While it is important for governments to address the issue of misinformation and disinformation, granting government bureaucrats the power to be the arbiters of information can have significant consequences. Here are some potential concerns that arise from such a scenario:

- **1. Freedom of Speech:** Entrusting government bureaucrats with determining what is considered misinformation or disinformation raises concerns about freedom of speech. There is a risk that these powers could be misused or abused to stifle dissenting voices, political opposition, or alternative viewpoints. It is essential to strike a balance between combating harmful misinformation and protecting individuals' right to express their opinions.
- **2. Lack of Transparency and Accountability:** When government entities become the gatekeepers of information, there is a potential lack of transparency and accountability. Decisions regarding what is deemed misinformation or disinformation may be subjective and biased, and the process of enforcement may lack transparency or oversight. This can erode public trust and raise concerns about potential censorship.
- **3. Potential for Political Manipulation:** Government bureaucrats wielding significant power over information can lead to the potential for political manipulation. Governments may exploit these powers to shape public opinion, suppress dissent, or advance their own political agendas. This undermines the democratic principles of an informed citizenry and impartial information dissemination.
- **4. Stifling Innovation and Free Exchange of Ideas:** Imposing extensive regulatory burdens on digital platforms and requiring them to combat misinformation and disinformation can have unintended consequences. It may discourage innovation, burden smaller platforms, and restrict the free exchange of ideas. Excessive regulation can hinder the ability of individuals to access diverse sources of information and engage in open dialogue.
- **5. Risk of Overreach and Mission Creep:** Granting government entities broad powers to combat misinformation and disinformation opens the door to potential overreach and mission creep. These powers could expand beyond their intended scope, encroaching on privacy rights, undermining journalistic independence, or stifling legitimate forms of expression.

To effectively combat misinformation and disinformation, it is crucial to adopt measures that preserve freedom of speech, encourage media literacy, promote transparency and accountability, and involve multiple stakeholders, including independent fact-checkers, civil society organisations, and academia.