	Liz Marshal
Email	
	Phone

6 August 2023

Dear Committee Secretary,

Subject: Submission in Relation to the Communications Legislation Amendment (Combatting Misinformation and Disinformation) Bill 2023

I am writing to express my concerns about the proposed Communications Legislation Amendment (Combatting Misinformation and Disinformation) Bill 2023. I appreciate the opportunity to provide public input on this critical matter and kindly request you to consider the following points:

- 1. The Bill appears to further reinforce the monopoly of established mainstream media enterprises, which could hinder diversity and competition in the media industry.
- 2. The Bill risks restricting community access to alternative views and undisclosed facts, potentially infringing on the public's right to full and diverse information on issues of interest.
- 3. The implementation and maintenance of the Bill's provisions could place an unnecessary financial burden on taxpayers due to the establishment of a possibly redundant bureaucracy.
- 4. The Bill might excessively empower public servants, potentially diminishing the public's ability to engage critically with and decide on issues of societal importance.
- 5. By limiting access to a variety of information sources, the Bill could inadvertently enable entities wishing to manipulate public sentiment towards potentially harmful actions, such as conflict or war.
- 6. Recent history has shown instances where powerful political interests and members of the public service have controlled public opinion and debate through misinformation or misrepresentation.
- 7. Media entities protected by monopoly or market privilege should not be further insulated from emerging alternative platforms or technologies. Diversity is critical for a healthy democratic discourse.
- 8. The Bill appears to overlap with existing laws and could potentially undermine pre-existing common law rights to free speech.
- 9. The complex nature of the Bill could inherently disadvantage smaller entities and favor well-resourced or government-backed entities, creating potential bias in its application.
- 10. The creation of misinformation codes could distract organizations from more important tasks and shift the focus from established common law obligations to an unproven field of ethics.

- 11. The Bill's provision for unrestricted power to act on "emerging circumstances" could infringe upon democratic processes. Public outcry, such as petitions with over 10,000 signatures, should drive action.
- 12. The Bill should directly and unambiguously define the freedoms protected under common law and the constitution to prevent further encroachments by judge-made law or conjecture.
- 13. Lastly, it is critical to ensure the Bill's alignment with a clearly articulated Bill of Rights that outlines the sacrosanct rights within the Australian Federation. The enactment of the Bill should be held off until such a document exists.

I believe that these poi	ints warrant se	rious consider	ration to ensur	e the Bill su	ipports a de	mocratic,
diverse, and just socie	ty.					

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Liz Marshall