The Communications Legislation Amendment (Combatting Misinformation and Disinformation) Bill 2023

From: Pamela Neill

To: Information Integrity <information.integrity@infrastructure.gov.au>

Cc: Senator Alex Antic <alex@alexantic.com.au>

Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2023 15:05:04 +1000

17 August 2023

The Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications and the Arts, GPO Box 594
Canberra ACT 2601

By email to information.integrity@infrastructure.gov.au>

Dear Sir/Madam

New ACMA powers to combat misinformation and disinformation (the Communications Legislation Amendment (Combatting Misinformation and Disinformation) Bill 2023)

If the Freedom of Speech may be taken away then dumb and silent we may be led, like sheep, to the Slaughter. — George Washington 1783

This submission is to bring the Australian Federal government's attention to the above-mentioned Bill.

We advise that we strongly oppose this Amendment proposed by the Australian government.

We note that in the past 3 years and particularly during the 2020 pandemic lockdown, the Australian government through corporate media and social-media platforms played major roles in aggressive censorship, suppression of speech of ordinary Australians and public speakers who opposed the government viewpoints and narrative, including questioning the origin of SARS-COV2 virus and the government's promotion of mass injections of March 2021.

We further note in the proposed Bill 2023 the Australian government stipulated that it would exclusively allow Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) agency to govern and espouse all forms of media without being subject to the operation of the Bill.

We believe that if the Bill 2023 is passed in its current form, free speech and free expression of communications would be obliterated and this is a slippery slope to a dictatorship in Australia similar to that in China.

We additionally believe that the Bill 2023 as written in its current form exhibits oppressiveness and infringes Australians' moral obligations and individuals' rights to freely communicate either through social-media or any other forms of communications without trepidation.

We oppose the Bill 2023 because as Lord Sumption, former British Supreme Court judge, said we believe that there is no moral obligation to obey the proposed law, simply because it is the law, individuals must have a high degree of respect both of the object the law is trying to achieve and the way it is made.

The proposed Bill 2023 is egregious and violates human rights.

We respectfully ask that the proposed Bill 2023 be abolished.

Yours faithfully Pamela Neill