

From: Gerald Schaper [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth
Date: 21 Jul 2022 at 10:00:19 am
[REDACTED]

Good day,

Under article 8 of the universal declaration of human rights I am requesting an independent tribunal be set up to establish errors and abuse on the Australian people committed by the Australian Federal and State governments.

I personally was forced into a vaccination program under duress.
My family were coerced and manipulated into the vaccination program and it has achieved nothing.
We are now all sick with the virus.

I reference the article below.

And this statement I received from my previous employer;

Dear worker,
Please note you are required to have your first dose of COVID-19 vaccination by 15/10/21 to continue your employment. Please keep your vaccination certificate handy for your supervisor on site to verify If needed. If you choose not to vaccinate for any reason apart from acceptable medical reasons your employment can be terminated by our customer as it is a mandatory requirement for businesses to ensure all authorised workers on site are vaccinated. This is as per the [REDACTED] government guidelines.
If you have any issues or need to discuss this call on [REDACTED]
Australian Workforce

Subject: Fwd: Truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

[REDACTED]
Date: 14 June 2022 at 2:03:48 pm AEST
[REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: Truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

[REDACTED]
Subject: Truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth

Truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

You never needed to be locked down, never needed to be restricted from carrying on living.

Every bit of information below is reinforced by each different expert, all pointing to this same conclusion.

From the experts.

Expert 1 - World health organisation

The WHO (World health organisation) clearly identified the coronavirus as SARS-Co(corona) V(Virus).

This is what the tests aim to detect (as will be witnessed later).

The WHO also stated that the virus is different to the coronavirus disease(Co-Carona, V-Virus, D-disease, 19, year of discovery).

The WHO stated that most people who get infected with the coronavirus disease virus will only experience mild to moderate symptoms and will recover without special treatment.

IMPORTANT: notice the accurate description as follows (the official description);

WHO - Coronavirus disease (Covid 19)

Australian Government department of Health describes-Coronavirus (Covid 19)

Why is this important, because by manipulating this description, the Australian government could implement the bio security act to control a disease outbreak.



They could fool everyone into believing that the coronavirus "virus" test was a disease test.

The link proves this.

The photo from the WHO link proves this.

The photos from the Australian government department of Health proves this later.

Does this sound like you needed to be restricted in any way? No.



Expert 2, The centre for evidence based medicine(CEBM)

The CEBM carried out a study on how the coronavirus is spread differently by latitude.

They concluded that there were many factors found from studying the SARS of 2003.

These differences are, wind speed, pollution, weather, day light hours, air pressure and density.

An important one here is weather, cold and dry conditions are more likely to help virus transmission.

They do also mention that countries with hot weather find it easier to control virus transmission.

Now it's important to understand that this study is actual epidemiology, the study using science and data of specific areas, because areas environmental conditions differ.

"The lack of viral activity in countries with high temperature and high relative humidity might explain why they DO NOT have major community outbreaks of SARS, and why they have found it easier to manage the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. (Australia)."

" The relationship to seasonality and EVIDENCE of an association with weather conditions, the concentration of COVID impact in a small number of countries and the association with latitude provides EVIDENCE that environmental factors impact on the transmission of SARS-CoV-2.


Does this sound like you needed to be restricted? No.

If you going to read this link, read it.

Looking at the attached link will prove this.

Looking at the photo of the link shows Australia at the bottom of the chart -25 to -50 with few dots, as opposed to the north with higher amount of dots.

Later I will reinforce this with Australian statistics.



Effect of Latitude on COVID-19 - The Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine

Expert 3, Therapeutic goods administration (TGA).

Reference the attached link you will see in no uncertain terms the following to reinforce what you have learned so far.

a, the tests are testing for the SARS-CoV-2, the coronavirus.

b, or an immune response to the virus, the coronavirus.

c, that the tests are unreliable

d, that cross reaction with antibodies formed by current and past exposure can cause false positive

In an earlier article it was also mentioned (and this is backed up by the AMA) human coronavirus circulate frequently every and cause a common cold type illness.

IMPORTANT; look at the thread from the attached link, news and public notice,
 Why did you not get this news and public notice?
 Because if you had, they wouldn't of been able to control you?
 Based on the total lack of accuracy in testing do you really think you should of been restricted? No.

<https://www.tga.gov.au/covid-19-testing-australia-information->



Expert 4, Centre for disease control (CDC)
 The centre for disease control has what they call a "Pandemic assessment quadrant".
 This again is based on epidemiology.
 This is hidden far away from you to see.
 In this assessment the CDC class difference risk levels differently.
 A low to medium level risk is 18% infection rate.
 A extreme level risk is 30% infection rate.
 As can be evidenced later, Australian infection rates (without any need for restrictions and evidenced by the CEBM), have been less than 0.7%.
 Does this sound like you needed to be restricted? No.
 Now if you look at the second chart, the CDC have recommendations for each level of risk.
 Now if you skip the lowest risk(which Australia never came close too) and you look at the extreme risk, nowhere is it mentioned any restrictions enforcement.
 Does this sound like you should of been restricted? No.

<https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/vol->

Severity of pandemic	Recommended NPI	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity
Severity of pandemic	Recommended NPI	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity	Healthcare system capacity
Low to high
Moderate to high
High severity
Very high severity

Table 11. Recommended comprehensive of interventions for influenza pandemic, by setting and pandemic severity?

	Low to moderate severity with no influenza pandemic	Medium severity	High severity	Very high severity
Setting	Low to moderate severity with no influenza pandemic	Medium severity	High severity	Very high severity
Home	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus
Workplaces	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus
Child care facilities, schools for children 15 and colleges	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus
Workplaces	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus
Mass gathering ^a	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus	Use of masks to reduce contact with influenza pandemic virus

pdf

Expert 5, Australian Government Department of Health

The Australian government department of Health has a training program on its website which reinforces what the WHO state:

“The coronavirus disease is otherwise known as Covid 19 and is caused by the coronavirus (SARS-CoV). Most people who become infected with the virus will only experience mild to moderate symptoms and will recover without any special treatment.”


Now understand this

This is reinforced by the number of positive cases that recover without any treatment prior to any vaccine availability. Just by staying at home.

They also mentioned that a small number only 5% of the population may develop serious symptoms and get very sick and that’s only “may”.

Now understand that with an infection rate of 0.7% it’s absolutely impossible to get 5% develop serious illness.

Does this sound like you should of been restricted? No.



Now let’s look at legislation.

The public health and well-being act guidelines for disease control (we do not have a disease outbreak)

Extract:


PART 8—MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES, MICRO-ORGANISMS AND MEDICAL CONDITIONS

Division 1—Principles applying to the management and control of infectious diseases

111 Principles

The following principles apply to the management and control of infectious diseases—

(a) the spread of an infectious disease should be prevented or minimised with the minimum restriction on the rights of any person;



Note: minimum restriction on the rights of “any” person.

Does this sound like you should of been restricted? No

Australian law reform council (ALRC)

2.68. Proportionality is used by Australian parliamentary committees to scrutinise Bills. The parliamentary joint committee on human rights, for example, applies a proportionality test. The committee’s guide to human rights states:

A key aspect to whether a limitation on a right can be justified is whether the limitation is proportionate to the objective being sought.

Even if the objective is of sufficient importance and the measures in question are rationally connected to the objective, the limitation may still not justified because of the severity of its impact on individuals or groups.

Note: the last paragraph above “Even if ... may NOT be justified ... the severity of its impact on “individuals or groups”

<https://www.alrc.gov.au/publication/traditional-rights-and-freedoms-encroachments-by-commonwealth-laws-alrc-report-129/7-freedom-of-movement/protections-from-statutory-encroachment-26/>

Does this sound like you should of been restricted? No.

Perhaps someone, anyone can justify the blatant disregard for the epidemiology, the science, the data, the historical records, the laws, legislation, human rights.

No, not one individual can.

Now let’s look at the actual Australian statistics.

Queensland 7 July, 2020.


You might remember Victoria being shut down and Queensland stays open with the AFL being played there.

Queensland without any restrictions, any vaccine, records a low 0.3% infection rate, and during winter.

Victoria on the other hand records an infection rate of 0.7% with restrictions.



Now let's look at Australia as a whole.



Now where does it any of these figures suggest an infection rate of anywhere near a low to moderate risk(CDC) of 18%.

Now let me also stress that I have many more statistics to back this up, just too many to put into this correspondence.

Let me also assure you of another interesting observation.

When we in Melbourne we're eventually let out of our ring of steel, I visited Albury, and found they were business as usual.

Now look at their % infection rate.

Wow you wonder why that might be, re read the CEBM, and you will understand why you and no other Australian should of been negatively affected by any pandemic response.

Let's not forget the bio security act was brought in to control a disease outbreak but everything above proves we cannot have a disease outbreak if we don't have a virus transmission outbreak which is what causes the disease.

Now from the ALRC link above, can anyone show where exactly it states that any member of parliament,

public official can negotiate away our rights

Extract:

2.68. Proportionality is used by Australian parliamentary committees to scrutinise Bills. The parliamentary joint committee on human rights, for example, applies a proportionality test. The committee's guide to human rights states:

A key aspect to whether a limitation on a right can be justified is whether the limitation is proportionate to the objective being sought.

Even if the objective is of sufficient importance and the measures in question are rationally connected to the objective, the limitation may still not be justified because of the severity of its impact on individuals or groups.

2.69 In a public sector guidance sheet about permissible rights, the attorney general's department includes a list of useful questions to ask when assessing whether a measure limiting a right is necessary and proportionate.

Will the limitation in fact lead to a reduction of that problem?

Does a less restrictive alternative exist, and has it been tried?

Is it a blanket limitation or is there sufficient flexibility to treat different cases differently?

Has sufficient regard been paid to the rights and interests of those affected?

Do safeguards exist against error or abuse?

Does the limitation destroy the very essence of the right in issue?

Proportionality is a fluid test which requires those analysing and applying law and policy to have regard to the surrounding circumstances, including recent developments in the law, current political and policy challenges and contemporary public interest considerations.

Now I attach the following:

[h](#) 

Extract:

Status of COVID-19

As of 19 March 2020, COVID-19 is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease (HCID) in the UK. There are many diseases which can cause serious illness which are not classified as HCIDs. End.

Now considering all of the information submitted it is clear beyond any doubt that there are those within the Australian federal and state governments who have committed serious human rights violations.

I am trusting that your organisation will give this request, as per article 8 of the universal declaration of human rights justice.

I furthermore request that I become involved in this process so as to not allow any more errors to be committed.

Yours Sincerely

Gerald Schaper



||| Sent from my iPad