rainfall and not in drought conditions). On the first round of monitoring, paired surface water / sediment samples should be collected as only one round of monitoring data is currently available in most locations. On subsequent monitoring rounds, surface water will be collected from all locations if present. If surface water is absent, a sediment sample will be collected instead.

4.1.2 Sampling locations and frequency

The sample locations are depicted on **Figure A1** and summarised below.

Table 4-1: OMP Creek sampling locations

Location	Number of locations	Sample IDs	Notes	Frequency of sampling; sampling media
Mission Creek	12	WWII_DAM, MC_OMP01 – MC_OMP11	MC_OMP08 represents creek water within a paddock accessible to cattle prior to management (see also **Section 4.3** for discussion of monitoring of managed stock water). MC_OMP10 targets Mission Pool where water has not been present on previous sampling rounds; if water is present anywhere within Mission Pool, it should be sampled.	**Annual** First round: surface water and sediment Subsequent rounds: water only, or sediment if water is absent
Watermill Creek	5	WC_OMP01 – WC_OMP05		
Cascade Creek	1	COCKPIT_SW01		
Headstone Creek	1	PWS_HEAD_DAM		

4.2 Monitoring of water utilised for irrigation

4.2.1 Scope and rationale

There are two properties in the Mission Creek catchment which use water from Mission Creek for produce irrigation. The risks associated with this have been assessed to be low and acceptable based on current data. Ongoing monitoring is required to assess if the risk profile might change.

4.2.2 Sampling locations and frequency

The sample locations are depicted on **Figure A1** and summarised below:

Table 4-2: Mission Creek irrigation water sampling locations

Location	Number of locations	Sample IDs	Frequency of sampling; sampling media
Mission Creek	2	ID013_SW01 ID016_BORE	**Annual -** Point of use water sampling

4.3 Monitoring of water for which stock watering use is currently managed

4.3.1 Scope and rationale

There are three properties in the Mission Creek catchment where cattle previously had access to water from Mission Creek for stock watering. There was one property where water from Mission Creek was used for chicken drinking water. The PFAS Management Plan recommends that these uses are managed going forward. Monitoring of these water sources is required to assess trends in concentrations. If concentrations decrease, management may be no longer required.

4.3.2 Sampling locations and frequency

The sample locations are depicted on **Figure A1** and summarised below:

Table 4-3: Managed stock water sampling locations (Mission Creek)

Location	Previous use (prior to management)	Number of locations	Sample IDs	Notes	Frequency of sampling; sampling media
Mission Creek	Cattle stock watering	4	ID014_BORE	HHERA Property A	**Annual** Point of use water sampling
			ID015_BORE	HHERA Property B	
			MC_OMP08	MC_OMP08 represents creek water within a paddock accessible to cattle prior to management (HHERA Property C). See also **Section 4.1** for discussion of creek monitoring.	
			MC_OMP10	MC_OMP10 represents Mission Pool, which was accessible to cattle prior to management although water has not been identified in the monitoring undertaken by Senversa. See also **Section 4.1** for discussion of creek monitoring.	
	Chicken drinking water	1	ID013_SW01	This water is also used for irrigation (see **Section 4.2**)	

4.4 Monitoring of Airport Bore and facility water supplies

4.4.1 Scope and rationale

There are a number of water supplies in public facilities which were previously used for drinking water / domestic use, but where PFAS impacts above the HBGV for drinking water are currently present. These uses are currently managed (e.g., through the provision of alternate water supplies).

Monitoring of these water supplies is required to assess trends in concentrations. If concentrations decrease, management of water use may be no longer required. It is noted that new reticulated supplies are planned for some facilities at the airport including the new fire station.

4.4.2 Sampling locations and frequency

Table 4-4: Managed public water supply sampling locations

Location	Number of locations	Sample IDs	Notes	Frequency of sampling; sampling media
On-airport	5	AIRPORT_BORE		**Annual** Point of use water sampling
		FRE_TAP1	Fire station kitchen; new rainwater tanks recently installed	
		FRE_TAP2	Fire hydrant (used for fire testing). New water supply is being put in place. Testing to be superseded with new supply for fire testing after switchover	
		A_TAP1	Airport terminal female toilets	
		A_TAP4	Mech/maintenance shed adjacent airport terminal and gate 1	
Off-airport: Works depot	4	DEPOT_TAP, DEPOT_TANK1, DEPOT_TANK2, DEPOT_TANK3		

4.5 Summary of samples to be collected

All non-private sample locations to be targeted in the ongoing monitoring program are depicted on **Figure A1**.

Table 4-5: OMP sampling location summary

Sample purpose	Location	Number of locations	Sample IDs	Frequency of sampling; sampling media
Creek sampling	Mission Creek	12	WWII_DAM, MC_OMP01 to MC_OMP11	**Annual** First round: surface water and sediment
	Watermill Creek	5	WC_OMP01 to WC_OMP05	
	Cascade Creek	1	COCKPIT_SW01	

Sample purpose	Location	Number of locations	Sample IDs	Frequency of sampling; sampling media
	Headstone Creek	1	PWS_HEAD_DAM	Subsequent rounds: water only, or sediment if water is absent
Irrigation water	Mission Creek	2	ID013_SW01 ID016_BORE	**Annual** Point of use water sampling
Managed stock water	Mission Creek	2*	ID014_BORE ID015_BORE *(MC_OMP08*)* *(MC_OMP10*)* *(ID013_SW01*)*	
Managed water supplies	On-airport	5	AIRPORT_BORE FRE_TAP1 FRE_TAP2 A_TAP1 A_TAP4	
	Off-airport	4	DEPOT_TAP DEPOT_TANK1 DEPOT_TANK2 DEPOT_TANK3	
Total		**32**		

Notes: * There are 5 samples relevant for managed stock watering, however only two unique samples (ID014_BORE and ID015_BORE, used for cattle watering) not also collected for another purpose. MC_OMP08 and MC_OMP10 (creek locations with possible cattle access in the absence of management) are included in the total sample numbers for creek sampling and ID013_SW01 (used for chicken watering) is included in the total sample numbers for irrigation water sampling

4.6 DQOs

The data quality objective (DQO) process is a systematic planning approach outlined in the NEPM (2013) that is used to define the purpose of the investigation to be undertaken and the type, quantity and quality of data needed to inform decisions relating to the assessment of site contamination. Proposed DQOs for the ongoing monitoring are outlined in the table below.

Table 4-6: DQO Summary

DQO Seven-step Process
1. State the problem. Elevated concentrations of PFAS have been reported in the Airport Bore (groundwater at and adjacent to the airport) and in water supplies at a number of public facilities which were historically supplied with water from the airport bore. The risks associated with these concentrations are currently managed (i.e., groundwater is not currently used for drinking). In addition, PFAS has been identified in creeks which collect run-off from the airport, with the highest concentrations identified in Mission Creek. These elevated concentrations are not considered to pose a significant risk to human health from recreational direct contact, however they are contributing to a potentially elevated risk for ecosystem receptors. In addition, potentially elevated exposures have not been excluded for cattle product or chicken egg consumption where livestock have access to Mission Creek water for drinking. Management of livestock access to water from Mission Creek catchment (surface water and/or groundwater) is therefore currently required. Risks have been assessed to be low and acceptable for livestock drinking water from other creeks.

DQO Seven-step Process

Risks from produce consumption are assessed to be low and acceptable based on the current concentrations measured at properties within the Mission Creek catchment where water is used for produce irrigation. Risks are also assessed to be low and acceptable where water from other creeks is used for produce irrigation.

Further, the concentration trends in surface water, sediment and groundwater are not well understood based on the available monitoring data.

2. Identify the decision/goal of the study.

The goal is to monitor the nature and extent of PFAS impacts and identify trends and changes to PFAS impacts in the environment on and off-site that may alter the understanding or assessment of identified risks into the future.

3. Identify the information inputs.

The primary inputs are considered to be PFAS concentrations in groundwater, surface water and sediment.

4. Define the boundaries of the study.

Ongoing monitoring will be undertaken at a selected number of surface water locations and point of use water supplies at and surrounding the site.

5. Develop the analytical approach/decision rules.

The data will be used in the to assess whether site-derived PFAS has changed in nature and extent which may alter the understanding or assessment of identified risks into the future to human or ecological receptors.

The useability of the data will be assessed in terms of accuracy and reliability in forming conclusions on the concentrations within the samples collected, based on guidance from the relevant sources listed above. The data quality objectives, measures and acceptance criteria to be adopted for monitoring should be outlined in the SAQP to be developed for each monitoring round.

It is required that, as a minimum, the following type and frequency of quality control samples be collected.

Field duplicates (intra laboratory and inter laboratory) samples at a rate of at least 1 in 10 separately groundwater and surface water.

Rinsate blanks where equipment decontamination will be necessary (e.g., groundwater sampling) at a rate of one per day per set of equipment.

As part of the reporting, the results of the monitoring should be used to assess trends using an appropriate statistical approach such as Mann-Kendall methods, or similar, to identify increases, declines or stabilisation of concentrations across monitoring rounds to a specified statistical confidence limit based on the amount of data collected over time.

Some examples of the decisions to be made from investigation results include:

If detections of PFAS are reported in field blanks or rinsate blanks, then consider if there is a potential for cross contamination between sample locations and what impact this has on conclusions of trends.

If reported PFAS concentrations in relevant sample locations increase above the defined upper threshold values (UTVs) defined in the OMP (and/or an increasing trend is identified), then consider further risk assessment to assess whether additional management measures are required.

If reported PFAS concentrations in relevant sample locations decrease below the lower threshold values (LTVs) defined in the OMP (and/or a decreasing trend is identified), then consider further risk assessment to assess whether management measures can be reduced.

6. Specify performance or acceptance criteria.

Adopted screening criteria, LTVs and UTVs defined in the OMP will be used to provide a screening level of results obtained during sampling and asses if risk revision is required.

A data validation checklist with specific acceptance criteria and discussion of results must be documented and reviewed as part of the SAQP development.

At the end of the initial monitoring period, reporting should assess trends in concentrations. This should include development and use of a statistical based decision criteria to assess the significance of trends. Where significant trends are identified, the requirement for further monitoring, assessment and/or management (in the case of an increasing trend) or cessation of monitoring (in the case of a decreasing trend) will be assessed.

7. Develop the plan for obtaining data.

The overarching scope and methodology is provided in this OMP. Prior to each sampling event, a SAQP should be developed which assesses the appropriateness of sample locations, sampling methodologies and risk screening/assessment criteria. The SAQP is to outline the optimum manner to collect the data required to meet the objectives for the assessment and which will meet the project DQOs.

Permission to access sampling locations on public and private properties is to be confirmed prior to sampling.

4.7 Health, Safety and Environment Management

A Health, Safety and Environment Management Plan (HSEP) will be prepared for the investigation to outline how safety and the environment will be managed during field investigations. This will include site specific risk assessment, safe work method statements (SWMS) and waste management plan.

- All Senversa staff involved in the site works will be inducted to the HSEP.
- Senversa personnel will have sufficient information, instruction, training and competency to safely undertake work at the site. Minimum training requirements for personnel will be listed in the HSEP and should be reviewed for all field work.
- Senversa will complete necessary inductions (to be confirmed on arrival on Island) and comply with Norfolk Island Airport site rules and regulations whilst on the site.

4.8 Sampling and Investigation Methodology

The following section describes the methodology to be adopted by field personnel in the conduct of the surface water, sediment, groundwater, soil and biota sampling.

4.8.1 Specific Sampling Requirements

The table below summarises the specific methodology and investigation techniques to be adopted for the various proposed sampling tasks.

Table 4-7: Summary of Specific Sampling Requirements

Sample Type	Detail
Surface Water	• Surface water samples will be collected either directly into the sampling containers or using a hand-held sampling device (e.g., Swing Sampler) with subsequent decanting into the laboratory sampling containers. • Surface water samples will be collected prior to sediment to minimise disturbance and avoid excess sediment load in the water sample. • Direct surface water sampling methods that are used will depend on location access. Sampling of deeper drains may have health and safety risks associated with access, and an appropriate sampling method for that location will be reviewed and applied. • Water quality parameters (pH, redox, dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, and temperature) will be recorded at each sample location using a calibrated water quality meter. Sample locations will be selected on island prior to works based on location and safe access requirements.
Sediment	Sediment samples will be collected using a gloved hand with the aid of a small hand trowel/shovel and transferred into sample jars using disposable nitrile gloves. • Sediment samples will be collected from the base of the waterbody (i.e., 0 - 0.05 m below the top of the sediment layer) beneath any surface water (if present). • Sampling of potentially deeper waterbodies may have health and safety risks associated with access, and an appropriate sampling method for that location will likely utilise hand tools such as shovels or trowels. • Access to the exact location indicated for sampling may be dependent on ground cover, the angle of any banks, etc. Therefore, the location where samples are collected may vary. • Where grass, reeds or vegetation growth covers the sediment, this material will be moved aside using hand tools, with the sediment sample collected from directly underneath the vegetation layer. • Any tools used (trowel/shovel) will be decontaminated prior to use.

Sample Type	Detail
Groundwater (point of use water) Sampling	• The outlet to be sampled is to be determined as the first extraction discharge point within the water supply infrastructure (i.e., closed tap to the extraction well discharge). • The outlet / tap will be turned on to flush it of water for approximately 30 seconds by using a smooth flowing water stream at moderate pressure. • Where a line to be sampled supplied both hot and cold water, only the cold water will be sampled. • If a tap is not available, a disposable bailer will be placed inside the bore to collect the sample. • Samples will be placed directly into laboratory supplied bottles. • Water quality parameters (pH, redox, dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, and temperature) will be recorded at each sample location using a calibrated water quality meter.

4.8.2 General Sampling Requirements

The following table details general sampling techniques associated with the site works.

Table 4-8: General Sampling Requirements

Activity	Description and Further Information
Field Parameter Measurement	Field water quality parameters will be measured using a water quality meter prior to sampling for all surface water and bore water sampling. The parameters include pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxidation reduction potential (redox) and temperature. For soil and sediment sampling, field observations will be noted of characteristics such as colour, particle size, odour, discoloration, presence of unusual materials such as waste, etc.
Photographs	A photograph of the sampling location will be taken at each sampling location for record.
Location Survey	All sample locations will be logged using the ArcGIS "Collector" application to enable the location of each sample to be uploaded each evening once connected to the internet.
Sample Handling and Preservation	• Samples will be placed into laboratory-supplied jars and bottles containing appropriate preservatives for the selected analytes to be tested. • Samples will be collected and stored on bagged ice prior to and during transit to the laboratory to minimise sample degradation. • Sample bottles will be filled to the top with no head space and splashing during filling should be prevented. • All samples collected will be recorded on field logs sheets. • Chain of Custody (CoC) forms will be completed for transport. • Quality control samples will be collected during the sampling program as per **Section 4.10.2**.
Waste Disposal	Water purged from taps or bores is expected to be minimal in volume and will be disposed at the ground surface.
Equipment Calibration	Equipment requiring calibration (water quality meter) for environmental assessment purposes will be calibrated by the supplier or by Senversa staff prior to use. Relevant calibration certificates will be provided in the report.

Activity	Description and Further Information
Avoidance of Cross Contamination	Sampling procedures used to prevent cross contamination will consider the guidance provided in Appendix 1 of the Interim Guideline of the Assessment and Management of PFAS (WA DER, 2016[1]) during site works and involve: Samples will be placed into laboratory-supplied jars / bottles appropriate for PFAS sampling (i.e., without Teflon liners). Decontamination of re-usable sampling equipment will be completed between sampling locations, using a potable water wash, and rinse with potable water. Use of dedicated disposable latex free gloves that will be replaced between each sample collection and location. Quality control samples to assess cross contamination will be collected during the sampling program as per **Section 4.10.2**.

4.9 Sample Nomenclature

Sample nomenclature will be based on sample matrix type (surface water, sediment, groundwater). The proposed sample nomenclature to be used is presented in **Appendix A**.

4.10 Laboratory Analysis

All groundwater, surface water, sediment, soil and biota samples will be submitted to chemical laboratories (ALS Environmental Pty Ltd as primary laboratory and Eurofins Environmental Pty Ltd as secondary laboratory) that are NATA accredited for the methods used.

The following PFAS analyses (extended suite of 28 individual PFAS) will be completed on samples collected and scheduled for analysis:

- Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)
- Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)
- Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)
- Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)
- Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)
- Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)
- Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)
- Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)
- Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)
- Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)
- Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
- Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)
- Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)
- Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)
- Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)
- Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)
- Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)
- Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)
- N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulphonamide (MeFOSA)
- N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)
- N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)
- N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)
- N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)
- N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)
- 4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)
- 6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)
- 8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)
- 10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)

[1] WA DER 2016. *Interim Guidelines on the Assessment and Management of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances – Contaminated Sites Guidelines.* Government of Western Australia, Department of Environment Regulations. February 2016.

Sediment samples will additionally be analysed for pH and total organic carbon (TOC).

The following laboratory limits of reporting, where achievable, will be requested from the laboratories:

- Sediment – <0.005 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)
- Water – <0.01 µg/L

All PFAS samples collected will be analysed on a standard 5-7day turn-around time (TAT). However, the time required for transport of samples from site to Sydney laboratories will be in addition to any laboratory guaranteed TAT. Senversa notes that the standard quarantine turn-around time at Sydney Airport is 7 days.

4.10.1 Physical Parameters

In addition to the collection of samples for laboratory analysis, the parameters outlined in the table below will also be recorded.

Table 4-9: Physical Parameters to be Assessed for Different Media

Sampling Media	Parameters
Sediment	Logged to AS1726:2017[2]; visual and olfactory observations.
Groundwater	Physio-chemical parameters as per EPA Publication 669[3], obtained during sampling; visual and olfactory observations.
Surface Water / Tank & Tap Water	Physio-chemical parameters, obtained during purging and sampling; visual and olfactory observations.

4.10.2 Quality Assurance Procedures

The data QA/QC procedures to be adopted must provide a consistent approach to evaluation of whether the DQOs required by the project have been achieved. The process focuses on assessment of the useability of the data in terms of accuracy and reliability in forming conclusions on the condition of the element of the environment being investigated.

Table 4-10: Data Quality Objectives for QA/QC Elements

QA/QC Element	Data Quality Objectives
Analytical Laboratories	All methods to be used will be NATA accredited. Changing these arrangements must be justified with detailed assessment and comparison of laboratory methods and analytical reference standards used.
Turnaround Times	A standard laboratory analysis TAT of 5-7 days will be requested for all samples submitted for analysis.

[2] AS1726:2017. *Geotechnical Site Investigations.* 5 February 2017.

[3] EPA Victoria 2022. *Groundwater Sampling Guidelines.* Publication 669.1. February 2022.

QA/QC Element	Data Quality Objectives
Analytical QA/QC Guidance	The QA/QC approach must be based on guidance from the following sources: • AS4482.1-2005 Guide to the investigation and sampling of sites with potentially contaminated soil, Part 1: Non-volatile and semi-volatile compounds. • NEPC 2013, Schedule B (3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils. • USEPA - Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process EPA QA/G-4. • USEPA - Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation EPA QA/G-8.
QA/QC Procedures	The QA/QC procedures applied will include the use of equipment decontamination, Chain of Custody documentation, laboratory data verification and the use of quality control samples in accordance with Section 8.2 of AS4482.1-2005. All rinsate blanks and laboratory method blanks have an acceptance limit of concentrations below the laboratory limit of reporting. Detection of an analyte in a rinsate sample must trigger an assessment of the decontamination process followed by an assessment if the analyte reported is a contaminant of interest or if it impacts the validity of the assessment data. The % relative percentage difference (RPD) for field and laboratory duplicates must meet the NEPM (NEPC, 2013) guidelines. A data quality assurance review, which includes a data validation checklist with specific acceptance criteria for each batch of samples. Data quality will be checked against the data validation checklist as results become available throughout the investigation program to establish if further checking of precision or accuracy is required as the investigation progresses.

5.0 Reporting Requirements

On completion of the OMP Year 1 field program, Senversa will prepare an interpretive report on the nature and extent of PFAS. The report will include the following:

- An executive summary.
- A summary of the project objectives and scope of works consistent with those outlined in this SAQP.
- A summary of the environmental setting of the site, including the site-specific topography, geology and hydrogeology.
- A summary of the surface water, sediment and groundwater sampling methodology used.
- Analytical results, including quality assurance assessment.
- Qualitative risk assessment using published and site-specific data.
- Trend analysis and assessment of results against the upper and lower trigger values as defined in the OMP.
- Updated conceptual site model including sources, pathways and receptor linkages and identified data gaps.
- Figures including site and sample location plans and PFAS criteria exceedances.
- Tables and appendices of supporting documentation from field investigations.
- Conclusions on risks to sensitive receptors and assessment against defined trigger values and decision tree as outlined it the OMP.

6.0 References

ANZG 2018. *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality.* Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian state and territory governments, Canberra ACT, Australia. Available at www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines.

AS1726:2017. *Geotechnical Site Investigations.* 5 February 2017.

AS4482.1:2005. *Guide to the Investigation and Sampling of Sites with Potentially Contaminated Soil, Part 1: Non-Volatile and Semi-Volatile Compounds.* 2 November 2005.

Department of Health 2017. *Health Based Guidance Values for PFAS For Use in Site Investigations in Australia.* Australian Government Department of Health.

HEPA 2020. *PFAS National Environmental Management Plan - Version 2.0*. January 2020.

NEPC, 2013. *National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure 2013 (No. 1),* Canberra: National Environment Protection Council.

NHMRC & NRMMC, 2011. *Australian Drinking Water Guidelines,* National Water Quality Management Strategy Document 6: National Health and Medical Research Council & Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council.

R.S Abell & A.C. Falkland 1991. *The hydrogeology of Norfolk Island, South Pacific Ocean.*

Senversa, 2020. *Preliminary Site Investigation into Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport.* 13 March 2020.

Senversa 2021b. *Detailed Site Investigation into Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport, R*evision 5. 12 November 2021.

Senversa 2021c. *Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (PFAS), Norfolk Island Airport,* Revision 3. 12 November 2021.

Senversa 2021d. *Ongoing Monitoring Plan, Norfolk Island Airport,* Revision 0. 24 November 2021.

Senversa 2021e. *PFAS Management Plan, Norfolk Island Airport, R*evision 1. 10 December 2021.

USEPA 2000. *Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process, EPA QA/G-4:* United States Environmental Protection Agency.

USEPA 2002. *Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation,* Washington D.C: United States Environmental Protection Agency.

EPA Victoria 2022. *Groundwater Sampling Guidelines.* Publication 669.1. February 2022.

WA DER 2016. *Interim Guidelines on the Assessment and Management of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances – Contaminated Sites Guidelines.* Government of Western Australia, Department of Environment Regulations. February 2016.

Figures

N

ID013_SW01
ID015_BORE
MC_OMP11
MC_OMP10
MC_OMP09
ID014_BORE
PS08
MC_OMP08
ID016_BORE
MC_OMP07
MC_OMP06
MC_OMP05
MC_OMP04
MC_OMP03
WW11_DAM
AIRPORT_BORE
A_TAP1
A_TAP4
PS02
PS04
PS03
PS05
MC_OMP02
MC_OMP01
WC_OMP01
PS11
PWS_HEAD_DAM
PS15
FRE_TAP2
FRE_TAP1
WC_OMP02
WC_OMP03
Headstone Creek
Broken Bridge Creek
Cockpit_SW01
Cockpit_SW01
DEPOT_TANK1
DEPOT_TANK2
DEPOT_TAP
DEPOT_TANK 3
Cascade Creek
Stockyard Creek
Watermill Creek
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM
Rocky Point Creek
Town Creek
PS10
PS07
WC_OMP05
WC_OMP05
Maxar

Path: S:\01_Jobs\1.NSW_Jobs\S17776_DITCRD_NOREQ\K_DS\\C17776_TS.aprx

© Senversa 2019

senversa

Legend:

Water Samples
- Surface Water / Sediment (Cascade and Headstone Creeks)
- Surface Water / Sediment (Mission Creek)
- Surface Water / Sediment (Watermill Creek)
- Tap
- Stock Water (Beef Cattle)
- Fruit and Vegetable Irrigation Water
- Pump
- Stock Water (Chickens)

- Approximate Airport Boundary
- Approximate Extent of Upper Watermill Catchment
- Approximate Extent of Mission Creek Catchment
- Alternative Water Supply
- Creek

Potential Sources
- Group 1 Potential PFAS Primary Source Areas
- Off-site

Details:

Data Sources:
Aerial imagery: Esri maps
Vector Datasets: Open Street Maps

Created: T. Sohi
PM: C. Sandiford
Approved: x
Date: 10/12/2021
Revision: 0

0 710 1,420 2,130 Metres

Datum/Projection:GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55 Scale: (A3) 1:25,000

Figure No: A1

Title: PFAS Management Areas

Project: PFAS Management Plan

Location: Norfolk Island International Airport

Client: Department for Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications

Last Edited by: tiara.sohi

Appendix A: Sample Nomenclature

www.senversa.com.au
Level 6, 15 William Street, Melbourne VIC 3000
Senversa Pty Ltd

Preliminary PFAS Investigation - Senversa Sample Nomenclature

Sample Type	Sample Nomenclature	Detail
Soil – Surface	SS01, SS02 etc.	For surface soil samples collected within the top 10 cm of the surface.
Soil bores	SB01_0.01, SB01_0.05 etc.	For all target sample locations where soil bores are advanced using a hand auger.
Surface Water Samples	SW01, SW02 etc.	A two-letter identifier will be added to the beginning of the sample ID to identify catchment area, e.g. MC for Mission Creek (MC_SW01).
Sediment Samples	SD01, SD02 etc.	To be paired with surface water locations (i.e. MC_SD01 to be paired with MC_SW01) or a unique ID to be assigned in the event surface water is not sampled.
Water Supply Samples (Public)	PWS_01, PWS_02 etc.	Publicly accessible bores will contain an individual Bore ID starting with PWS (Public Water Supply).
Water Supply Samples (Private Property)	ID001_BORE_01, ID001_BORE_02, ID001_TAP_01 etc.	De-identified IDs to be assigned to each sampled property or location. Sample type to be identified i.e. bore/ tap/ tank etc.
Biota Samples	ID001_FRUIT_01	De-identified IDs to be assigned to each sampled property as per water supply samples above. Biota type to be identified i.e. fruit/ egg/ grass etc.
Quality Samples	QC101, QC102 etc.	To be used for blind (intra-laboratory) duplicates. QA/QC register will be used during field works to record and track the quality samples collected.
	QC201, QC202 etc.	To be used for split (inter-laboratory) duplicates. QC sets will be paired (i.e. QC101 and QC201) at each location.
	QC301, QC302 etc.	To be used for rinsate blanks.
	QC401, QC402 etc.	To be used for trip and/or field blanks.

Always include a “0” before single digit numbers; this is important for ESDAT data management.

Senversa Pty Ltd

ABN 89 132 231 380
www.senversa.com.au
enquiries@senversa.com.au
LinkedIn: Senversa
Facebook: Senversa



Certified
B
Corporation

Appendix B: Data Validation

Appendix B: Quality Assurance / Quality Control

The data quality assurance and control (QA/QC) procedures adopted by Senversa provide a consistent approach to evaluation of whether the data quality objectives (DQO's) required by the project have been achieved. The process focuses on assessment of the useability of the data in terms of accuracy and reliability in forming conclusions on the condition of the element of the environment being investigated. The approach is generally based on guidance from the following sources:

- National Environment Protection Council (NEPC), National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure No. 1 2013 (NEPM), Schedule B2: Guideline on Site Characterisation.
- NEPC – National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure No. 1 2013 (NEPM), Schedule B3: Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils.
- Heads of Environmental Protection Authorities (HEPA), PFAS National Environmental Management Plan (PFAS NEMP) 2.0.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) – Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process (EPA QA/G-4).
- USEPA – Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation (EPA QA/G-8).

Quality Assurance Procedure

The following data quality objectives, measures and acceptance criteria were adopted to verify compliance with the planned QA procedures:

Quality Assurance Process	Data Quality Element	Objectives and Measure	Acceptance Criteria
Standard Procedures	Comparability, Reproducibility, Representativeness.	Standard field sampling procedures and forms used.	No deviation from standard procedure and forms used.
Equipment Calibration	Accuracy.	All equipment calibrated in accordance with manufacturers specifications.	All equipment calibrated in accordance with manufacturers specifications.
Testing Method Accreditation	Accuracy and Comparability.	NATA accredited methods used for all analyses determined.	Primary and secondary laboratories to use NATA accredited methods for all analytes determined
Quality Control Sampling Frequency	Precision and Repeatability.	Field QC sampling frequency in accordance with AS4482.1-2005 and the PFAS NEMP 2.0.	Field Duplicates – ≥ 1 in 10 primary samples. Secondary Duplicates – ≥ 1 in 10 primary samples. Rinsate Blanks – ≥ 1 per day, per matrix per equipment.

Quality Assurance Process	Data Quality Element	Objectives and Measure	Acceptance Criteria
	Accuracy, Precision and Comparability.	Laboratory QC analysis frequency in accordance with NEPC (2013), Schedule B3.	Laboratory Duplicates – at least 1 in 10 analyses or one per process batch Method Blanks – at least 1 per process batch. Surrogate Recoveries – all samples spiked where appropriate (e.g. chromatographic analysis of organics). Laboratory Control Samples – at least 1 per process batch. Matrix Spikes – at least 1 per matrix type per process batch.
Sample Preservation, Handling and Holding Times	Accuracy.	Samples appropriately preserved upon collection, stored and transported, and analysed within holding times.	Sample containers, holding times and preservation in accordance laboratory specific method requirements.
Data Management	Accuracy.	No errors in data transcription.	Entry of field data verified by peer.
Data Useability	Completeness.	Limits of reporting less than adopted beneficial use investigation levels. Sample volumes and analytical methods selected to enable required limits of reporting to be achieved.	Limits of reporting less than investigation levels.

Quality Control Sampling and Analysis

The following data quality objectives, measures and acceptance criteria were adopted to evaluate the validity of the analytical data produced.

Quality Control Process	Data Quality Element	Objectives and Measure	Acceptance Criteria
Field Duplicate Sampling and Analysis	Precision and Field Repeatability.	Field duplicate samples used to assess the variability in analyte concentration between samples collected from the sample location and the reproducibility of the laboratory analysis. Where required, resubmission of previously analysed samples for chemicals within their holding times may be undertaken to further assess level of precision.	Analysed for same chemicals as primary sample RPD1 <30% of mean concentration where both concentrations >20 x limit of reporting. RPD <50% of mean concentration where higher concentration 10 – 20 x limit of reporting. RPD - No limit where both concentrations < 10 x limit of reporting.

[1] Relative Percent Difference (%): Calculated as: (Result No.1 – Result No. 2/Mean Result)*100

Quality Control Process	Data Quality Element	Objectives and Measure	Acceptance Criteria
Secondary Duplicate Sampling and Analysis	Accuracy.	Results are accurate and free from laboratory error. Secondary duplicate samples sent to a secondary laboratory to assess the accuracy of the analyte concentrations reported by the primary laboratory.	Analysed for same chemicals as primary sample. RPD <30% of mean concentration where both concentrations >20 x limit of reporting. RPD <50% of mean concentration where higher concentration 10 – 20 x limit of reporting RPD - No limit where both concentrations < 10 x limit of reporting.
Field Rinsate Blank Preparation and Analysis	Accuracy and Representativeness.	Cross contamination of samples does not occur between sampling locations due to carry-over from sampling equipment. Rinsate blank samples prepared for each sampling procedure. Where possible the rinsate blanks are prepared immediately after sampling locations known to contain concentrations of the chemicals of concern above the limit of quantification and / or before sampling locations where the chemicals being targeted in the laboratory analysis are to be compared to investigation levels near the limit of quantification of the chemical.	Analyte concentrations below limits of reporting.
Trip Blank Sampling and Analysis	Accuracy and Representativeness.	Cross contamination between samples does not occur in transit or as an artefact of the sample handling procedure. Trip blank samples prepared by the laboratory which accompany the empty sampling containers from the laboratory to the sampling site, and return with the samples to the laboratory to assess whether cross contamination occurs between samples or as an artefact of the sampling procedure.	Analyte concentrations below limits of reporting.
Laboratory QC Analysis	Laboratory Precision and Accuracy.	Laboratory duplicates.	As specified by the laboratory.
		Laboratory control spike.	Dynamic recovery limits as specified by the laboratory.
		Surrogate recovery.	Dynamic recovery limits as specified by the laboratory.
		Matrix spike recovery	Recovery 70% – 130% or dynamic recovery limits specified by laboratory. However note that recovery of phenols is generally significantly lower and a recovery in the range 20% to 130% is considered acceptable by most laboratories.

Data Verification and Validation

The data validation process involved the checking of analytical procedure compliance with acceptance criteria and an assessment of the accuracy and precision of analytical data from the range of quality control indicators generated from both the sampling and analytical programmes.

The checks undertaken are summarised in the attached data validation checklist **Table B1**. Field replicate analytical results relevant to the project are summarised in **Table B2**.

Instances where the data quality acceptance criteria were not achieved are discussed in the table below:

Item	Comment
Quality Control Sampling Frequency	Rinsate blanks No reusable sampling equipment was used throughout the sampling program and rinsate samples were not required.
Field and Secondary Duplicate Sampling and Analysis	Surface water All water sample primary and duplicate sample pairs were within acceptable RPD limits, with the exception of: • QC203 (primary sample WC_OMP01) RPD outliers reported for PFOS (52%), PFHxS (35%), Sum of PFHxS and PFOS (41%) and Sum of PFAS (70%). QC203 and WC_OMP01 PFAS concentrations were within the same order of magnitude and the variation did not alter criteria exceedances. The non-conformity is considered likely due to low analyte concentrations. Therefore, non-compliance is not considered to impact on the quality of data.
Data Representativeness	Sample Receipt Temperature Samples were received by the primary laboratory at 14.7°C, which is above the recommended temperature range (≤6°C). Given the persistent nature of PFAS in water, the elevated temperature is not considered to have affected the quality of the data presented.
Data Use Suitability	Anomalous Results Reported results for two sample (FRE_TAP1 and MC_OMP02) were inconsistent with previous years or expected results. Re-analysis of these samples was completed and the repeat results confirmed the original reported concentrations.

Data Suitability

While a small number of QC results were outside specified acceptance criteria, these were not considered to significantly impact on the quality or representativeness of the data, and the majority of results indicated that the precision and accuracy of the data were within acceptable limits. The results are therefore considered to be representative of chemical concentrations in the environmental media sampled at the time of sampling, and to be suitable to be used for their intended purpose in forming conclusions relating to the contamination status of water at the site.

Table B1: Validation Checklist

senversa

Project Name: Ongoing Monitoring - Year 3 **Project Number:** C17776

Sampling Information

Sample Media:	Water	**Sample Type**	**No.**	**Frequency**				**DQI Compliant?**	
Date Sampled:	24/6/24 - 27/6/24	Primary:	36	-					
Days of Sampling:	4	Intra-laboratory duplicate (FD):	4	1 per	9	primary samples		Yes	
Sampling Personnel:	MA, KH	Inter-laboratory duplicate (FT):	4	1 per	9	primary samples		Yes	
Primary Laboratory:	ALS	Trip Blank (TB):	0	1 per	-	day / batch		Yes	
Secondary Laboratory:	Envirolab	Rinsate Blank (RB):	0	1 per	-	day		Yes	No reusable equipment
No. Batches:	2	Trip Spike (TS):	0	1 per	-	day / batch		Yes	
Batch IDs:	ES2421874, 355725	Other:	NA					-	

Precision

Intra-laboratory Duplicate (FD) analyses

Analyte Group	Primary ID	Duplicate ID	DQI Compliant?	Comments
PFAS	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	QC100	Yes	
PFAS	WC_OPM05	QC101	Yes	
PFAS	COCKPIT_SW01	QC102	Yes	
PFAS	WC_OMP01	QC103	Yes	

Inter-laboratory Duplicate (FT) analyses

Analyte Group	Primary ID	Duplicate ID	DQI Compliant?	Comments
PFAS	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	QC200	Yes	
PFAS	WC_OPM05	QC201	Yes	
PFAS	COCKPIT_SW01	QC202	Yes	
PFAS	WC_OMP01	QC203	Substantial	RPD exceedances in two PFAS compounds (PFOS, PFHxS) as well as the sum of PFHxS and PFOS, and sum of PFAS. RPD exceedances range from 35-70%.

Laboratory Duplicate (LD) analyses

Analyte Group	Batch No.	DQI Compliant?	Comments
PFAS	ES2421874, 355725	Yes	

Accuracy

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) analyses

	Batch No.(s)	DQI Compliant?	Comments
PFAS	ES2421874, 355725	Yes	

Surrogate Compound or Reference analyses

	Batch No.(s)	DQI Compliant?	Comments
PFAS	ES2421874, 355725	Yes	

Spike Samples

Type	Analyte Group	DQI Compliant?	Comments
Laboratory matrix spike (MS)	PFAS	Yes	

Blank Samples

Type	Analyte Group	Sample ID	DQI Compliant?	Comments
Rinsate Blank (RB):	PFAS		-	

Field equipment calibration

Equipment	Calibrated?	Record?	Equipment	Calibrated?	Record?
WQM	Yes	Yes		-	-

Represent-ativeness

	DQI Compliant?	Comments
Appropriate & standard sampling methods used for media/CoPC?	Yes	
Appropriate decontamination procedures carried out?	Yes	
Samples collected in appropriate containers / preservatives?	Yes	
Samples received at appropriate temperature / or with ice present?	No	Samples were received at 14.7'C
Samples extracted / analysed within holding times?	Yes	
Samples analysed using appropriate NATA accredited methods?	Yes	

Compar-ability

	DQI Compliant?	Comments
Consistent sampling methods used?	Yes	
Sampler(s) appropriately trained?	Yes	
Consistent site conditions and field scientist(s)?	Yes	
Consistent analytical methods used?	Yes	

Completeness

	DQI Compliant?	Comments
Field records / logs complete and retained?	Yes	
Frequency of QC samples adequate per sampling plan?	Yes	
Requested analyses completed per sampling plan?	Yes	
Appropriate PQLs? (relative to adopted criteria; available)	Yes	LOR is above PFOS ecological 99% species protection, but is considered acceptable.
Chain-of-custody forms complete and correct?	Yes	
QC check of data tables (against field records / laboratory reports)?	Yes	

Data Use Suitability

	Yes / No	
Data from critical samples considered of suitable quality?	Yes	Results reported for two samples (FRE_TAP1, MC_OMP02) were inconsistent with previous years. Reanalysis was requested that confirmed the originally reported results.
Data considered suitable for the objective of the assessment?	Yes	

Overall Comments: While a small number of QC results were outside specified acceptance criteria, these were not considered to significantly impact on the quality or representativeness of the data, and majority of results indicated that the precision and accuracy of the data was within acceptable limits. The results are therefore considered to be representative of chemical concentrations in the environmental media sampled at the time of sampling, and to be suitable to be used for their intended purpose in forming conclusions relating to the contamination status of water at the site.

Performed By:	Kate Howard	Checked By:	Michelle Agnew
Date:	16/07/2024	Date:	23/07/2024

Table B2: RPD Analytical Results
DITRDCA, Norfolk, OMP

		Location Code	Cockpit_SW01	Cockpit_SW01		Cockpit_SW01	Cockpit_SW01		WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01		WC_OMP01	WC_OMP01		WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM		WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM
		Field ID	COCKPIT_SW01	QC102		COCKPIT_SW01	QC202		WC_OMP01	QC103		WC_OMP01	QC203		WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	QC100		WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM
		Date	24/06/2024	24/06/2024		24/06/2024	24/06/2024		24/06/2024	24/06/2024		24/06/2024	24/06/2024		24/06/2024	24/06/2024		24/06/2024
		Sample Type	Normal	Field_D		Normal	Interlab_D		Normal	Field_D		Normal	Interlab_D		Normal	Field_D		Normal
		Lab Report	ES2421874	ES2421874	RPD	ES2421874	355725	RPD	ES2421874	ES2421874	RPD	ES2421874	355725	RPD	ES2421874	ES2421874	RPD	ES2421874
	Unit	EQL																
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids																		
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.01	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.01	0	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.01	0	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.02	0	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.02	0	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids																		
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	0.09	0.08	12	0.09	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	0.03	0.03	0	0.03	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	-	-	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	-	-	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01	<0.01	0	0.02	0.02	0	0.02	0.01	67	<0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids																		
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01	0.02	0.02	0	0.02	<0.01	67	0.29	0.23	23	0.29	0.17	**52**	0.03	0.03	0	0.03
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	0.09	0.08	12	0.09	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0.01	<0.01	0	0.54	0.43	23	0.54	0.38	**35**	0.08	0.08	0	0.08
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	0.10	0.07	35	0.10	-	-	0.02	0.02	0	0.02
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	0.03	0.02	40	0.03	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01	0.03	0.03	0	0.03	<0.01	100	0.83	0.66	23	0.83	0.55	**41**	0.11	0.11	0	0.11
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides																		
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02
PFAS																		
Sum of US EPA PFAS (PFOS + PFOA)	µg/L	0.01	-	-	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-	-	-	0.18	-	-	-	-	-
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01	0.03	0.03	0	0.03	<0.01	100	1.19	0.96	21	1.19	0.57	**70**	0.13	0.13	0	0.13

***RPDs have only been considered where a concentration is greater than 1 times the EQL.**
****Elevated RPDs are highlighted as per QAQC Profile settings (Acceptable RPDs for each EQL multiplier range are: 1000 (1 - 10 x EQL); 50 (10 - 20 x EQL); 30 (> 20 x EQL))**
*****Interlab Duplicates are matched on a per compound basis as methods vary between laboratories. Any methods in the row header relate to those used in the primary laboratory**

Table B2: RPD Analytical Results
DITRDCA, Norfolk, OMP

		Location Code	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM		WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05		WC_OMP05	WC_OMP05	
		Field ID	QC200		WC_OMP05	QC101		WC_OMP05	QC201	
		Date	24/06/2024		24/06/2024	24/06/2024		24/06/2024	24/06/2024	
		Sample Type	Interlab_D		Normal	Field_D		Normal	Interlab_D	
		Lab Report	355725	RPD	ES2421874	ES2421874	RPD	ES2421874	355725	RPD
	Unit	EQL								
(n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids										
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FtS)	µg/L	0.01	<0.01	0	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.01	0
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.02	<0.02	0	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.02	0
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
Perfluoroalkane Carboxylic Acids										
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
Perfluoro-n-hexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	µg/L	0.1	-	-	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	-	-
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	µg/L	0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01	<0.01	0
Perfluoroalkane Sulfonic Acids										
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	µg/L	0.01	0.02	40	0.02	0.01	67	0.02	0.01	67
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	µg/L	0.01	0.06	29	0.02	0.02	0	0.02	0.02	0
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Perfluoropropanesulfonic acid (PFPrS)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	µg/L	0.01	0.09	20	0.04	0.03	29	0.04	0.03	29
Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides										
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
N-ethyl-perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	µg/L	0.05	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	-	-
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	µg/L	0.02	-	-	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	-	-
PFAS										
Sum of US EPA PFAS (PFOS + PFOA)	µg/L	0.01	0.02	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-
Sum of PFAS	µg/L	0.01	0.09	36	0.04	0.03	29	0.04	0.03	29

***RPDs have only been considered where a concentration is greater than 1 times the EQL.**
****Elevated RPDs are highlighted as per QAQC Profile settings (Acceptable RPDs for each EQL multiplier range are: 1000 (1 - 10 x EQL); 50 (10 - 20 x EQL); 30 (> 20 x EQL))**
*****Interlab Duplicates are matched on a per compound basis as methods vary between laboratories. Any methods in the row header relate to those used in the primary laboratory**

Appendix C: Field Observations

Appendix C: Field Observations

Water Sample Information			Water Quality Results						
Sample ID	Monitoring Zone	Date Sampled	DO (mg/L)	EC (µS/cm)	TDS (mg/L)1	pH	Redox (mV)	Temp (°C)	Water and Sediment Field Observations
AIRPORT_BORE	Groundwater	25/06/2024	8.74	514.0	334.1	5.99	141.6	16.0	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid. Airport bore concrete tank. Pump not running at time of sampling.
ID013_BORE	Groundwater	26/06/2024	6.79	800.0	520.0	5.75	183.3	18.4	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
ID016_BORE	Groundwater	27/06/2024	5.69	401.7	261.1	4.59	232.5	18.7	Colourless, non-turbid, no odour, no sheen. Pump turned on at water tank.
MC_OMP01	Mission Creek	24/06/2024	7.64	240.1	156.1	6.40	14.5	15.8	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
MC_OMP02	Mission Creek	26/06/2024	5.66	462.0	300.3	6.58	-28.8	15.4	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
MC_OMP03	Mission Creek	26/06/2024	6.49	519.0	337.4	6.32	-17.5	15.3	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
MC_OMP04	Mission Creek	26/06/2024	7.67	491.0	319.2	6.48	2.6	15.1	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
MC_OMP05	Mission Creek	26/06/2024	6.33	510.0	331.5	6.42	23.1	14.9	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid. Orange sediment in creek.
MC_OMP06	Mission Creek	26/06/2024	2.15	575.0	373.8	6.55	-57.5	12.9	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid. Microbial sheen
MC_OMP07	Mission Creek	26/06/2024	3.00	551.0	358.2	6.51	51.3	13.6	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid. Microbial sheen
MC_OMP08	Mission Creek	26/06/2024	6.11	558.0	362.7	6.34	41.1	14.5	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid. Microbial sheen
MC_OMP09	Mission Creek	25/06/2024	8.18	545.0	354.3	6.03	131.5	17.9	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
MC_OMP10	Mission Creek	25/06/2024	8.82	514.0	334.1	6.68	156.7	16.6	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
MC_OMP11	Mission Creek	25/06/2024	8.25	705.0	458.3	6.52	103.0	15.8	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
WW11_DAM	Mission Creek	24/06/2024	2.66	484.9	315.2	5.64	168.6	14.4	Pale orange, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid
ID014_BORE	Mission Creek Stock Watering	25/06/2024	6.28	299.5	194.7	4.71	220.6	18.2	Colourless, non-turbid, no odour, no sheen.
ID015_BORE	Mission Creek Stock Watering	27/06/2024	8.17	656.0	426.4	6.52	191.5	16.6	Colourless, non-turbid, no odour, no sheen. Pump turned on a bore water tank.
A_TAP1	Public tap/tank	24/06/2024	6.74	53.4	34.7	5.82	176.2	17.8	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
DEPOT_TANK1	Public tap/tank	24/06/2024	8.55	57.2	37.2	7.13	144.4	17.7	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
DEPOT_TANK2	Public tap/tank	24/06/2024	8.19	91.1	59.2	7.56	124.0	17.6	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
DEPOT_TANK3	Public tap/tank	24/06/2024	9.30	745.0	484.3	7.21	112.7	16.1	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid. Sampled at top of rainwater tank.
DEPOT_TAP	Public tap/tank	24/06/2024	8.48	207.1	134.6	8.62	65.6	17.6	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
FRE_TAP1	Public tap/tank	24/06/2024	6.94	81.9	53.2	6.43	166.5	18.1	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
FRE_TAP2	Public tap/tank	24/06/2024	6.61	251.6	163.5	6.60	140.3	19.1	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
WC_OMP01	Watermill Creek	23/06/2024	5.91	338.4	220.0	5.97	31.1	16.2	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
WC_OMP02	Watermill Creek	24/06/2024	8.49	416.2	270.5	5.95	81.0	15.6	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
WC_OMP03	Watermill Creek	26/06/2024	8.64	417.2	271.2	6.08	105.6	14.6	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	Watermill Creek	23/06/2024	5.81	457.3	297.2	6.13	125.4	15.3	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
WC_OMP05	Watermill Creek/Marine	23/06/2024	4.93	361.3	234.8	6.38	150.2	19.3	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
Cockpit_SW01	Cascade Creek	24/06/2024	9.06	597.0	388.1	6.48	30.1	15.4	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid. Moderate flow.
PWS_HEAD_DAM	Headstone Creek	25/06/2024	6.40	488.0	317.2	6.46	153.4	17.6	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
PWS_CAS_TOILETS	Public tap/tank	26/06/2024	8.29	1128.0	733.2	6.47	197.6	17.4	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
PWS_EB_TOILETS	Public tap/tank	26/06/2024	6.15	489.9	318.4	5.42	242.3	18.0	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.
PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	Public tap/tank	26/06/2024	7.88	467.2	303.7	7.19	138.1	20.1	Colourless, no odour, no sheen, non-turbid.

Notes:
1- 0.65 EC conversion

Appendix D: Laboratory Certificates

Envirolab Services Pty Ltd
ABN 37 112 535 645
12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067
ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201
customerservice@envirolab.com.au
www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 355725

Client Details

Client	Senversa Pty Ltd
Attention	Michelle Agnew
Address	6/15 William St, Melbourne, VIC, 3000

Sample Details

Your Reference	**C17776 NF_OMP_Y3**
Number of Samples	5 Water
Date samples received	04/07/2024
Date completed instructions received	04/07/2024

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Report Details

Date results requested by	11/07/2024
Date of Issue	08/07/2024

NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. **Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with ***

Results Approved By
Sean McAlary, Chemist (FAS)

Authorised By
Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

PFAS in Waters Short					
Our Reference		355725-1	355725-2	355725-3	355725-4
Your Reference	UNITS	QC200	QC201	QC202	QC203
Date Sampled		24/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024	24/06/2024
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water
Date prepared	-	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024
Date analysed	-	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid - PFHxS	µg/L	0.06	0.02	<0.01	0.38
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid PFOS	µg/L	0.02	0.01	<0.01	0.17
Perfluorooctanoic acid PFOA	µg/L	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01
6:2 FTS	µg/L	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
8:2 FTS	µg/L	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Surrogate $^{13}C_8$ *PFOS*	%	107	98	103	99
Surrogate $^{13}C_2$ *PFOA*	%	100	103	106	103
Extracted ISTD $^{18}O_2$ *PFHxS*	%	92	88	87	98
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_4$ *PFOS*	%	86	86	81	93
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_4$ *PFOA*	%	102	99	96	103
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_2$ *6:2FTS*	%	117	122	110	100
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_2$ *8:2FTS*	%	143	123	131	104
Total Positive PFHxS & PFOS	µg/L	0.09	0.03	<0.01	0.55
Total Positive PFOA & PFOS	µg/L	0.02	0.01	<0.01	0.18
Total Positive PFAS	µg/L	0.09	0.03	<0.01	0.57

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Org-029	Soil samples are extracted with basified Methanol. Waters and soil extracts are directly injected and/or concentrated/extracted using SPE. TCLPs/ASLP leachates are centrifuged, the supernatant is then analysed (including amendment with solvent) - as per the option in AS4439.3. Analysis is undertaken with LC-MS/MS. PFAS results include the sum of branched and linear isomers where applicable. Please note that PFAS results are corrected for Extracted Internal Standards (QSM 5.4 Table B-15 terminology), which are mass labelled analytes added prior to sample preparation to assess matrix effects and verify processing of the sample. PFAS analytes without a commercially available mass labelled analogue are corrected vs a closely eluting mass labelled PFAS compound. Surrogates are also reported, in this context they are mass labelled PFAS compounds added prior to extraction but are used as monitoring compounds only (not used for result correction). Envicarb (or similar) is used discretionally to remove interfering matrix components. Please contact the laboratory if estimates of Measurement Uncertainty are required as per WA DER.

QUALITY CONTROL: PFAS in Waters Short						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	355725-2
Date prepared	-			05/07/2024	1	05/07/2024	05/07/2024		05/07/2024	05/07/2024
Date analysed	-			05/07/2024	1	05/07/2024	05/07/2024		05/07/2024	05/07/2024
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid - PFHxS	µg/L	0.01	Org-029	<0.01	1	0.06	0.06	0	98	88
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid PFOS	µg/L	0.01	Org-029	<0.01	1	0.02	0.03	40	103	105
Perfluorooctanoic acid PFOA	µg/L	0.01	Org-029	<0.01	1	<0.01	<0.01	0	105	97
6:2 FTS	µg/L	0.01	Org-029	<0.01	1	<0.01	<0.01	0	107	104
8:2 FTS	µg/L	0.02	Org-029	<0.02	1	<0.02	<0.02	0	93	92
Surrogate $^{13}C_8$ *PFOS*	%		Org-029	98	1	107	104	3	101	105
Surrogate $^{13}C_2$ *PFOA*	%		Org-029	106	1	100	103	3	101	99
Extracted ISTD $^{18}O_2$ *PFHxS*	%		Org-029	94	1	92	91	1	93	86
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_4$ *PFOS*	%		Org-029	97	1	86	82	5	89	82
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_4$ *PFOA*	%		Org-029	106	1	102	100	2	99	100
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_2$ *6:2FTS*	%		Org-029	129	1	117	113	3	111	115
Extracted ISTD $^{13}C_2$ *8:2FTS*	%		Org-029	136	1	143	142	1	147	139

Result Definitions

NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Control Definitions

Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from “2018 TLVs and BEIs”, as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Where matrix spike recoveries fall below the lower limit of the acceptance criteria (e.g. for non-labile or standard Organics <60%), positive result(s) in the parent sample will subsequently have a higher than typical estimated uncertainty (MU estimates supplied on request) and in these circumstances the sample result is likely biased significantly low.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Chain of Custody Documentation

senversa

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	Purchase Order:	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	Quote No:	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	Turn Around Time:	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au	Page:	1 of 4
Email Report To:	kate.howard@senversa.com.au	Phone/Mobile:	0448910424

Envirolab Services
12 Ashley St
Chatswood NSW 2067
Ph: (02) 9910 6200

Job No: 355725
Date Received: 4/7/24
Time Received: 1345
Received By: CW
Temp: Cool/Ambient
Cooling: Ice/Icepack
Security: Intact/Broken/None

Send to Envirolab

Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.

Subcon / Forward Lab / Split WO
Lab / Analysis: Envirolab
Organised By / Date: QC240 QC201
Relinquished By / Date: QC202, QC20
Connote / Courier: QC204
WO No:
Attached By PO / Internal Slip:

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Type / Code	Total Bottles	PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)									HOLD
1	WC_OMP04_	DUCKDAM WATER	24/6/24	AM	PFAS	2	X								X	
2	WC_OMP05			"			X									X
3	EB_OMP05			"												
4	QC100					2	X								X	
X	QC2001															
5	QC101					2	X								X	
X	QC2012															
6	QC102					2	X								X	
X	QC2023															
7	QC103					2	X								X	
X	QC2034															
8	QC104					2	X								X	
X	QC2045															
9	COCKPIT_SW01			AM		2	X									
10	DEPOT_TANK1						X									
11	DEPOT_TANK2						X									
12	DEPOT_TANK3						X									
13	DEPOT_TAP1						X									
14	WC_OMP02			PM			X									
15	WC_OMP01			PM			X									
Total																

Environmental Division
Sydney
Work Order Reference
ES2421874

Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew Signature: MAgnew Date: 24/6/24.

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature: TAD 2/7/24	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of: 12:10	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

(10)

#355725
4/7/24 1345

senversa

Chain of Custody Documentation

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	Purchase Order:	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	Quote No:	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	Turn Around Time:	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au	Page:	2 of 4
Email Report To:	kate.howard@senversa.com.au	Phone/Mobile:	0448910424

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Container Info: Type / Code	Container Info: Total Bottles	Analysis Required: PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)	HOLD	Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.
16	A_TAP1	WATER	25/6/24	AM	PFAS	2	X		
17	AIRPORT_BORE						X		
18	A_TAP10							X	
19	FRE_TAP1						X		
20	FRE_TAP2						X		
21	MC_OMP01						X		
22	WWH_DAM			↓			X		
23	PWS_HEAD_DAM			PM			X		
24	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS						X		
25	PWS_EB_TOILETS						X		
26	PWS_CAS_TOILETS						X		
27	1D013_BORE						X		
28	MC_OMP09						X		
29	MC_OMP10						X		
30	MC_OMP11	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	X		
Total									

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew
Signature: M Agnew
Date: 25/06/24

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature: FAST	Date: 4/7/24
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time: 1600
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

(15)

#356725

Chain of Custody Documentation

senversa

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	**Purchase Order:**	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	**Quote No:**	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	**Turn Around Time:**	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au	**Page:**	3 of 4
Email Report To:	kate.howard@senversa.com.au	**Phone/Mobile:**	0448904424

Sample Information					Container Information		Analysis Required											
Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Type / Code	Total Bottles	PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)										HOLD	Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.
31	MC-OMP02	WATER	26/6/24	PM	PFAS	2	X											
32	MC-OMP03			PM			X											
33	MC-OMP04			PM			X											
34	MC-OMP05			PM			X											
35	MC-OMP06			AM			X											
36	MC-OMP07			AM			X											
37	MC-OMP08			AM			X											
38	MC-OMP03			AM			X											
39	ID014_BORE			PM			X											
40	PWS_TOWN_TOILETS			PM													X	
41	PWS_CC_TOILETS			PM													X	
42	ID026_BORE			AM			X											
43	ID026_TAP	↓	↓	AM	↓	↓	X											
Total																		

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew **Signature:** MAgnew **Date:** 26/6/24

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

senversa

Chain of Custody Documentation

#355725

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	**Purchase Order:**	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	**Quote No:**	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	**Turn Around Time:**	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au	**Page:**	4 of 4
Email Report To:	kate.howard@senversa.com.au	**Phone/Mobile:**	0448910424

Sample Information					Container Information		Analysis Required											
Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Type / Code	Total Bottles	PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)										HOLD	Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.
44	ID016-BORE	WATER	27/6/24		PFAS	2	X											
45	ID015-BORE	"	"		"	"	X											
46	QC400	"	"		"	"	X											
Total																		

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew **Signature:** MAgnew **Date:** 27/6/24.

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature: 27/6/24 12:40	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

Australian Government
Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
ABN 34 190 894 983

Biosecurity Direction

Movement Allowed
To specified location

AE7G9RWTW

AE7G9RWTW

To the Importer or any person having possession or custody of the Goods

Legal Notes:
A contravention of this direction constitutes a contravention of the *Biosecurity Act 2015*.
The Goods (lines) identified below are subject to Biosecurity control
The goods must not be moved, dealt with or interfered with unless otherwise stated in this direction.
Where movement is required to carry out the Biosecurity Activity, the goods must be moved directly to the required location (*Biosecurity Act 2015*).
This copy is to accompany the goods to the destination indicated.
All times stated on this document are in Australian Eastern Standard Time

Brokerage Name:	OPTIM FORWARDING SERVICES PTY. LTD	**Importer Name:**	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL
Brokerage Branch:	OPTIM FORWARDING SERVICES PTY. LTD - NSW	**Importer Branch Name:**	None

Brokers Reference: 20240704

Container Numbers: None
Commercial Bills: (MAWB:08155031292, HAWB:None)
Arrival Date: 28 Jun 2024 **Flight No:**
Airline:

This notice is given by (Officer Id): 3DPPGED6J6HGU **Date:** 02 Jul 2024 9:53 AM

Biosecurity Officer appointed under Section 545 of the *Biosecurity Act 2015*

Direction: The goods (lines) listed below must have the following Biosecurity Activity carried out:
Movement Allowed: To specified location in accordance with the *Biosecurity Act 2015*

Carry Out Biosecurity Activity at: Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd [N0115]
Address: Ground Floor, In-Organics Laboratory, 277-289 Woodpark Road SMITHFIELD NSW 2164

Timeframe: Please ensure that the listed Direction is carried out prior to 16 Jul 2024. Please supply any requested information/documentation to your regional office.

Lines	Legal Refs	Quantity	Package	Country
1 WATER AND SOIL SAMPLES	S 128(1) (a) (i) (i)			NORFOLK ISLAND

Additional Comments: Envoronmental Samples - Ex Norfolk Island
Packing Cert: NIL - A/F, Permit Number: OK - 0006709425

Printing Officer Id: 3DPPGED6J6HGU **Date Of Print:** 02 Jul 2024 10:19 AM

Additional Information: Goods that become subject to Biosecurity control continue to be subject to Biosecurity control until released from Biosecurity control. The importer and/or owner of the goods, subject to Biosecurity control are liable to pay any expenses connected with the examination, transportation, storage, maintenance, treatment, movement, removal, disposal or destruction of the goods. In addition the Master, owner and/or agent of any conveyance under Biosecurity control, or ordered to be treated are liable to pay the cost of piloting or towing the conveyance, removing things from the conveyance and treating the conveyance and goods on the conveyance or removed from it. If at the end of a period for which any goods have been isolated, a Director of Biosecurity is of the opinion that the goods cannot be released without an unacceptable high level of biosecurity risk, he or she may direct that the goods be secured in such a manner and for such further period as stated in the direction. A person is guilty of a criminal offence if he or she contravenes a Biosecurity officer's direction. If goods are moved or otherwise interfered with in contravention of the *Biosecurity Act 2015* they may be taken into control of the Commonwealth. The Commonwealth does not accept liability for damage which may occur as a result of any necessary treatment. If the owner or agent of goods has been notified that treatment may damage the goods, and the owner or agent does not, before the end of 30 days after the day on which the owner or agent receives the notice, give written notice to a Director of Biosecurity stating that they agree to the treatment, the goods may be taken into control of the Commonwealth. A cost recovery charge that is due and payable to the Commonwealth under the Biosecurity Act 2015 may be recovered as a debt due to the Commonwealth by action in a relevant court [section 596].

To query information contained in this document, contact the department on 1800 900 090

#355725

senversa

CUSTOMS DECLARATION

SENDER'S NAME: Senversa Pty Ltd

ADDRESS: Level 6, 15 William Street, Melbourne VIC 3000

RECEIVER'S NAME: AUSTRALIAN LABORATORY SERVICES

ADDRESS: ALS Environmental
277-289 Woodpark Road
SMITHFIELD NSW 2164

RECEIVER'S CONTACT NAME: Scott James

RECEIVER'S CONTACT PHONE NO.: +61-2-8784-8555

FULL DESCRIPTION OF GOODS: Environmental Samples for Analysis

PURPOSE FOR SENDING: Analytical Testing (Environmental)

VALUE FOR CUSTOMS PURPOSES ONLY: $39 AUD

NUMBER OF PACKAGES: 1

TOTAL WEIGHT: 7kgs.

* **CONSIGNMENT NOTE NUMBER:** 081-5503 1292.

COURIER COMPANY: Burnt Pine Travel.

BIOSECURITY ENTRY NUMBER: 000 6709425

I declare the above information to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed: [signature] **Dated:** 27/6/24

#355725

Envirolab Services Pty Ltd
ABN 37 112 535 645
12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067
ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201
customerservice@envirolab.com.au
www.envirolab.com.au

DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Report Details

Envirolab Report Reference	**355725**
Client ID	Senversa Pty Ltd
Project Reference	C17776 NF_OMP_Y3
Date Issued	08/07/2024

QC DATA

All laboratory QC data was within the Envirolab Group's specifications.

HOLDING TIME COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

All preservation / holding times (based on AS/ASPHA/ISO/NEPM/USEPA reference documents and standards) are compliant.

Certain analyses have had their recommended technical holding times elongated by filtering and/or freezing on receipt at the laboratory (e.g. BOD, chlorophyll/Pheophytin, nutrients and acid sulphate soil tests).

COMPLIANCE TO QC FREQUENCY (NEPM)

Internal laboratory QC rate complies with NEPM requirements (LCS/MB/MS 1 in 20, Duplicates 1 in 10 samples). Note, samples are batched together with other sample consignments in order to assign QC sample frequency.

QC Evaluation

Duplicate(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency	✓
Laboratory Control Sample(s) were analysed with the samples received	✓
A Method Blank was performed with the samples received	✓
Matrix spike(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency (Not Applicable for Air samples)	✓

Refer to Certificate of Analysis for all Quality Control data.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	: **ES2421874**	Page	: 1 of 27
Client	: **SENVERSA PTY LTD**	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: MICHELLE AGNEW	Contact	: Sandy Phan
Address	: Level 24, 1 Market St, Sydney NSW 2000 SYDNEY NSW 2000	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
Telephone	: ----	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Project	: C17776 NF_OMP_Y2	Date Samples Received	: 02-Jul-2024 12:20
Order number	: ----	Date Analysis Commenced	: 04-Jul-2024
C-O-C number	: ----	Issue Date	: 09-Jul-2024 15:04
Sampler	: MA & KH		
Site	: ----		
Quote number	: ES24SENVER0007		
No. of samples received	: 46		
No. of samples analysed	: 40		

Accreditation No. 825
Accredited for compliance with
ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted, unless the sampling was conducted by ALS. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results
- Surrogate Control Limits

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	*Position*	*Accreditation Category*
Alex Rossi	Organic Chemist	Sydney Organics, Smithfield, NSW

General Comments

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contract for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.
~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- EP231X - Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS): Samples received in 20mL or 125mL bottles have been tested in accordance with the QSM5.4 compliant, NATA accredited method. 60mL or 250mL bottles have been tested to the legacy QSM 5.1 aligned, NATA accredited method.
- EP231: Stable isotope enriched internal standards are added to samples prior to extraction. Target compounds have a direct analogous internal standard with the exception of PFPeS, PFHpA, PFDS, PFTrDA and 10:2 FTS. These compounds use an internal standard that is chemically related and has a retention time close to that of the target compound. The DQO for internal standard response is 50-150% of that established at initial calibration or as per tables in USEPA 1633 where listed. PFOS is quantified using a certified, traceable standard consisting of linear and branched PFOS isomers. These practices are in line with recommendations in the National Environmental Management Plan for PFAS and also conform to QSM 5.4 (US DoD) requirements.

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER
(Matrix: WATER)

Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Sample ID	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP05	QC100	QC101	QC102
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00
					ES2421874-001	ES2421874-002	ES2421874-004	ES2421874-005	ES2421874-006
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		**0.02**	<0.02	**0.02**	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		**0.08**	**0.02**	**0.08**	**0.02**	**0.01**
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.03**	**0.02**	**0.03**	**0.01**	**0.02**
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER
(Matrix: WATER)

Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Sample ID	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP05	QC100	QC101	QC102
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00
					ES2421874-001	ES2421874-002	ES2421874-004	ES2421874-005	ES2421874-006
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		**0.13**	**0.04**	**0.13**	**0.03**	**0.03**
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.11**	**0.04**	**0.11**	**0.03**	**0.03**
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		**0.13**	**0.04**	**0.13**	**0.03**	**0.03**
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)			Sample ID	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WC_OMP05	QC100	QC101	QC102
			Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2421874-001	ES2421874-002	ES2421874-004	ES2421874-005	ES2421874-006
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued								
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%	**96.0**	**92.7**	**96.3**	**93.8**	**94.6**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%	**92.0**	**88.4**	**90.7**	**89.1**	**91.2**

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER
(Matrix: WATER)

Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Sample ID	QC103	COCKPIT_SW01	DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK3
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00
					ES2421874-007	ES2421874-009	ES2421874-010	ES2421874-011	ES2421874-012
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		**0.02**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		**0.07**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.08**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		**0.43**	**0.01**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.23**	**0.02**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		**0.03**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.08**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.02**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	QC103	COCKPIT_SW01	DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK3
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-007	ES2421874-009	ES2421874-010	ES2421874-011	ES2421874-012
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		**0.96**	**0.03**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.66**	**0.03**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		**0.86**	**0.03**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	QC103	COCKPIT_SW01	DEPOT_TANK1	DEPOT_TANK2	DEPOT_TANK3
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-007	ES2421874-009	ES2421874-010	ES2421874-011	ES2421874-012
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued									
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%		**91.7**	**99.4**	**88.0**	**94.5**	**92.5**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%		**89.2**	**86.7**	**90.6**	**87.9**	**89.0**

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)

Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Sample ID	DEPOT_TAP1	WC_OMP02	WC_OMP01	A_TAP1	AIRPORT_BORE
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
					ES2421874-013	ES2421874-014	ES2421874-015	ES2421874-016	ES2421874-017
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	**0.03**	<0.02	**0.35**
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	**0.03**	**0.10**	<0.02	**0.89**
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	**0.03**	**0.09**	<0.02	**0.97**
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.16**	**0.54**	<0.01	**6.71**
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.54**
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.08**	**0.29**	**0.02**	**14.5**
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.05**
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	**0.2**
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	**0.03**	<0.02	**0.27**
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	**0.03**	**0.09**	<0.02	**0.96**
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.22**
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	<0.01	**0.02**	<0.01	**0.50**
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	DEPOT_TAP1	WC_OMP02	WC_OMP01	A_TAP1	AIRPORT_BORE
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-013	ES2421874-014	ES2421874-015	ES2421874-016	ES2421874-017
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.33**	**1.19**	**0.02**	**26.2**
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.24**	**0.83**	**0.02**	**21.2**
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.30**	**1.07**	**0.02**	**24.2**
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	DEPOT_TAP1	WC_OMP02	WC_OMP01	A_TAP1	AIRPORT_BORE
				Sampling date / time	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	24-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-013	ES2421874-014	ES2421874-015	ES2421874-016	ES2421874-017
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued									
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%		**90.9**	**95.2**	**97.2**	**97.2**	**94.5**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%		**87.2**	**88.2**	**87.5**	**88.2**	**87.3**

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP2	MC_OMP01	WWII_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-019	ES2421874-020	ES2421874-021	ES2421874-022	ES2421874-023
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	**0.46**	**0.41**	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	**1.17**	**1.07**	<0.02
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	**1.49**	**1.40**	<0.02
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.03**	**8.75**	**7.93**	<0.01
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.04**	**15.9**	**16.7**	<0.01
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	**0.05**	**0.07**	<0.02
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		<0.1	<0.1	**0.2**	**0.2**	<0.1
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	**0.33**	**0.33**	<0.02
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.02**	<0.02	**1.22**	**1.07**	<0.02
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		**0.05**	<0.02	**0.24**	**0.24**	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.08**	<0.01	**0.56**	**0.52**	<0.01
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		**0.03**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP2	MC_OMP01	WWII_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-019	ES2421874-020	ES2421874-021	ES2421874-022	ES2421874-023
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		**0.44**	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		**0.62**	**0.07**	**30.4**	**29.9**	<0.01
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		<0.01	**0.07**	**24.6**	**24.6**	<0.01
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		**0.59**	**0.07**	**28.4**	**28.1**	<0.01
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	FRE_TAP1	FRE_TAP2	MC_OMP01	WWII_DAM	PWS_HEAD_DAM
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-019	ES2421874-020	ES2421874-021	ES2421874-022	ES2421874-023
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued									
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%		**95.8**	**95.2**	**95.3**	**94.7**	**90.8**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%		**89.1**	**88.7**	**87.3**	**86.8**	**92.3**

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	PWS_EB_TOILETS	PWS_CAS_TOILETS	ID013_BORE	MC_OMP09
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-024	ES2421874-025	ES2421874-026	ES2421874-027	ES2421874-028
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.15**
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		**0.03**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.37**
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.04**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.44**
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		**0.27**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**2.89**
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.20**
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.77**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**4.86**
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.16**
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.04**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.45**
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.08**
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.02**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**0.16**
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	PWS_EB_TOILETS	PWS_CAS_TOILETS	ID013_BORE	MC_OMP09
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-024	ES2421874-025	ES2421874-026	ES2421874-027	ES2421874-028
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		**1.17**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**9.76**
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**1.04**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**7.75**
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		**1.13**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**8.97**
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS	PWS_EB_TOILETS	PWS_CAS_TOILETS	ID013_BORE	MC_OMP09
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-024	ES2421874-025	ES2421874-026	ES2421874-027	ES2421874-028
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued									
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%		**93.0**	**95.2**	**90.9**	**94.3**	**95.0**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%		**90.6**	**89.5**	**87.4**	**87.5**	**88.6**

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	MC_OMP10	MC_OMP11	MC_OMP02	MC_OMP03	MC_OMP04
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-029	ES2421874-030	ES2421874-031	ES2421874-032	ES2421874-033
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		**0.13**	**0.11**	<0.02	**0.34**	**0.17**
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		**0.34**	**0.28**	**0.06**	**0.84**	**0.41**
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.38**	**0.28**	**0.05**	**1.04**	**0.42**
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		**2.40**	**2.15**	**0.24**	**5.98**	**2.83**
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	**0.12**	<0.02	**0.35**	**0.17**
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**3.64**	**2.96**	**0.08**	**8.65**	**5.45**
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.03**	**0.02**
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	**0.1**	**0.1**
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		**0.13**	**0.09**	**0.13**	**0.24**	**0.19**
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.38**	**0.26**	**0.06**	**0.84**	**0.48**
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		**0.08**	**0.06**	<0.02	**0.14**	**0.08**
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.14**	**0.12**	**0.01**	**0.29**	**0.17**
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	MC_OMP10	MC_OMP11	MC_OMP02	MC_OMP03	MC_OMP04
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-029	ES2421874-030	ES2421874-031	ES2421874-032	ES2421874-033
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		**7.62**	**6.43**	**0.63**	**18.8**	**10.5**
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**6.04**	**5.11**	**0.32**	**14.6**	**8.28**
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		**7.11**	**5.92**	**0.58**	**17.1**	**9.71**
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	MC_OMP10	MC_OMP11	MC_OMP02	MC_OMP03	MC_OMP04
				Sampling date / time	25-Jun-2024 00:00	25-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-029	ES2421874-030	ES2421874-031	ES2421874-032	ES2421874-033
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued									
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%		**89.1**	**94.7**	**92.4**	**90.6**	**88.8**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%		**85.1**	**89.2**	**87.7**	**86.0**	**84.7**

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	MC_OMP05	MC_OMP06	MC_OMP07	MC_OMP08	WC_OMP03
				Sampling date / time	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-034	ES2421874-035	ES2421874-036	ES2421874-037	ES2421874-038
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		**0.18**	**0.15**	**0.18**	**0.17**	<0.02
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		**0.45**	**0.35**	**0.44**	**0.43**	**0.03**
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.51**	**0.40**	**0.46**	**0.46**	**0.03**
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		**3.04**	**2.56**	**3.20**	**2.91**	**0.16**
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		**0.21**	**0.18**	**0.26**	**0.20**	<0.02
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**6.12**	**5.10**	**7.62**	**5.90**	**0.06**
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		**0.02**	<0.02	**0.03**	**0.03**	<0.02
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		**0.1**	<0.1	**0.1**	**0.1**	<0.1
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		**0.21**	**0.16**	**0.20**	**0.17**	<0.02
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.54**	**0.45**	**0.54**	**0.51**	**0.02**
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		**0.10**	**0.08**	**0.09**	**0.09**	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.18**	**0.18**	**0.20**	**0.18**	<0.01
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	MC_OMP05	MC_OMP06	MC_OMP07	MC_OMP08	WC_OMP03
				Sampling date / time	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-034	ES2421874-035	ES2421874-036	ES2421874-037	ES2421874-038
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		**11.7**	**9.61**	**13.3**	**11.2**	**0.30**
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**9.16**	**7.66**	**10.8**	**8.81**	**0.22**
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		**10.7**	**8.88**	**12.4**	**10.3**	**0.27**
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	MC_OMP05	MC_OMP06	MC_OMP07	MC_OMP08	WC_OMP03
				Sampling date / time	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-034	ES2421874-035	ES2421874-036	ES2421874-037	ES2421874-038
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued									
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%		**94.2**	**90.7**	**94.3**	**90.3**	**98.0**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%		**86.9**	**87.6**	**90.9**	**85.9**	**86.2**

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	ID014_BORE	ID026_BORE	ID026_TAP	ID016_BORE	ID015_BORE
				Sampling date / time	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	27-Jun-2024 00:00	27-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-039	ES2421874-042	ES2421874-043	ES2421874-044	ES2421874-045
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids									
Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L		**0.08**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.04**
Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L		**0.19**	<0.02	<0.02	**0.05**	**0.09**
Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.18**	<0.02	<0.02	**0.04**	**0.09**
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L		**1.25**	<0.01	<0.01	**0.17**	**0.48**
Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L		**0.07**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**2.02**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**0.18**
Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids									
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L		**0.07**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	**0.03**
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L		**0.20**	<0.02	<0.02	**0.03**	**0.08**
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L		**0.04**	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L		**0.08**	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	**0.02**
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	ID014_BORE	ID026_BORE	ID026_TAP	ID016_BORE	ID015_BORE
				Sampling date / time	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	27-Jun-2024 00:00	27-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-039	ES2421874-042	ES2421874-043	ES2421874-044	ES2421874-045
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids - Continued									
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides									
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids									
4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
EP231P: PFAS Sums									
Sum of PFAS	----	0.01	µg/L		**4.18**	<0.01	<0.01	**0.29**	**1.01**
Sum of PFHxS and PFOS	355-46-4/1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L		**3.27**	<0.01	<0.01	**0.17**	**0.66**
Sum of PFAS (WA DER List)	----	0.01	µg/L		**3.85**	<0.01	<0.01	**0.25**	**0.88**
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate									

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Sample ID	ID014_BORE	ID026_BORE	ID026_TAP	ID016_BORE	ID015_BORE
				Sampling date / time	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	26-Jun-2024 00:00	27-Jun-2024 00:00	27-Jun-2024 00:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit		ES2421874-039	ES2421874-042	ES2421874-043	ES2421874-044	ES2421874-045
					Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate - Continued									
13C4-PFOS	----	0.02	%		**96.1**	**94.4**	**97.7**	**91.3**	**93.7**
13C8-PFOA	----	0.02	%		**86.8**	**86.4**	**87.3**	**87.7**	**85.7**

Surrogate Control Limits

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**		Recovery Limits (%)	
Compound	*CAS Number*	*Low*	*High*
EP231S: PFAS Surrogate			
13C4-PFOS	----	60	120
13C8-PFOA	----	60	120

QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order	: **ES2421874**	Page	: 1 of 5
Client	: **SENVERSA PTY LTD**	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: MICHELLE AGNEW	Contact	: Sandy Phan
Address	: Level 24, 1 Market St, Sydney NSW 2000 SYDNEY NSW 2000	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
Telephone	: ----	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Project	: C17776 NF_OMP_Y2	Date Samples Received	: 02-Jul-2024
Order number	: ----	Date Analysis Commenced	: 04-Jul-2024
C-O-C number	: ----	Issue Date	: 09-Jul-2024
Sampler	: MA & KH		
Site	: ----		
Quote number	: ES24SENVER0007		
No. of samples received	: 46		
No. of samples analysed	: 40		

Accreditation No. 825
Accredited for compliance with
ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted, unless the sampling was conducted by ALS. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	*Position*	*Accreditation Category*
Alex Rossi	Organic Chemist	Sydney Organics, Smithfield, NSW

General Comments

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis. Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

Key : Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot
CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
RPD = Relative Percentage Difference
# = Indicates failed QC

Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR: No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR: 0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR: 0% - 20%.

- **No Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Results are required to be reported.**

Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

				Method Blank (MB) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report			
					Spike	Spike Recovery (%)	Acceptable Limits (%)	
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	Low	High
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids (QCLot: 5902639)								
EP231X: Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	103	70.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	91.3	72.0	130
EP231X: Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	99.5	71.0	127
EP231X: Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L	<0.01	0.25 µg/L	88.7	68.0	131
EP231X: Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	100	69.0	134
EP231X: Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L	<0.01	0.25 µg/L	83.6	65.0	140
EP231X: Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	96.3	70.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	92.7	53.0	142
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids (QCLot: 5902641)								
EP231X: Perfluoropropane sulfonic acid (PFPrS)	423-41-6	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	102	70.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	97.1	72.0	130
EP231X: Perfluoropentane sulfonic acid (PFPeS)	2706-91-4	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	97.2	71.0	127
EP231X: Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	0.01	µg/L	<0.01	0.25 µg/L	89.6	68.0	131
EP231X: Perfluoroheptane sulfonic acid (PFHpS)	375-92-8	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	104	69.0	134
EP231X: Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	0.01	µg/L	<0.01	0.25 µg/L	98.4	65.0	140
EP231X: Perfluorononane sulfonic acid (PFNS)	68259-12-1	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	99.6	70.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (PFDS)	335-77-3	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	95.5	53.0	142
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids (QCLot: 5902639)								
EP231X: Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L	<0.1	1.25 µg/L	97.8	73.0	129
EP231X: Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	110	72.0	129
EP231X: Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	99.8	72.0	129
EP231X: Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	101	72.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L	<0.01	0.25 µg/L	105	71.0	133
EP231X: Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	87.0	69.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	103	71.0	129
EP231X: Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	107	69.0	133
EP231X: Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	110	72.0	134
EP231X: Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	106	65.0	144
EP231X: Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	96.4	71.0	132
EP231X: Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	100	62.9	136

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Method Blank (MB) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report			
					Spike	Spike Recovery (%)	Acceptable Limits (%)	
				Result	Concentration	LCS	Low	High
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids (QCLot: 5902641)								
EP231X: Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	0.1	µg/L	<0.1	1.25 µg/L	98.0	73.0	129
EP231X: Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	2706-90-3	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	112	72.0	129
EP231X: Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	99.4	72.0	129
EP231X: Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	375-85-9	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	104	72.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	0.01	µg/L	<0.01	0.25 µg/L	101	71.0	133
EP231X: Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	375-95-1	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	102	69.0	130
EP231X: Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	335-76-2	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	101	71.0	129
EP231X: Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA)	2058-94-8	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	104	69.0	133
EP231X: Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)	307-55-1	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	116	72.0	134
EP231X: Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	72629-94-8	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	110	65.0	144
EP231X: Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	376-06-7	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	97.7	71.0	132
EP231X: Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	67905-19-5	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	104	62.9	136
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides (QCLot: 5902639)								
EP231X: Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	96.9	67.0	137
EP231X: N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	106	68.0	141
EP231X: N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	92.2	62.6	147
EP231X: N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	107	66.0	145
EP231X: N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	100.0	57.6	145
EP231X: N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	100	65.0	136
EP231X: N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	111	61.0	135
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides (QCLot: 5902641)								
EP231X: Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA)	754-91-6	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	103	67.0	137
EP231X: N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (MeFOSA)	31506-32-8	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	111	68.0	141
EP231X: N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA)	4151-50-2	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	96.5	62.6	147
EP231X: N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (MeFOSE)	24448-09-7	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	98.2	66.0	145
EP231X: N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol (EtFOSE)	1691-99-2	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.625 µg/L	104	57.6	145
EP231X: N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (MeFOSAA)	2355-31-9	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	95.8	65.0	136
EP231X: N-Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidoacetic acid (EtFOSAA)	2991-50-6	0.02	µg/L	<0.02	0.25 µg/L	104	61.0	135
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids (QCLot: 5902639)								

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Method Blank (MB) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report		
					Spike	Spike Recovery (%)	Acceptable Limits (%)
				Result	Concentration	LCS	Low	High
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids (QCLot: 5902639) - continued								
EP231X: 4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	95.9	63.0	143
EP231X: 6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	99.5	64.0	140
EP231X: 8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	105	67.0	138
EP231X: 10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	98.3	71.4	144
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids (QCLot: 5902641)								
EP231X: 4:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	757124-72-4	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	108	63.0	143
EP231X: 6:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	27619-97-2	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	100	64.0	140
EP231X: 8:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	39108-34-4	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	98.7	67.0	138
EP231X: 10:2 Fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (10:2 FTS)	120226-60-0	0.05	µg/L	<0.05	0.25 µg/L	118	71.4	144

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

- **No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.**

QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review

Work Order	: **ES2421874**	Page	: 1 of 8
Client	: **SENVERSA PTY LTD**	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: MICHELLE AGNEW	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Project	: C17776 NF_OMP_Y2	Date Samples Received	: 02-Jul-2024
Site	: ----	Issue Date	: 09-Jul-2024
Sampler	: MA & KH	No. of samples received	: 46
Order number	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 40

This report is automatically generated by the ALS LIMS through interpretation of the ALS Quality Control Report and several Quality Assurance parameters measured by ALS. This automated reporting highlights any non-conformances, facilitates faster and more accurate data validation and is designed to assist internal expert and external Auditor review. Many components of this report contribute to the overall DQO assessment and reporting for guideline compliance.

Brief method summaries and references are also provided to assist in traceability.

Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

This report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report.

- **NO Method Blank value outliers occur.**
- **NO Duplicate outliers occur.**
- **NO Laboratory Control outliers occur.**
- **NO Matrix Spike outliers occur.**
- **For all regular sample matrices, where applicable to the methodology, NO surrogate recovery outliers occur.**

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance

- **NO Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.**

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

- **Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers exist - please see following pages for full details.**

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

Matrix: **WATER**

Quality Control Sample Type		Count		Rate (%)		Quality Control Specification
Analytical Methods	Method	QC	Regular	Actual	Expected	
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)						
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by LCMSMS	EP231X	0	40	0.00	10.00	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Matrix Spikes (MS)						
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by LCMSMS	EP231X	0	40	0.00	5.00	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard

Analysis Holding Time Compliance

If samples are identified below as having been analysed or extracted outside of recommended holding times, this should be taken into consideration when interpreting results.

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with ALS recommended holding times (referencing USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM) based on the sample container provided. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and preclude subsequent dilutions and reruns. A listing of breaches (if any) is provided herein.

Holding time for leachate methods (e.g. TCLP) vary according to the analytes reported. Assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These are: organics 14 days, mercury 28 days & other metals 180 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Holding times for ***VOC in soils*** vary according to analytes of interest. Vinyl Chloride and Styrene holding time is 7 days; others 14 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all VOC analytes and should be verified in case the reported breach is a false positive or Vinyl Chloride and Styrene are not key analytes of interest/concern.

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: × = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method / Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids							
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) DEPOT_TANK1, DEPOT_TANK2, DEPOT_TANK3, DEPOT_TAP1	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM, WC_OMP05, QC100, QC101, QC102, QC103, COCKPIT_SW01, WC_OMP02, WC_OMP01	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) PWS_HEAD_DAM, PWS_HEAD_TOILETS, PWS_EB_TOILETS, PWS_CAS_TOILETS, ID013_BORE, MC_OMP09, MC_OMP10, MC_OMP11	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) A_TAP1, AIRPORT_BORE, FRE_TAP1, FRE_TAP2, MC_OMP01, WWII_DAM	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X)							

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: × = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method / Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EP231A: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonic Acids - Continued							
MC_OMP02, MC_OMP03, MC_OMP04, MC_OMP05, MC_OMP06, MC_OMP07, MC_OMP08, WC_OMP03, ID014_BORE, ID026_BORE, ID026_TAP	**26-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) ID016_BORE, ID015_BORE	**27-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓
EP231B: Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylic Acids							
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) DEPOT_TANK1, DEPOT_TANK2, DEPOT_TANK3, DEPOT_TAP1	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM, WC_OMP05, QC100, QC101, QC102, QC103, COCKPIT_SW01, WC_OMP02, WC_OMP01	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) PWS_HEAD_DAM, PWS_HEAD_TOILETS, PWS_EB_TOILETS, PWS_CAS_TOILETS, ID013_BORE, MC_OMP09, MC_OMP10, MC_OMP11	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) A_TAP1, AIRPORT_BORE, FRE_TAP1, FRE_TAP2, MC_OMP01, WWII_DAM	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) MC_OMP02, MC_OMP03, MC_OMP04, MC_OMP05, MC_OMP06, MC_OMP07, MC_OMP08, WC_OMP03, ID014_BORE, ID026_BORE, ID026_TAP	**26-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) ID016_BORE, ID015_BORE	**27-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: × = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method / Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EP231C: Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonamides							
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) DEPOT_TANK1, DEPOT_TANK2, DEPOT_TANK3, DEPOT_TAP1	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM, WC_OMP05, QC100, QC101, QC102, QC103, COCKPIT_SW01, WC_OMP02, WC_OMP01	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) PWS_HEAD_DAM, PWS_HEAD_TOILETS, PWS_EB_TOILETS, PWS_CAS_TOILETS, ID013_BORE, MC_OMP09, MC_OMP10, MC_OMP11	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) A_TAP1, AIRPORT_BORE, FRE_TAP1, FRE_TAP2, MC_OMP01, WWII_DAM	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) MC_OMP02, MC_OMP03, MC_OMP04, MC_OMP05, MC_OMP06, MC_OMP07, MC_OMP08, WC_OMP03, ID014_BORE, ID026_BORE, ID026_TAP	**26-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) ID016_BORE, ID015_BORE	**27-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: × = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method / Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EP231D: (n:2) Fluorotelomer Sulfonic Acids							
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) DEPOT_TANK1, DEPOT_TANK2, DEPOT_TANK3, DEPOT_TAP1	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM, WC_OMP05, QC100, QC101, QC102, QC103, COCKPIT_SW01, WC_OMP02, WC_OMP01	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) PWS_HEAD_DAM, PWS_HEAD_TOILETS, PWS_EB_TOILETS, PWS_CAS_TOILETS, ID013_BORE, MC_OMP09, MC_OMP10, MC_OMP11	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) A_TAP1, AIRPORT_BORE, FRE_TAP1, FRE_TAP2, MC_OMP01, WWII_DAM	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) MC_OMP02, MC_OMP03, MC_OMP04, MC_OMP05, MC_OMP06, MC_OMP07, MC_OMP08, WC_OMP03, ID014_BORE, ID026_BORE, ID026_TAP	**26-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) ID016_BORE, ID015_BORE	**27-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: × = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method / Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EP231P: PFAS Sums							
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) DEPOT_TANK1, DEPOT_TANK2, DEPOT_TANK3, DEPOT_TAP1	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM, WC_OMP05, QC100, QC101, QC102, QC103, COCKPIT_SW01, WC_OMP02, WC_OMP01	**24-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	21-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) PWS_HEAD_DAM, PWS_HEAD_TOILETS, PWS_EB_TOILETS, PWS_CAS_TOILETS, ID013_BORE, MC_OMP09, MC_OMP10, MC_OMP11	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) A_TAP1, AIRPORT_BORE, FRE_TAP1, FRE_TAP2, MC_OMP01, WWII_DAM	**25-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓	**09-Jul-2024**	22-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) MC_OMP02, MC_OMP03, MC_OMP04, MC_OMP05, MC_OMP06, MC_OMP07, MC_OMP08, WC_OMP03, ID014_BORE, ID026_BORE, ID026_TAP	**26-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	23-Dec-2024	✓
HDPE (no PTFE) (EP231X) ID016_BORE, ID015_BORE	**27-Jun-2024**	**04-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓	**08-Jul-2024**	24-Dec-2024	✓

Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(were) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: × = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; ✓ = Quality Control frequency within specification.

Quality Control Sample Type		Count		Rate (%)			Quality Control Specification
Analytical Methods	*Method*	*QC*	*Regular*	***Actual***	***Expected***	*Evaluation*	
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)							
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by LCMSMS	EP231X	0	40	**0.00**	**10.00**	×	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)							
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by LCMSMS	EP231X	2	40	**5.00**	**5.00**	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Method Blanks (MB)							
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by LCMSMS	EP231X	2	40	**5.00**	**5.00**	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Matrix Spikes (MS)							
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by LCMSMS	EP231X	0	40	**0.00**	**5.00**	×	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard

Brief Method Summaries

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

Analytical Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by LCMSMS	EP231X	WATER	In-house: Analysis of fresh and saline waters by Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) followed by LC-Electrospray-MS-MS, Negative Mode using MRM and internal standard quantitation. Isotopically labelled analogues of target analytes used as internal standards and surrogates are added to the sample container. The entire contents are transferred to a solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge. The sample container is successively rinsed with aliquots of the elution solvent. The eluted extract is combined with an equal volume of reagent water and a portion is filtered for analysis. Method procedures and data quality objectives conform to US DoD QSM 5.4, table B-15 requirements.

Preparation Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) for PFAS in water	ORG72	WATER	In-house: Isotopically labelled analogues of target analytes used as internal standards and surrogates are added to the sample container. The entire contents are transferred to a solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge. The sample container is successively rinsed with aliquots of the elution solvent. The eluted extract is combined with an equal volume of reagent water and a portion is filtered for analysis. Method procedures conform to US DoD QSM 5.3, table B-15 requirements.

Chain of Custody Documentation

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	Purchase Order:	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	Quote No:	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	Turn Around Time:	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au	Page:	1 of 4
Email Report To:	kate.howard@senversa.com.au	Phone/Mobile:	0448910424

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Container Info: Type / Code	Container Info: Total Bottles	Analysis Required: PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)									Send to Enviroclab	HOLD
1	WC_OMP04_DUCKDAM	WATER	24/6/24	AM	PFAS	2	X										
2	WC_OMP05			"			X										X
3	FB_OMP05			"													
4	QC100						X									X	
X	QC200					2											
5	QC101						X									X	
X	QC201					1											
6	QC102					2	X									X	
X	QC202					1											
7	QC103					2	X									X	
X	QC203					1											
8	QC104					2	X									X	
X	QC204					1											
9	COCKPIT_SW01			AM		2	X										
10	DEPOT_TANK1						X										
11	DEPOT_TANK2						X										
12	DEPOT_TANK3						X										
13	DEPOT_TAP1						X										
14	WC_OMP02			PM			X										
15	WC_OMP01			PM		4	X										
Total																	

Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.

Subcon / Forward Lab / Split WO
Lab / Analysis: Enviolab
Organised By / Date: QC200, QC201
Relinquished By / Date: QC202, QC203
Connote / Courier: QC204
WO No:
Attached By PO / Internal Sheet:

Environmental Division
Sydney
Work Order Reference
ES2421874

Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew | Signature: MAgnew | Date: 24/6/24.

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature: TAS, 24/7/24	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of: 12:10p	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

(10)

senversa

Chain of Custody Documentation

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	**Purchase Order:**	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	**Quote No:**	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	**Turn Around Time:**	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew	**Page:**	2 of 4
Email Report To:	michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au kate.howard@senversa.com.au	**Phone/Mobile:**	0448910424

Sample Information					Container Information		Analysis Required											
Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Type / Code	Total Bottles	PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)										HOLD	Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.
16	A_TAP1	WATER	25/6/24	AM	PFAS	2	X											
17	AIRPORT_BORE						X											
18	A_TAP10																X	
19	FRE_TAP1						X											
20	FRE_TAP2						X											
21	MC_OMP01						X											
22	WW11_DAM			″			X											
23	PWS_HEAD_DAM			PM			X											
24	PWS_HEAD_TOILETS						X											
25	PWS_EB_TOILETS						X											
26	PWS_CAS_TOILETS						X											
27	10013_BORE						X											
28	MC_OMP09						X											
29	MC_OMP10						X											
30	MC_OMP11	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	X											
Total																		

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew **Signature:** M Agnew **Date:** 25/06/24

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature: TAN 2/7/24 12:10pm	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

(15)

senversa

Chain of Custody Documentation

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	**Purchase Order:**	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	**Quote No:**	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	**Turn Around Time:**	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au	**Page:**	3 of 4
Email Report To:	kate.howard@senversa.com.au	**Phone/Mobile:**	0448904424

Sample Information					Container Information		Analysis Required											
Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Type / Code	Total Bottles	PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)										HOLD	Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.
31	MC-OMP02	WATER	26/6/24	~~A~~PM	PFAS	2	X											
32	MC_OMP03			PM			X											
33	MC_OMP04			PM			X											
34	MC_OMP05			PM			X											
35	MC_OMP06			AM			X											
36	MC_OMP07			AM			X											
37	MC_OMP08			AM			X											
38	MC_OMP03			AM			X											
39	ID014_BORE			PM			X											
40	PWS_TOWN_TOILETS			PM													X	
41	PWS_CC_TOILETS			PM													X	
42	ID026_BORE			AM			X											
43	ID026_TAP	↓	↓	AM	↓	↓	X											
Total																		

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew **Signature:** MAgnew. **Date:** 26/6/24

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature: TNT 2 27/6/24 12:40pm	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

senversa

Chain of Custody Documentation

Senversa Pty Ltd
www.senversa.com.au
ABN 89 132 231 380

Laboratory: ALS NSW
Address: 177 Woodpark Rd, Smithfield, NSW 2164
Contact: Sample Receipt
Phone: +61 2 8784 8555

Job Number:	C17776	**Purchase Order:**	
Project Name:	NF_OMP_Y3	**Quote No:**	ES24Senver0007
Sampled By:	MA & KH	**Turn Around Time:**	Standard
Project Manager:	Michelle Agnew michelle.agnew@senversa.com.au	**Page:**	4 of 4
Email Report To:	kate.howard@senversa.com.au	**Phone/Mobile:**	0448910424

Sample Information					Container Information		Analysis Required											
Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix *	Date	Time	Type / Code	Total Bottles	PFAS Standard Suite (28 analytes)										HOLD	Comments: e.g. Highly contaminated sample; hazardous materials present; trace LORs etc.
44	ID016-BORE	WATER	27/6/24		PFAS	2	X											
45	ID015-BORE	"	"		"	"	X											
46	QC400	"	"		"	"	X											
Total																		

Sampler: I attest that proper field sampling procedures in accordance with Senversa standard procedures and/or project specifications were used during the collection of these samples:

Sampler Name: Michelle Agnew **Signature:** MAgnew. **Date:** 27/6/24.

Relinquished By:		Method of Shipment (if applicable):	Received by:	
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:
Name/Signature:	Date:	Carrier / Reference #:	Name/Signature:	Date:
Of:	Time:	Date/Time:	Of:	Time:

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Acid (HNO_3) Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)/Cadmium (Cd) Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; STH = Sodium thiosulfate preserved plastic; V = VOA Vial Hydochloric Acid (HCl) Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulphuric Preserved; VSA = Sulphuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl Preserved Plastic; HS = HCl Preserved Speciation Bottle; SP = Sulphuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; UA = Unpreserved Amber Glass; L=Lugol's iodine preserved white plastic bottle; SW= sulfuric acid preserved wide mouth glass jar

Australian Government
Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
ABN 34 190 894 983

Biosecurity Direction

Movement Allowed
To specified location

AE7G9RWTW

AE7G9RWTW

To the Importer or any person having possession or custody of the Goods

Legal Notes:	A contravention of this direction constitutes a contravention of the *Biosecurity Act 2015*. The Goods (lines) identified below are subject to Biosecurity control The goods must not be moved, dealt with or interfered with unless otherwise stated in this direction. Where movement is required to carry out the Biosecurity Activity, the goods must be moved directly to the required location (*Biosecurity Act 2015*). This copy is to accompany the goods to the destination indicated. All times stated on this document are in Australian Eastern Standard Time

Brokerage Name:	OPTIM FORWARDING SERVICES PTY. LTD	**Importer Name:**	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL
Brokerage Branch:	OPTIM FORWARDING SERVICES PTY. LTD - NSW	**Importer Branch Name:**	None
Brokers Reference:	20240704		
Container Numbers:	None		
Commercial Bills:	(MAWB:08155031292, HAWB:None)		
Arrival Date:	28 Jun 2024	**Flight No:**	
Airline:			
This notice is given by (Officer Id):	3DPPGED6J6HGU	**Date:**	02 Jul 2024 9:53 AM
	Biosecurity Officer appointed under Section 545 of the *Biosecurity Act 2015*		
Direction:	The goods (lines) listed below must have the following Biosecurity Activity carried out: **Movement Allowed: To specified location** in accordance with the *Biosecurity Act 2015*		
Carry Out Biosecurity Activity at:	Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd [N0115]		
Address:	Ground Floor, In-Organics Laboratory, 277-289 Woodpark Road SMITHFIELD NSW 2164		
Timeframe:	Please ensure that the listed Direction is carried out prior to 16 Jul 2024. Please supply any requested information/documentation to your regional office.		

Lines	Legal Refs	Quantity	Package	Country
1 WATER AND SOIL SAMPLES	S 128(1) (a) (i) (i)			NORFOLK ISLAND

Additional Comments:	Envoronmental Samples - Ex Norfolk Island Packing Cert: NIL - A/F, Permit Number: OK - 0006709425
Printing Officer Id:	3DPPGED6J6HGU **Date Of Print:** 02 Jul 2024 10:19 AM

Additional Information: Goods that become subject to Biosecurity control continue to be subject to Biosecurity control until released from Biosecurity control. The importer and/or owner of the goods, subject to Biosecurity control are liable to pay any expenses connected with the examination, transportation, storage, maintenance, treatment, movement, removal, disposal or destruction of the goods. In addition the Master, owner and/or agent of any conveyance under Biosecurity control, or ordered to be treated are liable to pay the cost of piloting or towing the conveyance, removing things from the conveyance and treating the conveyance and goods on the conveyance or removed from it. If at the end of a period for which any goods have been isolated, a Director of Biosecurity is of the opinion that the goods cannot be released without an unacceptable high level of biosecurity risk, he or she may direct that the goods be secured in such a manner and for such further period as stated in the direction. A person is guilty of a criminal offence if he or she contravenes a Biosecurity officer's direction. If goods are moved or otherwise interfered with in contravention of the *Biosecurity Act 2015* they may be taken into control of the Commonwealth. The Commonwealth does not accept liability for damage which may occur as a result of any necessary treatment. If the owner or agent of goods has been notified that treatment may damage the goods, and the owner or agent does not, before the end of 30 days after the day on which the owner or agent receives the notice, give written notice to a Director of Biosecurity stating that they agree to the treatment, the goods may be taken into control of the Commonwealth. A cost recovery charge that is due and payable to the Commonwealth under the Biosecurity Act 2015 may be recovered as a debt due to the Commonwealth by action in a relevant court [section 596].

To query information contained in this document, contact the department on 1800 900 090

senversa

CUSTOMS DECLARATION

SENDER'S NAME: Senversa Pty Ltd

ADDRESS: Level 6, 15 William Street, Melbourne VIC 3000

RECEIVER'S NAME: AUSTRALIAN LABORATORY SERVICES

ADDRESS: **ALS Environmental**
277-289 Woodpark Road
SMITHFIELD NSW 2164

RECEIVER'S CONTACT NAME: **Scott James**

RECEIVER'S CONTACT PHONE NO.: +61-2-8784-8555

FULL DESCRIPTION OF GOODS: Environmental Samples for Analysis

PURPOSE FOR SENDING: Analytical Testing (Environmental)

VALUE FOR CUSTOMS PURPOSES ONLY: $39 AUD

NUMBER OF PACKAGES: 1

TOTAL WEIGHT: 7kgs.

* **CONSIGNMENT NOTE NUMBER:** 081-5503 1292.

COURIER COMPANY: Burnt Pine Travel.

BIOSECURITY ENTRY NUMBER: **000 6709425**

I declare the above information to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed: [signature] **Dated:** 27/6/24

Australian Government
Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Permit to import conditionally non-prohibited goods

This permit is issued under *Biosecurity Act 2015* Section 179 (1)

Permit: 0006709425

Valid for: multiple consignments between 8 November 2022 and 22 August 2024

This permit is issued to: Australian Laboratory Services PTY LTD
277/289 Woodpark Road
SMITHFIELD NSW 2164
AUSTRALIA

Attention: Mr Scott James

This permit is issued for the import of Biological products (Non-standard goods).

Exporter details:	Various exporters
Country of export:	Various countries

This permit includes the following good(s). Refer to the indicated page for details of the permit conditions:

1. Soil and water samples		
Country of origin:	Various countries	
Permit Conditions:	Environmental samples for use in a laboratory (culturing and isolation not permitted)	Page 4
2. Animal fluids and tissues		
Country of export:	Various countries	
Country of origin:	Various countries	
Permit Conditions:	Animal fluids and tissues (excluding reproductive material) from species, other than those excluded	Page 11
3. Laboratory material		
Country of origin:	Various countries	
Permit Conditions:	Laboratory materials for in vitro use only	Page 13
4. Microorganisms (including viruses)		
Country of export:	Various countries	
Country of origin:	Various countries	
Permit Conditions:	Standard laboratory microorganisms and infectious agents (and derivatives)	Page 15
5. Soil and water samples		

This permit is granted subject to the requirement that fees determined under section 592(1) are paid.

Hamish Richardson
Delegate of the Director of Biosecurity

Date: 08 November 2022

T +61 2 6272 3933
F +61 2 6272 5161
18 Marcus Clarke Street
Canberra City ACT 2601
GPO Box 858
Canberra ACT 2601
agriculture.gov.au
ABN 34 190 894 983

SENDER:	KATE HOWARD (SENVERSA)		
LEVEL 6, 15 WILLIAM ST			
MELBOURNE			
AUSTRALIA			
	STATE:	POSTCODE:	
	VIC	3000	
CONTACT:	PHONE NUMBER:		
KATE HOWARD.	0457 725 066		
RECEIVER:	AUSTRALIAN LABORATORY SERVICES		
(ALS) Pty Ltd.			
277/289 WOODPARK RD			
SMITHFIELD NSW			
	STATE:	POSTCODE:	
COUNTRY:			
AUSTRALIA.	VIC	2164.	
CONTACT:	PHONE NUMBER:		
SCOTT JAMES	+61 2 8784 8555		
DESTINATION:	ALS (above).		
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:			

Burnt Pine Travel
ABN: 30 313 588 252
Cargo Terminal, Norfolk Island Airport
Norfolk Island NSW 2899
Phone + 6723 22247

~~**Brisbane Depot :**~~
~~C/- Team Global Express - Left roller door~~
~~1 - 7 Chloris Street (DNATA Building)~~
~~Brisbane Airport QLD 4009~~
~~Tel: 07 3635 0400~~
~~Or Mobile : 0418 747400~~

DECLARED VALUE FOR CUSTOMS

AUD$ ~~50~~ 39

PICK UP REQUIRED

*** PLEASE DELETE Yes* or No***

YES* **NO***

IMPORT PERMIT # 0006709425.

PAYMENT DETAILS: WHO WILL BE PAYING FOR THE FREIGHT?

SENDER: YES. ~~RECEIVER:~~ _____ ~~THIRD PARTY:~~ _____

TOTAL NUMBER OF PIECES:	TOTAL WEIGHT (KGS)	DIMENSION OF EACH PIECE LENGTH x WIDTH x HEIGHT x QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION OF GOODS
1	7	40 x 35 x 35 cm	BLUE ESKY with environmental samples (water). from rivers.

*

DOES YOUR SHIPMENT CONTAIN DANGEROUS GOODS? **Yes* / No***

Shipper certifies that the particulars on the face hereof are correct and that insofar as any part of the consignment contains dangerous goods, such part is properly described by name and is in proper condition for carriage by air according to the International Air Transport Association's Dangerous Goods Regulations.

PRINT YOUR NAME:	SIGNATURE OF SENDER:	DATE:
KATE HOWARD		27/6/2024.

It is agreed that the packaging of the goods herein are accepted in apparent good order and condition and are subject to the standard trading terms displayed in the Burnt Pine Travel office of dispatch and available at www.burntpinetravel.com/cargo-terms-conditions

A copy can also be supplied on request at any office or by emailing cargo@burntpinetravel.nf

The shipper's attention is drawn to the notice concerning limitation of liability and to the Standard Trading Terms applicable

Senversa Pty Ltd

ABN 89 132 231 380
www.senversa.com.au
enquiries@senversa.com.au
LinkedIn: Senversa
Facebook: Senversa