

**Attachments:** Misinformation Disinformation Bill - [REDACTED] letter July 2023.docx ;

---

To the person in charge;

Please find my Submission to the Combating Misinformation Disinformation Bill 2023 Enquiry as attached. Please advise me if my Submission is received.

Thanking you,

from

Meret-Field Sally-Brown

SUBMISSION for

COMBATING MISINFORMATION DISINFORMATION BILL 2023

(Ms) Meret-Field Sally-Brown

28<sup>th</sup> July, 2023

Mobile

Website

Pen Name

Daisy Snow

TO Person in Charge  
[information.integrity@infrastructure.gov.au](mailto:information.integrity@infrastructure.gov.au)

Note the examples I used below to explain. I do this in the books I write because there is no policy or law in Australia that allows corruption and/or sabotage to be used in the courts of law or in government bureaucracies or in Parliament. The people in Australia have a legal right to talk about injustice in the System and in Parliament because we are supposed to be a democratic country, not a totalitarian one. When Justice Angel of the High Court investigated Euthanasia in Australia, he found that Parliament is subject to the law of the land.

I believe that the people in Australia have a legal right to talk about the Genocide of the reluctant innocent in this country, either on line or anywhere else in particular because President Vladimir Putin of Russia advised the world that he is a backer for people like me who are in danger of being murdered by the government's 3<sup>rd</sup> World nurses and medical staff under proscribed procedures endorsed in state Euthanasia Laws. That is why Australia might one day find itself in the International Criminal Courts of law charged with its Crimes against Humanity and/or Genocide. The *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill before the enquiry is evidence of Australia's cover-up.

Genocide is illegal under federal law, but not state law. Section 109 of the Australian Constitution states that when there is a dispute between state law and federal law as described below, that federal law prevails. The Attorney-General, however, has not intervened to protect Australian citizens. The *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill before the Parliament of Australia is the opposite because it attacks individual people instead of protecting them.

There is some obligation for me to notify and complain to the federal government and to this enquiry in relation to what is written below because I would be dead if I had not written letters to all Members of Parliament in 2017 when the Euthanasia Bill was on the table in the Parliament of Victoria. The cost to me at the time was over two weeks income. Furthermore, I don't know if my granddaughter as mentioned below has survived the Systems Abuse that the

government of Australia has inflicted on her unlawfully. Any measure that prevents the public from talking about the criminality or quasi-criminality of government is a serious matter that can't just be hidden under the Secrecy Provisions inbuilt in the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill before the Parliament of Australia.

.....

NOTE the following email below that I sent to my Member of Parliament, [REDACTED]. I removed some irrelevant parts and added to it for clarification. My Submission to the federal *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Enquiry can be published.

There might not be any answer to the questions I asked [REDACTED] MP because of the complexity and the administrative and court corruption and sabotage inbuilt in our System of government and in our courts of law that cannot be resolved or remedied by ordinary Australians. Notwithstanding that, the media commonly advertises false information to confuse the public mind. Individuals should be allowed to discuss and publish what needs to be corrected in the media and in government. The unnecessary complexity in the Bill denies ordinary Australians their right to free speech and to our democratic right of enquiry. We are not supposed to be an [REDACTED] country where enquiry is not permitted.

The problem is that the *Combating Disinformation Misinformation* Bill targets ordinary Australians. Justice Angel of the High Court found that parliament is subject to Law as mentioned, but there is no means for the ordinary person to bring parliament to account let alone a government department or a court of law. Not allowing people to communicate even on line would prevent resolutions being found. That is contrary to the integrity of a democratic country.

I believe that the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill goes beyond the law and what should be our democratic rights. What that means is that innocent people will be blamed for speaking up about corruption and sabotage in government as well as the false news that pervades the media these days. All that is left for the targeted Systems Abuse victims to do is to write books about any political or government controversy or conspiracy that remains uninvestigated. This is what I do.

Marketing books these days is commonly done on line. The cruelty and ill treatment suffered by the vulnerable like me is unacceptable and should be corrected to prevent further administrative and/or court Systems Abuse being inflicted on the people. Government Departments are known to break the law to establish control and manipulation of the people. They also do that to enforce parliament to change the law according to their administrative requirements. The *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill before parliament appears to be a mechanism to allow government bureaucracies and the courts of law in Australia to continue breaching parliamentary legislation so that they can get away with it.

When the administrative elite find that their questionable administrative strategies work, they inflict their strategies on a wider population. I can say this because I studied in universities for well over ten years and obtained four qualifications including a Masters. Although that was in education, it was also in government administration. The ordinary person is the victim. Not

allowing conversations amongst the people will invariable lead to public unrest and insurrection which amounts to Civil War. Publication and social media today allow government corruption and sabotage to be exposed to the public as well as the false news that is advertised in the media.

The next step after banning public conversations on line is to restrict free speech and other democratic rights even as imbued in our Constitution. The said Bill is extremely dangerous as per the above circumstances.

.....

Many years ago I became aware about the Fiction Club that operates in the United States of America and possibly in our country. I made an effort to speak to a member of that Disinformation Misinformation 'Tall Story' Club. I have mentioned this in my books. The members are organized and trained to publish and/or broadcast false news in various ways to put fear into the mind of the public. The point is that the public needs to discuss these matters to overcome that fear. One way to do that is through publication and social media as well as talking to each other about these matters. Very few people are aware that such clubs and organizations exist. Those organizations are somewhat professional.

The problem is that the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill does not target that political and Tall Story group or maybe other groups that are organized in a somewhat cold war military fashion in the community and on the internet and elsewhere to intervene in political matters and in parliamentary elections. If the public was aware that such Tall Story groups operate on the internet and in the community or elsewhere, the problem would be somewhat resolved.

The undercover political Fiction Club that unveils false news to the public uses ignorance as a Working Tool. A *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Act in parliamentary legislation would assist them in their deception because of the inability of the public to discuss their false claims and ambitions and make their deception obvious.

The *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill does not restrict these organizations because they are professional. The problem is that the public is being blamed for creating false news controversies when it is not the public that is causing the problem. My concern is that words like racism, hatred, fascism and such are used as weapons in the cold war that divides our country and our parliaments.

I am aware, for example, that the *Woke* platform is designed as a cold war Marxist operation to disrupt our communities with discrimination propaganda as well as L.G.B.T.+ propaganda—i.e. the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender propaganda that has infiltrated our schools. The government is not doing anything to counteract and put a stop to their propaganda that destroys family values and personal relationships.

Discrimination theories that are outlined in the *International Human Rights* agenda have gone beyond the intentions of the United Nations and the right of the people to have a say.

Opinion Polls and the Parliamentary Vote have been used politically in Australia to discount the vote of the people, unconditionally and without merit. The ordinary person is not at fault. That is why the *Combating Disinformation Misinformation* Bill is undemocratic and legally questionable.

The complexity of the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill imposes unrealistic and possibly illegal restraint on our right to free speech including our right to publish what happens in the Family Court provided names are not used. I did this in my published books as is my legal right.

I won that right to publish provided names are not used in 1998 when I stood for elections to Federal Parliament. My name then was Meret Field. I am concerned that the said Bill overshadows our otherwise legal right to free expression and to explain the misgivings that discredit the integrity of our country.

With respect from (Ms) Meret-Field Sally-Brown

.....

## EMAIL to MP

Meret Field <[REDACTED]>

Tues, Jul 25, 5:01PM (21 hours ago)

TO [REDACTED]

My Dear Member [REDACTED],

I am registered for voting at [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]. I believe that this is your electorate. I am writing to you about the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill on the table in Parliament because of your undated letter to me that was posted on 12th June 2023.

My concerns are

- (1) the source of the enormous amount of false news in the media, and
- (2) the misinformation / disinformation provided by the Parliament of Victoria, and
- (3) the practice in state governments of documenting numerous criminal claims in the Mental Health System because they cannot be documented in any Police or Court System as such because they are not true, and
- (4) the use of Kryptocracy in the courts of law to reduce parliamentary legislation to a nothing,

and

- (5) government child stealing including the practice in Victoria of running state law in contest with federal law in both the Children's Court and the Family Court with opposing orders made. Obeying one order means disobeying the alternative opposing order. I am a Victim as are two of my grandchildren, and
- (6) the absolute medical orders that extend to the death of a child that are made under federal Family Law legislation because they cannot be made in the Children's Court because of Child Welfare Legislation and/or Children's Court Orders in place.

The 3 year old child, [REDACTED], was one such victim. The Coroner in Victoria hid the absolute Family Court Order that denied the sick child access to doctors of medicine in his Coroners File. The said Family Court Order was not placed in the Public File. I explained these things in my book entitled, *Matriarch and World War III - A 9/11 Incursion: Internal State Terrorism in Australia*, as well as other legally questionable Internal State Terrorism methods used by the administrative system in our country, AND

- (7) the fact as found by the then Family Court judge, Justice ....., that a judge cannot make an illegal order even when she does NOT have jurisdiction to make orders. The words 'must not' was used in Family Law instead of the word 'cannot'. That is the legally questionable loophole that targets vulnerable children and their families without compromise.

NOTE that Justice ..... was able to make orders because she did not mention the two judgments of the Full Courts of the High Court of Australia in her judgments although those said High Court judgments stated that the child was a child under Children's Court Orders. That was the legally questionable loop-hole in the law that overshadowed and defied parliamentary legislation in place as well as the binding nature of higher judgments in the same case.

NOTE also that Justice ..... told my [REDACTED] to divorce. Neither have done that. They are still legally married even after thirty or more years of separation. I WAS A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS in both the Children's Court and the Family Court.

Please advise if the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation Act 2003* will mean that an Australian can not mention the blatant Krytocracy of the courts of law in this country and the corruption and sabotage built into the System or the fact that absolute medical orders are made against very young children in the courts of law even though those medical orders extend to the death of the child as in the examples in my books. I used these types of examples in my books as well as the fact that innocent aged people like me who do not want to die are put into the ..... Ward of the ..... Hospital in country Victoria and asked every day if they want to commit suicide. Anyone who says 'Yes' once is murdered with a lethal injection regardless of their very loud NO, NO, NO, NO objections.

I explained in my books that the euthanasia Premier of Victoria, ....., endorsed the *World Euthanasia Movement's* propaganda in parliamentary legislation and copied the methods and steps Hitler used to murder millions of people that amounted to the Holocaust that was found

to be a *Crime Against Humanity* in International Law. I am notifying and complaining to the federal Parliament of Australia that the said *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill has the inadvertent outcome of covering up Genocide in this country. I am legally correct to use the word Genocide because President Vladimir Putin of Russia mentioned Genocide as one of his reasons for invading Ukraine on 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2022 and for using the military might of Russia to wrecking Ukraine.

.....

Delinquency in the courts of law is not a crime in this country even though [REDACTED] died when she was three years old from the infection of her sexual organs because she was denied access to doctors of medicine by the Family Court.. I can mention the child's name because she is dead. The dead don't have any rights in law.

The doctor who did the autopsy and gave evidence in the Coroner's Court was not permitted to investigate the child's sexual disease. The child's mother ....., was not permitted to give evidence in the Coroner's Court. She told me that she wanted to give evidence just before she was whizzed away to a mental hospital to prevent her from giving evidence in the Coroner's Court. I am a witness and have explained these things in my books.

I read [REDACTED]'s file and applied to be a party to proceedings in the Coroner's Court. The child was denied access to doctors of medicine by Family Court Order absolutely just like [REDACTED] although [REDACTED] was very sick, just like [REDACTED], because of the infection of her sexual organs. The matter is complex. Someone found a way to give [REDACTED] penicillin so that she would not die like [REDACTED], but that was illegal.

The death of both children was considered to be in the best interest of those children in the Family Court. There is no child welfare law in the federal jurisdiction to assist judges. Child Welfare is a state matter, not a federal matter. Justice ..... of the Family Court could not understand because she did not have any children of her own. In that respect she was not properly qualified for the job. Why shouldn't the public have a right to talk about the inadequacies of judges???

I had applied to the Attorney-General for legal aid for one of my cases in the High Court of Australia, but was refused because the Family Court did not have jurisdiction to make orders under Family Law Legislation because the child in question was a child under Children's Court Orders. The matter is complex.

Neither [REDACTED] has ever applied for divorce. They are still legally married although separated. The Child Welfare case was in the divorce court, the wrong court. The fact that [REDACTED] might die because of the absolute medical order made against her that prevented her being taken to a doctor of medicine was not considered because the court was a divorce court, not a medical court or a child welfare court.

██████████'s absolute medical order that was made in the Family Court prevented her from being examined by a doctor of medicine when she was very ill and near her death bed. That fact was not considered because that absolute medical order was made in the divorce court, the Family Court, not in a medical court or a child welfare court. Because neither ██████████'s mother nor father had married, the proceedings in the Family Court were illegal just like the proceedings in ██████████'s case.

Justice ..... refused to allow a debate about jurisdiction and refused to investigate ██████████'s parentage because her step-father said that he had no likeness to the child. Justice ..... ignored the fact that a man who has no likeness to a child could not be the child's biological father. The magistrate in the Children's Court in Victoria also refused to investigate the child's parentage. That is apparently why she refused to investigate how the step-father obtained possession of the child. According to the Accommodation Order that my daughter obtained in the Children's Court, my son-in-law had no legal right to be with the child unless he was supervised. He wasn't supervised even when the Federal Police gave the terrified child to him. The police admitted that the child was terrified when they dragged her from her mother's car on the circular highway that runs around the Parliament House of Australia in Canberra.

The Federal Police gave the said ██████████ although he was not supervised. They did that because ██████████, the child's step-father, gave them the legally questionable warrant to arrest the child. The Family Court issued a warrant for the child's arrest after she was arrested, not before. The conditional order that ██████████ made for the arrest of the child was not fulfilled and could not be fulfilled even when Justice Treyvaud made that order. The Child Welfare Department of Victoria could not file a statement in the Family Court that it would look after the child after her arrest because the Children's Court gave the child to her mother, not to them or to her step-father.

I fought cats and dogs with ██████████ about that matter in the Family Court. No other litigant was in the court room at the time. ██████████ died shortly after our cat and dog fight. His death was apparently the undercover reason that an investigation of his errors in law was not permitted. Justice ..... found that he had erred in law, but refused to correct his mistakes leaving my granddaughter without any hope of being released from her false arrest by the Federal Police.

A democratic people should be allowed to talk about these things as explained below:

Her Honor Justice ..... found that she did not have jurisdiction to make orders because of

- (a) the Children's Court Orders in place, and because
- (b) the Full Court of the Family Court refused to investigate ██████████'s false arrest by the Federal Police when she was four years old because the Family Court did not have jurisdiction to make orders because ██████████ was a child under Children's Court Orders.

██████████ made orders for the arrest of my grandchild when she was four years



old although my then barrister advised him that the child was a child under Children's Court Orders. The word used in court was 'arrest', not 'detained'.

██████████ died a day or so after I fought him cats and dogs all by myself in the Family Court. There was no other litigant in the court room at the time. I can mention his name because he is dead. The dead have no rights in law.

The said child has never been released from her false arrest. She was put in a mental hospital when she was 18 years old and is not permitted to see any of her biological family, that is, if she is still alive.

We are supposed to have a legal right in a democracy to discuss the above matters without breaching a law. I understand that the proposed *Combating Disinformation Misinformation Act* will prohibit any such discussion or any matter that establishes that the Democratic System is a failure. Where is the Justice??????

.....

False news is quite clearly created by a political movement, not the general population, yet, the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation Bill* seems to be aimed at the people in general. What this means is that the average person can't discuss the false news in the media as per our assumed democratic right to free speech, let alone the blatant corruption in the courts of law in Australia or the sabotage of government departments. The internet is an integral part of social discussion these days.

I am aware that free speech is only available to the press. Individual people are targeted by psychiatrists, social workers and the like if they disagree with government propaganda and can be put in mental hospitals and drugged or murdered under Euthanasia Laws for not agreeing with the government. I am a witness and have explained all of these things in my book *Euthanasia Rebutted: NO 'right to life' in Australia*. It will be published soon. My Pen name is Daisy Snow.

The following happened to me in late 2020.

██████████ because I tried to go to my unoccupied home in South Australia when the borders were closed. I was at *No Fixed Address* in Victoria at the time. Because I pay my rates in South Australia, I had a legal right to go home, but was not permitted to do that simply because I was put in a mental hospital instead. Like everyone else I was asked every day if I wanted to commit suicide. Anyone who said 'Yes' just once was murdered with a lethal injection by ██████████ regardless of their very loud "NO,NO, NO, NO" screaming. They were not there the next day.

When I became fully aware of the correct government procedures that were being used to murder reluctant hospital patients, I objected to their everyday suicide question simply because I had written letters of objection to every Member of Parliament when the

Euthanasia Bill was on the table in the Parliament of Victoria in 2017. Anyone who answered 'Yes' to the everyday suicide question just once was regarded as having a terminal illness and was murdered as a matter of course by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. I was actually kicked out of [REDACTED] because I had written those letters to all Members of Parliament.

The meaning of a conscientious objection was not written in the Euthanasia Act in Victoria. Nevertheless, my letters of objection to all Members of Parliament could hardly be called anything, but a conscientious objection. That is why I was kicked out of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. If I had not written letters of objection to all Members of Parliament when the Euthanasia Bill was on the table in the Parliament of Victoria, I would be dead, murdered by [REDACTED].

People should be able to talk about the government procedures used to murder the innocent who had not committed a crime and the unsuspecting in this day and age. I understand that the said *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill would not allow such a discussion. Am I correct?

President Vladimir Putin of Russia was right about Genocide in our country, that is, in Western countries. Federal Parliament, however, never mentions the actual reasons that explain why Putin invaded Ukraine. Genocide was one of them. Because Putin said that he is a backer - that is for innocent people like me who are in danger of being murdered under Euthanasia Laws in Australia, there is always the possibility that the Euthanasia Premier of Victoria, ....., might one day face the International Courts of Law charged with his Crimes against Humanity or alternatively, Genocide. I should be able to mention the name of the euthanasia Premier because he advocated for the death of innocents in public as well as in parliament.

A democratic people should have a legal right to discuss these things particularly because of the failure of the Parliament of Australia to address the actual reasons that Russia provided to the world about the reasons why it's military invaded Ukraine and is wrecking Ukraine.

When I was in that mental hospital in late 2020, I asked why I was there, that is, in that hospital. A 3rd World Psychiatrist advised me that I was there because I wrote the (published) book *Matriarch and World War III: Internal State Terrorism in Australia*. The hyphen and words '- A 9/11 Incursion' in the title of my book was added to my updated 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. I was one of those people in Melbourne who knew about 9/11 before that event actually happened. I explain these things in my books. The federal Parliament of Australia did not ask for submissions although the fact that some people knew about 9/11 before it actually happened was disclosed on the radio in Victoria.

I am sufficiently educated to write that *Matriarch and World War III* book, but the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] did not agree. I have four university qualifications including a Masters to my name. I obtained the last two at Monash University in Victoria. The point is that the government uses tax-payers' money to do what it wants to do, sometimes without any necessarily consultation with the people, as is supposed to be our democratic right. We should have a legal right to talk about these things.

I understand that the Labor Party only has to get two more votes to pass the said Bill and that the Senate won't or can't review the Bill because the Labor Party has the majority vote in the Senate. Parliament therefore doesn't function according to theory and is a sham.

A democratic people should be able to talk about the failures of Parliament. I mentioned many of these failures in my said *Matriarch and World War III* book and my Updated One *Matriarch and World War III - A 9/11 Incursion: Internal State Terrorism in Australia*. I also mention these things in my podcasts. Please advise.

It seems that the said Bill will prevent people like me from speaking the truth in a podcast on the internet when the truth conflicts with court or bureaucratic corruption or questions parliamentary legislation. If that is correct, the same would apply to authors, like me, who write books about

(a) the failures of democracy, and

(b) to explain how Internal State Terrorism works in Australia.

NOTE that I have an Internal State Terrorism Constitutional Matter properly filed in the Supreme Court in Adelaide, South Australia, but that I am not allowed a verbal defense. The judiciary of the Supreme Court has never allowed an investigation of my application and appeals and has never allowed me to speak about my applications. The matter has been left unresolved.

Justice ..... of the Supreme Court in Adelaide said that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] when I have never been in jail and have never had a husband who died, I have never been charged with murder anywhere. Police Officer ..... of South Australia was the informer who had it documented in the Mental Health System in South Australia that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] when none of that was true.

I was also put in [REDACTED] in late 2022 because of the alleged murder of my husband. That is the 2nd time that I had been [REDACTED] because of the alleged murder of my husband. There is no such thing as an alleged murder. The Australian people should have a right to talk about the failures and the Administrative Systems Abuse that targeted vulnerable people in this country. Like me, they are not necessarily permitted a defense in any way shape of form even in a court of law or a tribunal as is my experience.

I understand that the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] when that did not happen anywhere. The said Tribunal did not have any evidence to substantiate its claims. There are a number of other Internal State Terrorism matters that I mentioned in my *Matriarch and World War III* book. What I explain in my book is that World War III is a collection of Civil Wars all around the world.

Civil War begins when a country attack the people as is what is happening in Australia in this period of history. I certainly believe that the said *Combating Disinformation Misinformation* Bill is a Working Tool that is designed to attack people illegally. Justice Angel of the High Court found that even parliament must abide by the law. What I am saying is that passing this said Bill amounts to Parliament acting illegally. I am stating this now so that I can take a case to court if necessary. Parliament has been warned that it is acting illegally.

Australia deceives the world by saying that no one is above the law in Australia when that is not true. Australia also deceives the world by saying that anyone charged with murder has a legal right to a defense in a criminal court of law when that claim is not exactly true as explained.

**NOTE that I won the right to publish to the public provided names are not used in 1989 when I stood for Federal Elections with the Abolish the Family Court Party. Does this mean that the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* legislation will overshadow that right??? Please advise.**

I used *Internal State Terrorism* as the subtitle of my 1st book - *Matriarch and World War III* - because I describe numerous illegal doings of the government of Australia in my books. There is no policy or parliamentary legislation in place that allows corruption or sabotage in law. Justice Angel of the High Court found that parliament must abide by the law, but there is no means to force any parliament to do that in this country. I am fully aware that neither the Parliament of Australia nor the Attorney-General abides by the law as in the following case:

██████████ and I once had the largest file in the office of the federal Attorney-General. My name then was Meret Field. Nearly all Members of Parliament wrote to the Attorney-General about the illegal doings of the Family Court and the false arrest of my then ██████████ by the Federal Police and the fact that the child had an untreated sexual disease because she had been sexually abused and sodomized and as it turns out just like ██████████. The Federal Police refused to investigate without a Family Court Order.

The Full Court of the Family Court refused to investigate and therefore refused to make an order for the release of the child because it did not have jurisdiction to investigate or make orders. Justice ..... did not agree with the Full Court of the Family Court and as said, did not accept the judgments of the Full Courts of the High Court of Australia that were before her. Her honor subsequently breached the binding nature of judgments of higher courts in the same case. That is why she made orders and consequently refused to release the child from her false arrest by the Federal Police.

The then child has never been released from her false arrest although Australia claims that someone who was falsely arrested will be released from that false arrest. The Australian People should have a legal right to discuss the said 'criminality' that is a Working Tool in government and that proves undoubtedly that there is no integrity in the government system in Australia. The word used in the Family Court was 'arrest' NOT 'detained'. The then child was put in a mental hospital for depression when she was 18

years old. She is not permitted to see any of her biological relatives at all. The step-father still has total control of my granddaughter, that is, if he did not take her overseas and leave her there.

NOTE that Justice ..... denied my granddaughter the protection of the Child Welfare Legislation of Victoria and the Children's Court Orders in place by making legal orders without jurisdiction. Children who are under a Minister of Parliament and/or are foster children are released when they are 18 years old. [REDACTED] has never been released from her false arrest.

The government Systems Abuse to [REDACTED] is evidence that Australia is not a humanitarian country. There is no other country in the world that treats its children as badly as does Australia. I won the right to publish these things when I stood for federal parliament elections in 1998 provided names are not used. I have done this. A politicians name can be used legally when he goes public as did the euthanasia Premier of Victoria.

The whole world should have a legal right to talk about government deception in Australia. [REDACTED] is not the only child to suffer so profoundly. The only difference between the government Systems Abuse of my granddaughter and other children in Australia is in the details. I have advised that my daughter and I once had the largest file in the office of the Attorney-General, but to no avail. The numerous Members of Parliament who wrote to the Attorney-General meant nothing to the Attorney-General. That is further evidence that Australia is not a humanitarian country and that democracy in this country is a sham—a façade and a swindle. The said bill under discussion is evidence of that.

Australia condemns China for assumed low human rights standards, but commits extreme cruelty and ill treatment and even torture to selected children and selected people it targets illegally or unlawfully in this country. The length of time that this cruelty and ill treatment goes on can only be called torture. The given fact that Australia tortures its own people should be a matter for world discussion because of the illusion Australia provides to the world that it is a humanitarian country when it is not.

I was not permitted to appeal even though Justice ..... breached the binding nature of the judgments of higher courts in the same case – two judgments from the Full Courts of the High Court of Australia that found that the child was a child under Children's Court Orders. That meant that Justice ..... did not have jurisdiction to make orders.

The fact that [REDACTED] have not divorced and have never applied for divorce is further evidence that Justice ..... did not have jurisdiction to make orders and neither did the other Judges of the Family Court. The federal Attorney-General who awarded Judge ..... the Order of Australia was aware of these things. People should therefore have a legal right to talk about the high level criminality of the Parliament of Australia.

I understand that the House of Representatives called Chief Judge ..... to parliament to explain, but nothing happened. The then Attorney-General wrote a letter to me to explain that he could not intervene because the integrity of the Family Court was at stake. The House of Representatives had investigated. I was told that the Child Welfare Department of Victoria was running state law against federal law with opposing orders made. The House of Representatives found that the Attorney-General was the only person in Australia who could intervene. As said, he refused to intervene because the integrity of the Family Court was at stake.

The Attorney-General who awarded [REDACTED] by ignoring her delinquency and deception and disrespect for parliamentary legislation, is further evidence that he supported Justice .....’s blatant breach of Family Law and the fact that she made orders knowingly without jurisdiction and that she breached the binding nature of higher courts in the same case including the Full Courts of the High Court of Australia.

The *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Enquiry should take note of the inadequacy of the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill before it and any breach of International Human Rights or good will and the opposed rights of the democratic people before the enquiry.

The outcome of the whole ordeal about [REDACTED] as mentioned above was that the Attorney-General knighted / awarded Justice ..... with the Order of Australia. This was apparently because she, her Honor, found a legally question way to overshadow parliamentary legislation and the binding nature of higher court in the same case - that is, of the Full Courts of the Family Court and the Full Courts of the High Courts of Australia. Ah! That is by NOT mentioning the judgments of higher courts in the same case in her Family Court Judgment about my grandchild.

Note that Justice .....’s Family Court judgment was not about [REDACTED] [REDACTED] because they have never applied for divorce and are still legally married even to this day. Her honor’s judgment was solely about my very young granddaughter although the Family Court is not a child welfare court. That said judicial loophole in law is proof that Justice ..... maneuvered sufficient power to be above the law just like Police Officer ..... in South Australia and Justice ..... of the Supreme Court of South Australia.

John Howard and a particular Labor Prime Minister lied to the world when they said that no one is above the law in Australia, when clearly that is not the case. (I cannot just now remember the name of the said Labor Prime Minister who agreed with John Howard some time later.)

PLEASE ADVISE if the fact that Prime Ministers and/or any government official who lies to the world could be discussed by the people themselves if the *Combating Misinformation Disinformation* Bill is passed in parliament.

If that Act is passed in parliament, further steps will then be taken in parliament at some time in order to make reforms to the Act as is the practice in the parliaments in this country. The Reforms will ultimately disallow and criminalize free speech in Australia. This action will be seen in the Public Arena as illegal, just like the Genocide that is happening in the ..... Ward of the ..... Regional Hospital in Victoria. I explained these Euthanasia and Genocide matters in my book *Euthanasia Rebutted: NO 'right to life' in Australia*. As said, I was and am sufficiently qualified to write that book.

as written by Meret-Field Sally-Brown B.A., Gr.Dip.Teach.,  
B.Ed. St., M.E.P.A. on 27<sup>th</sup> July 2023.