

“I wholly disapprove of what you say and I will defend to the death your right to say it” – Voltaire

“

“It is the mark of an educated mind to be able to entertain a thought without accepting it” Aristotle

“An environment that is not safe to disagree in is not an environment focused on growth – it is an environment focused on control” Wendi Jade

<https://www.infrastructure.gov.au/have-your-say/new-acma-powers-combat-misinformation-and-disinformation>

SUBMISSION AGAINST THE NEW POWERS TO COMBAT DISINFORMATION AND MISINFORMATION

These proposed changes represent a sea change in the way public communication is regulated and is likely to be a significant interference with freedom of expression that is unforeseeable and which is not proscribed by law. I wholly disagree with the government using social media companies to regulate free speech. It is dystopian in the extreme and is the very definition of fascism.

I am wholly opposed to giving new powers to the so-called “independent” ACMA. Its principle Julie Inman is a WEF member, therefore cannot be considered independent, but in a private club of elites and globalists. These political actors are corporate NPCs (Non-Playable Characters, ie: they are not voted in and do not answer to the electorate; in a self-regulating body, there are no controls over what they may do) who want to control citizens without any electoral mandate. This bill will allow these unelected elites to control people without any recourse to the will of those people. This is undemocratic.

The premise of the bill that misinformation and disinformation pose a threat to safety of people is flawed in every sense. Misinformation and disinformation are just other words for censorship. We are not children and our speech should not be governed other than by the existing laws of defamation. Free speech is fundamental to democracy.

The pandemic which was game-planned in advance at the WEF’s Event 201, several months before the actual pandemic, is a case in point. Censorship online was rife when private citizens were reporting on things that were counter to the government’s narrative. All of the major planks in the government’s approach – lockdown, masking, “vaccination” with untested gene therapy products – have all now been proven to be unnecessary, unscientific and ineffective and caused harm to many. Eg. Rise in child suicide, 15% rise in all-cause mortality in Australia, disconnection and destruction of families driven by a government-advertised intimidation and fear campaign dreamed up by nudge units of behavioural psychologists.

It wasn’t citizen journalism or individuals’ opinions on social media that caused harm; these were the things that saved lives and gave lifelines to people trapped by autocratic lockdown rules, now proven to have done more harm than good. A case in point: the Melbourne lockdown, the world’s longest, was done to facilitate the Melbourne Experiment conducted by Monash University. Can there be anything more draconian and brutal as this?

The World Health Organisation and its principal sponsor – ██████████ – are forecasting they will have another pandemic, and I suspect this desire to “do more” to restrict opinions on social media is a

part of their recommended strategy for “pandemic preparedness” to “do more” this time to further limit the truth being available to people.

Giving power to an unelected body to “do more” to “monitor efforts” as the AMCA’s Ms Inman Grant has stated is surely is cart blanche to do in Australia what the FBI and CIA were doing to monitor social media and restrict accounts and recommend accounts be limited or taken down, against the First Amendment of the US constitution. This is totalitarianism dressed with a fig leaf that says “it’s for your safety”.

Free speech is the most important principle of a democracy. Remove it and you do not have a democracy. Any move to limit free speech is a dark policy that belongs to a totalitarian state or dictatorship.

I provide the following examples of the ways this new form of censorship will play out as already exemplified but it will become worse because people will have no comeback:

- a. A capture of a post on social media by Canadian Broadcasting Company CBC, which would show a train carriage decorated in BRICS colours, but to Canadian audiences was blocked. https://twitter.com/djuric_zlatko/status/1680443896050987008?t=Ot0jcpInN-ZRQhbilyDBGw&s=19
- b. This is a horrifying trend as the globalist corporations try to limit the window of knowledge about the world. Example of what is happening with Wikipedia – Helen Buyniski reported on a small group of editors at Wikipedia smearing people on their profiles There is no recourse – no way to fight back if censorship backs up the established narrative - and it caused the suicide of one man [REDACTED] who was reputationally assassinated by Wikipedia – one company one narrative, people have no recourse.
- c. Dangers in safety signal shown by data on excess mortality in Australia. Also Denmark, Norway and the UK which all these governments have been silent about. This information was tried to be silenced in social media, in the social media is the only place concern is being expressed. Danish Dr Vibeke Manniche here presents a safety signal common to all counties which became statistically observable in May 2021. <https://youtu.be/RtkfPWddQtY> at 7:10 Dr Manniche says “we haven’t really been allowed to discuss this excess mortality” . One could not find a more serious example of the dangers of censorship – in which people are dying but the information is being silenced.
- d. An extremely disturbing incident in which a pregnant Melbourne woman was arrested and carted off from her home by police after she posted on Facebook. Two years later, police quietly drop the charges against the woman but make no apology for the heavy-handed censorship reminiscent of the Stasi in East Germany – all in front of her young children. <https://youtu.be/1wxTnY9CGJg>