

To whom it may concern,

Please take the below as my anonymous submission to ACMA regarding the recent proposed changes to the departmental powers.

I do not support the new powers proposed to ACMA to decide what information is true or not. There are too many instances of institutional mismanagement of information with heavy consequences.

Look at the price paid by Lindy Chamberlain when the court had it's facts wrong. I'm concerned about the price still being paid by Julian Assange as a whistleblower. Truth is extremely important to me and many other Australians. It sometimes takes years for it to come out and I feel the proposed powers could prevent or lengthen the time truth takes to come out. Freedom of speech online is important. There needs to be mechanisms in place to prevent miscarriages of justice. Whistleblowers need protection. Just because a branch of government purports something to be the truth it is not necessarily so. Government bodies have historically been subject to manipulation.

Remember from the COVID pandemic we were all told that the vaccines were safe and effective? Here we are three years down the track and the proof is in that the vaccines neither prevented infection nor the spread of the disease.

That is not all. There were severe consequences. Many people were injured by these vaccines. Some died. BUT, at the time speaking out against vaccination was deemed as misinformation or disinformation. Now we are being asked to trust ACMA with more powers?

Please see below the extract from the Therapeutic Goods Association (TGA) Database of Adverse Event Notifications (DAEN) for these vaccines currently (less the 2 week lag period for the database). Current at 17/7/23:

[← Back to tga.gov.au](#)

Inclusion in DAEN - medicines does not mean that the adverse event has been confirmed or that it was caused by a medicine

(Search by [state number](#) or an [ATC classification](#), select one or multiple medicines from the list below to include in your search.)

Select all
 COMIRNATY COVID-19 vaccine (active ingredients: tozinameran)
 COMIRNATY Original/Omicron BA (TNS) COVID-19 Vaccine - (tozinameran/not specified) (active ingredients: tozinameran)
 COMIRNATY ORIGINAL/OMICRON BA.1 COVID-19 Vaccine - (tozinameran/riltozinameran) (active ingredients: riltozinameran; tozinameran)
 COMIRNATY ORIGINAL/OMICRON BA.4-5 COVID-19 Vaccine - (tozinameran/famtozinameran) (active ingredients: famtozinameran; tozinameran)
 COVID-19 Vaccine (TNS) (active ingredients: COVID-19 Vaccine (Type not specified))
 COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca (active ingredients: ChAdOx1-S (Viral vector))

Search summary counter

Reports (cases) 138,912	Single suspected medicine 135,323	Reported deaths 995
--	--	--------------------------------------

I would suggest that the 138 912 cases (135 323 from single suspected medicine) and 995 deaths is significant. This should be further investigated and advertising should cease stating these Covid medical treatments are safe and effective. Such advertising, apart from wasting taxpayers money, is disrespectful for those who were injured or died and their loved ones.

I include the below link to the recently published data for Western Australia alone for 2021. Why it has taken so long for this to be released? It is good information.

https://www.health.wa.gov.au/~/_media/Corp/Documents/Health-for/Immunisation/Western-Australia-Vaccine-Safety-Surveillance-Annual-Report-2021.pdf

I refer to Section 8, p28 of the report which details the adverse events following vaccination for all the vaccines other than those for covid as 200 (rate is 11.1 per 100 000 doses). Compare that to the covid vaccine adverse events at 10 428 – which is 261.1 per 100 000 doses. It is 52.14 times higher than for all the other vaccines combined. Why is this not being advertised by government to the population at large? There is a clear danger to the public and a need for further investigation. ACMA having more powers would limit online discussion of this.

Dr Robert Malone who had a significant role in developing the mRNA vaccine was injured himself. He has been vocal against the pharmaceutical industry since then and particularly in regard to the dangers of the mRNA vaccines for COVID. He has recently been to court as he was being silenced online for speaking out about the dangers. That judge ruled that the so called “Fact Checkers” were “Narrative Reinforcers”. ACMA having more powers would be more power to these so called “fact checkers”.

I’m concerned about Electoral and Referendum content misinformation and/or disinformation being “in scope” of ACMA powers. Particularly after the debacle of the last Queensland election which severely disadvantaged some independent candidates by the way electoral staff were instructed.

I’m also concerned that the excess death data which is currently circulating and shows recent large increases from historical norms compared to 2019 data and before. This has not been picked up by mainstream media, but it is spoken about online in a massive way. This sort of information would be within the scope of ACMA – but it is the sort of information which I would want access to. The Australian Bureau of Statistics reports the excess death data, it is a government source but talk about the issue is limited to a few dedicated Australian senators and online sources in Australian and abroad.

Thank you for considering my submission.

Please keep my details anonymous

Kind regards,

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.