Submission to the Communications Legislation Amendment (Combatting Misinformation and Disinformation) Bill 2023 - Objecting to the degradation of free speech and human rights, 19'8'23. Alan Page, M Soc Sci,

An introduction:

The Albanese government's Communications Legislation Amendment (Combatting Misinformation and Disinformation) Bill 2023 is another dangerous lurch down the path to totalitarianism which all Australians should oppose. The bill gives the government power to regulate "truth" online by being able to force social media platforms to censor "misinformation" and "disinformation" that is "harmful"—three vague terms that are open to very broad interpretation.

While it is awful that this Aust federal govt should propose eroding Australian's right to free speech, it leaves the door open for a future apocrophal govt or dictator to employ this tool to carry on the nefarious censorship we've experience during 3 years of covid (2020-23), which incidentally has transferred seemlessly into dividing the world and killing hundreds of thousands of Ukranians for military industrial complex gain, limiting global energy and fertiliser supplies, causing military build ups in europe and Asia (dverting funds from education, infrastructure, socal welfare, etc).

This article is elaborated on further into my document, but shows that a US court has ruled against the US govt for censoring discussion (in millions of cases) of medical approaches other than the govt's 'Narrative' during the covid era. 9'6'23 article: **Slaying the Censorship Leviathan. Our Missouri v. Biden court case** exposes the government-led information war to censor what Americans think. AARON KHERIATY, MD. <https://aaronkheriaty.substack.com/p/slaying-the-censorship-leviathan>.

Mussloini described fascism as the merger of state and corporate power. That is appropriate to reflect how the Pharma industry captured govt regulators (Aust's TGA is 96% pharma funded), and had govt force covid vaccines on the population - in blatant disregard for the Nuremberg code, subjecting millions to a medical experiment using the dubiously tested vaccines, and a horrible crime against humanity. See references section at end of this document: a, b & c.

If you doubt me, at least 25,000 vaccine deaths are recorded in the US CDC's Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS), which a 2011 Harvard study found only captured 1-3% of vaccine injuries. The European medicines agency has at least 65,000 vaccine deaths recorded. The medical establishment is so cowed by the censorship and character assasination being applied from the top down, that few are willing to question or object to what's been occurring. Mainstream and social media are coordinated in enforcing a covid narrative, and denying alternative views. This is clearly harmful, as the vaccinations have proven neither safe nor effective. If they offered durable protection, would we be passing this third year with covid still doing the rounds? The virus mutates quicker than pharma can create adaptive vaccines, let alone test them properly before deployment.

Reference D (at end of doc): "A 15'10'21 article. Is there evidence that natural exposure immunity to COVID virus is similar or superior to vaccine-induced immunity, and should we force/mandate these vaccines on our healthy military and police?" is instructive. It lists 70 studies that showed in Oct 2021, natural immunity to covd was a thing. And yet the government, mainstream and social media continued in the following year to disparage it, and force vaccination to enlarge Pharma profits at the potential expense of death and illness in a proportion of those mandated to vax. This was blatant gaslighting of the population by govt and industry, so no I don't have faith in an Australian govt bill to censor media, and excpress my grave concern that such censorhip legislation is currently proposed in Ireland the the US. My feeling is that Aust is being sold out to transnational interests, at least

partially centred in the US; but with fascist influence from Europe evident. Why is Aust govt importing this blatantly corrosive influence to undermine our personal freedoms and liberties; going against what our parents fought for in WW2? Importing this censorship model into Australia signals that our sovereignty is being handed to forces outside Australia, which I find despicable.

The Bill says: 'The ACMA will not have the power to request specific content or posts be removed from digital platform services'. The puts the onus on service providers to censor their client, to avoid fines and penalties.

The govt's proposed censorship, once applied, would see aired **public opinions selfcensorsed** in order to avoid being taken down, person being de-platformed, de-financialised, etc. This is a degree of magnitude worse than direct censorship. It is a stifle to freedom of expression. 'Follow the science' Dr Fauci used to declare. Science is about asking questions, and gathering data to back up one's hypothesis. The censorship of 2020-2023 across Western countries was antithical to scientific method and spirit, and cost our societies greatly: billions of dollars and tens of thousands of lives (recording was dodged when possible).

China engaged in heavy surveillance and lockdown during this period, failed to eradicate Covid, and now has a smoking ruin trend in its economy. With tight social media control, and an aversion to criticism of govt, China faces intractable difficulties; because problems are not spoken nor acknowledge.

A useful June 2023 quote from Dr Pierre Kory, "Notably, journalism — the filter through which ordinary people living busy lives come to understand the complex matrix of power, money, and influence — has also been exposed for its bizarre servility to public health decrees and pharmaceutical companies".

'There is no authority to truth. Just discovery of new truths in an endless onion-layered universe. If your point of view is so good, then be the man in the arena with it. Present your idea openly and with vulnerability. Permit scrutiny. Allow for criticism. Denounce censorship. And display it with utter transparency - including who funded your research and all conflicts of interest. Call out the intellectual cowards who try to silence discourse with censorship', Nullius in Verba, 5'7'22.

There's a reason the American founding fathers enshrined freedom of speech in the US Constitution. It's the same reason that Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which Australia in 1948 helped to draft, states: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers." When governments contrive pretexts to limit speech, they are lurching down the dangerous path to totalitarianism.

Evidence:

Listed below are articles/videos critical of, or calling out, mainstream and social media censorship, and govt/pharma lies and deceit. A collection of notes and references I have made:

> 14'10'21 article. Are Major Ivermectin Studies Designed for Failure?

https://trialsitenews.com/are-major-ivermectin-studies-designed-for-failure/

Below are emails to one of the sites of the ACTIV-6 study and Dr. Carolyn Bramante, director of COVID-OUT. There is nothing the enemies of Ivermectin will not stoop to including calling Ivermectin "horse dewormer," making it unavailable at pharmacies, failing to report Uttar Pradesh IVM society wide distribution (with resultant covid downturn), publishing bias, biased newspaper reporting, and "fake" randomized trials. The "fake" randomized trial has been weaponized by Ivermectin's opponents. There isn't the slightest doubt that behind the scenes our government health care agencies, drug companies, and others have conspired to make Ivermectin appear ineffective in Lopez-Medina, Together, ACTIV-6 and COVID-OUT.

> Oct 10, 2021 video 27m, Dr Campbell. BBC debunks ivermectin

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zy7c FHiEac>

Ref BBC, 6'10'21 art. **Ivermectin: How false science created a Covid 'miracle' drug** https://www.bbc.com/news/health-58170809

> Oct 9, 2021 vid 16 m, Google Now Admits To Shadow Banning "Wrong Think" https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TYNDeJ1azhg Dissenting opinions to be banned in climate change disucssions by Google. Who decides what's correct?

> 17'10'21. We also discuss an upcoming Aust Federal Court Action in which Monica Smit is the lead plaintiff. You can read more about this action here -<www.reignitedemocracyaustralia.com.au/tony-nikolic>

We don't lose...we win or we learn! #HoldTheLine The **11'10'21 filing (8pp) with Federal court:** <https://www.reignitedemocracyaustralia.com.au/tony-nikolic/>

- Comment. Lisa Verton. We do have a **Bill of Rights, that's an absolute lie, it is the Magna Carta**!!!!

<https://www.aph.gov.au/.../Art/Top_5_Treasures/Magna_Carta https://www.aph.gov.au/.../Magna _Carta_in_Australia_1803...> Links not copied well.

May find docs in here:

https://www.aph.gov.au/Help/Federated_Search_Results?q=Magna_Carta_in_Australia_1803. (Aust Parliamnet server down as I write here).

f) What is the Trusted News Initiative? November 12, 2021 video. https://odysee.com/@VSRF:d/Vaccine-Safety-Research-Foundation-TNI:e

A system for global information control. Not a good thing! Why have our doctors, scientists and PhDs been silenced? Does the TNI have enviting to do with

'Why have our doctors, scientists and PhDs been silenced? Does the TNI have anything to do with it? Sponsored by Vaccine Safety Research Foundation'.

> 24'1'22, email from Rescue. Tim Davie, the director general of the BBC and overlord of the massive, Orwellian **Trusted News Initiative**. ... **U.S. government CDC and FDA records of 1,053,828 covid vaccine injuries** (from December 2020 to January 14, 2022), including 3,692 miscarriages, 11,262 heart attacks, and 22,193 deaths, including deaths of children?

In the annus horribilis of 2020, Robert F. Kennedy Jr. writes in the secret history of the pandemic, The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health, "liberal democracy effectively collapsed worldwide." Kennedy documents the unthinkable with thousands of footnotes: "across Western nations, shell-shocked citizens experienced all the well-worn tactics of rising totalitarianism—mass propaganda and censorship, the orchestrated promotion of terror, the manipulation fo science, the suppression of debate, the vilification of dissent, and the use of force to prevent protest." It's a masterwork, Dostoevsky's The Demons in nonfiction.

Matthew Crawford is a PhD in physics, a philosopher, statistician, motorcycle mechanic, and senior research fellow at the Institute for Advanced Studies in Culture at the University of Virginia. In his popular Substack Rounding the Earth Newsletter he reports that the pandemic is the Waterloo or the Siege of Leningrad of the globalists, the tipping point conflict in the attempt of an oligarchic technocracy to flatten and rule the Earth, and it's the job of the rest of us to stop them.

"Like it or not, a world war is underway," he writes, "and it has been underway for longer than most people realize. It is the World Civil War, pitting an increasingly tyrannical ruling class against everyone else." It appears to be a bloodless war, except for the estimated 4.4 million people who died needlessly (out of 5.5 million global covid deaths reported by Johns Hopkins) because Dr. Fauci, the NIH, CDC, WHO, and a corrupted mainstream media buried not only the risks of mRNA vaccines but the benefits of early treatments. There are dozens of safe, proven drugs and supplements—like ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine—that saved at least 80 percent of those who had access to it, says cardiologist Dr. Peter McCullough. But adoption of IVM and HCQ for covid treatment would have collapsed the EUA for the vaccines, and driven them—and their phenomenal profits—off the market.

Was Stalin worse? The Soviet dictator's Ukrainian famine of 1932-33, known as the Holodomor, "a combination of the Ukrainian words for "starvation" and "to inflict death"—claimed the lives of 3.9 million people. Has the covid-19 catastrophe been a big mistake, a simple misinterpretation of the data by our smartest public health authorities who will do better next time? Mass murder, the crime of the century, is what many of the top doctors and scientists in the world call it.

> Dr Robert Malone email 1'3'22. The Trusted News Initiative (TNI): Is a British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) led organization which has been actively censoring eminent doctors, academics, and those with dissenting voices that contravene the official COVID -19 narrative. Anything contrary to this narrative is considered disinformation or misinformation and will be deleted, suppressed or de-platformed. Misinformation and disinformation are considered anything not aligned with the World Health Organization and/or the regional Public Health Authority-approved "truth". In the case of the USA – that "truth" is established by Anthony Fauci, the CDC and the FDA. The TNI uses advocacy journalism and journals to promote their causes. The Trusted News Initiative is more than this though; if you go back to Hitler's basic principles, the members of the TNI are using these core principles to control the public. The known TNI partners include: Associated Press, AFP; BBC, CBC/Radio-Canada, European Broadcasting Union (EBU), Facebook (whose founders fund article being written for the The Atlantic), Financial Times, First Draft, Google, The Hindu, Microsoft, New York Times, Reuters, Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, Twitter, You Tube, The Wall Street Journal, The Washington Post.

Social Credit systems: China's social credit system is a combination of government and business surveillance that gives citizens a "score" that can restrict the ability of individuals or corporations to function in the modern world by limiting purchases, acquiring property or taking loans based on past behaviors. Of course, how one uses the internet directly impacts the social credit score. This is the origin of the social credit system that appears to be evolving in the United States. Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) metrics are a kind of social credit system designed to coerce businesses—and, by extension, individuals and all of society—to transform their practices, behaviors and thinking. Many government leaders in USA who have been trained by the WEF Young Leaders or Influencers programs are pushing this scoring system and actively promoting the idea in the USA. Already, financial institutions such as Paypal and GoFundMe, as

well as some more mainstream banking systems, are actively deciding who can use their services based on a social credit scoring system (Haskins, 2022).

> 14'3'22 Dr Malone email. Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, Anthony Fauci, Rochelle Walensky, Paul Offit, Janet Woodcock, Rick Bright, Jessica Cecil and her Trusted News Initiative. Don't forget these names. **They should live in infamy.** And they all share a common personality profile.

I have not spoken out because I sought attention, I have done this because it was the right thing to do, and I seemed to have a unique window of opportunity to speak for those whose voices were so actively suppressed. But I certainly have had to take hits for it. The slander, defamation, gaslighting, and globally coordinated character assassination have been non-stop. But as time has gone by, and more and more has been revealed about the hidden hands that seek to manage what we are allowed to hear, see, and think, I have been transformed.

The biomedical world that I thought I was living in has been revealed to be a sham. The legitimacy of the industry and discipline that I have committed my entire professional life to is in shambles. I am now embarrassed to call myself a vaccines and biodefense expert, because the fundamental corruption inherent in those domains has been so clearly revealed. I cannot unsee what I have seen. I cannot recapture all of those years spent in a profoundly corrupt academic system, spent supporting a deeply compromised discipline which appears primarily driven by financial interests rather than by what I had naively believed was a commitment to saving lives. I chose to not pursue the careers of my father and father in law, which were spent building weapons of war. Only to find that I had inadvertently played a significant role in enabling one of the most tragic medical follies in the history of man.

Those directly responsible are unlikely to face any form of reckoning. And rather than remorse, they seem to find the whole thing amusing. The unnecessary lives lost, the destruction of faith in the public health enterprise, vaccines in general, the entire medical/hospital system, the US Department of Health and Human Services, and government in general. Ha ha. Oh well, not our fault. Just the way things are.

> 16'3'22. The Thousands of Fetal Deaths Recorded After COVID-19 Vaccines that Nobody Wants to Report and that Facebook is Trying Hard to Censor.

https://healthimpactnews.com/2022/the-thousands-of-fetal-deaths-recorded-after-covid-19-vaccines-that-nobody-wants-to-report-and-that-facebook-is-trying-hard-to-censor/

March 13, 2022, US. The most recent update of VAERS shows that there have now been **3,852 fetal deaths following COVID-19 vaccines**. That's a 3,525% increase in fetal deaths following COVID-19 vaccines, compared to reported fetal deaths following all FDA approved vaccines combined for the previous 30 years.

> 23'5'22. **163 ivermectin COVID-19 studies,** 113 peer reviewed, 82 comparing treatment and control groups. https://cl9ivermectin.com>

(**Dr Malone**) Trial Site News knocked it out of the park with their May 22, 2022 article entitled: "Opinion: What have We Learned about Early Treatment During the COVID-19 Pandemic?"

One of the key takeaways of the article is the apparent malfeasance of the World Health Organization, governments, Trusted News Initiative (TNI) and old media to silence the successes of Ivermectin in countries like India.

But as stated above, randomized clinical **trials that have been underpowered**, started late within the disease time course (after viral load is gone already largely cleared- important for an anti-viral drug for instance) **and/or under dosed have been rampant**. Studies that are underpowered, started late within the disease time course and/or under dosed seem par for the course from organizations and institutions that know better. Many note that these studies seem "designed to fail."

Inquiring minds want to know? What Institutional Review Board (IRB) - that determines that a study is appropriated designed, dosed and powered are approving these clinical trials? There needs to be some accountability.

Groups of physicians around the world have persisted that early treatment with already approved off-label therapies under investigation (e.g., Ivermectin, Fluvoxamine, Famotidine, or Hydroxychloroquine in combination treatments) would have saved many lives during the pandemic.

To this day, I personally am convinced that this suppression of the successes of treatment for COVID-19 had more to do with the promotion of the vaccines. Absurdly, early treatment and prophylactic treatments are seen as competition to vaccination.

One would hope if this was truly a catastrophic pandemic, the need for a gold standard randomized clinical trial before recommendation of a repurposed safe and effective drug would not be necessary. One would hope that safe, licensed drugs that showed some efficacy would be promoted and distributed by world health organizations and governments across the world. But so much of this pandemic of the elderly and immune suppressed has been hyped. Fear porn is still rampant. When **other countries authorized** ivermectin for emergency use for a period time—such as Slovakia—**any attempt by TrialSite to share the public proof of that event was immediately censored on social media, including Facebook, YouTube, and Twitter.**

The question remains, why and who is behind the censorship? Who made the decisions that any information on treatment for COVID by countries such as India, Brazil and Mexico have been removed from social media and censored from old media. Clearly, this has been a coordinated effort.

> 10'6'22. Jackson Hinkle YT channel had Paypal and another funding source cut off. This just a month after the US's Ministry of Truth type agency kicked off. He lists about 4 other channels that are also de-banked. His content centres around the Ukraine war, & touches on Taiwan issue.

> 13'6'22 Trialsite News. **Pfizer Defense Against Vaccine Fraud Case:** Does the 'Other Transaction Authority' Contractual Exemption Grant Pfizer a Pass?

https://www.trialsitenews.com/a/pfizer-defense-against-vaccine-fraud-case-the-governments-given-us-a-pass-from-the-normal-procurement-rules-02546b23

Ms. Jackson emailed the complaint concerning alleged violations of good clinical practices as well as various compliance frameworks and laws to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in September 2020, however, the executive branch agency in charge of enforcement of alleged violations opted to not even open an investigation.

Back in November 2021, TrialSite <u>reported</u> that the prominent medical journal The BMJ, to its credit, reported on the Jackson situation with Ventavia and Pfizer. In fact, The **BMJ was even censored by Facebook** for doing so! In <u>"The BMJ to Facebook—Stop Censoring Us and Shut</u> <u>Down Your Incompetent Fact-Checkers</u>," this media reported that the **"Trusted News Initiative"** moved again to censor content—this time, an elite medical journal! Why?

- 5'7'22. Nullius in Verba. **Censorship is the Tool of Intellectual Cowards**. The Rationalist https://joshketry.substack.eom/p/nullius-in-verba

It's time to start calling them out for what they are: Intellectual cowards.

Anyone who says "Trust the Science" (or cheerleads for censorship in any way) is being an intellectual coward, subverting scrutiny (and likely their own beliefs) in order to fit in with the cultural peer pressures of today.

So let's call them as we see them. There is no authority to truth. Just discovery of new truths in an

endless onion-layered universe.

If your point of view is so good, then be the man in the arena with it. Present your idea openly and with vulnerability. Permit scrutiny. Allow for criticism. Denounce censorship. And display it with utter transparency - including who funded your research and all conflicts of interest. Call out the intellectual cowards who try to silence discourse with censorship. And praise the intellectually brave who stand out on the limbs with their ideas, even when the crowds and masses of people are herding the other way.

In a war over information, it is the intellectually brave who are the heroes.

> 4'7'22 video. German Government JAILING Journalists! - Inside Russia Report. I Earle Grey (YT channel de-platformed in June-July 2023). https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2G5v2Xx7JOk

> A good 9'7'21 interview with Elizabeth Hart (Adelaide) on Asia Pacific Today.

< https://rumble.com/vjm8gn-fast-tracked-covid-19-injections-for-world-against-a-virus-which-isnt-a-thr.html>

About the Covid situation, the perspective of **an independent Aust person investigating conflicts of interest in vaccination policy:** Cites ABC free ads for vaccine co's, no critical analysis. Cites Nuremberg code (see References a & b at end this paper) - govt not to intervene in health sector. TGA is funded by pharma cos, so massive conflict of interest with Aust's regulator, no media probe here; monitors adverse drug reactions. Why mandate young people to get vaccines, set them up for life. Medical board of Aust, AMA. Not informed consent from Dr's, Drs spruiking vacs to patients coming in for other health issues. She cites hostile climate, smear as anti-vaxxer. Bill Gates leader pushing vaccs in 2020, Gates Fndtn influencer of world vacc policy for 20y. Gates funds media: BC, Guardian, Telegraph etc. No media critique on this. Taken over globabal vacc policy. Govt driving people mad > I'll just take the vacc, so I can go on hols. govt drop of a hat lockdown > is about making people submit. Aust fed govt budgeted 12 lockdowns per year (at least 1 wk ea). Aus 2015 Biosecurity act - fine \$66k/5y jail for refusing vaccine or other demands legislation - how did this come in, run by the Chief Medical Officer?

- Elizabeth Hart. please see my email: Could Covid-19 vaccines facilitate virulent variants? 19 July 2021. This **email was forwarded to Professor Andrew Read, who has undertaken research in the area of 'leaky vaccines**': https://vaccinationispolitical.files.wordpress.com/2021/07/could-covid-19-vaccines-facilitate-virulent-variants.pdf

> 22'9'22 BBC Collaborates with Facebook to Purge Vaccine-Injured Groups Online | Video

Article (paywall): https://www.trialsitenews.com/a/bbc-collaborates-with-facebook-to-purge-vaccine-injured-groups-online-video-6143ec45

Video URL: https://youtu.be/xjj30knCC84

A major media member of the **Trusted News Initiative** (TNI) has warned a primary tech member about vaccine injury groups gaming the system to avoid algorithm detection and thus scrutiny. In what could be described as a dangerous move, the **BBC collaborates with Facebook to shut down vaccine injury support groups** by universally referring to them as "anti-vaccine" or "anti-vaxxers" and calling out the ways that they use carrot emojis to hide from Facebook their true identity. Members of the **TNI are collaborating to purge social media participants that are part of vaccine-injured groups**. Even if some of them, or even many of them, are in fact vaccine injured, the policies of the BBC and Facebook, as well as other media and social tech companies assume that there are absolutely no vaccine-injured persons and that such persons have no rights whatsoever to share their stories.

> 21'12'22 article. U.S. Spy Firm Can Track Cryptocurrency Users – Offers its Services to the Highest Bidder

https://healthimpactnews.com/2022/u-s-spy-firm-can-track-crypto-currency-users-offers-its-services-to-the-highest-bidder/

Leaked files reviewed by MintPress expose how intelligence services the world over can track cryptocurrency transactions to their source and therefore identify users by monitoring the movements of smartphone and Internet-of-Things (IoT) devices, such as Amazon Echo. The contents comprehensively detonate the myth of crypto anonymity, and have grave implications for individuals and states seeking to shield their financial activity from the prying eyes of hostile governments and authorities.

The documents are among a trove related to the secret operations of Anomaly 6, a shadowy private spying firm founded by a pair of U.S. military intelligence veterans. The company covertly embeds software development kits, or SDKs, in hundreds of popular apps, then slices through layers of "anonymized" data in order to uncover sensitive information about any individual it chooses anywhere on Earth, at any time. In all, Anomaly 6 can simultaneously monitor roughly three billion smartphone devices – equivalent to a fifth of the world's total population – in real-time.

Having previously hawked its wares to U.S. Special Operations Command, as this journalist revealed on December 6, Anomaly 6 is now using British private military company Prevail Partners – heavily involved in the West's proxy war in Ukraine – to market and sell its product to a variety of Western military, security, and intelligence agencies the world over. This is despite the company's own founders fearing its global dragnet could be completely illegal under national and international data protection regimes.

> 27'12'22 Dr Malone. World News Round-up: Modern Day Stasi Tactics. Weaponizing COVID-19 tracking tech for other purposes.

<https://rwmalonemd.substack.com/p/world-news-round-up-modern-daystasi?utm_source=substack&utm_medium=email>

Governments all over the world are using surveillance data collected on the net, and have now expanded their reach by using COVID-19 tracking technology - just as "conspiracy theorists" had feared would be the case. These governments and NGOs seem to think -in a naive kind of "hope"-that if they only have enough data, they could control the world and bend reality to their objectives.

As had been feared, it now turns out that governments are using the COVID-19 tech to follow, track down, investigate and arrest people for reasons that have nothing to do with COVID. COVID-19 exposure, tracking and contract tracing tools have become all purpose tools for biosecurity surveillance states.

Today, I am highlighting a few recent articles that show how these surveillance states are using COVID-19 tech to monitor, track, investigate and arrest.

We know that the government has spent more than ten billion dollars to promote these vaccines through social media, entertainment and news. We know that they paid to not only censor, but also to defame.

There is only one hope for our country at this point, and that is to continue to dip into the deep well of wisdom from our constitution. That is what will save our country. The guardian of the integrity of our republic is the judicial system. This is why the corruption of the Department of Justice by the deep state and the executive branch is so dangerous to our republic. We now must rely on our judges to uphold the constitution. Let's hope they are up to the task. It is our republic, not our democracy - that offers real solutions to the surveillance state.

> 13'1'23. COVID 19 Censorship | Dr. Jay Bhattacharya & Dr. Gigi Foster; Jan 2, 2023 video 6 min. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ippVFZXygZ8>

Professor of Medicine at Stanford University, Dr Jay Bhattacharya, unpacks COVID-19 censorship and the Great Barrington Declaration. You can watch the full interview here - https://youtu.be/zl-divqmfNw

Dr. Jay Bhattacharya is Professor of Medicine and Professor (by Courtesy) of Economics at Stanford University, and one of the world's leading experts on public health policy.

Dr. Gigi Foster is a Professor and Director of Education within the School of Economics at the UNSW Business School. She works in diverse fields including education, social influence, corruption, lab experiments, time use, behavioural economics and Australian policy.

> 1'23 Top 10 Mainstream Media LIES That Ended Up Being TRUE After All.

Kim Iversen, 3'1'23. 10m video. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ITJoe4L7JHQ

Number 10. the mainstream media tried to Gaslight us into believing the trucker Freedom Convoy was just a bunch of racist Texas right-wing terrorist that the protest was filled with a bunch of trump-loving white supremacists and in reality the protest which was about stopping vaccine mandates was in Canada where people can't even vote for Trump. It was primarily organized by a woman who they threw in jail was filled with people from all sides of the political Spectrum who came together for the one purpose of supporting medical freedom of choice and many of whom were not white at all.

Number 9. After years of the mainstream media pointing the finger at Ukraine for having a legitimate Nazi problem to the point where in 2015 Congress actually passed a measure to block Ukrainian Nazi battalions namely the azab Italian from getting U.S money and weapons suddenly this past year the Nazis were no longer Nazis but instead just patriotic ukrainians deserving of billions in U.S money and weapons.

Number 8, Twitter when people claimed social media was Shadow Banning them for opinions contrary to The Establishment liberal narrative when people claim the government was meddling with free speech when people claimed they were being surveilled by the FBI via social media they were called conspiracy theorists yet the Twitter files showed us they weren't conspiracies at all.

Etc...

> 10'1'23 art, Dr Malone. Shedding Innocence. Propaganda and censorship in the garden of good and evil. Robert W Malone MD, MS https://rwmalonemd.substack.com/p/shedding-innocence>

As we move through January 2023, and additional details about the lies our governments have told us are revealed daily, I keep getting questioned about how it feels to be vindicated. I wrote about this last year, at a time when the approved narrative appeared to be failing, and my deep ambivalence remains. To my surprise, governments, the World Health Organization (WHO), and various non-governmental organizations (WEF, BMGF etc.) have managed to keep the COVID crisis alive and weaponized to justify policies which do not advance public health objectives, but which they use to advance their own political and financial interests. Like tossing offal over the transom, "Never let a good crisis go to waste" seems to have provoked a shark feeding frenzy which humanity may require decades to recover from. Assuming we ever do. > 6'6'23. What Censorship Leaders Are Hiding. "Anti-disinformation" groups refuse to turn over subpoenaed information to Congress, are canceling public workshops, and won't answer questions. MICHAEL SHELLENBERGER, 6 JUN 2023.

<https://public.substack.com/p/what-censorship-leaders-are-hiding>

The people who say they are fighting disinformation appear to be transparent and trustworthy. Groups like Stanford Internet Observatory and the Atlantic Council. But of the 50 top "antidisinformation" governmental and nongovernmental groups in the world, which Matt Taibbi's investigative team at Racket identified, only one has agreed to answer our questions, and only 10 even bothered responding to our repeated requests for an interview.

Last week the pro-censorship Atlantic Council's DFR Laboratory canceled the online launch event for its new report, "Telegram, WeChat, and WhatsApp Usage in the United States." It might have had something to do with the fact that, a few weeks earlier, we had tweeted critically about it, signed up to attend, and encouraged others to join us.

Two weeks ago, BBC heavily promoted the launch of its own "anti-disinfo" program called "Verify," but has refused to answer questions about it or make its 27-year-old host, whose role is apparently to fact-check all of the news, available for an interview.

And now, the lead censorship organization, Stanford Internet Observatory, is refusing to respect a House Judiciary Committee subpoena for records in the form of "tickets" from the Jira project management software system.

Rep. Jim Jordan said the lawyer representing Stanford had confirmed to committee staff that Stanford Internet Observatory has documents and communications related to its "flagging" of content for censorship by social media companies but would not give them to the members of Congress. Why? What are Stanford Internet Observatory, Atlantic Council's DFR Lab, BBC, and other pro-censorship organizations hiding?

> 9'6'23 article. Slaying the Censorship Leviathan. Our Missouri v. Biden court case exposes the government-led information war to censor what Americans think. AARON KHERIATY, MD. https://aaronkheriaty.substack.eom/p/slaying-the-censorship-leviathan

Extracts:

One year ago, I joined the states of Missouri and Louisiana and several other co-plaintiffs to file a suit in federal court challenging what journalist Michael Shellenberger has called the censorshipindustrial complex. While much of the press cooperated with the state's censorship efforts and has ignored our court battle, we expect that it will ultimately go to the Supreme Court, setting up Missouri v. Biden to be the most important free speech case of our generation—and arguably, of the past 50 years.

Prior government censorship cases typically involved a state actor unconstitutionally meddling with one publisher, one author, one or two books, a single article. But as we intend to prove in court, the federal government has censored hundreds of thousands of Americans, violating the law on tens of millions of occasions in the last several years. This unprecedented breach was made possible by the wholly novel reach and breadth of the new digital social media landscape.

... a relatively unknown agency within the Department of Homeland Security became the central clearinghouse of government-run information control—an Orwellian Ministry of Truth - Cybersecurity Infrastructure Security Agency—better known as CISA.

... the Department of Homeland Security unilaterally declared federal control over the country's election infrastructure, which had previously been administered at the local level. Not long after that, CISA, which is a subagency of the DHS, established its own authority over the cognitive infrastructure by becoming the central hub coordinating the government's information control activities.

Suppose that a federal national security agency teamed up with private research institutions, backed by enormous resources and federal funding, to establish a mass-surveillance and mass-censorship program that uses sophisticated techniques to review hundreds of millions of American citizens' electronic communications in real time, and works closely with tech platforms to covertly censor millions of them.

> 16'6'23. Assange: An Unholy Masquerade of Tyranny Disguised as Justice. June 15, 2023.

Former UK Ambassador Craig Murray.

<https://www.craigmurray.org.uk/archives/2023/06/assange-an-unholy-masquerade-of-tyranny-disguised-as-justice/>

Thomas' quote "an unholy masquerade of tyranny disguised as justice" is a phrase that has been rattling around my head as a prefect encapsulation of the state "legal" process against Julian Assange, which I have been detailing this last several years. Together, of course, with the fact that the NATO states hate Assange – and seek his judicial murder – precisely for revealing truths that embarrassed their system of "conquest, pillage and slaughter" in Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Yemen, Syria and elsewhere. We are brought up with an innate respect for the rule of law and belief that, though it makes mistakes, it is impartial and honest. Unfortunately, that is merely one of the myths by which our society functions. That is something I have reluctantly come to understand.

I was, nonetheless, so taken aback by Justice Jonathan Swift's current and curt ruling, <u>dismissing</u> <u>Assange's High Court appeal</u> in the extradition saga, that I thought I would dig a little deeper. I therefore started with Swift's <u>surprising December ruling</u>, in cahoots with Judge Lewis, that the Tory government's scheme to deport refugees to Rwanda is lawful.

> 911 Truth. The plot to bring down the three WTC towers on 9/11 didn't just unfold on that fateful day almost 22 years ago, but continued in the years following as the public was told that the impossible was suddenly possible.

For the lie to be cemented, <u>it took the cooperation of not only government agencies like NIST</u>, <u>but of the media and of the professional engineering organizations too</u> to steer attention away from the evidence of controlled demolition and do everything in their power to shut down discussion of the facts.

That was how the official story of the WTC towers' "collapse" took hold.

This is the story that AE911Truth Chairman, Roland Angle will be tackling in his new book, *Engineering the 9/11 Cover-Up: How the WTC Evidence was Kept Secret from the World*, which will lay out a clear and undeniable public indictment of the agencies, organizations, and individuals who covered up the true nature of the 9/11 crime.

> 15'6'23 art. Media Censorship Is Being Directed To Nearly Every Important Issue Facing Society - And Has Been For a Long, Long Time. Watching U.S society deteriorate secondary to what I think is unprecedented (but not new) censorship is deeply disturbing. In this post I review the history of censorship of the Associated Press. PIERRE KORY, MD, MPA. <https://pierrekory.substack.com/p/media-censorship-is-being-directed>

One line of Rav's was a particularly powerful and concise articulation of what I (and all of us) have

been living through in Covid in regards to the media;

"Notably, journalism — the filter through which ordinary people living busy lives come to understand the complex matrix of power, money, and influence — has also been exposed for its bizarre servility to public health decrees and pharmaceutical companies."

Although I was saddened to hear of the treatment and financial loss Rav suffered from not being able to publish deeply researched pieces questioning vaccine policy, I was shocked at the near identicalness (if that's a word) and absurdity of the wording of the rejections from numerous editors he included in his post. Although servility to Pharma paymasters might partly explain their rejections, I instead felt they revealed that a "collective psychosis" had taken hold - these editors exhibited a sudden unquestioning, pervasive (and sincere!) belief in the infallibility of the health agencies and the trustworthiness of their data supporting a number of blatantly illogical health and vaccine policies.

- 19'6'23. He requested it be published in this substack ("Who is Robert Malone") because the general topic is aligned with prior essays which we have published regarding **cyberstalking**, **disruptors**, **chaos agents**, **fifth generation warfare**, **and propaganda**.

> 19'6'23. When RFK announced his candidacy, **Tucker aired this segment that directly called out the media's crimes against the American people with the vaccines**. Doing that took a lot of balls. Shortly after, Fox abruptly fired him, destroyed their network and made Tucker a living legend. 10m on Twitter30 Apr 2023, Fox News (Tuckers last).

<https://twitter.com/PierreKory/status/1652338961078161409>

- Simon W commented: I think many people are glad he got fired because he is an effective caller out of what the globalists and the politicians who do their bidding are doing to the aspirational classes in the Western world. Leaving Fox seems to have released him from his shackles in this regards and his ratings have leapt whilst Fox's have declined significantly. I'm not sure I'm completely enamored by his style but his comments are certainly thought provoking and, in my view, largely correct.

- Lorry in LA: I'm not a fan of Tucker! Glad he got fired.

RFK Jr., who shared that his friend, the CEO of Fox News, very much wanted to air content discussing vaccine safety but could not due to 70% of the network's revenue coming from pharmaceutical advertising (something only the United States and New Zealand allow).

> 19'6'23. **Fake Fact-Checker Is Forced to Backtrack** on its Bogus Gateway Pundit Fact-Check After Lawyer Sends Retraction Demand – And the Woman is NOT a Doctor and NOT from the US By Jim Hoft Jun. 18, 2023.

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2023/06/fake-fact-checker-forced-backtrack-bogus-gatewaypundit>

Earlier this month, The Gateway Pundit **published an article** about the study conducted by the renowned Cleveland Clinic, ranked as the second-best hospital in the world, which found that the higher number of COVID-19 vaccine doses one receives, the higher the risk of infection with COVID-19.

The study can be found now in the June 2023 edition of *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*, Volume 10, Issue 6. The study is published at Open Forum Infectious Diseases (OFID), wherein the studies are **fully peer-reviewed**.

From the study: **"The risk of COVID-19 also varied by the number of COVID-19 vaccine doses previously received. The higher the number of vaccines previously received, the higher the risk of contracting COVID-19."** > 20'6'23 art. The World War On Free Speech Intensifies. Elon Musk can't fight censorship on his own. MICHAEL SHELLENBERGER

<https://public.substack.eom/p/the-world-war-on-free-speech-intensifies>

It is for this reason that Biden's Department of Homeland Security recently created a <u>"Disinformation Governance Board</u>," the European Commission <u>crafted</u> a new Digital Services Act and Code of Practice on Disinformation, and the UN is <u>proposing</u> a "Code of Conduct for Information Integrity on Digital Platforms."

All of these initiatives are allegedly the product of good intentions; all of them, however, are rooted in the same fallacy: there is little evidence to suggest that hate speech and misinformation are on the rise. On the contrary, Western countries are more tolerant of racial, religious, and sexual minorities than ever before. To take one example, the percentage of Americans who approve of marriages between white and black Americans has risen from 4% in 1958 to 87% in 2013 to 94% in 2021.

> 21'6'23 Change.org. As dawn breaks on July 3rd, Julian Assange will wake to his 52nd birthday and his 11th without his freedom.

> 5'7'23. You're Next. DOOMBERG, 4 JUL 2023

https://doomberg.substack.eom/p/youre-next

It seems Bryant's smear may have at last achieved his goal of levying financial repercussions. In an unsettling six-minute video posted to Twitter last week, Farage broke his silence on what he claims has been a months-long campaign on the part of the British banking system to make him a financial "unperson." Without explanation, his longtime banking partner abruptly informed him they would close all his accounts, and no other bank seems willing to accept his business. This is from a follow-up column Farage published in The Telegraph shortly after he posted his Tweet:

"In my case, I was told by the banking group with whom I've been a customer since 1980 – and with which all of my business and personal accounts have been held – that a letter would follow the call I received. It would offer a full explanation. The letter arrived, but it merely re-stated the impending closure and supplied the date by which I should remove my money.

I kept this to myself while I sought a different bank. After many hours of trying, this has come to nothing. I've been rejected by seven other banks. Apparently, I am a 'politically exposed person' and carry too much risk and too many compliance costs.

I smell a rat and am certain something much bigger is going on. For years, I have been falsely accused of having financial links to Russian funding. Even though this is nonsense, MPs have used parliamentary privilege to accuse various people associated with the Brexit campaign of the same thing. Last year, the Labour MP Sir Chris Bryant claimed in the Commons chamber that I received £548,573 in one calendar year 'from the Russian state.' Despite my pleas to him and the Speaker to correct this assertion, there has been no retraction."

Three members of Farage's family have also seen their accounts targeted for closure, along with at least two other members of the Brexit Party—both of whom are former Members of the European Parliament (MEP).

Left with few options, Farage decided to use his formidable social media platform to fight back, and his public stand may pay off. The Financial Times reported yesterday that the UK government is investigating the blacklisting—which is great for Farage & Co., but hardly comfort for similarly maligned individuals without Farage's megaphone. (Conversely, Farage tweeted yesterday that he faced his ninth bank rejection, so the hit order is still apparently active.)

> 6'7'23. Everyone Must Know Of This Imminent Threat To Our Freedom. President of nonprofit text messaging app Signal proves that courage is contagious. MICHAEL

SHELLENBERGER

5 JUL 2023 article & video. < https://public.substack.eom/p/everyone-must-know-of-this-imminent>

Public applauded last month when Stanford investigators exposed the widespread sharing of child porn on Instagram. Had the same Stanford researchers focused more on censoring child porn than on censoring disfavored political views, we would all be better off.

But that successful investigation now appears to be part of **an international effort to justify the invasion of our privacy.** The British Parliament may soon pass legislation that would allow the police and military the right to read your private, encrypted text messages. And Public's readers will recall our scoop that eBay billionaire Pierre Omidyar, and his grantees, are also demanding the right to read your texts.

It all might seem like a trivial issue having nothing to do with the broader crackdown on free speech globally.

But the demand by militaries and intelligence agencies around the world to read our private messages is one of Public's most alarming discoveries of the last six months.

> 6'7'23. BOOM: Yesterday In Court We Landed a Major Blow Against the Censorship

Leviathan. On Independence Day (fittingly), the court granted our petition in Missouri v. Biden for a temporary injunction against the government censors. AARON KHERIATY, MD. 6 JUL 2023

<https://aaronkheriaty.substack.eom/p/boom-yesterday-in-court-we-landed>

The judge himself wrote in the decision, "If the allegations made by Plaintiffs are true, the present case arguably involves the most massive attack against free speech in United States' history."

Said Kheriaty. "And it turns out that censorship was happening not just at the behest of public health agencies, like the CDC and the NIH, but the intelligence agencies were involved—the Department of Justice, FBI, the State Department, Department of Homeland Security. So the whole military intelligence industrial complex is tangled up in the censorship industrial complex."

In his recent article in Tablet, Kheriaty called the government's program the "Censorship Leviathan." Describing this leviathan as part of a totalitarian system, Kheriaty pointed to the work of German-American political philosopher Eric Voegelin. "[Voegelin] said the common feature of all totalitarian systems... is the prohibition of questions," Kheriaty explained.

With this ruling in the Missouri v. Biden censorship case, Doughty went out of his way on the Fourth of July, to issue a stern rebuke at a conga line of government officials, many of them characters in the Twitter Files. Racket readers will recognize names like Elvis Chan and Laura Dehmlow (of the FBI), Jen Easterly and Brian Scully (of the Department of Homeland Security), Laura Rosenberger (Special Assistant to the President, and one of the creators of Hamilton 68) and Daniel Kimmage (of the Global Engagement Center), who were all just ordered to get the hell off the First Amendment's lawn. Paraphrasing, Doughty enjoined them from:

meeting with social-media companies for the purpose of pressuring or inducing in any manner the removal or suppression of protected free speech;

- flagging posts on social-media platforms and/or forwarding to social-media companies urging the same;
- collaborating with the Election Integrity Partnership, the Virality Project, the Stanford Internet Observatory, or any "like project" or group for the same purpose;

• threatening or coercing social-media companies to remove protected free speech.

The legacy media, which has been studiously ignoring this case, could not ignore yesterday's ruling, so there were reports in the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, the *Wall Street Journal, Reuters*, and so forth. The *Times* and the *Post* disappointingly tried to frame the case as a partisan issue. But of course, it's not a left/right or liberal/conservative issue at all: it's a legal/illegal issue. The only question is whether government officials did or did not violate the highest law of the land—namely, the United States Constitution. Yesterday, the court indicated that the answer to this question is likely yes, the government's actions were probably unconstitutional and the plaintiff's are likely to succeed on the merits.

The *New York Times* reporters even wrung their hands worrying that the ruling could "curtail efforts to fight disinformation"—begging the question about who decides what constitutes disinformation. The First Amendment clearly indicates this cannot be the job of the government. More tellingly, the *Times* and the *Post* in their framing of the case simply said the quiet part out loud, indicating that these newspapers believe government censorship is good as long as it's controlling the flow of information in directions that they approve.

For now, I'll leave you with a few sobering lines from the closing pages of yesterday's decision (p. 154):

Although this case is still relatively young, and at this stage the Court is only examining it in terms of Plaintiffs' likelihood of success on the merits, the evidence produced thus far depicts an almost dystopian scenario. During the COVID-19 pandemic, a period perhaps best characterized by widespread doubt and uncertainty, the United States Government seems to have assumed a role similar to an Orwellian "Ministry of Truth."

The Plaintiffs have presented substantial evidence in support of their claims that they were the victims of a far-reaching and widespread censorship campaign. This court finds that they are likely to succeed on the merits of their First Amendment free speech claim against the Defendants.

> 6'7'23 Dr Kory. How To Create A Fake News Cycle. A big win was achieved against government sponsored censorship in the Missouri v. Biden case this week. But what to do about the relentless propaganda? First would be to educate people how to spot it. PIERRE KORY, MD, MPA. 6 JUL 2023

<https://pierrekory.substack.eom/p/how-to-create-a-fake-news-cycle>

As the saying goes, "A lie is halfway round the world before the truth has got its boots on." The whole debacle presents a neat lesson in how to create a fake news cycle. It goes like this....

> 7'7'23. Secret Censorship At Facebook's Twitter Clone "Threads".

Congress must either break up Facebook or mandate transparency as a condition of giving the social media monopoly Section 230 liability protections

MICHAEL SHELLENBERGER, 6 JUL 2023, · PAID

<https://public.substack.com/p/secret-censorship-at-facebooks-twitter>

Mark Zuckerberg, the founder of Facebook/Meta's new competitor to Twitter, "Threads," promised users a better experience than Twitter.

"I think there should be a public conversations app with 1 billion+ people on it," said Zuckerberg. "Twitter has had the opportunity to do this but hasn't nailed it. Hopefully we will." But within a few hours of launching, Threads was already secretly censoring users and not offering them the right to appeal.

"@Meta/IG just released their new threads platform," said conservative commentator Derek Utley at Twitter, "and I've been informed by multiple users that I'm censored on their new platform. Sheesh, can't a dude catch a break."

Another conservative, Rogan O'Hanley, said, "First 5 minutes on Threads and already censored. What a platform."

Threads flashed a warning after users clicked on Utley and O'Hanley's profiles. "Are you sure you want to follow...?" asked the Threads warning. "This account has repeatedly posted false information."

It's true that Meta has a legal right to censor users, that Twitter censors too, and we are less than 24 hours into the launch of Threads.

But citizens give Meta broad (Section 230) liability protection that other media companies don't get. It is thus reasonable to expect that, in return, Meta and other social media platforms should be transparent about their censorship decisions and offer users the right to reply.

> 8'7'23 Elsa's Truth Summit content.. 9/11 alt theory - energy beam destruction. '9/11, UFO False Flags & Freie Energie – Teil 1'. I believe 3 or 4 films in the series. 2'7'23 video, 1h 4m. Part 1: https://rumble.com/v2xds92-911-ufo-false-flags-and-freie-energie-teil-l.html

AP took some pics of book references. Saved to C:/backup/text/AP health/censorship/9-11 Andrew Johnson.

Parts 2 + 3 avail here: https://rumble.com/c/c-2316350>

Part 2 (4'7'23)1h 2m vid: https://rumble.com/v2xpgh8-911-ufo-false-flags-and-freie-energie-teil-2.html

Part 3 58 min vid: https://rumble.com/v2xwx78-911-ufo-false-flags-and-free-energy-part-3.html

What happened on 9/11? A few months I did not know. Then I heard of Judy Wood and Andrew Johnson. Then an interview with Andrew Johnson for the Truth Summit. One hour. Now, **Andrew Johnson with Reiner Fuellmich** on what happened on 9/11. 3 HOURS OF EVIDENCE. Dustification. Brilliant interview. DETAILED EVIDENCE. THIS LINKS TO THE FIRST HOUR.

References: Telegram: <u>https://t.me/icic_law_official</u> <u>https://video.icic-net.com/c/deutsch/videos</u> <u>https://rumble.com/c/c-2316350</u> <u>https://odysee.com/@ICIC:3</u> Vielen Dank für Eure Unterstützung!

Andrew wrote a book, 'Climate change and global warming - hidden evidence disguised

plans' (avail free online). He thinks climate issue is nonsense, just another control mechanism of population, since covid has failed. A scam, distraction, control mechanism. Tesla, working with ether.

Reiner, all these NGOs (Amnesty International, Stop the War, etc) have either been set up by the deep state, or are infilatrated by them. His conclusion. German Greens probably invented by the deep state; CIA and City of London.

Bill Gates co-funds the Guardian (UK).

Andrew book, 'Finding the Secret Space Program'.

- Late note x Elsa: **REFUTATION OF GAGE'S GAME. Dr Judy Wood responds to Richard** Gage. No more nails needed for this coffin!! ELSA. 10 JUL 2023 https://elsaiselsa.substack.com/p/dr-judy-wood-refutation-of-gages-game

> 10'7'23. The Biden-Big Tech Censorship | Review & Outlook: WSJ Opinion. 5m 30s video. Wall Street Journal Opinion

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxXB3jb5cJY>

'A judge rules that illegal White House pressure led to social-media censorship which almost exclusively targeted conservative speech, especially on Covid-19'.

> 9'7'23 vid. Censorship and Propaganda - Peak Prosperity Podcast. 28m 22s video. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3ZFGnOzStwc&t=1190s>

'In this thought-provoking episode, we dive deep into the critical topics of censorship and propaganda that are shaping our world today. Join us as we navigate through the complex landscape of information control and manipulation. In an era where the free flow of information is increasingly challenged, it's crucial to stay informed and vigilant'.

- A serious **critique of a New York Times article** laden with mis-truths regarding the recent **court case Missouri vs Biden**, finding that the govt coerced media companies to censor dissenting opinions in breach of Article 1 of the Constitution - the right to free speech. It ordered govt agencies to cease from communicating with social media platforms.

> 12'7'23. Nigel Farage: Banking Scandal Uncovered! <u>Jeff Taylor.</u> 9m 27s video.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6HhHRxCRe9Q

Many businesses debanksedjust for using cash. A nudge to comply with CBDC coming.

17'7'23. **The Censorship Empire Strikes Back**. My response to a recent 'analysis' that frames Missouri v. Biden in entirely partisan terms, revealing an inadequate understanding of First Amendment guarantees. **AARON KHERIATY, MD**. 16 JUL 2023 <https://aaronkheriaty.substack.com/p/the-censorship-empire-strikes-back>

The day after the federal court in Missouri v. Biden granted our request for a preliminary injunction against the government's censorship regime, law professors Leah Litman and Laurence Tribe published an article in Slate slamming the court's ruling. Framing the case in entirely partisan terms, Litman and Tribe's analysis betrayed an inadequate understanding of the First Amendment free speech guarantees and established limits on government power.

The next day, the Biden administration requested a stay against the injunction (which was denied by the district court judge but granted by the 5th Circuit) nd appealed injunction ruling to the Fifth Circuit.

> 18'7'23 Rebel News e-newsletter. Thanks to the unwavering support of viewers like you, we have been able to uncover a **shocking secretive fact-checking agreement** between social media giant Meta and RMIT University's FactLab in Australia.

As you may already know, I've been embroiled in a defamation case against **RMIT FactLab** due to a FALSE fact-check they conducted on one of my reports.

However, this legal battle has inadvertently brought to light an undisclosed and highly significant **commercial pact between Meta and RMIT FactLab**.

Independent journalist **Rukshan Fernando** obtained the court documents from my legal proceedings which provide crucial insights into this clandestine arrangement.

Watch Rukshan's explosive video report here and please share this video with your friends and family to spread the word.

- I'm writing to you from a train headed to Budapest, Hungary.

I'm going to try to find out the truth about **Hungary** — and why so many liberals in the west hate it. We've set up a special website called www.TheTruthAboutHungary.com and we're going to be posting my video reports there. What's it really like? *What is its leader Viktor Orban like*? And why is there such a concerted effort in the western media to demonize the country?

> 23'7'23 art/audio/video. What Noam Chomsky Can Teach Us About Freedom of Speech

+ My Conversation with Mickey Z. on the Post-Woke Podcast. MARGARET ANNA ALICE AND MICKEY Z. 23 JUL 2023

<https://margaretannaalice.substack.com/p/what-noam-chomsky-can-teach-us-about>

> 2'8'23 art. Narcissism Of The Fact-Checkers. Money, partisanship, and authoritarianism behind demand for censorship. PHOEBE SMITH AND MICHAEL SHELLENBERGER. <hr/><hr/>https://public.substack.com/p/narcissism-of-the-fact-checkers>

Fake news about the riots in France was yet more proof that misinformation is widespread on social media platforms, said experts last month. "This video of several cars falling from a multi-story car park," tweeted Shayan Sardarizadeh, a fact-checker with BBC Verify, "is from the set of the action film Fast & Furious 8 and unrelated to the current French riots."

Still, experts and journalists with the New York Times, AP, and BBC warn that fake news travels six times faster than factual news. "The system that connects us," said former CNN journalist and Nobel Laureate Maria Ressa at a recent summit on disinformation, "spreads lies faster than facts — six times faster."

But the idea that fake news travels six times faster than factual news is itself fake news. The source of the claim, which journalists frequently repeat and never fact-check, is an MIT study of a tiny number of *tweets*, not news articles.

And the roughly 126,000 tweets that MIT researchers analyzed to inform the study's findings are equivalent to the number of tweets published in a mere 21 seconds today. In other words, they generalized from 21 seconds of tweets to the whole of the Internet to make their sweeping claim.

More dangerously, fact-checkers spread disinformation and demand censorship based on that disinformation. During the pandemic, Facebook alone removed 20 million posts and labeled more than 190 million claims related to Covid-19, relying on International Fact-Checking Network (IFCN) approved organizations to accomplish this massive "content moderation."

There are many cases of fact-checkers spreading disinformation that then results in censorship. Facebook censored stories claiming Covid-19 might have come from a lab. Last week, Public documented the role played by Anthony Fauci in creating junk science to create a fake debunking of the lab leak, which the White House and others used to justify censorship.

Fact-checkers have thus been forced to make an embarrassing series of retractions. *PolitiFact*, the dean of all fact-checking organizations, was forced in 2021 to retract its false debunking of a doctor who said COVID-19 was a "man-made virus created in the lab." And just last week, the BBC was forced to retract its false claim that UK politician Nigel Farage was *not* de-banked for political reasons because, as it turned out, he was.

French President Emanuel Macron may have similarly spread disinformation after some reported

that he had called for shutting down the internet in response to rioting. At first, Snopes and other fact-checkers claimed the allegation was false. But then, just a few days later, the Guardian reported that Macron had indeed announced that "when things get out of hand, we may have to regulate them or cut [social networks] off."

Despite the terrible track record of fact-checkers getting the facts wrong, spreading misinformation, and demanding censorship, the fact-checking industry has shown no remorse, humility, or self-awareness.

Around the world, fact-checkers engage in biased fact-checking and demand censorship of others while displaying no apparent concern that they themselves may be guilty of the exact thing for which they are criticizing others.

Why is that? Can anything be done to make fact-checking more... factual? Or is fact-checking doomed to be biased, hypocritical, and authoritarian?

> 2'8'23 article. COVID propaganda timeline, March-April 2020. Archives from the "Swiss Policy Research". ROBERT W MALONE MD, MS.

<https://rwmalonemd.substack.com/p/covid-propaganda-timeline-march-april>.

An interesting report fwd'ed by Dr Malone, from independent organization "Swiss Policy Research". It's a lengthy, well referenced and seemingly comprehensive report.

'This meme posted March 24, 2020, captures the essence of the globally coordinated intentional, planned campaign of fear, censorship, propaganda, cyberstalking and defamation which was deployed on all of us by our governments, the <u>five eyes intelligence alliance</u>, global corporate media, the <u>Trusted News Initiative</u>, WHO and UN'.

> 2'8'23 art. The Unwitting Coup: Was the Response to COVID Effectively a Coup by the Western Intelligence Community? The world has been counting on the intelligence community to call out anything untoward about the response to COVID. Of course, that won't happen, because they're the ones who planned it. MICHAEL P SENGER

<https://www.michaelpsenger.com/p/the-unwitting-coup-was-the-response>

From an early date, commentators have noted that the response to COVID had all the look and feel of a coup attempt. The masks, the slogans, the symbols, the lies, the sudden inversion of long-cherished norms and values, the mindless acceptance of information from nefarious sources like the World Health Organization and China. Something was seriously off, and it all seemed so obvious.

Thus, one overarching question always lingered over the response to COVID. How were officials able to implement such destructive, totalitarian policies across the western world without intelligence authorities stepping in to stop them?

A detached review of the evidence leads to a chilling answer—though in hindsight, perhaps the only one that was ever really possible. Quite simply, the reason the western intelligence community never stepped in to stop this illiberalism is that virtually all the most illiberal aspects of the response to COVID lead directly back to the western intelligence community itself.

> 8'8'23 art/vid. Pressure On Facebook and White House for Greater Censorship Came From News Media. As the government's Covid vaccination campaign flagged in 2021, New York Times and others ramped up demands for more censorship. Alex Gutentag, Leighton Woodhouse, and Michael Shellenberger

<https://public.substack.eom/p/pressure-on-facebook-and-white-house>

Yesterday Public reported for the first time that Facebook censored content at the request of the White House in order to guarantee White House support in a \$1.2 billion battle with the European Union over data privacy.

It is a significant discovery because it points to a major and additional point of financial leverage that the US government used to coerce censorship, in addition to widely discussed Section 230 liability protections, which President Biden, directly and indirectly, threatened — if Facebook refused its demands to censor.

But it all raises a question: why was the Biden White House so determined to censor Facebook in the first place?

Until the Facebook Files, the answer had been that they wanted people to take the vaccine. The White House believed all the anti-vaccine information on Facebook was contributing to "vaccine hesitancy."

But now, the Facebook Files reveal that Facebook executives *knew* censoring disfavored vaccine views would backfire and explained to White House officials that censoring such views would violate established norms around freedom of speech. But the White House demanded more censorship, anyway.

In internal emails, Rosa Birch, Facebook's Director of Strategic Response, argued that vaccine censorship would "1/ prevent hesitant people from talking through their concerns online and 2/reinforce the notion that there's a cover-up."Birch stressed that a large and strong body of research showed the importance of "open dialogue," access to information, and creating "an open and safe space for people to have vaccine-related conversations."

> 11'8'23 art. Free Speech To The Supreme Court — And Beyond! Historic *Missouri v. Biden* Censorship Lawsuit Likely Headed To Supreme Court ALEX GUTENTAG, LEIGHTON WOODHOUSE, AND MICHAEL SHELLENBERGER.

<https://public.substack.com/p/free-speech-to-the-supreme-court>

Yesterday the Fifth Circuit court heard oral arguments in the Missouri v. Biden case, and the judges did not hold back. One judge suggested the government "strongarms" social media companies and that their meetings had included "veiled and not-so-veiled threats."

Another judge described the exchange between the Biden administration and tech companies as the government saying, "Jump!" and the companies responding, "How high?"

"That's a really nice social media company you got there. It'd be a shame if something happened to it," the judge said, describing the government's coercive tactics.

Attorney John Sauer, representing Louisiana, masterfully argued that the government had repeatedly violated the First Amendment. He pointed to specific evidence of coercion in the Facebook Files.

"You have a really interesting snapshot into what Facebook C-suite is saying," Sauer explained. "They're emailing Mark Zuckerberg and Sheryl Sandberg and saying things like... 'Why were we taking out speech about the origins of covid and the lab leak theory?" The response, Sauer said, was, "Well, we shouldn't have done it, but we're under pressure from the administration."

He also cited an email from Nick Clegg, Facebook President of Global Affairs, that pointed to "bigger fish to fry with the Administration - data flows, etc." On Monday, Public reported that these "data flows" referred to leverage the Biden administration had over the company; **Facebook needed the White House to negotiate a deal with the European Union**. Only through this deal

could Facebook maintain access to user data that is crucial for its **\$1.2 billion annual European business.**

Tenny also stated that social media companies had not removed any true content. From the case's discovery as well as the Facebook Files we know that is far from true. Facebook, against internal research and advice, *did* remove "often-true content" that might discourage people from getting vaccinated. Facebook's own emails clearly suggest that the company only did this due to pressure from figures within the Biden Administration.

Based on this hearing, the plaintiffs in Missouri v. Biden may have a strong chance of winning. Biden's DOJ simply had no valid arguments to present. The evidence is clear: the administration brazenly engaged in an unlawful censorship campaign and instrumentalized private companies to do its bidding. This total disregard for fundamental civil liberties will be a stain on the Democratic Party for years to come.

The Supreme Court will be the supreme victory in the US, but our free speech work won't be done after we win there. No nation enjoys free speech protections like ours. And so, after we win in the US, you can expect to see us helping our allies abroad achieve similar protections from government strongarming, aka censorship, in their own nations.

Ireland's proposed hate censorship bill is shaping up to be the most controversial legislative proposal in recent Irish memory. Nearly three-quarters of the Irish public are against it, yet the Irish **Senate may pass it into law as early as September, 2023.** They won't pass it without a fight. I'm happy to announce that I'll join Ireland's leading free speech advocates on stage in Dublin on Saturday, September 16.

> 1'8'23. Albanese government's Orwellian social media censorship bill.

https://citizensparty.org.au/media-releases/denounce-albaneses-orwellian-social-media-censorship-law. AP printed copy 12'8'23, email to Ken 1 & 12'8'23.

'Submissions deadline extended to 20 August days to object to government's social media censorship bill

Read our 18 July media release https://citizensparty.org.au/media-releases/denounce-albaneses-orwellian-social-media-censorship-law to learn what you need to know about the Albanese government's Orwellian social media censorship bill.

Essentially, the government is trying to justify suppressing free speech on social media on the pretext of stopping "misinformation" and "disinformation" that is "harmful"; however, each of those terms are open to broad interpretation, which makes this bill potentially far more dangerous than any perceived problem it seeks to address.

There's a reason the American founding fathers enshrined freedom of speech in the US Constitution. It's the same reason that Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which Australia in 1948 helped to draft, states: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers." When governments contrive pretexts to limit speech, they are lurching down the dangerous path to totalitarianism.

Click on the link below to go to the government's consultation page, where you can either upload or email a submission objecting to the bill.

Note: a "submission" does not need to be a lawyer's analysis; it's simply a letter from you, as brief or as long as you like, stating your strong objection to the bill, and why.

Exposure draft consultation page: https://www.infrastructure.gov.au/have-your-say/new-acma-powers-combat-misinformation-and-disinformation'

> 25'11'22 Redacted. The World Health Organization's pandemic treaty will control us all | Redacted with Clayton Morris https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yCozSubmM5A>

The World Health Organization has released a draft of its pandemic preparedness plan and the plan is to scoop up a whole lot of power. From you and your country! We go over the pieces that are most concerning of this draft document that we hope makes its way into the recycle bin!

> 13'8'23. In past week, Redacted covered a **proposed US legislation bill to allow govt censorhip of internet.** Not coming up in quick search of channel. Ireland and Aust have parallel pending leg'ns.

> 8'8'23 vid Redacted. You can't say that on social media'' Congress tries to control the internet

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZqXvQNp0DdU>

A new Senate bill would establish a new federal agency in charge of social media. The bill was put forward by Democratic Senator Elizabeth Warren and Republican Senator Lindsay Graham. It would establish a Digital Consumer Protection Commission to police, censor and deplatform social media as the government sees fit. Already I don't like it. After a judge told the government to stop censoring social media, they now want a government agency that can do it for them.

> 13'8'23 art, Dr Malone. Hate Ecosystem and Fifth Gen Warfare (Part IV). A case study of interactions between online hate and a fifth generation warfare campaignROBERT W MALONE MD, MS.

<https://rwmalonemd.substack.com/p/hate-ecosystem-and-fifth-gen-warfare>

Today's essay is not one that I have looked forward to writing, but apparently it has to be done. Like extracting a rotting tooth, painful but necessary.

As some of you may know, I write about fifth generation warfare from the point of view of someone who has been constantly subjected to it for about three years. Day in and day out. Five, ten times a day. Coordinated waves of attacks, some clearly coming from corporate media cooperating with the US Government and Pharma, others from the fevered imaginations of a wide variety of individual actors with a wide variety of motivations, and some coming from coordinated cyberstalker teams of bots and trolls - which the Epoch Times has documented are at least partially paid for and facilitated by the Foundation for the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

I will try to approach this as a case study in how the ecosystem of hate interacts with and is exploited by those engaging in Fifth Generation Warfare against US Citizens. But as I have been the particular target of this latest round of hate and defamation, which is at least partially designed to delegitimize me (and partially an attack on the RFKjr presidential campaign), please forgive me if I stray from just sticking to the facts.

First, an introduction to many of the key players in this little drama.

Anticipating the usual criticism, yes, one strategy to deal with all of this would be to ignore it. Just like I have been repeatedly advised to ignore the defamatory attacks from the following over the last

three years, which have spread like a cancer with many of the attackers cross referencing and feeding off of each others false claims:

- Atlantic Monthly (cease and desist letter sent)
- New York Times and New York Times (Malicious defamation lawsuit filed and pending)
- Washington Post and Washington Post and Washington Post (Malicious defamation lawsuit filed and pending)
- Rolling Stone
- Ad infinitum
- Matt Crawford (who aggressively edits my wikispooks page)

Continues. (sent this to Ken 13'8'23)

> 13'8'23. **Missouri v. Biden: Strong Showing in the Appellate Court.** The government appeared stymied, and our lawyers shined, during the oral arguments before a three judge panel in the 5th Circuit Court this week.

AARON KHERIATY, MD https://aaronkheriaty.substack.eom/p/missouri-v-biden-strong-showing-in

> 14'5'23 article. How Major Media Outlets Suppressed My COVID Journalism. Notes from unprecedented suppression on the inside: "This paper has been encouraging Covid vaccination for everyone." RAV ARORA.

<https://www.illusionconsensus.com/p/how-covid-warped-the-journalism-industry>

> 9'8'23 art Dr Malaone. "Demonic Nihilism": The Zombies Have Invaded <https://rwmalonemd.substack.com/p/demonic-nihilism>

Our governing elites represent no one but themselves and their cronies, and they don't welcome shocks to the system. Insurgent candidates such as Robert Kennedy Jr. and Vivek Ramaswamy, whose public elevation of the concerns of many Americans aims to revitalise national politics, are censored and met with active resistance, even by their own parties.

Like all institutions, politics falls apart without regular infusions of constructive energy. A modern democracy is healthy only if its major parties grow organically from their voters, representing their interests by habit and inclination even more than conscious effort. Our governing elites represent no one but themselves and their cronies, and they don't welcome shocks to the system. Insurgent candidates such as Robert Kennedy Jr. and Vivek Ramaswamy, whose public elevation of the concerns of many Americans aims to revitalise national politics, are censored and met with active resistance, even by their own parties.

Targeted advertising, fine-tuned algorithms, and politically stratified social media sharply decrease our exposure to new ideas. We are immuring ourselves within our own private caves, watching flickering images in darkness.

This is our fight. This is a battle of good against evil. Our individual and national sovereignty combined with Judeo-Christian values against a dark force that has no values, no center, no compelling moral compass. Just darkness, an abyss of narcissistic self entertainment and gratification.

What is the root cause of this nihilism? This unholy darkness? How do we fight it? Are people tearing down the very fabric of our nation, just to be able to *feel* something? A lazy person's way to feel passion?

Is this great nation to be lost in a single generation, sacrificed on the alter of depersonalizing technology?

The passion to build up versus the passion to tear down is a critical component in all of this. We must build for good, for the future. We must fight not just to resist the encroachment of evil, but actively *for* the cause *good*.

> 14'8'23YT channel Flak Alley has been demonetised by YT. Chennel brings to life WW2 stories. Have to go to subscription method to fund their production work. 184K subscribers. 'A call for help', https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UoPbkOd0S7I

> On approx 10'8'23. 'The Scott Ritter show' YT channel and 'Ask the Inspector' channel were taken down in the past week. A search 14'8'23 on YT does not turn up his channel, so his historic work for peace, understanding Russia's point of view on Ukraine, caling out the US's withdrawal from nuclear weapons arms limitation treaty (Ritter helped put in place), etc is all removed. Youtube take down was based on 'hate speech', which Ritter denies for all his videos.. YT did not tender an example of of his transgression, and gave no prior warning. This potentialy makes a content creator self-censoring, which is perhaps more severe than downright censorship. This seems to me to parallel the Chinese model for internet control, which allows only good news, and censors content that might reflect badly on governance; resulting in not being alerted to violence locally, festering social problems, corruption, etc. With Beijing flooding in past few days, Chinese social media in some flood areas was turned off, denying people in 2m flood waters and cold/wet/hungry an avenue to cry for help. This so authorities can 'save face'.

We need to be able to hear all voices, and then let the truth prevail. The answer to bad information is good information.

13'8'23 vid. 'Scott Ritter Banned From YouTube (and the disastrous Results)', Professor Gerdes Explains ? <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mnk9vqhRrEw>

Aug 13, 2023 vid. Scott Ritter was banned from YouTube. I am not a fan of Ritter, but I explain why banning him from YouTube was a bad idea. Ritter Banned? What are they hiding? That is what his followers will conclude.

> 2'8'23. An interesting report fwd'ed by Dr Malone, from independent organization "Swiss Policy Research".

'COVID propaganda timeline, March-April 2020'. Archives from the "Swiss Policy Research". ROBERT W MALONE MD, MS, 2 AUG 2023.

<https://rwmalonemd.substack.com/p/covid-propaganda-timeline-march-april>.

'This meme posted March 24, 2020, captures the essence of the globally coordinated intentional, planned campaign of fear, censorship, propaganda, cyberstalking and defamation which was deployed on all of us by our governments, the <u>five eyes intelligence alliance</u>, global corporate media, the <u>Trusted News Initiative</u>, WHO and UN'.

It's a lengthy, well referenced and seemingly comprehensive report.

> 17'8'23. Lecture Announcement: Salem, New Hampshire this Saturday. I will be speaking this Saturday at this **Medical Freedom Summit** about an hour north of Boston. Details and link to register here. AARON KHERIATY, MD

<https://aaronkheriaty.substack.eom/p/lecture-announcement-salem-new-hampshire> Medical Freedom Summit. AUGUST 19, 2023 | SALEM, NH. <u>View the event schedule here</u>

The tyrannical threat of the biomedical security state is ever present.

While those that cheered for lockdowns, vaccine mandates, and the shutdown of the global economy retreat to the back pages of the news, the World Health Organization and their ilk are already gearing up for the next crisis. The covid scare may have lost its political potency, but you can be sure that "public health emergencies" will continue to be a powerful tool for future control.

Join us for a Medical Freedom Summit featuring two brave leaders in the fight against medical tyranny.

<u>Dr. Peter McCullough</u> is one of the most respected truth tellers in the profession, making him a frequent target of censorship from regime-controlled traditional and social media. One of America's most published cardiologists, Dr. McCullough has authored dozens of peer-reviewed publications on covid and the policy response it sparked.

<u>Dr. Aaron Kheriaty</u> is a fellow and director of the Bioethics and American Democracy program for the Ethics and Public Policy Center. Fired from his position as a professor of psychiatry and human behavior at the University of California, Irvine, Dr. Kheriaty is not only a leading intellectual figure in medical freedom but a man who has lived his principles at great personal cost.

> 17'8'23 email. Former Officials Call for Action on Assange's Fate

Nine former Australian Attorneys-General have co-authored a letter appealing to Prime

Minister Albanese to escalate efforts to persuade the United States to abandon the ongoing incarceration of Julian Assange. Concerned that Julian's health could deteriorate further or that he could face death in American custody, the group underscored the dangerous precedent the US's pursuit of Julian sets for journalists and whistleblowers globally. They urged the government to prioritise his case, emphasising its repercussions on press freedom and whistleblower protection.

References:

a) > 20'9'21. **1947 Nuremberg code** - permisable medical experiments. http://www.bioethicsforum.info/Nuremberg%20Code-1947.pdf

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior from of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be

delegated to another with impunity. 2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good

4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury

5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.

7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability or death.

9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.

10.During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.

b) > Nuremberg Code of 1947 – refusing the vaccine

SERAPHIM, JULY 25, 2021. https://anti-vaccines.com/history-of-vaccine/nuremberg-code-of-1947/>

The right to avoid the imposition of human experimentation is fundamentally rooted in the Nuremberg Code of 1947. has been ratified by the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and further codified in the US. Code of Federal Regulations. In addition to the US regarding itself as bound by these provisions, these principles were adopted by the FDA in its regulations requiring the informed consent of human subjects for medical research. It is unlawful to conduct medical research even in the case of an emergency unless steps are taken to secure informed consent of all participants.

Article 6, section 3: In no case should a collective community agreement or the consent of a community leader or other authority substitute for an individual's informed consent. Clearly, mandatory Covid-19 vaccinations fail this test on multiple fronts.

c) > 20'9'21 misc. Aust constitutional and similar protections for individual rights.

- The Australian Immunisation Handbook states, " In order for consent to be legally valid it must be given without undue pressure, coercion, or manipulation." It appears politicians and business "leaders" have forgotten this.

- nb. Maureen Byrne. Thank you, you have helped me and others enormously, what greater coercion and blackmail than, no jab no job and furthermore you will not be able to participate in society in any way.

d) > 15'10'21 article. Is there evidence that natural exposure immunity to COVID virus is similar or superior to vaccine-induced immunity, and should we force/mandate these vaccines on our healthy military and police? Paul Alexander.

<https://trialsitenews.com/is-there-evidence-that-natural-exposure-immunity-to-covid-virus-issimilar-or-superior-to-vaccine-induced-immunity-and-should-we-force-mandate-these-vaccines-onour-healthy-military-and-police/>

Authored by Paul Elias Alexander, PhD, Dr. Ramin Oskoui, MD, and Dr. Peter McCullough, MD

I argue for the superiority of natural immunity over the narrow 'spike-specific' immature immunity conferred by the COVID injections. Yet you can assess the available body of evidence yourself and make a judgement. The refusal by NIAID's Anthony Fauci, CDC's Rochelle Walensky, and NIH's Francis Collins to recognize natural immunity as similar to or even superior to vaccine immunity is

outrageous, unscientific, and downright absurd. We said before that our children must be considered already immune and vaccinated (based on biological and molecular evidence) and leave them alone with these sub-optimal, non-sterilizing, unneeded injections, and now we say the very same for our militaries and police. Leave them to hell alone with vaccine mandates for they can make personal informed decisions while at the same time being at vanishingly low risk for severe outcome from COVID! We can potentially cause needless harm and death to our military and police with these safety untested injections. Allow our best people (in fact everyone) to balance the benefits and harms (risks) especially if they are already COVID recovered and have gained rich, robust, sterilizing, life-long natural immunity. One and done! Allow proper informed consent (for the first time). Follow the Nuremberg Code of Ethics etc. Below is the existing body of evidence on natural immunity versus vaccine immunity as it relates to COVID-19 (Table 1). This represents the most updated and comprehensive library list of over 70 of the highest-quality, complete, most robust scientific studies and evidence reports/position statements on natural immunity as compared to the COVID-19 vaccine-induced immunity and allow you to draw your own conclusion:

Study 1 (of 70 listed) "Cumulative incidence of COVID-19 was examined among 52,238 employees in an American healthcare system. The cumulative incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection remained almost zero among previously infected unvaccinated subjects, previously infected subjects who were vaccinated, and previously uninfected subjects who were vaccinated, and previously uninfected subjects who were vaccinated unvaccinated. Not one of the 1359 previously infected subjects who remained unvaccinated had a SARS-CoV-2 infection over the duration of the study. Individuals who have had SARS-CoV-2 infection are unlikely to benefit from COVID-19 vaccination…"

The End Thanks for reading.

Alan Page

19'8'23