

Published name

Brendan English

Short comment

The terms of reference are wrong. You can't have "growth, innovation and sustainability" because growth and sustainability are mutually exclusive and no amount of innovation will mitigate that truth.. Cheap ubiquitous general aviation is inconsistent with having anything like a stable climate and must stop. GA policy must be to minimise the trauma involved in the necessary reduction, phasing out and eventual elimination of the industry entirely. GA is a machine for enabling and normalising extreme levels of emissions and allowing us to pretend that something that is cheap, fast and convenient cant be bad. There should be a minimum cost on all emissions that is high enough to make every user re-consider whether the activity is really needed and really worth the damage it will do to humanity's future. GA is an especially important example, because almost all of it is discretionary and the emissions per unit of benefit are particularly high. As such the penalty cost should be much higher than for other sources of emissions, consistent with the goal of abolishing the industry in the medium term in the interests of reduced climate instability compared to business as usual, let alone compared to actual growth, which must not be contemplated or allowed.